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ABSTRACT

Galvanic anode technology has in recent years come to the fore as a cost-effective method of successfully mitigating the corrosion of reinforcing steel in concrete structures. Developments in the field of cathodic protection have included the introduction of a novel “Hybrid” anode system, which uses the same sacrificial anode to pass a short-term impressed current before being connected to the steel directly to provide a long-term galvanic current. Galvanic and hybrid technologies are often seen as less ‘powerful’ solutions in the treatment of reinforcement corrosion, and the test methodologies which determine the efficacy of cathodic protection systems favour impressed current technologies.

The work completed has investigated the application of traditional and novel corrosion assessment techniques to laboratory samples to assess the protection offered by the hybrid treatment methodology in both treatment phases. In addition, the response of both galvanic and hybrid anodes to environmental conditions has been recorded and assessed before being discussed in the context of steel protection criteria. Finally, an investigation is presented into the on-site deterioration of commercially pure titanium feeder wire installed as part of the hybrid anode system and potential solutions to the problem have been documented.

The research undertaken found that the hybrid anode system is capable of protecting steel in challenging, aggressive environments. This was confirmed by steel corrosion rate and indicative steel potential measurements. The “responsive behaviour” investigation showed that the current output of galvanic and hybrid anodes responds rapidly to changes in the corrosion risk posed to the steel and that this has a direct effect on anode system lifetimes. An assessment of the polarisation-based protection criteria applied to steel in concrete has found that the standard inhibits the use of responsive behaviour, and that revisions which consider the present risk of steel corrosion by considering the corrosion current resulting from the relative aggressivity of the concrete environment would be more valid in their application. A cathodic protection system based on the concepts of pit re-alkalisation and pH maintenance can fully utilise galvanic anode responsive behaviour.

It was discovered that the deterioration of commercially pure titanium feeder wire seen on site installations was due to anodising in the presence of chloride media which had the potential to lead to pitting corrosion. The pitting risk varied depending on the duration of the treatment.
and proximity to the installed anode. An anodically grown oxide delayed the onset of corrosion in aqueous KBr solution, but did not significantly increase the pitting potential.

**KEY WORDS**
Hybrid anode, sacrificial, re-alkalisation, re-passivation, galvanic, current, cathodic protection, corrosion, impedance, reinforced concrete, anodising, hydroxide, zinc, steel, chloride,
PREFACE
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CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION

This chapter explains the background to and the context of the investigations reported in this thesis and concludes by presenting the research aims and objectives.

1.1 STEEL REINFORCEMENT CORROSION

The cost to governments and infrastructure owners of combating and repairing the damage caused to concrete structures by steel reinforcement corrosion is ever more significant. A 2002 report (Koch et al 2002) suggests that 15% of the 583,000 bridge structures in the United States are structurally deficient because of corroded steel reinforcement. Three hundred and forty three thousand of that total are constructed from reinforced concrete (Koch et al 2002). It is estimated that the estimated annual direct cost of bridge maintenance in the U.S is $4 billion and that the indirect costs associated with closures, traffic delays and lost productivity could be 10 times that total. It has also been reported that the estimated cost to maintain the nation’s bridges between 1999 and 2019 is $5.8 billion per year, and the cost to improve and eliminate structurally deficient bridges over the same period could be as much as $10.6 billion (The Road Information Program 2002). In the UK, the Department of Transport estimates a future repair cost of £616.5 million due to corrosion damage to motorway bridges. (Broomfield 2003).

Depending on the function of the steel, corrosion can have a dramatic effect on a structure. Due to the expansive nature of the corrosion products, it is very common for corroding steel that is near to the surface to cause spalling (break away) of the cover concrete (Figure 1.1). This is an aesthetic problem but can also be a major safety concern in public areas. Structural integrity issues can also arise due to the reduction of steel to concrete bond strength, general and localised cross-sectional reduction, increased beam deflection and reduced loading capacity (Rodriguez et al 1997, Cabrera 1996, Huang Yang 1997). In pre-stressed concrete, catastrophic failure as a result of corrosion is a real possibility.
The corrosion of steel in sound concrete is usually the result of one of two processes; carbonation of the cover concrete or chloride ingress. Discussion of the corrosion mechanisms can be found in Chapter 2.

### 1.2 ELECTROCHEMICAL CORROSION MITIGATION

A variety of treatment approaches are available for the electrochemical mitigation of steel reinforcement corrosion. There are those which require a permanent installation, such as impressed current cathodic protection (ICCP) (Broomfield 2006) and galvanic cathodic protection (GCP) (Drewett 2005), and those which require a relatively short term treatment such as electrochemical chloride extraction (ECE) (Miranda et al 2007, Ihekwaba et al 1996) and electrochemical re-alkalisation (ERA) (Banfill 1997 and Bertolini et al 2008). These are all established techniques but it is ICCP and GCP which dominate the marketplace globally.

#### 1.2.1 The hybrid anode system

The hybrid anode system (Figure 1.2) is a novel treatment approach which blends aspects of a variety of corrosion mitigation methodologies (Figure 1.3). The treatment is termed ‘hybrid’ because during the treatment a sacrificial anode is used as both an impressed current and galvanic anode (Figure 1.4). For a short period, (typically 7-14 days) a constant voltage DC power supply is used to drive a high current from the installed anodes to re-alkalise the steel and draw chloride to the anode. The same anode is then connected directly to the steel to
provide maintenance free cathodic protection by means of a galvanic current. The reactions involved in both stages can be seen in Figure 1.4 along with a typical installation layout. The galvanic current maintains the high pH at the surface of the steel by generating hydroxyl ions for the lifetime of the anode (Appendix A).

![Image](image.png)

**Figure 1.2** A hybrid anode prior to installation

The arrangement of the completed installations means that it is usually possible to re-apply the impressed current treatment should a change in the concrete environment result in steel de-passivation in the future.

The hybrid anode concept was developed with the idea of combining the convenience of GCP with the power of ICCP and the beneficial effects on the steel environment imparted by ERA and ECE. Figure 1.4 summarises the system design influences.
Figure 1.3 Advantageous features of available electrochemical concrete repair treatments utilised in the Hybrid Anode System

Figure 1.4 The two stages of the hybrid anode treatment; a) Impressed current b) Galvanic current
1.3  INTRODUCTION TO THE SPONSOR COMPANY

Concrete Preservation Technologies Ltd (CPT) was established in March 2005. The directors have over 30 years experience in electrochemical treatment of reinforced concrete structures and a history of over 100 technical publications. Including the Directors, the company currently has 7 full-time employees.

The CPT product range is centred around the DuoGuard hybrid anode system. The first installations of the hybrid anode system took place in the winter of 2006, and at the time of writing more than 70 systems have been installed in six countries. CPT now has several global distributors and has developed a range of cathodic protection products to suit a variety of concrete conditions.

1.3.1  The sponsor company – research context

When the EngD project began in August 2007, a small number of hybrid anode installations had been commissioned and the company focus was very much on establishing a new product and treatment concept in an industry sector that is traditionally slow to adopt new technologies. The promotion of the system to potential customers relied heavily on the technical expertise and experience of the directors, backed with data from the available literature.

The commencement of the EngD research project marked the first real efforts to generate significant data from both the laboratory and site installations to prove the function of the hybrid system and build a better understanding of its benefits as well as its limitations. Independent research published through Loughborough University held significant value for such a young company and the employment of a dedicated research engineer allowed the directors to focus their efforts on establishing and growing the business.

1.4  THE NEED FOR THE RESEARCH UNDERTAKEN

This section introduces the four research areas investigated during the EngD and discusses the justification for the research undertaken.

1.4.1  Steel corrosion characterisation

At the start of the EngD, the author had no experience of steel corrosion in concrete. As well as learning about the mechanisms behind the processes involved and factors influencing them,
It was important to understand the methods used in academia and in the industry to monitor, characterise and measure the corrosion process as well as the equipment used to collect data from such methods. In order to do this in a meaningful way, it was decided that alongside a literature review into the methods used (detailed in Section 3.3), practical experience of the techniques was required. It was decided that laboratory based studies should be undertaken. The techniques would be used to characterise the corrosion protection offered to the steel by hybrid anode treatments in laboratory samples.

Although the hybrid treatment had been discussed in theoretical papers (Glass et al 2007a) there was a lack of published laboratory data which detailed the treatment and the protection achieved by its use. As the anode system represented a new and novel approach to corrosion mitigation and the publication of performance data gathered using both accepted and contemporary techniques would be a valid contribution to the wealth of literature that examines both impressed current and galvanic current cathodic protection. The study would also give the sponsor company the opportunity to look at the performance of the anode system in an array of conditions and gain greater insight into the product’s strengths and limitations.

1.4.2 The responsive current behaviour of hybrid/galvanic anodes

It had been noted from previously obtained data that the current output of galvanic anodes installed on reinforced concrete structures and in laboratory samples varied depending on the humidity and temperature and other climatic conditions (Sagues and Powers 1994, Sagues and Dugarte 2009, de Rincón et al 2008). Previous work had also used concrete resistivity to evaluate the risk of steel reinforcement corrosion (Morris et al 2002 and Morris et al 2004, Hunkeler 1996 and Gonzalez et al 1993) examining the influence of humidity, moisture, concrete structure and chloride concentrations. Although these investigations had examined current and potential response to changing concrete conditions, neither had discussed this behaviour with regard to its effect on steel protection or anode life conservation and none measured data at close intervals in order to build up a detailed picture of the phenomena. This effect, termed ‘responsive behaviour’, has a significant influence on these critical factors in galvanic systems, where the anode current is allowed to respond to the environment.

Any work detailing the galvanic current response to documented changes in environmental conditions would be novel in its undertaking and scope. Combining real-world with laboratory generated data would allow dissemination of the practical aspects of the effect on a
concrete structure, whilst affording depth of analysis that would not be possible from real-world data alone.

1.4.2 Steel protection criteria

Within the cathodic protection industry, there is an ongoing debate regarding the application of protection criteria to steel in atmospherically exposed concrete and the basis of their application. The pitting potential/re-passivation potential hypothesis (Section 2.2) forms the basis of the theory that protection is based on achieving adequate steel polarisation, whereas the pit re-alkalisation/pH maintenance hypothesis (Glass et al 2008, Roberts et al 2006, Glass et al 2006) suggests that modifying the surface of the steel by raising the pH is the dominant protective effect. The European standard (BS EN 12696 (2000) advocates the use of steel polarisation criteria to determine whether a cathodic protection system is functioning adequately.

Although widely applied, nominal steel polarisation criteria (discussed in Section 2.2.2) are widely questioned and appear to be primarily used due to their practical simplicity and ease of application. Galvanic cathodic protection systems sometimes fail to meet the polarisation criteria although other techniques used to determine steel condition such as instantaneous corrosion rate and de-polarised steel potential measurements suggest that the system is adequately protecting the steel. As a result, the perceived need for polarisation may limit the adoption of galvanic and hybrid cathodic protection systems which would otherwise provide a satisfactory and cost-effective solution to reinforcement corrosion.

1.4.3 Commercially pure titanium degradation

The hybrid anode system consists of zinc anodes installed in the cover concrete using a proprietary mortar. The anodes are then connected together using a commercially pure (CP) titanium wire. Following the discovery of a small number of degraded areas of wire on site a literature review identified a pitting risk to the wire due to the anodic polarisation. A research project commenced aimed at characterising the conditions required for wire corrosion and investigating the effect on the pitting potential of growing an oxide (using DC anodising) of various different thicknesses (Section 4.10).

This section of the research was applied in nature, in that it aimed at solving a potential problem that was specific to a material, product and set of conditions. It did not seek to add
significant matter to ongoing academic debates regarding the mechanisms of the anodic behaviour of titanium, but rather to identify the mechanisms causing the defects and investigate methods to nullify or reduce their impact. Although the mechanism of pitting corrosion of titanium is a well researched area (Dugdale and Cotton 1964, Posey and Bohlmann 1967, Fattahi and Shariat 2008 and Sazou et al 2012), little effort has been expended on analysing the effect of a grown oxide on the pitting potential in aggressive electrolytes.

1.5 RESEARCH AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

The over-arching aim of the research was to investigate the practical and theoretical aspects of a hybrid electrochemical treatment. In order to achieve this, the research has been split into three distinct projects with their own aims and objectives. The background and justification for these projects can be seen in Section 1.4 and the aims and objectives that relate to each project can be seen in Table 1.1.
Table 1.1 Research aims, objectives, selected methodology and outputs for the research project undertaken

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Aims</th>
<th>Research Objectives</th>
<th>Research methodology</th>
<th>Research Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIM 1</td>
<td>Characterise the electrochemical processes occurring in hybrid anode laboratory samples using common industry techniques</td>
<td>1. Gain greater understanding of steel protection assessment methods 2. Apply assessment methods to the testing of existing anode systems to determine the effectiveness of the cathodic protection offered</td>
<td>Literature review Physical experimentation Physical experimentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIM 2</td>
<td>Investigate the benefits of the responsive current behaviour of cathodic protection systems and discuss with regards to existing steel protection hypotheses and criteria</td>
<td>3. Select and/or design samples and experiments so that data is collected from a range of concrete conditions 4. Investigate the relative effects of environmental conditions on responsive behaviour 5. Investigate the practical benefits of responsive behaviour 6. Investigate responsive behaviour in the context of current steel protection hypotheses and criteria</td>
<td>Physical experimentation Physical experimentation Physical experimentation Physical experimentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIM 3</td>
<td>Investigate the causes of the on-site deterioration of commercially pure titanium feeder wire and investigate methods of protecting against it</td>
<td>7. Identify the cause of surface degradation seen on site 8. Identify a suitable process for protecting commercially pure titanium feeder wire against pitting corrosion 9. Assess any increased protection offered</td>
<td>Literature review Literature review Physical experimentation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.6 LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Table 1.2 presents the academic papers that were published as a result of the work presented in this thesis.

Table 1.2 Journal and conference papers published as a result of the research undertaken

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Journal/Conference</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appendix B Paper 1</td>
<td>Journal: Materials and Corrosion, 2011</td>
<td>Long term assessment of a hybrid electrochemical treatment</td>
<td>Presents the results of corrosion current monitoring, corrosion rate measurement and polarisation data taken from a chloride contaminated concrete test block containing a hybrid anode system over a 4.5 year period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix C Paper 2</td>
<td>Conference: CORROSION 2011, Houston, Texas, USA</td>
<td>The Response of Protective Current to Environmental Conditions During Sacrificial Anode Concrete Repair Treatments</td>
<td>Presents analysis of data taken from hybrid anode samples placed indoors/outdoors and a single zinc/steel sample in the context of responsive current behaviour and steel protection hypotheses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix D Paper 3</td>
<td>Journal: Corrosion, Vol 67, 10, 2011</td>
<td>Response of Protective Current to Environmental Conditions During Sacrificial Anode Concrete Repair Treatments</td>
<td>A journal-edited version of Paper 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix E Paper 4</td>
<td>Journal: Corrosion Science, Vol 53</td>
<td>Responsive behaviour of galvanic anodes in concrete and the basis for its utilisation</td>
<td>Discusses the response of zinc and steel current to steel corrosion risk, the response times of the different materials and the utilisation of responsive behaviour in relation to steel protection mechanisms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.7 **THESIS STRUCTURE**

The thesis is divided into five chapters:

**Chapter 2** consists of a review of literature relevant to the research undertaken. This section is referenced heavily in the discourse and forms part of the objectives presented in Table 1.1.

