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Abstract—This paper introduces the concept of designing microwave patch antennas by creating synthetic heterogeneous substrates with small scale inclusions. These inclusions embedded in a host dielectric can be used to control the dielectric properties and create bespoke effective permittivity values. Heterogeneous patch antennas at 2.4GHz are simulated in this paper. By deliberately mapping the permittivity values to the electric fields, the antenna behavior can be controlled and a dual band frequency was introduced. The local regions with micro-scale inclusions showed good agreement with a homogeneous substrate section with the same predicted permittivity. These heterogeneous substrates can be potentially created using nanomaterials.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Antennas are vital in today’s wireless world. Arguably one of the most popular geometries is the microstrip patch antenna because they are simple, cheap to make and low profile. Professor Jim James and his colleague Professor Peter Hall developed substantial quantities of knowledge about these antennas, see [1, 2] for more information. Antenna engineers have been building on this mountain of work ever since. However, it is becoming increasingly challenging to continue to improve electromagnetic performance as is constantly demanded by manufacturers and consumers alike.

The overall idea of this work is to fabricate complete antennas systems using nanomaterials [3-6]. With the rapid development of nanotechnology, it is expected that it will soon be possible to create layered microwave antennas on the millimeter or centimeter scale where the conducting and dielectric structures are made from nanoscale structures. Such integrated processes would be additive manufacturing methods as opposed to using environmentally damaging chemicals to etch away the unwanted copper. Industrially etching printed circuit boards uses many separate processes that take at least eight hours in total.

Antenna designers are habitually hindered by having to use specific substrate permittivities, losses with specific heights. Substrates with high permittivities or low losses are often prohibitively expensive for practical products. By manufacturing the substrate and antenna in one process, it will allow the antenna engineer to choose a bespoke value of the permittivity. Furthermore these emerging nanofabrication techniques will allow antenna designers to create heterogeneous substrates.

This hypothesis of creating heterogeneous substrates is based on the theoretical work in [7, 8] that showed that the permittivity, permeability and conductivity can be controlled by inserting evenly spaced inclusions in a host material. The dielectric properties are then a function of the inclusion size and the spacing. Therefore, theoretically any value of permittivity (< ε̅) can be created using just two distinct materials by embedding inclusions in a host medium. This is more easily understood by examining Figure 1 where each cube represents micro-scale cuboids of nanomaterials. This methodology will allow the permittivity to be varied in all three dimensions in one fabrication process. This paper will highlight the potential improvement in electromagnetic performance that can be achieved with such small scale inclusions by examining simple heterogeneous substrates.

Previously the authors have shown that the analytical equations developed by Lewin show good agreement with electromagnetic simulations of structures exposed to plane waves [4]. The effective dielectric properties of these mixtures has also been measured showing good agreement [4, 6]. The authors have also shown that the inclusions can be spheres or cubes and that it is the volume fraction that is critical in determining the behavior.

Another interesting method of improving antenna performance is by using textured dielectrics [11-14]. The key idea of this work is to spread the concentration of electric fields by creating heterogeneous substrates where high permittivities are matched to areas where the electric fields are small. This
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produced a higher efficiency–bandwidth product compared to a homogeneous substrate. Such structures are difficult to make with current fabrication processes as typically objects of higher permittivity need to be manually located within the original substrate and hence this negates many of the manufacturing advantages of microstrip antennas. These papers used discrete heterogeneous substrates and it was hypothesized that the electromagnetic performance could be further improved by varying the permittivity smoothly.

The use of small scale inclusions enabled by advances in nanotechnology will help to solve the fabricational difficulties while allowing the electromagnetic performance to be controlled.

II. ANTENNA DESIGN

The patch antenna used in this paper had a ground plane size of 80 x 80mm. The patch was 40.5mm in the X direction and 48.4mm in the Y direction. The original substrate was 1.524mm high with a permittivity of 2.2 and a loss tangent of 0.015. The antenna was fed with a lumped port 10.7mm from the side of the patch. The antenna was simulated using EMPIRE XCcel™ finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) commercial software. As expected the maximum electric fields were found along the sides of the patch.

As an initial method of introducing an element of heterogeneity, horizontal and vertical air slots were cut in the substrate. The dimensions of the slots were 8mm x 56mm x 1.524mm, see Figure 2.

As a further comparison, the slots at the sides were replaced with a second homogeneous material (ε_r = 1.4, tan δ = 0.015). Finally, this material was replaced with 750 micron copper cubes embedded in Rohacell/air (ε_r ~ 1, tan δ = 0), see Figure 3. Lewin’s equations predict this structure to have a permittivity equal to 1.4, see [4] for more details. Note, the remainder of the substrate could be designed using cubes of different sizes or spacings to create a dissimilar ε_r but have been modeled as homogeneous in this paper to save computational resources. Theory predicts that smaller cubes with smaller spacings would produce similar results.

Table 1 shows that adding the same sized slots at the side of the substrate (where the electric fields were largest) had a much more significant effect than when they were added at the top and bottom of the patch. This can be seen from the required increase in the host permittivity to compensate the increase in frequency due to the lower ε_r and then the port location was moved to improve the match, see Figure 4.

III. RESULTS

Reducing the local permittivity of the substrate, decreased the dielectric loading and increased the resonant frequency. To ensure a fair comparison was made of the performance of all the antennas; in each case, the host permittivity was increased to compensate for the increase in frequency due to the lower ε_r and then the port location was moved to improve the match, see Figure 4.

Figure 1. A sketch showing how the permittivity can be varied within the substrate by changing the spacing of the inclusions.

Figure 2. Patch with air slots in the substrate: (a) at top and bottom and (b) at sides

Figure 3. Patch with synthetic substrate section. Small cubes are embedded in (air) slots at the sides of the substrate.
The small metallic cubes in air which had an effective permittivity of 1.4 showed good agreement with the simple homogeneous section with \( \varepsilon_r = 1.4 \). Table 1 shows that the efficiency of the patch with the substrate containing small cubes was slightly better than with the homogeneous patch – this is due to the structure being metallic cubes in the lossless air / Rohacell host. Note, in [4], a patch was simulated with evenly spaced small inclusions throughout the entire substrate. This paper also suggested that use of the inclusions to control the permittivity did not reduce the antenna efficiency.

![Figure 4. The return loss of the different antennas](image)

**Table 1. Simulated performance of antennas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Required permittivity of host to tune to 2.4GHz</th>
<th>Efficiency at 2.4GHz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>50.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air at top and bottom</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air at sides</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_r = 1.4 ) at sides</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>48.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small cubes at sides</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>51.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. CONCLUSIONS

This paper has demonstrated how varying the local permittivity value across the substrate can be used to control the electromagnetic performance of patch antenna. The paper has also demonstrated that small scale inclusions can be used for dielectric substrates and that the theoretical equations accurately predict the effective permittivity.

By mapping the local permittivity to the electric fields, the altered dielectric loading can be used to influence the antenna design and can enable multiband performance. The permittivity of the substrate where the electric fields is largest is particularly critical.

Note in this paper, only two permittivity regions were used and no attempt was made to optimize the permittivity map. In the previous works concerning textured dielectrics, the efficiency-bandwidth product was increased by using complex 3D shapes and the emerging nanofabrication techniques will enable these heterogeneous substrates with micro-sized cubes where the local permittivity can be controlled by the cube spacing to produce any bespoke value.
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