**Chapter 3** presents the chosen research methodology and research methods used during the research projects. The available methods are reviewed before the chosen methods are presented and the reasons for their selection discussed.

**Chapter 4** presents the research undertaken and the findings of the work carried out for each task. This section refers heavily to the appended papers but provides a narrative to explain the thought process behind the work.

**Chapter 5** draws together the key findings and conclusions from the research undertaken and discusses the impact of the research along with its limitations before suggesting future work.
CHAPTER 2 - LITERATURE REVIEW

This chapter aims to introduce the ways in which concrete influences the corrosion of steel reinforcement, elucidate the theories surrounding steel corrosion protection in concrete and discuss the ways in which the two predominant theories are validated. It also aims to present and review previously published information on the mechanisms and processes involved in the corrosion of titanium and protective anodising.

2.1 THE INFLUENCE OF LOCAL CONCRETE CONDITIONS ON REINFORCEMENT CORROSION

The occurrence and/or rate of corrosion affecting reinforcement buried in concrete is dependent on the nature of the concrete that surrounds it. The main influences are material (mix design, compaction and cracking) and environmental factors (water availability, temperature and chloride content), although the former will determine the influence of the latter. The main influence of these factors is on the concrete’s resistance to current flow between the anode and the cathode during corrosion activity (Bentur 1997, Bertolini et al 2004).

2.1.1 Material factors

Mix design – the ratios of water, OPC (ordinary portland cement) and aggregate will determine the resistivity of the concrete near the surface of the steel due to the different relative proportions of distinct materials each with a different characteristic resistivity. The extent of hydration will also have an influence due to its influence on pore structure and porosity. For example, low water to cement (w/c) ratio concretes have a low porosity due to the filling of a high proportion of capillary pores with hydration products whereas high w/c ratio concretes will not only have a much higher overall porosity volume, but many of the capillary pores will be interconnected, increasing overall porosity. The addition of admixtures such fly ash or ground granulated blast furnace slag or polymers to aid concrete properties will also change the materials’ resistivity to current flow (Bentur et al 1997; Glass and Buenfeld 2000).

Compaction - the degree of compaction achieved after pouring and working will also have an influence on corrosion. Aerated voids reduce the resistivity of the bulk material by effectively removing solid material and therefore resistance to current flow. Also, it has been noted that
corrosion initiation tends to occur at the location of pore-solution filled voids at the steel surface (Ann and Song, 2007).

Cracking - cracking due to low cover, poor placement, inappropriate mix design or environmental factors can make buried reinforcement more susceptible to corrosion as it can allow easy access to the steel from the surface (i.e., for chloride/moisture ingress) and reduce the resistance to current flow between anodic and cathodic sites.

All of the above factors will also govern the pace of chloride ingress/carbonation and therefore the time to corrosion initiation and its severity.

2.1.2 Environmental factors

Water availability – the availability of an ionic conductor will determine the quantity of current that can flow between anodic and cathodic sites. Increasing water content will reduce the resistivity of the concrete and increase the current that can flow. This is true except for when the concrete approaches saturation and oxygen availability at the cathode limits current flow (Broomfield 1997).

Temperature - the temperature of the pore water has a significant influence on the resistivity of the concrete. This is due to the effect on ion mobility, ion-ion and ion-solid interactions (Polder 2001). the higher the concrete temperature, the lower the resistance to ionic movement will be.

Chloride content – although in itself an aggressive ion which propagates corrosion of steel in concrete (see Section 2.4.1.1), the presence of chloride ions in the pore solution also has the effect of increasing the concrete’s ionic conductivity and so increasing the corrosion rate once a corrosion cell is established.

2.2 CORROSION PROTECTION OF STEEL IN CONCRETE

There is much debate regarding which treatment approach best addresses the dominant factor affecting steel corrosion in concrete. It is argued that adequately cathodically polarising the steel in order to suppress the steel corrosion reaction is the dominant protective effect. This is supported by the pitting potential/re-passivation potential hypothesis (BS EN 12696 (2000)). Others have suggested that reinstating the alkaline environment at acidic pitting sites is the dominant protective effect, with reports that typical ICCP current densities are enough to halt
corrosion in this manner when applied for long periods (Glass *et al* 2008, Christodoulou *et al* 2010), or delay its onset in aggressive environments once the protective current has been removed (Presuel-Moreno 2002, Glass *et al* 2007c). These observations support the basis of the pit re-alkalisation/pH maintenance hypothesis (Glass *et al* 2007a, Glass *et al* 2006).

In this section the background theory behind these two hypotheses is presented and the protection criteria affiliated with each are discussed.

### 2.3 Pitting Potential/Re-Passivation Potential Theory as a Basis for Protection

Diagrams like those shown in Figure 2.1 can be used to study the corrosion behaviour of metals. The potential of the metal in a given electrolyte (E) is plotted against the logarithm of the current (Log $i_a$). Where the curve generated from the anodic reaction intercepts the y axis it is said that the metal is immune from corrosion. Where the anodic and cathodic reaction curves meet we obtain the corrosion potential $E_{corr}$ and the corrosion rate $i_a$. The anodic and cathodic reactions for iron corrosion are given by Equations 2.1 and 2.2.

$$\text{Fe} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + 2e^- \text{ (Anodic)} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e^- \rightarrow 2\text{OH}^- \text{ (Cathodic)} \quad (2.2)$$

If the potential of metal in an electrolyte (E) is lower than the equilibrium potential for the oxidation process (E$_{eq}$) then corrosion cannot occur. This is the ‘immunity’ condition and can be used to describe the condition of steel in healthy, high pH concrete (Pedeferri 1996).

Corrosion of the metal can occur if $E > E_{eq}$. E depends on the metal and the electrolyte but will increase when there is an anodic current and decrease when there is a cathodic current. $E_{eq}$ is given by Nernst’s equation (Equation 2.3).

$$E_{eq} = E^0 + \frac{RT}{2F} \log \alpha_{Fe^{2+}} \quad (2.3)$$

where $E^0$ is the standard potential, R is the universal gas constant, T is the absolute temperature, F is Faraday’s constant and $\alpha$ is the ion concentration of the electrolyte.

In carbonated concrete the corrosion rate (given by the anodic current $i_a$) increases with the corrosion potential (E) (Figure 2.1(a)) (Glass *et al* 1991). Steel in alkaline environments
(Figure 2.1(b)) displays ‘active-passive’ behaviour which describes a process whereby $i_a$ initially increases above $E_{eq}$ as the corrosion potential increases (active zone) then decreases to very small values due to the formation of a passive oxide film (passive zone). At high potentials, the oxide film breaks down and $i_a$ increases again.

Figure 2.1 a) ‘Active’ and b) ‘Active-passive’ anodic behaviour of metals, showing active and passive regions (after Pedeferri 1996)

When metals are placed in aggressive environments their corrosion potential ($E_{corr}$) is higher than $E_{eq}$. The difference between these two potentials is the driving voltage ($\Delta E$) which, when divided by the anodic resistance ($R$), gives the corrosion rate $i_a$ (Equation 2.4):

$$i_a = \Delta E/R$$ (2.4)

Based on this model, a method must be employed to push the $E$ to values equal to or below $E_{eq}$ making $\Delta E$ and therefore $i_a$ very small. This however is only appropriate for steel immersed in seawater or soil. The aim when cathodically protecting atmospherically exposed concrete is not to polarise the steel to $E_{eq}$ but to a potential where a passivity condition can be maintained for a wide range of atmospheric conditions ($E_{corr}$ to $E_p$ and therefore $i_{corr}$ to $i_p$ in Figure 2.2). The polarisation required is much less than that required to achieve $E_{eq}$ which carries the risk of over-protection and hydrogen generation at the cathode when the potential is driven below $E_{eq,H}$, the equilibrium potential for hydrogen. $E_{eq,H}$ is pH dependent but is -950 mV at pH >12.5 (Pedeferri 1996). Pushing the steel potential lower than $E_{eq,H}$ can result in hydrogen embrittlement which can have structural implications.
As a polarising current must be applied to achieve protection, cathodic protection systems permanently cathodically polarise the steel in order to maintain a negligibly low corrosion rate.

### 2.3.1 Protection Criteria

In recent years, there has been an increasing demand from the industry for standard test methods to apply to the corrosion testing and monitoring of steel reinforced structures. Using the theoretical basis discussed above it is postulated that corrosion protection will be achieved if one of the following factors is satisfied (BS EN 12696 (2000)):

- An ‘instant off’* steel potential (potential corrected for IR drop) more negative than -720 mV with respect to Ag/AgCl/0.5 KCl reference electrode
- A potential decay of 100 mV over a maximum of 24 hours (minus IR drop)
- A potential decay over an extended period of at least 150 mV from the ‘instant off’ potential
* potential of the steel immediately after it is disconnected from the anode system.

By far the most commonly used criterion for steel in concrete is that of the 100 mV potential decay. The accepted basis for its use is that a 100 mV shift in the corrosion potential $E_{corr}$ is sufficient to induce an order of magnitude reduction in corrosion rate. The European Standard relating (BS EN 12696 (2000)) states that the criteria are “not necessarily supported by theoretical considerations, but are a non-exhaustive, practical series of criteria to indicate adequate polarisation which will lead to the maintenance or re-establishment of protective conditions for the steel within the concrete.

### 2.3.2 European Standard BS EN 12696 (2000)

The European Standard BS EN 12696 (2000) aims to specify the performance requirements for cathodic protection of steel in atmospherically exposed concrete, and is based on the concept of adequate polarisation. The standard includes a diagram (Figure 2.3) of steel potential vs. concrete chloride content, which is used to explain the method of evaluating structures and achieving corrosion arrest depending on the aims of the treatments. It is divided into distinct zones:

When the steel potential and concrete chloride content is in;

Zone A - Pitting initiates and propagates
Zone B - Pitting does (will) not initiate but can propagate
Zone C - Pitting does (will) not initiate nor propagate
Zone D - Hydrogen embrittlement risk to high strength steels
Zone E - Reduction of the steel/concrete bond.

The aim of cathodic protection in atmospherically exposed concrete is to polarise the steel into Zone D for normal low strength steel or into Zone C for high strength steels. This means that if sufficient (>100 mV) depolarisation is realised; the steel potential will sit in Zone C or the lower section of Zone B.

The standard suggests that the treatment paths for the different methodologies proceed as follows:
Cathodic Prevention 1 → 2 → 3
Cathodic Protection restoring passivity 1 → 4 → 5
Cathodic Protection reducing corrosion rate 1 → 4 → 6

In the case of cathodic prevention, the steel is polarised from the start of structural service life (1→2) when there is zero chloride content, in order to increase the critical chloride threshold required for corrosion to occur. As the chloride content increases (3), the polarisation is maintained. In both cathodic protection methodologies, the steel is polarised when there is a certain chloride content in contact with the steel (4), usually after considerable structure service life.

![Schematic illustration of evaluation paths of potential and chloride content on steel reinforcement during service life (BS EN 12696 (2000))](image)

**Figure 2.3** Schematic illustration of evaluation paths of potential and chloride content on steel reinforcement during service life (BS EN 12696 (2000))

### 2.3.2.1 Critique of BS EN 12696:2000

ASTM C876 – 09 (1999) states that if an $E_{corr}$ more negative than -350 mV vs. Cu/CuSO$_4$ (copper –copper sulphate reference electrode) is found during a potential survey of cover concrete, there is a 90% chance of reinforcement corrosion. Potentials more positive than -200 mV vs. Cu/CuSO$_4$ indicate a 90% chance of negligible corrosion. Bridge Advice 35 (1990) suggests that any concrete covering steel with a potential more negative than -350 mV
vs. Cu/CuSO₄ and containing more than 0.3% chloride by weight of cement should be removed if no electrochemical treatment is to be used as it represents a very high corrosion risk. However, for corrosion to occur at -350 mV vs. Cu/CuSO₄ using the model in Figure 2.3 the chloride content of the concrete would have to be >1.7% by weight of cement. The schematic also suggests that an $E_{corr}$ of -100 mV vs. Ag/AgCl (-20 mV vs. Cu/CuSO₄) and a chloride content of 0.8% indicates that pitting will initiate and propagate. Experience suggests that very few engineers undertaking potential surveys would consider these figures to suggest corroding reinforcement.

The model does not take into account any acknowledged effects (Pedeferri 1996) such as re-alkalisation or chloride extraction, which have been observed to make $E_{corr}$ more positive when applied for long periods and hence reducing the magnitude of polarisation required. Also, the standard does not account for the changes in the need for protective current/polarisation brought about by changes in the corrosion risk to the steel (Paper 4, Appendix E).

### 2.4 PIT RE-ALKALSIATION AND pH MAINTENANCE AS A BASIS FOR PROTECTION

Steel cast into healthy concrete will not corrode due to the high pH of the cement paste at the steel surface due to the growth of a stable oxide layer (Broomfield 1997). It is acidification of the steel which leads to passive layer damage and the initiation of corrosion. As discussed in Section 2.2, one way to halt or dramatically reduce corrosion is to apply a cathodic current to cathodically polarise the corrosion reaction.

Cathodic polarisation of steel in concrete leads to a rise in the pH at the steel/concrete interface (Pedeferri 1996, Broomfield 1997). This is because oxygen reduction at the steel during the corrosion process generates hydroxyl ions. A galvanic or impressed current leads to a significant increase in the amount of hydroxide being produced as this is proportional to the applied charge.

Until recently, like chloride removal in the applied electrical field, pH increase has been considered as a beneficial secondary effect of applied cathodic protection current densities when being used to treat steel suffering from chloride-induced corrosion. However, the concept of re-alkalisation is well established in the treatment of carbonation-induced reinforcement corrosion (Section 2.3.1.2).
2.4.1 Acidification of the steel surface

In order to investigate the protective effect of re-alkalisation with regards to corrosion mitigation, it is important to understand the mechanism of acidification and the resulting corrosion propagation at the steel surface.

2.4.1.1 Chloride induced corrosion

When chloride ions (Cl\(^-\)) are present in concrete local to the steel, a potential difference attracts them to the anodic sites. A local fall in pH arises from the hydrolysis of dissolving iron ions. Dissolving iron reacts with water to form iron hydroxides and hydrogen ions. The positively charged hydrogen ions are balanced by the presence of negatively charge chloride ions producing hydrochloric acid (Figure 2.4).

![Image of corrosion model](image)

**Figure 2.4** The classical corrosion model of pitting attack, after Broomfield (1997)

It is the competing processes of HCl and OH\(^-\) production at the steel surface that will control whether corrosion will propagate or re-passivation will occur. A continuous supply of chloride ions is required to sustain corrosion.

2.4.1.2 Carbonation

Carbonation is caused by the penetration of atmospheric CO\(_2\) molecules into the concrete and their reactions with calcium hydroxide, C-S-H gel and with the alkali and calcium ions in the pore solution. The effect of these reactions is the reduction in pH of the concrete and the onset
of general corrosion. Calcium hydroxide \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \) is the hydrate in the cement paste that reacts most readily with \( \text{CO}_2 \):

\[
\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 \text{ (Carbonic acid)} \tag{2.5}
\]

\[
\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{2.6}
\]

Locally available calcium hydroxide is consumed (Equation 2.6), precipitating calcium carbonate and allowing the pH to fall to values approaching neutrality (pH 7) (Bertolini \textit{et al} 2004). A carbonation front then proceeds into the concrete. The speed with which it progresses will depend on factors including \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentration, humidity, temperature and concrete mix design (Bentur \textit{et al} 1997, Broomfield 1997).

\textbf{2.4.2 The thermodynamic basis for re-alkalisation}

Pourbaix (1974 and 1974(a)) acknowledged that the corrosion of metals is not only determined by their potential, but also by the pH of the solution surrounding them. He produced thermodynamic stability diagrams of metals in different media. A modified version of the potential – pH diagram for iron in water is shown in Figure 2.5.

\textbf{Figure 2.5} Potential – pH equilibrium diagram for the \( \text{H}_2\text{O} – \text{Fe} \) system at 25ºC (adapted from Pourbaix 1974).
The high pH of the concrete (~pH 12-13) means that steel reinforcement sits in the ‘passive’ zone on the equilibrium diagram with the passive ferric oxides being the most stable form of iron. In this environment, iron remains passive over a wide range of potentials. For steel to corrode, the pH must drop significantly so that the steel moves into the ‘corroding’ zone of the diagram ((a) to (b) in Figure 2.5). This is often accompanied by a drop in the steel corrosion potential.

To achieve re-passivation of corroding steel in an aqueous environment, the pH must be increased with the generation of hydroxyl ions exceeding the concentration of chloride ions. Glass and Buenfeld (1995b) illustrated that a certain ratio of OH\(^-\) to Cl\(^-\) concentration must be realised to achieve re-passivation. This theory underpins the pit re-alkalisation concept which suggests that rather than being achieved by polarisation and a negative potential shift (Figure 2.3), the primary protective effect is achieved by increasing the pH at corroding sites, i.e., by generation of hydroxide. With reference to Figure 2.5, this would mean moving the steel from (b) to (a). The amount of hydroxide generated is proportional to the amount of charge passed to the steel by the cathodic current and so it is logical that high current treatments affect more change at the steel surface.

The pH maintenance part of the theory pertains to maintaining a favourable ratio of Cl\(^-\) to OH\(^-\) ions following the treatment. Once the high current treatment has been applied, there will be a sufficient concentration of OH\(^-\) at the surface of the steel to maintain passivation in the short term. However, if for example, chlorides are still able to penetrate the concrete to the level of the steel, it is probable that corrosion could be re-established in future as OH\(^-\) ions are consumed and more Cl\(^-\) ions collect at the steel surface. A comparatively low current can be applied to maintain the generation of OH\(^-\) and attraction of Cl\(^-\) ions to the anode, therefore maintaining the protective ratio described above (Glass et al 2008). It has been suggested that OH\(^-\) ions combine with corrosion products and Ca\(^+\), K\(^+\) and Na\(^+\) drawn to the cathode during impressed current treatments to form alkali rich solid phase inhibitors at the steel/concrete interface (Glass and Chadwick 1994, Glass et al 2008 and Glass et al 2007c). Separate studies have noted the presence of suspected calcium hydroxide, calcium-aluminium-rich phases (Marcotte et al 1999 and Glass and Buenfeld 2000), sodium rich phases and Friedel’s salt (Orellan et al 2004) at the interface.


2.4.3 Evidence of the protective effect

It has been reported that cathodic protection current densities are enough to halt corrosion when applied for long periods, or delay its onset in aggressive environments once the applied current has been removed. Christodoulou et al (2010) found that 2 years after a 20-year old ICCP system on the Midland Links Viaducts, UK, had been switched off, corrosion had not re-initiated. This was in spite of a measured >1% chloride content by weight of cement measured at the steel in 60% of the test locations. Similarly, Presuel-Moreno et al (2010) noted the persistent effect that an ICCP system had on the steel once the polarising current had been removed, reporting that a significant number of test locations remained passive up until the work ended at 220 days. This was in spite of a relatively low impressed current (5 mA/m²) applied to the steel for 9 years and very high concrete chloride contents. These studies and observations have lead to the development of the pit re-alkalisation/pH maintenance hypothesis (Glass et al 2007a, Glass et al 2007b and Glass et al 2008) which argues that making the environment at the steel less aggressive is the dominant factor in protecting atmospherically exposed steel in concrete.

2.5 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

Rather than basing cathodic protection system performance on the potential shift affected by the installed anodes, the ability of re-alkalisation to halt steel corrosion can be assessed by several different methods, including steel corrosion rate and potential measurements. These methods are discussed in Chapter 3 (Sections 3.3 and 3.4), however indicative methods are discussed below.

2.5.1 Indicative measurements of re-alkalisation/re-passivation

As well as quantitative methods such as those described in Chapter 3, there are several techniques that can be used to indicate the effectiveness of an electrochemical treatment applied to steel reinforcement.

2.5.1.1 Depolarised steel potential

If steel potential data can be obtained prior to the applied process, and then again following a period of treatment, a comparison of the results can give a good indication of how the treatment has modified environment at the surface of the steel (Section 4.3). Steel potentials of <-350 mV vs. Cu/CuSO₄ generally indicate the presence of steel corrosion, with potentials
of >-200 mV indicating a low risk of corrosion (ASTM C876-09 1999). It follows then that a significant positive shift in steel potential in reinforced concrete under similar conditions would indicate the re-passivating effects of re-alkalisation.

Figure 2.6 shows the distribution of steel potentials taken from embedded reference electrodes on the Tay Road Bridge, Scotland (Glass 1996). Cathodic protection was applied for 12 months and then the system was switched off. It can be seen that the de-polarised steel potentials at these areas of high corrosion risk were all shifted to more positive values. In one case the positive potential shift was at least 150 mV.

Steel potential readings are only ever indicative in nature due to the effect that environmental factors have on the recorded results. Temperature, concrete moisture content and the quality of the interface between the reference cell and the concrete will all affect the data collected; however, the effect of these factors will be in the range of tens of millivolts. Large changes in steel potential in similar environmental conditions indicate changes in the steel corrosion process.
Figure 2.6 Distribution of measured steel potentials recorded over a one-year period on the Tay Road Bridge, Scotland (Glass 1996)

2.5.1.2 Concrete pH measurements

To assess the effect of re-alkalisation directly, small sections of the steel can be broken out and after loose material has been removed, sprayed with an indicator solution (typically phenolphthalein). Healthy concrete has a pH of 12-13 and will turn the indicator a pink colour. Carbonated concrete or acidic areas close to the steel will cause no change in the indicator colour (Pacheco Torgal 2012).

Figure 2.7 shows a section through a temporarily installed sponge anode and carbonated reinforced concrete beam after it had been treated with a short term high current electrochemical treatment. A universal indicator was applied to the anode and the surface from where the steel had been removed. The red colour at the anode indicates a pH less than 2, while the purple colour at the steel indicates a pH greater than 9 (Tong et al 2012).
2.5.2 Corrosion rate measurements

Rather than using polarisation criteria alone to determine that the anode system is sufficiently protecting the steel (as discussed in Section 2.2.2), measurement techniques such as those that will be described in Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4 can be used to obtain instantaneous corrosion rate measurements.

2.6 SUMMARY

The pitting potential/re-passivation potential theory is a well-established basis for the protection criteria applied to cathodic protection installations based on polarisation. The theory that the European Standard is based on has flaws, which may affect the way that reinforcement corrosion is assessed on site.

The postulated protective effect using the pit re-alkalisation/pH maintenance methodology relies on making the environment at the steel less aggressive and is proportional to the amount of current passed. Although there is no standard measurement to measure protection, steel corrosion rate and potential measurements are convenient ways of assessing the condition of the reinforcement.
2.7 TITANIUM PITTING IN THE PRESENCE OF HALIDES

The highly corrosion resistant qualities of titanium are a result of the oxide layer that forms on the metal surface when it is exposed to air or water. Its structure is nominally TiO$_2$ (Equation 2.7) although the crystal structure, orientation and defect density strongly depend upon the conditions of film growth.

\[ \text{Ti} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{TiO}_2 \tag{2.7} \]

Breakdown of the oxide layer results in the exposure of the underlying titanium metal which can result in pitting corrosion (Donachie 1988).

Pitting is an aggressive form of localised corrosion, which results in accelerated dissolution of the underlying metal usually due to a breakdown in a protective passive film. For pitting to occur, an aggressive ion species must be present; chloride is the most common of these. Pitting is considered to be autocatalytic in nature; as the pit grows the local conditions alter to promote further pit growth. The anodic and cathodic areas separate during pitting with the anodic areas becoming depleted in cathodic reactant (typically oxygen), shifting the cathodic reaction outside of the pit where oxygen is more freely available. The pit cavity becomes enriched with metal cations, which results in an increase in concentration of anionic species (chloride) in the pit to maintain charge neutrality. The pH in the pit falls due to cation hydrolysis (Equation 2.8):

\[ \text{Me}^{2+} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Me(OH)}^+ + \text{H}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Me(OH)}_2 + 2\text{H}^+ \tag{2.8} \]

In contrast, the cathodic reactions lead to an increase in pH at cathodic locations due to oxygen reduction. Acidic, chloride rich environments are aggressive to most metals, including steel and titanium. Figure 2.8 describes the autocatalytic processes occurring during pitting of a metal in aerated NaCl solution (ASM International 2003):
Breakdown will occur at the weakest part of the oxide layer, such as grain boundaries, surface imperfections or an area of thin oxide meaning that pitting sites on the metal surface often appear to be random. Titanium does not normally pit in chloride containing media, however if it is anodically polarised and the driving voltage is sufficient, rapid pitting can occur. Pit initiation is associated with a range of potentials depending on the conditions (solution concentration, temperature, agitation level). Several authors have set this pitting potential at 9 - 14 volts in chloride solutions at 20-25°C, (Hackerman and Hall and Rudiger and Fischer (cited in Dugdale and Cotton 1964), Dugdale and Cotton 1964, Trompette et al 2011, Basame and White 2000, and Posey and Bohlmann 1967. A polarisation experiment illustrating the breakdown of titanium in molar KCl can be seen in Figure 2.9.
Anodising is a process whereby a protective oxide layer is intentionally grown on a metal by electrolytic means. After suitable pre-treatments to remove the native oxide the component is placed in an electrolytic cell with a suitable cathodic counter electrode before a DC current is applied at a desired voltage. The applied voltage determines the thickness of the oxide layer and due to interference effects, the colour of the anodised article.

The aim of anodising processes is to grow the oxide film in such a way as to display the best properties possible for a given application. Stringent control of the process parameters allows the design of application-specific oxide structures, which can be critical to the function of the finished article.

2.8.1 Film Formation

Anodic oxidation describes the electrode reactions along with electric field driven metal and oxygen ion diffusion, which leads to the formation of an oxide film on the anode surface. The structural and chemical properties of the layer vary widely depending on the process parameters, including anodising voltage and current, electrolyte composition (strength and
solution composition), temperature and applied current, pH, and treatment duration. Anodic oxides on titanium are especially sensitive to changes in any of these parameters and even if great care is taken to ensure the same conditions for each part, significant differences can sometimes be seen (Aladjem 1973).

The main reactions leading to oxide growth are described in Equations 2.9 to 2.12:

At the Ti/Ti oxide interface:

\[ \text{Ti} \leftrightarrow \text{Ti}^{2+} + 2e^- \]  
(2.9)

At the Ti oxide/electrolyte interface:

\[ 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow 2\text{O}^{2-} + 4\text{H}^+ \text{ (oxygen ions react with Ti to form oxide)} \]  
(2.10)

\[ 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{O}_2 \text{ (gas)} + 4\text{H}^+ + 4e^- \text{ (O}_2 \text{ gas evolves or stays on electrode surface)} \]  
(2.11)

At both interfaces:

\[ \text{Ti}^{2+} + 2\text{O}^{2-} \leftrightarrow \text{TiO}_2 + 2e^- \]  
(2.12)

The titanium and oxygen ions formed in these redox reactions are driven through the oxide by the applied electric field, resulting in the formation of the oxide film. Due to its resistance, the applied voltage drop occurs mainly across the oxide, however, as long as the electric field is high enough to drive ions through the oxide, a current will flow and the oxide will continue to grow. It has been found that the final oxide thickness is almost linearly dependent on the applied voltage (Equation 2.13):

\[ d = \alpha U \]  
(2.13)

where \( d \) is the oxide thickness, \( U \) is the applied voltage and \( \alpha \) is a constant, usually between 1.5–3 nm V\(^{-1}\). Above a certain voltage, the oxide that has grown will no longer be resistive enough to prevent current flow and it will break down. This leads to increased oxygen evolution and frequently sparking. The result of so called ‘spark-anodising’ is usually an amorphous and porous layer (Aladjem 1973).

Some authors found that film growth/structure is time dependent, with the length of time in the electrolyte and under the applied current resulting in different thicknesses and topographies (Figure 2.10) (Kennedy et al 1983, Aladjem 1973).
2.8.2 Film Composition

The thickness of the natural oxide layer ranges from 5 to 70 Å. This thickness will depend on the metal composition, surrounding medium and heat treatments during working. The composition of the layer has been studied and debated by many researchers, with workers reporting that it consists of TiO$_2$ in its rutile, anatase and lower amorphous crystal form (Pouilleau et al 1997). It is likely that they are all correct and that the form is reliant on the conditions under which the investigations were carried out. Alloying additions and metal purity will also have a bearing on the structure, with a TiAl6 alloy incorporating amorphous Al$_2$O$_3$ as well as TiO$_2$ (Pouilleau et al 1997).

2.8.3 Oxide Morphology

Depending on the anodising parameters and solution used, the morphology of the oxide formed on titanium is variable. Compact, ordered ‘honeycomb’ structures can be formed in chromic /hydrofluoric acid solutions and oxides having the appearance of a painted coating can be formed using sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solutions (Figure 2.11). This allows the ‘design’ of processes which can produce anodised surfaces to suit specific applications.
2.8.4 Surface preparation prior to anodising

The aim of the surface preparation is to provide a clean, ordered native oxide surface, which will form the basis for the oxide growth.

The first stage of the process is to remove grease and oil from the surface (Donachie 1988). Following this, the pre-treated oxide layer may still be coarse and non-uniform, requiring further treatment to achieve a uniform base for oxide growth. A general treatment may include an oxide removal treatment (pickle) for 1-5 minutes in a solution composed of 15-30 wt% nitric acid and 1-2 wt% hydrofluoric acid between 20 and 60°C. An effective pickle for both the titanium is 33.2% nitric to 1.6% hydrofluoric acid. (Donachie 1988 and Liu et al 2004). Distilled water rinses are employed between the treatment stages.

The hydrofluoric acid in the solution readily attacks the TiO$_2$ and reacts with the underlying Ti to form soluble titanium fluorides and hydrogen. It is thought that a ratio of 10:1 (Cigada et al 1992) to 15:1 nitric to hydrofluoric acid can minimise the formation of free hydrogen and therefore reduce embrittlement of the surface layer (Liu et al 2004).

2.8.5 The anodising process

Although industrial anodising processes are proprietary in nature, a general process involves the pre-treatments detailed above, followed by immersion in an agitated anodising solution.
using a suitable rig to ensure electrical connectivity. Following the anodising procedure, the article will be removed from the bath and rinsed to remove any remaining solution.

### 2.8.6 Anodising solutions

Although any liquid containing ionic species can be used to anodise titanium, electrolytic anodising falls into two broad categories; acid and alkaline. A typical formulation for acid anodising may consist of 80% phosphoric acid, 10% sulphuric acid and 10% water, although each of these acids can be used alone. Acid anodising is widely used for aesthetic applications such as jewellery and automotive parts due to the wide array of colours that can be produced (Donachie 1988 and Titanium Information Group 2004).

Alkaline anodising processes are generally used when wear resistance/anti-galling surfaces are required (such as in threaded components), with typical electrolyte baths being based on sodium hydroxide (Kennedy et al 1983), and hydrogen peroxide (Takeuchi et al 2003). Alkaline processes can also be used for decorative purposes, often utilising a bath consisting of 3-5% tri-sodium phosphate in distilled water (Titanium Information Group 2004).

### 2.8.7 The protective effect of anodising

Although many authors suggest that anodising increases the corrosion protection offered to the titanium substrate, little work has been carried out to quantify the effect that a grown oxide has on corrosion resistance. Casillas et al (1994) reported that the thickness of thin oxide layers was proportional to the pitting potential in 1M KBr solution although no tests have been completed for thicker grown oxides. Afshar and Vaezi (2003) found that the corrosion rate of titanium in phosphate buffered saline solution was reduced dramatically when the substrate was anodised in NaOH at 25-35V. These were both solution experiments under anodic polarisation.

### 2.9 SUMMARY

The main findings of this section of the literature review were:

- The breakdown potential of the native titanium oxide is between 8 and 14 volts in chloride solution depending on the experimental conditions.
- Assuming identical exposure conditions, precursor sites for pitting are impossible to predict without lab-based equipment.
- Surface preparation is key to achieving a consistent grown oxide.
- Solution strength and anodising duration will affect the morphology of the oxide layer.
- It has been observed that oxide layer thickness is proportional to breakdown potential in certain electrolytes.
CHAPTER 3 - RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This section will introduce the research methodology that has been adopted during the investigations and briefly introduce potential investigatory techniques. Finally, the chosen research techniques will be discussed in more detail and their use justified in the context of the research.

3.1 RESEARCH METHODOLOGIES

Research methodologies broadly fall into one of two categories; quantitative or qualitative. The choice of methodology depends on the intended outcome of the research undertaken (Fellows and Liu 1999).

Quantitative research is a means for testing objective theories or hypotheses by examining the relationship between variables. These variables, in turn, can be measured, typically using instruments, so that numerical data can be obtained and analysed (Cresswell 2009). Scientific research tends to be quantitative in nature.

Qualitative research is subjective in nature and was developed within the research field of the social sciences. Techniques include surveys, questionnaires, case studies and FEM modelling. Control over behavioural aspects of the test subjects is generally absent (Naoum 2007).

In order to decide which type of research method to use for the research it was necessary to break down the research project into a series of aims and objectives with their expected outputs. The table produced by this exercise can be seen in Section 1.5.

3.2 CHOSEN RESEARCH METHODOLOGIES

Aim 1 required an investigation into the electrochemical effects caused by an applied electrochemical treatment, Aim 2 required the acquisition of environmental data to relate to electrochemical phenomena and Aim 3 required investigation into the intrinsic properties of a specific material. Most of the objectives required analysis of numerical data measured by suitable equipment or relied on reviewing previous analysis of such data. As a result, the nature of the Aims made it impossible to carry out the objectives using anything other than quantitative methods and physical experimentation.
Due to the scientific nature of the research the two methodologies selected to achieve Aims 1 to 3 were literature review and physical experimentation.

### 3.2.1 Literature review

Literature reviews were carried out at various stages of the research project as demanded by the aims and objectives (Chapter 2). The review process ranged from investigations into the correct application and interpretation of experimental techniques (Aim 1) to the development of corrosion protection criteria (Aim 2) and the analysis of titanium deterioration seen on an installation site (Aim 3).

The aim of the literature review in all cases was to focus the research and ensure the relevance, novelty and validity of the work being undertaken in order to build upon and expand upon existing knowledge.

### 3.2.2 Physical experimentation

Physical experimentation represents models, or investigates physical phenomena (Holt 1998). Experiments may be performed in the field (e.g., *in situ* anode system performance), or in the laboratory under more controlled conditions. Often these two techniques are combined to allow comparison of data and give a more complete picture of the performance of the phenomenon being tested. Typically, laboratory-based tests are carried out in an environment where result-influencing factors are more tightly controlled, whereas field tests generally represent true-to-life test conditions where variables are less controllable but closer to real operating conditions (Holt 1998).

#### 3.2.2.1 Research technique considerations

‘Research technique’ pertains to the specific investigatory technique used in the research (Naoum 2007). Engineering measurements should be taken using suitable test methods and devices that take into account the key research issues and variables related to the object under investigation (EAL 1997). In order to ensure the applicability of the test, the following factors require consideration during test design:

- **Repeatability** – Closeness of the agreement between the results of successive measurements of the same measurand carried out under the same conditions of measurement.
Reproducibility – Closeness of the agreement between the results of measurements of the same measurand carried out under changed conditions of measurement.

Uncertainty (of measurement) – The parameter related to the results of a measurement that characterises the dispersion of the values, for example, a standard deviation. Uncertainty represents the summation of all the factors which affect the recorded measurement (EAL 1997).

In summary, the tests used to collect the required experimental data must be carefully carried out in order to ensure that the above conditions are satisfied. Consideration must be given to;

- Test specimen manufacture
- Suitability of test method
- Suitability of testing equipment
- Calibration of testing equipment
- Environmental conditions of test areas

Physical experimentation was used in the investigation of Aims 1-3 and in order to achieve the majority of objectives detailed in Table 3.1. The details of the specific experiments used can be found in Chapter 4 and in the appended Papers (Appendices B-E).

3.3 AVAILABLE RESEARCH TECHNIQUES

There are numerous electrochemical techniques available to assess and monitor the corrosion condition of metals which use a variety of methodologies and theoretical bases. A summary of the more commonly used methods can be found in Song and Saraswathy (2007), Andrade et al (2004), Andrade and Alonso (1996) and Sagues (1993).

All of the quantitative techniques aim to determine the polarisation resistance ($R_p$) which can then be used to calculate the corrosion rate ($i_{CORR}$).

This section presents a short summary of the theory and practice behind some of the available techniques for characterising corrosion phenomena both on site and in the laboratory.

3.3.1 Galvanostatic pulse technique

The technique involves the passing of a short galvanostatic anodic current pulse to the reinforcement from a surface mounted counter electrode for a duration of between 5 and 30

The technique provides a rapid instantaneous corrosion rate measurement and is relatively non-invasive, requiring only that a small area of concrete be broken out to provide a steel connection. The IR drop in the concrete is also accounted for in the technique, leading to more accurate corrosion rate measurements.

One issue with this technique is that the true value of the polarised potential should have stabilised in the time that the pulse is being applied. If this is not the case, the true value of $R_p$ may not be obtained.

3.3.2 Electrochemical noise

The Electrochemical Noise (EN) technique enables the collection of data on the mechanism and rate of corrosion processes occurring on steel buried in concrete. Unlike other electrochemical techniques, EN does not perturb the corrosion reactions of interest on the steel. Instead, it measures natural fluctuations in potential and current which can be attributed to the different stages of corrosion, its severity and even the type of corrosion.

Various experimental techniques have been used to collect electrochemical noise data, the simplest of which involves the embedding of two identical rebar sections and recording the current and voltage (in the nA/V range) passing between them at the natural corrosion potential (Zhao et al 2007). Three and four bar systems have also been used (Legat et al 2003) with some using a reference electrode to measure the potential fluctuations (Song and Saraswathy 2007).

Various mathematical techniques (Zhao et al 2007) have been used to analyse the data in order to obtain an $R_p$ value, although none of these is an accepted technique.

Electrochemical noise has not been used significantly to characterise steel corrosion in concrete. Unlike with other electrode systems, it has not yet been possible to match EN data to specific stages of the corrosion processes (Zhao et al 2007) and no clear relationship between EN data and corrosion rate has been found (Song and Saraswathy 2007).
3.3.3 Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS)

To make an EIS measurement, a small amplitude signal, usually an AC voltage between 5 to 50 mV, is applied to the working electrode over a range of frequencies (typically 0.001 Hz to 100,000 Hz) using a potentiostat and a frequency response analyser. The EIS software records the real (resistance) and imaginary (capacitance) components of the impedance response of the system. Depending on the shape of the EIS spectrum, an equivalent circuit model is assumed (Figure 3.1). By fitting the EIS data it is possible obtain a set of parameters which can be correlated with the steel surface corrosion condition (Lasia 1999 and Song and Saraswathy 2007).

![Figure 3.1](image)

**Figure 3.1** Equivalent electrical circuit used for the interpretation of impedance spectra, where $R_p$ is the polarisation resistance, $R_\Omega$ the electrolyte resistance and $C_{dl}$ the double-layer capacitance

One advantage of EIS is that the measurement is non-intrusive and does not substantially remove or disturb the system from its operating condition. In addition to giving a value for $R_p$ that can be related to corrosion rates using the Stearn-Geary relationship (see Equation 3.9) it can give complementary information on the corrosion process, dielectric properties of the concrete (high frequency range) or the characteristics of a passivating film (very low frequencies) (Andrade and Alonso 1996)

Use of the technique is generally limited to the laboratory because of the sensitivity of the equipment. Also, the experimental process can take several hours to complete in comparison to minutes with DC techniques (Andrade and Alonso 1996).
3.4 CHOSEN RESEARCH METHODS

The primary techniques chosen to complete the physical experimentation element of the EngD were corrosion potential measurement (CPM – Section 3.4.1), potentiodynamic polarisation (PP – Section 3.4.2) and both linear polarisation resistance (LPR – Section 3.4.3) and impedance analysis to measure steel corrosion rates. This section will discuss these techniques in more detail and elucidate the thinking behind their use.

3.4.1 Corrosion potential measurement

Measuring the steel corrosion potential through the concrete cover can give a reliable indication of corrosion activity both in the field and in laboratory conditions. A variety of reference electrodes can be used to measure steel corrosion potentials at a stationary point on a structure/sample or moved around at regularly spaced intervals to produce a ‘potential map’ of areas that are actively corroding, at risk from corrosion or not corroding.

3.4.1.1 Reference electrode theory

Reference electrodes utilise the potential difference between the reactions of two half cells (one being the reference electrode itself and the other being the steel). This is related to the corrosion activity below the reference electrode when it is placed on the concrete cover. There are a variety of different reference electrodes which are commonly used to measure buried steel potentials including Silver/Silver chloride (Ag/AgCl) and Copper/Copper Sulphate (CSE), each having a different reference potential (difference in mV from the Standard Hydrogen Electrode (SHE).

In the case of the commonly used CSE (Figure 3.2), a rod of solid copper is surrounded by a saturated CuSO₄ solution (its ion in solution). The reaction involved, \((\text{Cu} \leftrightarrow \text{Cu}^{2+} + 2\text{e}^-)\) has a known potential, meaning that it is a steady state reference which can detect changes in the second half-cell of interest, the \(\text{Fe} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{e}^-\) reaction that is occurring at the surface of the steel. The porous plug of the reference electrode is brought into contact with the concrete surface to obtain a reading (See Figure 3.2). Embedded reference electrodes have the benefit of being in a fixed position and therefore being unaffected by material heterogeneity and changing surface characteristics such as carbonation depth (Elsener et al 2003).
Figure 3.2 Half-cell potential mapping using a Cu/CuSO$_4$ reference electrode (Broomfield 1997)

At the corroding site (anode) iron can easily go into solution, giving a higher (more negative) potential reading, whereas at the non-corroding site (cathode), the passive layer prevents dissolution and is strengthened by the cathodic reaction, giving a more positive reading (Broomfield 1997)

3.4.1.2 Practical considerations

Contact with the concrete should be made through a conductive medium. On site this is usually achieved with a moistened pad. This prevents contamination of the reference electrode solution which can affect the potentials measured (Elsener 2003). It is also essential that the steel connection is of good quality.

3.4.1.3 Limitations of half-cell potential measurements

Reference electrodes measure the corrosion potential at a distance from the steel on the surface of the cover concrete. This means that the potential measured is influenced by the IR drop in the cover concrete, macrocell corrosion rate and possibly junction potentials. Figure 3.3 shows how the potentials measured at the concrete surface are significantly less than $E_{\text{CORR}}$ as measured at the steel (Elsener et al 2003).
Steel potentials are influenced by the moisture content of the cover concrete which will alter its resistance. Wet concrete can over-state the corrosion risk and in saturated concrete the potentials measured will be very negative due to a lack of oxygen at the cathode. Cracking, carbonation of the surface and aggregate type can also lead to misleading results. It is also critical that the reference electrode is frequently calibrated or the reference potential of the probe itself can change, leading to erroneous readings.

### 3.4.1.4 Interpretation of potential data

ASTM C876 (2009) divides steel corrosion potentials into three broad categories which relate measured potentials to the corrosion condition of the steel (see Table 3.1). Although a useful guide, the factors discussed in Section 3.4.1.3 can influence the data collected, meaning that caution should be exercised when interpreting the data collected.
### Table 3.1 Embedded steel corrosion potential interpretation (ASTM C876 - 09 (2009))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Half-Cell Potential Reading (mV)</th>
<th>CSE</th>
<th>SCE</th>
<th>Corrosion Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;-200</td>
<td>&gt;-125</td>
<td>&gt;-125</td>
<td>Greater than 90% probability of no corrosion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-200 to -350</td>
<td>125 to -275</td>
<td>-125 to -275</td>
<td>An increasing probability of corrosion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;-350</td>
<td>&lt;-275</td>
<td>&lt;-275</td>
<td>Greater than 90% probability of corrosion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Half-cell potential measurement techniques are also extensively used to characterise electrode behaviour during laboratory polarisation experiments and corrosion rate experiments (Sections 3.4.2, 3.4.3 and Paper 1 (Appendix B)).

### 3.4.1.5 Summary

The CPM technique was selected primarily due to the versatility of its application to the characterisation of corrosion processes. Reference electrodes can be used to assess the condition of embedded steel, as well as the effect of electrochemical treatments and climatic changes on corrosion processes. Their use is also essential in LPR and potentiodynamic polarisation experiments. The main reasons for selection are as follows:

- Other than when used as part of a more complex experimental arrangement such as LPR, the equipment used is portable and can be used in almost any conditions.
- The technique only requires a reference electrode, high input impedance voltmeter and a tapped and drilled or riveted connection to the steel in order to function.
- Data can be generated instantly and the equipment is simple to maintain and calibrate.
- Although the data generated is qualitative in nature when measuring buried steel, the CPM technique is extremely useful when used comparatively or to give indicative measurements.

### 3.4.2 Potentiodynamic Polarisation

Direct Current Potentiodynamic Polarisation (PP) can be used to elucidate information regarding the electrochemical processes occurring on an electrode surface at different electrode potentials. The same basic experimental technique can be used to obtain information
such as the pitting susceptibility and corrosion rate of a metal as well as its cathodic or anodic behavioural characteristics.

### 3.4.2.1 Theory and scan features

For an electrochemical process to take place there must be an anode, cathode and both an ionic and electronic conductive path between the two. In polarisation experiments, the electronic path is provided by a potentiostat, and the ionic path by the test solution that separates the working and counter electrodes. The potentiostat provides the ‘driving force’ for the reactions occurring at the working electrode surface and the magnitude of this driving force will determine which electrochemical processes occur at the electrodes as well as their rates.

At the anode, oxidation takes place:

\[ M \rightarrow M^{x+} + xe^- \quad (3.1) \]

Where \( M \) represents the metal, \( M^{x+} \) represents the ion of the metal, and \( xe^- \) represents the number of electrons transferred in the process. It should be noted that the oxidation of other metals, not necessarily the one under investigation can also occur (e.g., ferrous (Fe\(^{2+}\)) ions may be oxidised to ferric (Fe\(^{3+}\)) ions). At sufficiently positive potentials, oxygen production may also occur:

\[ 2OH^- \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}O_2 + H_2O + 2e^- \quad (3.2) \]

At the cathode, reduction takes place, commonly the reduction of an oxide or hydroxide:

\[ M_{x}(OH)_y + ye^- \rightarrow xM^+ + yOH \quad (3.3) \]

Or, at sufficiently negative potentials, water reduction may take place in aqueous environments:

\[ H_2O + e^- \rightarrow H + OH^- \quad (3.4) \]

An anodic polarisation scan of a metal in solution is shown in Figure 3.4. It should be noted that this is a typical scan illustrating some of the regions present on an anodic polarisation scan. Depending on the metal and solution under test, some or all of these regions may be present. The scan starts at (1) and moves in the positive direction until termination at (2).
There are several notable features of the scan denoted by the letters A to F (Enos and Scribner, 1997):

**Position A** – The ‘open circuit’ potential, where the sum of the anodic and cathodic reactions at the working electrode equals zero, i.e., the measured current is zero.

**Point B** – As the potential increases the reaction rates at the surface increase and we move into the ‘active’ region where the dominant anodic reaction is metallic dissolution.

**Point C** – Is known as the passivation potential or critical current, and as the applied potential increases above this value the current density decreases with increasing potential (Region D), until a low, passive current density is achieved in Region E.

**Point F** – At a sufficiently positive potential, the applied current increases rapidly. Depending on the metal and the solution this can be as a result of several factors including pitting corrosion, transpassive dissolution or oxygen evolution for metals with a very protective oxide layer.

The potentiostat measures the amount of current (x axis) which it must apply to achieve the desired level of polarisation (y axis).

![Typical anodic Log current/potential scan](image)

**Figure 3.4** Typical anodic Log current/potential scan (Enos and Scribner 1997).
The current represents the rate of the reaction(s) occurring on the working electrode surface and is usually expressed as Log current density (current/surface area). The reaction rate will be influenced by temperature, solution chemistry and the condition of the surface being examined.

3.4.2.2 Typical experimental technique

A typical experimental arrangement for potentiodynamic polarisation can be seen in Figure 3.5. The potentiostat increases the working electrode potential with respect to a reference electrode by passing current from a counter electrode. The current required to obtain the desired potential is recorded along with the potential of the working electrode surface. The rate at which the working electrode potential is altered is commonly termed the ‘scan rate’. The potential is usually ramped from the corrosion potential, which is the steady state corrosion potential of the metal in the experimental solution.

![Figure 3.5 A typical test arrangement for a potentiodynamic polarisation experiment.](image)

3.4.2.3 Experimental considerations

The scan rate for the experiment must be chosen carefully as an incorrect rate can lead to misinterpretation of the polarisation curve features. If the rate is too rapid, the system does not have the chance to stabilise and some of the measured current represents charging of the
surface capacitance (Zhang et al 2009). The result is that features of the scan, such as pitting potential or passivation potential are moved to more positive values. Sazou et al (2012) found that the scan rate affected the breakdown potential of titanium in 0.7M KBr significantly, with 2.3V being reported at 10 mV/s and 10.4V at 200 mV/s. The ASTM scan rate is 0.1667 mV/s (ASTM G59 – 97(2009)), although it is common for the most suitable scan rate for a given system to be determined experimentally.

It should be noted that the current measured during these experiments is the total current, which may represent current resulting from other reactions on the electrode surface and not just the reaction of interest to the investigation (Enos and Scribner 1997, Silverman 1998). This means that a significant and changing proportion of the measured current may be accounted for by secondary reactions.

### 3.4.2.4 Summary

The PP technique was selected as it can be used to characterise the corrosion processes occurring on the surface of any metallic electrode in both liquid and concrete electrolytes.

Relevant to the current work, it allows the investigator to assess the effectiveness of a coating in protecting against corrosion, the effectiveness of an anode material in passing current and the corrosion events activated by increasing potentials.

The main reasons for selection are:

- The experimental set-up is simple, rapid and repeatable and the equipment is readily available
- The flexibility afforded by the same basic technique (scan rate, range of potentials, materials)

### 3.4.3 Linear polarisation resistance techniques

Linear polarisation resistance measurement (LPR) techniques use steel polarisation and applied current density measurements to determine $R_p$ (the polarisation resistance) and calculate the instantaneous steel corrosion rate. Depending on the potential shift affected by the technique, one of two basic calculations is used.

Small potential perturbations can be applied to large reinforced structures in order to calculate the steel corrosion rate (Sul et al 2001). The technique can be performed potentiostatically by
perturbing the steel potential $\Delta E$ by a fixed amount and monitoring the current decay $\Delta i$ over a fixed time or galvanostatically by applying a small fixed current to the reinforcing steel and monitoring the potential change, again, after a fixed time period. If $\Delta E$ remains between 10-30 mV the polarisation resistance of the steel, $R_p$, can be calculated from Equation 3.5.

$$R_p = \frac{\Delta E}{\Delta i} \quad (3.5)$$

From this, the corrosion rate can be calculated:

$$i_{corr} = \frac{B}{R_p} \quad (3.6)$$

Where $B$ is the Stern-Geary constant which can be derived empirically from weight loss measurements or calculated from $\beta_c$ and $\beta_c$, the anodic and cathodic Tafel slopes (the linear regions of the polarisation curve for the anodic and cathodic reactions) (Eqn. 3.7)

$$B = \frac{\beta_a \beta_c}{2.303(\beta_a + \beta_c)} \quad (3.7)$$

$B$ is taken to be ~26 mV for corroding steel and ~50 mV for passive steel. In order to attain an accurate value for $i_{CORR}$, the surface area of the polarised steel (A) must be known:

$$i_{corr} = \frac{i_{corr}}{A} \quad (3.8)$$

This method and its derivatives have been used extensively on large reinforced structures (Andrade and Alonso 1996 and Andrade et al 2004)

The experimental method usually involves using a small counter electrode on the surface of the cover concrete to shift the steel potential. If the depolarisation during testing is >30 mV then Equation 3.9 can be used to more accurately calculate the instantaneous corrosion rate:

$$i_{corr} = \frac{i_{appl}}{[\exp\left(\frac{2.3\Delta E}{\beta_c}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{2.3\Delta E}{\beta_a}\right)]} \quad (3.9)$$

where $i_{appl}$ is the applied current density, $i_{corr}$ is the corrosion rate, $\Delta E$ is the steel potential shift (corrected for IR drop) and $\beta_a$ and $\beta_c$ are the anodic and cathodic Tafel slopes respectively (Glass et al 2008). This technique was used to determine corrosion rates in Paper 1 (Appendix B) by disconnecting the steel from the anode and recording the depolarised potential after a fixed time.
3.4.4 Impedance analysis

Impedance analysis involves changing experimentally acquired time-domain coulostatic transients into the frequency domain. Practically, this is achieved by recording the effect of a measured, short current pulse on the steel corrosion potential.

3.4.4.1 Impedance theory

According to Ohm’s law for direct current (DC):

\[ R = \frac{\Delta E}{\Delta i} \]  

(3.10)

Where R is resistance, \( \Delta E \) is voltage and \( \Delta i \) is current. However for alternating (AC) current, the resistance is frequency dependent, having both a magnitude and phase angle and is referred to as impedance. Impedance (Z) is often represented as frequency dependent \( x \) and \( y \) components or real (Re) and imaginary (Im) in a complex number form. This is represented graphically in Figures 3.6 and 3.7.

**Figure 3.6** Impedance represented as a magnitude (\( \Delta i \) and \( \Delta E \)) and phase angle (\( \theta \))

**Figure 3.7** Mathematical representation of impedance
Using the Laplace transform it is possible to obtain frequency data at any given frequency (ω);

At ω, \( \Delta E = c + jd \)

and

At ω, \( \Delta i = e + jf \)

Therefore:

\[
Z = \frac{c + jd}{e +jf}
\]

If the current pulse period is smaller than the period of cycle (reciprocal of frequency) then the Laplace transform of the current pulse is its charge. Therefore, the impedance is the Laplace transform of the potential transient (\( \Delta E(t) \)), divided by the charge passed during the current pulse (\( q \)), or:

\[
Z = c + jd = \frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{\infty} \Delta E(t) \cos(\omega t) dt - j \frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{\infty} \Delta E(t) \sin(\omega t) dt
\]

For a particular frequency, the area under the transient curve is calculated and divided by the charge passed during the current pulse to provide the Real (cos) and Imaginary (sin) components which can then be plotted for different frequencies on an impedance (Nyquist) chart (Figure 3.8).

The polarisation resistance is determined from where the impedance plot meets the low frequency intercept on the real (Re) axis. Eqn. 3.6 can then be used to determine the corrosion rate. A ‘fuller’, semi-circular plot is indicative of passive steel (Figure 3.8. (a)) whereas a more ‘flattened’ plot indicates corroding steel (Figure 3.8. (b)) (Glass et al 1998)
The impedance analysis technique was used to calculate instantaneous corrosion rates in the work described in Section 4.2.2 and in Paper 1 (Appendix A).

### 3.4.4.2 Practical considerations

A pulse of DC current is applied to the steel for ~1 second and the effect on the steel potential is measured by a surface-mounted reference electrode. A data logger measuring several times per second is typically used to collect both sets of data. The total charge passed during the pulse and the resulting potential transient are used to work out the impedance at different frequencies.

### 3.4.4.3 \( i_{\text{CORR}} \) measurement accuracy using polarisation resistance methods

To obtain an accurate \( i_{\text{CORR}} \) using the LPR method it is important to know an accurate surface area of the steel that is polarised by the counter electrode. The area polarised has, in the past been assumed to be that directly under the counter electrode, although recent work has proved that current flowing from the counter electrode can affect a much wider area, thus adding considerable inaccuracy into the value of the corrosion current density (Andrade et al 2004). Systems that incorporate a second ‘guard ring’ counter electrode, to provide a ‘confinement’ current which attempts to limit the surface area polarised (and thus more accurately estimate the polarised surface area) have been developed with the intention of reducing this inaccuracy (Wojtas 2004, Law et al 2000), but it remains a considerable variable during these
measurements as steel arrangement and geometry vary widely and will have an influence. In addition, the method assumes that the cathodic Tafel slope remains constant during depolarisation; with large de-polarisations, this is questionable.

As no current flows during the recording of the transient, the results are not affected by mass-transfer caused by the perturbation. The impedance calculation may over-estimate the corrosion rate as the potential transient is only measured for several minutes following the pulse whereas its effect may last much longer (Glass et al 1998)

3.4.4.4 Summary

The impedance and LPR methods have been selected as experimental methods as $R_p$ values, experimentally determined, can be used to calculate the instantaneous corrosion rate of steel in concrete.

The application of these techniques allows the assessment of the effectiveness of cathodic protection systems in halting corrosion and the effect of treatment parameters.

The main reasons for selection over other methods are:

- The simplicity of test equipment and the test methods themselves
- The LPR technique would only be used on laboratory samples, therefore the limitations of known polarised surface area associated with LPR techniques used on structures were not applicable, meaning greater accuracy
- The impedance analysis technique, although not widely used, has been shown to give similar $i_{CORR}$ values to LPR techniques when applied on the same samples (Glass et al 1998 and Glass 1995)

3.5 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

Aims 1 and 2 demanded the characterisation of the corrosion activity affecting steel/anodes embedded in concrete and this, in turn, demanded the production of concrete samples in which to contain the steel and anode(s). These samples had to simulate a variety of conditions and allow measurement of a variety of experimental parameters. In this section the requirements for concrete sample design and production will be discussed as well as the use of common data acquisition methods.
3.5.1 Concrete sample design

Figure 3.9 shows the key factors to consider when designing and manufacturing concrete samples for experimental studies. Each factor is then discussed, with examples, below. Considerations that were specific to individual experiments are not discussed here, but can instead be found in Chapter 4.

![Concrete sample design considerations](image)

**Mix design fit for purpose** – For example, if the steel inside the sample is required to corrode prior testing, a weak and porous mix with mixed in chloride is well suited. If a sample is required to represent bridge conditions then this would be completely unsuitable.

**Monitoring requirements considered** – Steel and anode cabling may need to be accessible for measurement/monitoring. The positioning of reference electrodes close to the element of interest is extremely important.

**Representative of relevant conditions** – For example the chloride content of the concrete, exposure to specific climatic conditions (for example temperature and relative humidity) the anode to steel ratio being representative of site, the correct concrete mix design (see above).
Consistent and repeatable manufacture – Concrete by its nature is inhomogeneous and even samples made with the same mix design in different batches can lead to a large variety in measured potentials and corrosion rates due to differences in compaction and aggregate positioning. It is important then, that consideration be given to the mix components, moulds and schedule for manufacture including the curing regime.

Geometrically suitable – The sample must be able to accommodate the required amount of steel to achieve the correct anode to steel ratios. If measurement of the anode influence is required then the steel should be arranged and cover concrete designed to facilitate this.

3.5.2 Data collection

The aims and objectives frequently demanded that sample data be collected at regular intervals from experimental arrangements located at manned and un-manned sites. Depending on the measurement requirements, complexity and convenience either manual or automated readings were taken with either a digital multimeter or automated data-logger arrangement. These two methods are discussed below in terms of their practical application, benefits and limitations.

3.5.2.1 Digital multimeters

Multimeters can be used to accurately measure a wide range of electrical phenomena including current, voltage, resistance and steel reference potentials. Depending on the make and model, different equipment resolutions and accuracies are available. A mid-range handheld multimeter will generally have an accuracy of 0.5% (Fluke Corporation 2012). During operation it is critical that the input impedance of the circuit is greater than the circuit of interest (voltage) and lower than the circuit of interest when measuring current.

Human errors such as poor physical connections and the use of incorrect settings and terminals can lead to incorrect/inaccurate measurements being taken. Maintenance and calibration of meters is important to attain accurate and consistent results.

3.5.2.2 Data logging equipment

Data loggers use electronic means to periodically record environmental/equipment output data using a variety of different sensors. Following a collection period, data can be downloaded via a physical connection or remotely using a built-in modem. Data loggers allow measurements
to be made from a hard-wired electrical arrangement removing the scope for human error during manual measurement.

Figure 3.10 presents a simple arrangement used to log the current passed by a galvanic anode to the steel cathode. To allow measurement without breaking the circuit a known resistor is placed in series and the voltage drop across it is recorded by the data logger. This recorded value is then used to obtain the current using Ohm’s law (Equation 3.15). This arrangement is equally valid for manual readings.

\[ I = \frac{E}{R} \]  

\textbf{Figure 3.10} The arrangement required to record current data from a steel/embedded anode sample

Data loggers can be configured to measure a wide range of parameters relevant to this investigation including anode current, steel potential and ambient temperature.

\textbf{3.5.2.3 Data logger accuracy}

Accuracy of measurement is important to any scientific experiment, such that published data reflect the true result of experimental parameters. A voltage measurement accuracy chart
produced by the manufacturer of equipment used for the majority of the work is shown in Figure 3.11.

Logged data was only ever used in a comparative way (to compare current passing in blocks with different chloride levels exposed to the same environment for example) and to identify trends, never to record standalone data. Figure 3.11 shows that the percentage error is lower in measurements of higher potential. Attempts were made wherever practical to keep the range of measured potentials above 20 mV (and therefore a 5% error) by using suitable resistors (Figure 3.10).

![Figure 3.11 CR1000 data logger voltage measurement accuracy (0º to 40ºC) (Campbell Scientific Inc. 2011)](image)

Although accurate and versatile, data loggers can be limited by their battery life (when a permanent power source cannot be provided), voltage measurement range and software issues; however the main source of poor data is generally the inexperience of the operator.

### 3.6 SUMMARY

This section introduced the research methodology that has been adopted during the investigations and discussed the requirements of the applied research techniques before briefly introducing potential techniques. The chosen research techniques were then discussed
in more detail and their use justified in the context of the research. Finally the experimental
design considerations were discussed.
CHAPTER 4 - RESEARCH UNDERTAKEN AND FINDINGS

This section of the thesis details the tasks carried out to achieve the aims and objectives discussed in Chapter 1 and the findings of these investigations. The Chapter is split into three parts to reflect the three aims presented in Table 1.1 and should be read alongside the appended Papers 1 to 4 (Appendices B-E).

4.1 CHARACTERISATION OF THE HYBRID ANODE SYSTEM – PART 1

This section will detail the work completed to achieve Aim 1 – “Characterise the electrochemical processes occurring in hybrid anode laboratory samples using common industry techniques” through Objectives 1 and 2 detailed in Sections 1.4.1 and 1.5. One half of this work is substantially presented in the published appended Paper 1 (Appendix B) and as a result, this section will refer to this document whilst adding a narrative to explain the thought process behind the project and details regarding the methods used in the analysis. The second half of this work has not been published elsewhere and will be examined in more detail.

4.1.1 Preliminary studies

Prior to the commencement of experimental work, a literature review was undertaken which focused on concrete and the corrosion of steel in concrete. This led to greater understanding of:

1. Concrete chemistry and composition and its influence on steel passivity.
2. Concrete mix design and corrosion acceleration techniques.
3. The situations and chemistry involved in steel de-passivation and corrosion (Section 2.3.1).
4. The nature of the corrosion occurring on the steel surface and the factors influencing its progress and spread (Section 2.3)
5. The theory behind and practical aspects of the experimental techniques used to characterise and quantify steel corrosion (Sections 3.3 and 3.4)

Following the literature review, consideration was given to the training that would be most beneficial to both the RE and sponsor company. The array shown in Figure 4.1 gives the four
learning outcomes that were deemed the most important and how these informed the experiments undertaken and the samples selected/made.

**Experimental techniques**

- **Data logging equipment configuration**
  - Learn how to physically wire the data-logger to obtain current and potential data
  - Programme and operate the attendant software

- **Anode current measurement**
  - Choice of resistors
  - Wiring into the circuit
  - Calculating current density & charge passed

- **Steel/anode potential measurement**
  - Practical & effective use of reference electrodes
  - Relationship between anode and steel potential.
  - Steel potential response to anode system

- **Concrete/steel sample preparation**
  - Water to cement ratio
  - Chloride addition
  - Embedding the steel
  - Effect of concrete composition and chloride content on anode system and steel

**Learning outcomes**

- **Measurement of corrosion protection**
  - Corrosion rate measurement
  - De-polarisation technique
  - Impedance analysis technique

- **Anode influence on steel potential**
  - Magnitude and extent of steel polarisation
  - Characterisation of polarisation changes during the two phase treatment

**Figure 4.1** Learning outcome requirements and the resultant experimental techniques required of the hybrid anode samples used for the study

**4.1.2 Experimental considerations**

It was clear from the outset that the requirements of the experimental techniques were different and distinct. In order to cover each of the learning outcomes shown in Figure 4.1 in a timely fashion and in some detail it was deemed necessary to cast some new concrete samples and to acquire existing samples for testing. Sample set 1 was a single sample and is described in Appendix B. Mix details can be found on page 152. Sample set 2 was a collection of samples containing a variety of chloride contents described in Appendices C and D. The samples were produced according to the requirements discussed in Section 3.5 and tailored to achieve the desired objectives.
4.2 TASK 1 – MEASUREMENT OF CORROSION PROTECTION – SAMPLE SET 1

4.2.1 Linear polarisation resistance

Linear polarisation resistance and impedance analysis techniques were used to characterise the corrosion condition of the steel (Aim 1).

4.2.1.1 Research Method

Linear polarisation resistance (LPR) measurement techniques use steel polarisation and applied current density measurements to determine $R_p$ and calculate the instantaneous steel corrosion rate. These techniques are discussed in Sections 3.4.3 and have been applied in the study described in Paper 1 (Appendix B), where a detailed description of the method can be found.

A technique widely applied when assessing the protection offered by cathodic protection systems involves the measurement of the steel potential when the protection current is removed. Embedded reference electrodes are generally installed for this purpose and the current European Standard states a range of de-polarisation criteria which signify passive corrosion rates (BS EN 12696, 2012).

The process, whether it is applied on site or in the laboratory follows the same basic steps:

1. Following interruptions during experimental set-up, make sure a stable current reading is obtained.
2. Measure the steel potential using a fixed reference electrode.
3. Disconnect the anode from the steel, measuring the instant off potential (within the first 0.5 seconds).
4. Allow the potential to decay for up to 24 hours.
5. With accurate knowledge of the steel surface area, current density and potential decay (minus IR drop), use Equation 3.9 to calculate the corrosion rate.

In the current work, a data logger was used to measure both the anode and steel potentials. The same data logger was also used to activate a reed switch which triggered a high rate logging multimeter, enabling an ‘off’ potential measurement between 0.02 and 0.07 s after
interrupting the galvanic current. The steel was then left to de-polarise for approximately 10 hours; a section of the resulting depolarisation can be seen in Figure 4.2.

### 4.2.1.2 Research Findings

Figure 4.3 shows an example of the theoretical corrosion rate as a function of the potential shift induced by an applied current density of 4.1 mA/m² that was calculated for the present sample (Paper 1). Corrosion is deemed negligible when a corrosion rate of less than 2 mA/m² is measured (BS EN 12696, 2012). From Figure 4.3, it can be seen that a depolarisation of greater than 40 mV would signify passive steel. IR-drop corrected steel de-polarisations of 346 and 265 mV were recorded when the experiment was carried out at 2 and 4.5 years, signifying corrosion rates of 0.0054 and 0.0024 mA/m² respectively (Figure 4.3).

![Graph showing potential vs. time for steel and anode with IR Drop = 62 mV](image)

**Figure 4.2** Anode and steel depolarisation following disconnection (carried out after 2 years)

After 4.5 years, the ability of the anode to polarise the rear of the steel plates was assessed (Figure 9, Paper 1 (Appendix B)). The exercise showed that the anode was adequately throwing current to and protecting the steel, in spite of the challenging geometry that it presented.
Figure 4.3 Theoretical corrosion rate calculated as a function of potential at an applied current density of 4.1 mA/m$^2$, (Holmes et al 2011)

The de-polarisation measured will depend heavily on the distance of the anode from the reference electrode with increasing de-polarisations being expected the closer to the anode due to the increasing influence it has on the steel. The steel potential was therefore measured at a location half-way in between the steel and the anode to ensure a fair representation of the corrosion conditions, a standard practice on site installations.

4.2.2 Impedance analysis

The theory pertaining to the impedance analysis technique was discussed in Section 3.4.4.1 and was informed by the experiments of Glass et al (1998). It has since been used by Christodoulou et al (2010 and 2012) in both laboratory and site conditions to measure the corrosion condition of embedded steel.

4.2.2.1 Research Method

The technique involved the application of a pulse of direct current to the steel for ~1 second using the embedded anode, and logging the effect on the steel’s potential using a surface-
mounted reference electrode. A data logger measuring several times per second was used to collect the current pulse data. The total charge passed during the pulse and the resulting potential transient (Figure 4.4) were then used to calculate the impedance at different frequencies.

![Graph showing potential over time](image)

**Figure 4.4** Potential/time transient following the 1 second current pulse

The test required that the steel be at its de-polarised, natural corrosion potential, so the experiment was undertaken following the depolarisation work discussed in Section 4.2.1.2. The impedance plot obtained from the sample had a ‘full’ shape (Figure 4.5) which suggested, along with the calculated corrosion rates from the experiment and depolarisation work, that the steel in the sample was not corroding (Glass *et al* 1998, Christodoulou *et al* 2010).
4.2.2.2 Research Findings

The steel response to the current pulse of 0.887 mA.s during the impedance experiment resulted in a $R_p$ of 1008.72 Ohm.m² and a corrosion rate of 0.025 mA/m² after 2.5 years using Equation 3.6.

The difference in the calculated corrosion rates obtained from the two different techniques could be due to two main reasons; firstly, the impedance calculation may over-estimate the corrosion rate as the measured potential transient was only 500 seconds long, and secondly, it is assumed that the cathodic Tafel slope remains constant at 120 mV during depolarisation. With such a large de-polarisation this is questionable.

4.3 TASK 2 – ANODE INFLUENCE ON STEEL POTENTIAL – SAMPLE SET 2

The installed anode influence can be measured using half-cell potential mapping of the steel that it protects. The potential values recorded effectively measure the degree to which the steel is being polarised by the anode and are used in the European Standard BS EN 12696 (2012) to establish the protection that installed cathodic protection systems provide. This section of work has not been published elsewhere, and so will be discussed in some detail.

4.3.1 Research Method

The samples (containing either 0, 1, 2.5 or 5% chloride by weight of cement) used in the study are described in Papers 2 and 3 (Appendices C and D), as they were later used for the work detailed in Section 4.7. Markings were made along the centre of the sample at 50 mm
intervals moving away from the anode to allow consistent measurement of the steel potential using a high impedance voltmeter and portable reference electrode (Figure 4.6). A steel connection was made using a crocodile clip onto sheathed copper wire which had been riveted to the rebar. Table 4.1 explains the sample notations used.

Table 4.1 Sample name and mixed-in chloride content (‘wt%’ = percentage by weight of cement)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>0wt%A</th>
<th>0wt%B</th>
<th>1wt%A</th>
<th>1wt%B</th>
<th>2.5wt%A</th>
<th>2.5wt%B</th>
<th>5wt%A</th>
<th>5wt%B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>content (wt%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.6 Concrete block with varied chloride contents (all measurements in mm)

Figure 4.7 illustrates the steel potential measured on the first day of the 12V driven impressed current phase of the hybrid treatment. The same exercise was repeated on days 3 and 7 during the impressed current phase and for several days following the switch into the galvanic mode of operation.

4.3.2 Research Findings

This section presents the findings of Task 2, for ease of interpretation it is split into two sections; the impressed current and galvanic phases of the treatment.
4.3.2.1 Impressed current phase

Measuring the polarisation gives an indicative measure of the influence of the installed anode on the steel corrosion reaction (see Section 3.4.1). As can be seen from Figure 4.7 the chloride level in the blocks had an effect on the degree of polarisation seen, with the degree of negative shift generally correlating with the amount of chloride in the concrete, especially close to the anode. The potential decay as the reference electrode was moved away from the anode was similar for all of the blocks, rising quite rapidly before reaching a steady state between 250 and 350 mm from the anode. This indicates that the chloride content of the blocks has limited effect on the distance the anode can throw the bulk of its current during the impressed current phase of the treatment.

![Figure 4.7 Steel potential measurements moving down the block from the anode (0 mm) after 30 minutes on the first day of the impressed current phase](image)

Figure 4.7 shows the impressed current phase of the sample containing 1 wt% chloride. It can be seen that the closer that the end of the treatment becomes the more positive the potential of the anode. Samples containing all four chloride levels displayed the same behaviour. This is
likely to have been caused by anode polarisation and the build-up of corrosion products at the anode surface resulting in an increase in resistance to current flow.

![Steel potential changes over the course of the 7 day DC impressed current treatment (1 wt% A)](image)

**Figure 4.8** Steel potential changes over the course of the 7 day DC impressed current treatment (1 wt% A)

### 4.3.2.2 Galvanic phase

After 7 days in impressed current mode, the DC power supply was removed and the anode connected directly to the steel to pass current galvanically. As with the impressed current phase, the influence of the anode decays rapidly before reaching a steady state between 150 and 250 mm from the anode (Figure 4.9).

Following the removal of the 12V DC current the magnitude of the polarisation effect on the steel was reduced dramatically (from ~6.5 V 50 mm from the anode to -1 V). Figures 4.9 to 4.12 show the change to the mixed potentials of the various samples at 1, 3, 8, 10 and 17 days following the switch to galvanic mode as well as 1 and 28 days before the impressed current phase. In all cases over the period measured there was a positive potential shift associated with the continuing de-polarisation of the steel towards a stable galvanic potential.
Figure 4.9 Galvanic steel polarisation changes over a 17 day period in the 0 wt%A chloride sample

Figure 4.10 Galvanic steel polarisation changes over a 17 day period in the 1 wt%A chloride sample
Figure 4.11 Galvanic steel polarisation changes over a 17 day period in the 2.5 wt% A chloride sample

Figure 4.12 Galvanic steel polarisation changes over a 17 day period in the 5 wt% A chloride sample
The data represented by Day -1 and Day -28 in Figures 4.9 to 4.12 is taken from potential maps performed 1 and 28 days before the start of the impressed current phase of the treatment. The mapping was completed before the anode had been installed and used the same reference electrode that was used for all subsequent potential measurements.

As expected, the greater positive shift occurred closest to the anode with it’s effect diminishing as the far end of the sample was approached. The average potential shift at 500 mm from the anode was 67 mV between days 1 and 8 and 54 mV between days 8 and 17, compared with 327 and 66 mV recorded 50 mm from the anode.

The degree of polarisation effected by the anode was dependent on the chloride content of the concrete, with both the 2.5 and 5 wt% sharing similar levels of polarisation. Increasing the amount of chloride appears to have a diminishing effect on the amount of polarisation achieved during the galvanic phase. This effect was less pronounced in the impressed current phase of the treatment.

**4.3.3 Discussion**

The potentials presented from the galvanic phase were technically “mixed steel potentials” as the steel and anode are connected together and both influence the recorded potential. For a true assessment of the steel condition, the sample would have to be fully de-polarised (anode disconnected from the steel) before the readings were taken. The mixed potential close to the anode is dominated by the anode pulling the steel to more negative potentials, however, moving away from the anode its influence is continually reduced and the potential gets closer to a ‘true’ steel potential.

Figures 4.9 to 4.12 show that the positive shift in potential following the removal of the impressed current is generally far greater between 1 and 3 days. This can be attributed to the reaction kinetics at both the zinc and steel surface. Following the removal of such a large negative polarisation, the reaction rates at both electrodes attempt to return to a stable potential based on the surrounding concrete conditions. This shift is heavily influenced by the moisture content of the concrete, but in atmospherically exposed conditions, this will occur rapidly once the current is removed before slowing down as the stable potential is approached.
The disparity in the potentials on days -1 and -28 can be put down to changes in temperature and moisture as the blocks had been moved indoors 18 hours before the day -28 readings, but had been indoors for 17 days before the day -1 readings. The disparity appears to be reduced with increasing chloride content (which may help retain moisture and therefore a steady state) and more negative potentials, which indicate established corrosion (ASTM C876 – 09 (2009)).

It is clear that in the samples containing chloride, the mixed potential following the impressed current is significantly more positive than the steel potential prior to the treatment, even with the influence of the anode which has the effect of depressing the mixed potential. This effect was seen in the work completed for Paper 1 (Appendix B), and had previously been noted by Glass et al (2008), Christodoulou et al (2010), and is discussed in Paper 4 (Appendix E). It is proposed by Glass et al (2001) that this is a result of modification of the steel surface during the impressed current phase brought about by re-alkalisation and the reduction of chloride ion concentration which leads to steel passivity. The theory behind this effect is discussed in Section 2.3.

Table 4.2 suggests that negative polarisation greater than ~300 mV during the impressed current phase was sufficient to cause a significant positive shift in the mixed galvanic potential 500 mm from the anode in the galvanic phase. It would be expected that the true steel potentials would be even more positive following disconnection from the anode.

If the steel potential becomes more positive as a result of the impressed current, then the model proposed in BS EN 12696 (2012) (Figure 2.3) suggests that more current should be applied to achieve adequate polarisation. However, if this behaviour is examined from the viewpoint of the pit re-alkalisation/pH maintenance hypothesis, the positive potential shift would suggest a reduced corrosion risk and therefore a reduction in the need for steel polarisation.

Table 4.2 The difference between the steel potentials measured on day -1 and day 1 of the impressed current phase, 500 mm from the anode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>0 wt% A</th>
<th>0 wt% B</th>
<th>1 wt% A</th>
<th>1 wt% B</th>
<th>2.5 wt% A</th>
<th>2.5 wt% B</th>
<th>5 wt% A</th>
<th>5 wt% B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polarisation (mV)</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>958</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>860</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4 SUMMARY

This section presented the details of the tasks undertaken to meet Objectives 1 and 2. The conclusions drawn from this work is discussed in Chapter 5.

4.5 THE RESPONSIVE BEHAVIOUR OF HYBRID/GALVANIC ANODES – PART 2

This section of the thesis will detail the work completed to achieve Aim 2 – “Investigate the benefits of the responsive current behaviour of cathodic protection systems and discuss with regards to existing steel protection hypotheses and criteria”. This work is substantially reported in the appended Papers 2, 3 and 4 (Appendices C – E) as a result, this section will refer heavily to these documents whilst adding a narrative to explain the thought process behind the project and methods used.

This section presents experiments carried out to achieve the objectives set out in Sections 1.5. This broadly involved the investigation of responsive behaviour phenomena from a variety of concrete samples and site installations and a review of the literature surrounding concrete protection criteria (Section 2.2.1).

4.5.1 Preliminary studies

Prior to the commencement of experimental work, a literature review was undertaken which focused on the environmental factors affecting the corrosion of steel in concrete and the in-depth theories which inform and underpin the protection criteria applied to steel in concrete. This led to greater understanding of:

1. The key variables leading to the responsive behaviour of galvanic/hybrid anodes in concrete.
2. The factors affecting sacrificial anode system lifetime prediction.
3. The application of protection criteria and the standards governing them (Section 2.2.1).

4.5.2 Experimental considerations

In order to achieve the objectives shown in Table 1.1, careful consideration was demanded of the experimental design and sample preparation. It was clear from the outset that the requirements of the work required multiple samples of different design. Three sample sets
were used during this part of the EngD research and the design and manufacture of each was influenced by each of the factors presented in Section 3.5;

**SAMPLE SET 3** – Two anode zones consisting of 25 anodes each, installed on a pier of the Whiteadder road bridge, Northumberland. The effect of temperature and moisture on protective current was investigated.

**SAMPLE SET 4** – Nine concrete slab samples containing 0, 1, 2.5 or 5% mixed in chloride by weight of cement. These samples investigated the effect of concrete chloride content, temperature and moisture on protective current (Papers 2 and 3, Appendices C and D).

**SAMPLE SET 5** – A single sample comprising of steel and zinc anodes connected to an embedded steel bar in such a way that they passed a galvanic current to the bar. This sample investigated the effect of temperature and moisture on the protective current passed by both anodes (Paper 4, Appendix E).

Consideration was given to the idea of allocating each environmental factor an individual subsection, where its influence on current output could be discussed, however each data set investigated the interaction of at least 2 of the 3 factors. It was felt that this would lead to unnecessary overlapping of discussion and repetition. As a result the section is written chronologically, with the discourse and investigation summaries providing an insight into chosen research path.

Aim 2 was realised by the recording of current data from Sample Set 3, 4 and 5 as detailed in Papers 2, 3 and 4 (Appendices C – E) respectively.

### 4.6 Task 3 – Site Data Collection – Sample Set 3

This piece of work aimed to record galvanic current responsive behaviour data from a cathodic protection system installed on a physical structure and relate this data to the specific environmental conditions experienced by it. A secondary aim was to use the collected data and knowledge of the installation to estimate the anode system lifetime.

#### 4.6.1 Research method

Data logging equipment (Campbell Scientific CR1000) was used to record the surface temperature and galvanic current passed by two zones of anodes installed on a bridge over the river Whiteadder in Northumbria, UK. The bridge is located some 4 miles from the North
Sea. This data was then analysed with regard to daily and seasonal temperature fluctuations and also the influence of the river below the bridge. The current output from two anode zones containing 25 anodes (Figure 4.13) was recorded over a 773 day period from late March 2007.

![Plan of the west-facing pier section housing the upper (red) and lower (blue) anode zones](image)

**Figure 4.13** Plan of the west-facing pier section housing the upper (red) and lower (blue) anode zones

Independent monitoring of the two anode zones allowed the influence of the river on the galvanic current to be assessed as well as changes due to daily and seasonal temperature fluctuations. No concrete chloride content information was available for the structure and so this factor was not discussed, however it is likely that the lower bridge section had a higher chloride content than the upper section due to its proximity to the estuarine waters (Figure 4.13).

### 4.6.2 Research Findings

Figure 4.14 shows the upper and lower anode zone current response to fluctuating temperature over a 773 day period. Data was taken every day at noon and so that the response to yearly changes in temperature could be observed as well as weekly changes attributed to cloud cover or sunlight. No precipitation data was available for the locality, with the nearest source of data being some 50 miles away and therefore irrelevant for the study. As a result no attempt to relate any of the behaviour seen to rainfall was made.
**Figure 4.14** Anode current and ambient temperature data recorded on the Whiteadder bridge hybrid anode installation

The data demonstrated the influence of the river water on the current passed by the anode zones. The lower anodes of the lower zone were close to the water, therefore the resistance of the concrete was reduced and the current was much higher.

The daily cycle of the anode response to temperature can be seen in Figure 4.15. Data was recorded every 10 minutes, and the influence of the moisture level on both the magnitude and the degree of the response is evident, with the lower anodes, on average, passing more than 6 times the current of the upper.

It was noted that the geometric features of the bridge had an influence on the recorded current, with the double peaks (especially visible in the lower zone data) being attributed to the movement of the sun during the afternoon and the movement of shadowed areas around the structure.
On September 9th 2008, the river flooded. This can be seen by the large spike in protective galvanic current and the gradual drop as the flood subsided Figures 4.14 and 4.16. The water rose high enough to significantly wet the concrete of the upper zone, and partially submerge the lower anodes. It can be seen from Figure 4.16 that the lower zone recovered in a significantly shorter period than the upper zone, probably due to the fact that the concrete held significant moisture prior to the flood. It would appear from the current prior to the flood that the upper zone concrete was drier than the lower at the time of the flood, so both the effect on its galvanic current output and subsequent recovery after the flood subsided were more significant.
With knowledge of the current passed per unit time during the impressed and galvanic current phases, the charge passed by the anode zones can be estimated along with the remaining anode life.

To do this accurately, it is necessary to take into account anode efficiency and utilisation factors. The efficiency of a galvanic anode is the ratio of the anode weight sacrificed for CP purposes divided by the total theoretical ampere-hours or capacity of the material used. Galvanic anode materials are subject to self-corrosion which uses some of their charge capacity. Anode efficiency will depend on the microstructure and shape of the anode; for example thin anode sections could lead to the detachment of pieces of anode material and a reduction in charge capacity. The efficiency for a zinc anode is typically stated at between 85-95% depending on the environment it is in and the anode shape (Bushman 2001, Gurrappa 2005 and Revie 2011).
The utilisation factor accounts for the loss of surface area as the anode is consumed by the passing of current (Bushman 2001). For the present study efficiency and utilisation factors of 85% were used to calculate the remaining anode life. This was based on the non-consumptive nature of the activating agent, knowledge of the progression of corrosion on the anode surface and the alloy used.

With the anode efficiency and utilisation factors taken into consideration, system life-spans of 340 and 50 years for the upper and lower anode zones were calculated from the logged current data.

4.7 TASK 4 – LABORATORY DATA COLLECTION – SAMPLE SETS 4 and 5

The aim of the experiments detailed in this section was to build on the work presented in Section 4.3 by measuring the current response to known chloride contents whilst accurately measuring the two influencing factors (temperature and moisture). Both phases of the hybrid system were analysed, the latter in more detail than the former.

4.7.1 Research method

In total nine concrete samples were made for sample set 4, two with 0 wt% chloride, two with 1 wt% chloride, three with 2.5 wt% chloride and two with 5 wt% chloride (see Table 4.2 for sample notation and Paper 3 (Appendix D) for manufacture details). Analysis of the data generated from these experiments can be seen in Papers 2 and 3 and Appendix E.

To examine responsive current behaviour during the impressed current phase of the hybrid treatment, eight of the nine samples prepared received a 7 day, 12V DC current treatment, during which the current passed was measured at regular intervals.

Following the impressed current phase in summer 2008 (Figure 4.17) the anodes in the samples were connected to the steel galvanically and placed outdoors in the open. In May 2009, four of the nine blocks were brought indoors (i.e., one of each chloride level in the two regimes) with the 2.5 wt% galvanic block remaining outdoors. Data-loggers were used to record the galvanic current and both indoor and outdoor temperatures and rainfall events were acquired from a public domain source (www.wunderground.com, 2009)
4.7.2 Findings

4.7.2.1 The impressed current phase

All of the samples had been kept under the same conditions since manufacture; therefore chloride content was the only significant variable. The impressed currents recorded for the different samples can be seen in Figure 4.17 along with the calculated charge passed to the steel.

![Figure 4.17 Current and resulting charge passed to the steel during the impressed current phase of the hybrid treatment](image)

The current and therefore charge passed increased with the amount of chloride in the sample. This could be attributed to two main influences. Firstly, the reduction in resistance to ionic flow as the chloride content in the concrete was increased and secondly, the corrosion condition of the steel, with the corroding steel requiring more current to re-passivate. Table 4.3 shows steel potential readings taken 28 days before the treatment began. The potentials are an average of 132 readings taken from a regular grid on all four sides of the sample. The corrosion potential of the steel becomes more negative with increasing chloride content,
indicating that the chloride level in the concrete affects the corrosion occurring. Figure 4.18, which plots chloride content against charge passed suggests that the amount of chloride in the concrete has a more linear relationship with the current passed whereas the effect of further additions of chloride has a diminishing effect on the corrosion reaction (Figure 4.19) which may also be limited by other factors such as moisture availability at the steel surface.

**Table 4.3** Average steel potentials prior to the hybrid treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block name</th>
<th>Average potential reading prior to hybrid treatments (mV vs. SCE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 wt% A</td>
<td>-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 wt% B</td>
<td>-136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 wt% A</td>
<td>-255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 wt% B</td>
<td>-361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 wt% A</td>
<td>-498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 wt% B</td>
<td>-537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 wt% C</td>
<td>-517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 wt% A</td>
<td>-557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 wt% B</td>
<td>-452</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4.18** The relationship between concrete chloride content and charge passed to the steel during the impressed current phase of the hybrid treatment
It is clear from Figure 4.20 that the impressed current treatment has a lasting effect on the current output of the hybrid anode and its response to changes in environmental conditions. A purely galvanic block containing 2.5 wt% chloride by weight of cement had a similar current output to that of a block with no mixed-in chloride that had undergone the impressed current treatment (Figure 4.20). Removing the impressed current treatment also has a huge influence on the charge that an anode is able to pass. A 2.5 wt% chloride galvanic block which had not received any impressed current passed 17.6 C during a 29 day period. A block that had received the treatment passed 143.1 C during the same period some 11 months after the initial treatment.
Figure 4.20 Anode current density comparison of anodes that had/had not received the impressed current phase of the hybrid treatment after approximately 10 months in galvanic mode

4.7.2.2 The galvanic phase

The experimental arrangement resulted in the indoor samples receiving no moisture (from rainfall) and being exposed to higher daytime temperatures as a result of the indoor conditions. This allowed comparison of blocks with the same chloride content exposed to different wetting regimes, whereas the investigation to date had focused on either the effect of chloride content or concrete moisture content.

A detailed discussion of the results can be found in Paper 3 (Appendix D), but the effect is illustrated quite succinctly in Figure 4.21 which examines the effect of moisture on the amount and degree of current response. Figure 4.21 shows that the outdoor 0 wt% and 2.5 wt% chloride blocks delivered ~4 and 2.5 times more current than the equivalent indoor blocks respectively due to the higher moisture content. Similar trends were observed for the 1 and 5 wt% chloride blocks, even though the indoor temperature was significantly higher than the outdoor temperature (Figure 4.22) during the day. This highlights the dominant influence
of moisture on corrosion at elevated temperatures, with indoor temperatures regularly reaching over 30°C.

**Figure 4.21** Anode current in indoor/outdoor blocks, containing 0 and 2.5% chloride by weight of cement
To explain the interaction of the three variable factors (moisture level, temperature and concrete chloride content), a simple model was introduced which related changes in the concrete environment to that of variable resistors operating within Ohm’s law (Figure 4.23). This formed a convenient method of discussing the fluctuations in current output when concrete samples are placed in different environmental conditions.
Figure 4.23 Variable resistance of concrete in a galvanic cell, represented by three variable resistances simulating the contributing concrete conditions

The three “variable resistances” shown in Figure 4.23 and their interaction formed the basis for the experimental and sample designs used in the investigations detailed in Papers 2 and 3 (Appendices C and D).

4.8 TASK 5 - ZINC/STEEL ANODE SAMPLE – SAMPLE SET 5

Prior to this point in the investigation, the work had focused on analysing the anode response to environmental changes, without any attention being paid to the response of the embedded steel to the same changes. The aim of this phase of the investigations was to record both the zinc and steel response to environmental conditions using a single specially designed sample (Figure 4.24), and in doing so discuss the relationship between the steel corrosion risk and the response of the protective current to that risk.

4.8.1 Research method

The sample was designed such that the zinc anode represented a galvanic anode installed in contaminated concrete and the steel anode represented a section of corroding steel rebar that the zinc anode was designed to protect. The steel bar was installed to provide a common cathode for the anodes to pass galvanic current to, allowing independent measurement of both
currents. The current density recorded for the steel anode gives an indication of the amount of corrosion activity occurring on the steel bar and represents the steel corrosion risk, whilst the zinc anode galvanic current represents the protective current. Sample construction details can be found in Paper 4 (Appendix E). Using this simple model in combination with the literature review presented in Section 2.1, a discussion is opened regarding the steel protection criteria governing buried steel in atmospherically exposed concrete.

![Diagram of anode block](image)

**Figure 4.24** Embedded zinc and steel anode block; (a) side elevation, (b) plan

Current data was collected every 6 hours for 77 days (Figure 4.25), and allowed the zinc and steel current response to temperature and rainfall to be measured simultaneously for the first time.

### 4.8.2 Research findings

From the data presented in Figure 4.25 it can be seen that both the zinc and steel anode respond to changes in temperature and moisture brought about by rainfall. This is especially apparent when there is a long period of dry weather between days 49 and 67 where daily temperature fluctuations are the main influence on the current passed.
Applying the model proposed in Figure 4.23, it can be seen that the cathodic current passed by the zinc anode (protective current) responds proportionally to the corrosion risk, represented by the steel anode current, passing more current when the environment at the steel is aggressive and less when the environment is benign.

The ratio of zinc to steel galvanic current was seen as a convenient way of expressing the amount of protection offered to the steel by the zinc. When the ratio is large, the zinc offers more protective current than when the ratio is small. From Figure 4.26, it can be seen that the protection offered to the steel increases when the environment becomes more aggressive.

When it rained on day 67, it appeared that the zinc anode responded more rapidly than the steel anode to the large increase in corrosion risk Figure 4.26. This meant that the protective current was greatly increased prior to any increased demand from the steel. The disparity in the anode response means that there is a dramatic increase in the ‘protective ratio’ (the difference between the zinc and steel current output) and therefore the amount of protection offered to the steel increases.
offered by the zinc. As the steel corrosion risk increased, the ratio stabilised at a more typical level.

![Zinc and Steel Anode Current Density Response](image)

**Figure 4.26** The zinc and steel anode current density response to sudden rainfall and the resulting effect on the protective ratio.

In Papers 2, 3 and 4 (Appendices C – E) the data presented is discussed in terms of the two main protection criteria applied to steel in concrete; the pitting potential/re-passivation potential hypothesis and the pit re-alkalisation/pH maintenance hypothesis. A literature review formed a significant part of this work and as a result these hypotheses and their bases are discussed extensively in Sections 2.2 and 2.3 and in Glass et al (2008). It is felt that a summary of the points discussed would be pertinent at this juncture:

- Impressed current systems suppress responsive behaviour in order to achieve the 100 mV polarisation criteria. The 100 mV criteria is unnecessary in some cases as over time the cathodic current will reduce the aggressivity of the environment at the steel/concrete interface by increasing the alkalinity and removing chloride ions. In doing so, this raises the pitting potential of the steel, and reduces the need for
polarisation and means that the concept of adequate polarisation is determined by hydroxide generation and/or chloride removal.

- The pit re-alkalisation/pH maintenance hypothesis allows full utilisation of responsive behaviour because when the environment is aggressive and the steel corrodes, protective current is passed to restore a high pH at the steel surface or prevent local pH reduction, whereas in benign environments no current is required to generate hydroxide or re-alkalise corroding pits but the steel is still adequately protected.

- Revisions to the European standard which consider the present risk of steel corrosion by considering the corrosion current resulting from the relative aggressivity of the concrete environment would be more valid in their application.

- A cathodic protection system based on the concepts of pit re-alkalisation and pH maintenance can fully utilise galvanic anode responsive behaviour.

4.9 SUMMARY

This section presented the details of the tasks undertaken to meet Objectives 3, 4, 5 and 6. The conclusions drawn from these are discussed in Chapter 5.

4.10 TITANIUM FEEDER WIRE DETERIORATION – PART 3

This section of the thesis will detail the work completed to achieve Aim 3 – “Investigate the causes of the on-site deterioration of commercially pure titanium feeder wire and investigate methods of protecting against it”. This section of the research was applied in nature, in that it aimed to solve a potential problem that was specific to a material, product and set of conditions. It did not seek to add significant matter to ongoing academic debates regarding the mechanisms of the anodic behaviour of titanium, but rather to identify the mechanisms causing the defects and investigate methods to nullify or reduce their impact. This work was not written up elsewhere and so the following section includes significant discussion as well as the findings of the investigation.

This work has been added to the thesis due to the significant impact on the sponsor company and their products.
4.10.1 Preliminary studies

Following the discovery of areas of deterioration on the commercially pure titanium wire on site, a literature review was undertaken to discover the causes of the effect seen (Section 2.6). This led to a greater understanding of:

1. The corrosion resistance of titanium in a range of aggressive media.
2. The conditions required for titanium corrosion under anodic polarisation.

and following the identification of anodising as a potential solution to the risk of titanium pitting, investigations were prompted into:

3. The steps required to grow a consistent and structured oxide on the surface of the titanium
4. The effect of process parameters on the resultant grown oxide.
5. The ability of anodic oxides to protect against corrosion in aggressive media.

The following section presents a series of tasks aimed at investigating points 3 to 5 above.

4.11 TASK 6 – IDENTIFY THE CAUSE OF WIRE DETERIORATION

4.11.1 Research method

Following the collection of samples from site, visual assessment alongside anecdotal evidence and a thorough literature review was used to uncover the cause of the defects seen.

4.11.2 Research findings

Figure 4.27 shows characteristic deterioration of the CP titanium taken from a site in the UK. There did not seem to be any change in the texture of the wire although the colour of the wire had changed from silvery to gold/brown in the areas that were in contact with the concrete surface before gradually returning to the colour of the native oxide. Figure 4.27 shows a photograph of a sample displaying the effect.
Figure 4.27 An example of the deterioration seen on CP titanium wire installed on a site in the UK

From informal conversations held with CPT staff and contractors on site, the following anecdotal evidence was gathered:

1. In all cases, the concrete surrounding the site of the deterioration had been damp, if not wet.
2. No short circuits (potential source of heat) were reported from any of the sites and commissioning checks suggest that no short-circuits were present in the installed anode zones.
3. All of the patches of deterioration reported were discovered during or immediately after the impressed current phase of the treatment (12V applied current).

4.11.3 Discussion

The lack of a heat source ruled out a thermal cause of the defects. The nature of the defect and the fact that the wire was under significant anodic polarisation in the presence of moisture indicated an electrochemical process. The literature review carried out at this stage revealed that titanium is susceptible to corrosion in the presence of chlorides (and other halides) under anodic polarisation (See Section 2.6). Chloride is commonly present at the location of cathodic protection installations as it is one of two aggressive conditions that lead to steel
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corrosion and the requirement for a cathodic protection system (please refer to Section 2.3.1 for further details). Corrosion normally takes the form of pitting, which leads to localised loss of material at sites of weakness on the titanium surface (such as grain boundaries or surface imperfections). As discussed in Section 2.6, the pitting potential of titanium in chloride solutions under anodic polarisation is between 8 and 14 V.

The brown/gold deterioration surrounding the defect is typical of the interference colours caused by oxide growth during DC anodising. As anodising can be undertaken in any ion-containing liquid, it is likely that the oxide growth was as a result of the anodic polarisation in liquid containing chloride ions (Section 2.7). Anodising of titanium is known to increase the pitting resistance of titanium in aggressive media (Section 2.7.7). Following a review of the literature it was discovered that although the oxide growth in response to contact with moisture would initially have a protective effect, at some critical potential (the pitting potential) the oxide layer would break down due to the presence of chloride, and pitting corrosion would be initiated.

4.12 TASK 7 – INVESTIGATE THE CONDITIONS LEADING TO DETERIORATION

Polarisation data was collected at various distances from the installed anode in concrete samples containing a variety of chloride levels, in order to assess the pitting risk between installed hybrid anodes during the impressed current phase of the treatment.

4.12.1 Research method

The samples (containing either 0, 1, 2.5 or 5% chloride by weight of cement) used in the study are described in Paper 3 (Appendix D), as they were also used for the responsive behaviour work detailed in Section 4.7. Markings were made along the side of the saw-cut at 50 mm intervals to allow consistent measurement of the anode/wire potential using a high impedance voltmeter and a manganese dioxide reference electrode. A steel connection was made using a crocodile clip onto the titanium wire.

4.12.2 Research findings

Figure 4.28 illustrates the different anode potential gradients measured along several blocks recorded on the same day. Potential measurements along the blocks were also made on days
1, 3 and 7 of the 7 day impressed current treatment to assess the shifts in potential during the treatment period (Figure 4.29)

**Figure 4.28** Titanium wire potential measurements at various distances along the block from the anode (0 mm) on the second day of the impressed current phase
Figure 4.29 Titanium wire potential changes over the course of the 7 day DC current treatment in a sample containing 2.5 wt% chloride

As can be seen from Figure 4.28 the chloride level in the blocks had an effect on the potential gradients seen, although the decay as the reference electrode was moved away from the anode was similar for all of the blocks, falling quite rapidly before reaching a steady state between 250 and 350 mm from the anode. Figure 4.29 shows that the wire potential moved to more passive values as the treatment continued. This effect was especially pronounced close to the anode.

4.12.3 Discussion

In order for pitting corrosion to propagate, the potential difference between the surface of the concrete and the wire would have to exceed and remain above the pitting potential for the titanium in the specific electrolyte. In a cathodic protection system employing point anodes, this potential will change between the installed anodes, due to the difference between the wire potential and the voltage at which the steel is subjected to under the impressed current. This difference will increase as the anode’s effect diminishes. The concrete acts as a conductive
path from the steel to the titanium, thus effectively conveying the potential difference from the steel surface to the titanium when it comes into contact with it.

This effectively means that the risk of surpassing the pitting potential of the titanium increases with distance from the installed anode. This can be seen in the data presented in Figure 4.28 and 4.29 and is explained graphically in Figure 4.30. Although anodes in a site installation would not be spaced more than 500 mm apart, it seems that there is still a risk of corrosion to a significant section of wire either side of the mid-span where the pitting potential will be above 9V.

![Graph showing potential difference between titanium wire and steel as a function of distance from anode. Anode located at 0 mm.](image)

**Figure 4.30** The potential difference between the titanium wire and the steel as a function of distance from the anode. Anode located at 0 mm

From the results presented in Figure 4.29, it can also be seen that the closer that the end of the impressed current treatment phase becomes, the greater the pitting risk in areas close to the anode due to an increase in the pitting potential difference. Figure 4.31 is a model version of the effect presented in Figure 4.29. If, for example, 10.6V is the pitting potential of the wire, then as time progresses, the distance from the anode at which the pitting potential difference is below 10.6 V is diminished.
This is a result of a positive shift in the potential of the anode and the connected titanium wire, which is likely to have been caused by the continuing anode polarisation and the buildup of corrosion products at the anode surface. The change is greatest close to the anode and diminishes with distance from it.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 4.31** The positive shift in the wire potential throughout the course of the treatment (for illustrative purposes)

### 4.13 TASK 8 – INVESTIGATION OF ANODISING PRE-TREATMENTS and ANODISING PARAMETERS

Following on from investigations into the causes of the deterioration and pitting risk, potential solutions to the pitting issue were discussed. A literature review followed (Section 2.7.7), which identified the barrier qualities of an anodically grown oxide as a possible way to reduce or eliminate the risk of pitting.

Little research had been completed to assess the extent to which an anodised coating could increase the corrosion resistance of titanium under anodic polarisation in halide media. It was
postulated that anodising could delay the onset/reduce the risk of pitting in susceptible areas of the installed anode system.

An investigation commenced which aimed to examine:

1. The requirements (pre-treatments, treatment times, solution strengths) for growing a suitable oxide on the titanium substrate
2. The characteristics of the grown film (morphology, porosity, thickness)
3. The ability of the grown oxide to protect the titanium substrate from pitting corrosion in chloride solutions

It was decided that it would be best to focus on one anodising solution initially whilst becoming familiarised with the technique. Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) was chosen due to its ease of handling and the fact that alkaline solutions are used to generate thick anti-galling coatings and surfaces which increase adhesion in metal to metal bonding (Kennedy et al 1983) whereas acid anodising is generally used to create thin decorative coatings and for substrate preparation prior to adhesive bonding due to the porous structures generated (Donachie 1988).

4.13.1 Research method

Commercially pure titanium wire was cut into ~90 mm sections and then cleaned in an ultrasonic acetone bath for 5 minutes to degrease before being rinsed in distilled water and dried. The samples were then pickled for 2 minutes in a bath containing 1% hydrofluoric acid (HF) and 15% nitric acid (HNO₃) made with de-ionised water (Section 2.7.4). Following pickling, the titanium was rinsed in distilled water and dried.

To assess the effect of the de-oxidising treatment on the growth and integrity of the anodised layer 3 samples were grown at both 16 and 24V (6 in total) without the pickle stage.

An austenitic stainless steel plate 40 x 120 x 4 mm was used as the counter electrode and a submerged 80 mm length of wire as the working electrode. The plate was cleaned with liquid detergent and water before being rinsed in tap water, and wiped with acetone. Both electrodes were connected to the power supply by a crocodile clip and sheathed copper wire. An ammeter was placed in series with the anode to measure the current during the treatment
A magnetic stirrer was used to agitate the solution (0.2 Molar NaOH in distilled water) and a thermometer positioned close to the titanium. A schematic of the anodising arrangement can be seen in Figure 4.32.

![Schematic of anodising experimental arrangement](image)

**Figure 4.32 Schematic of anodising experimental arrangement**

The power was turned on and an instantaneous current reading was taken. The titanium was then left to anodise for 2 minutes before the power was turned off. Just before the current was turned off a final current reading was taken.

Samples were anodised at 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24 and 28V, with three samples made for each voltage in separate experiments.

4.13.2 Research findings

Table 4.4 describes the anodising voltage and coating colour achieved as well as measured experimental parameters.
Table 4.4 Colour, current and temperature data for titanium samples anodised with and without the de-oxidising treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formation voltage (V) and Sample no.</th>
<th>Colour achieved</th>
<th>Start Current (µA)</th>
<th>End Current (µA)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Time to end current (seconds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>Light Gold</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4c</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8a</td>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8b</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8c</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12a</td>
<td>Maroon</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12b</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12c</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16a</td>
<td>Deep purple/blue</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16b</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16c</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20a</td>
<td>Royal Blue</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20b</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20c</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24a</td>
<td>Light Blue</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24b</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24c</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28a</td>
<td>Pale Blue</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28b</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28c</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Un-pickled samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formation voltage (V) and Sample no.</th>
<th>Colour achieved</th>
<th>Start Current (µA)</th>
<th>End Current (µA)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Time to end current (seconds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16a</td>
<td>Muddy dull purple/blue</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16b</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16c</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24a</td>
<td>Muddy grey/purple</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24b</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24c</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The titanium wire pre-treatments appeared to strip the native metal oxide and associated contaminants. Even though the samples were anodised in separate experiments, the colours
achieved at the different voltages for the pickled samples were very consistent. This would indicate that the oxide layer was of a similar thickness on all areas of the samples when the applied voltage was constant.

For the majority of the samples anodised, the current measured on the ammeter dropped to a zero (as determined by the sensitivity of the multimeter used) within 14 seconds of the start of the procedure, with the lower voltages showing shorter times.

The samples which did not receive the de-oxidising treatment were very different in colour to the samples which did, and the colour was much less uniform over the surface.

4.13.3 Discussion

The rapid reduction in current would suggest that the film formation was substantially completed within this time and has been noted by other authors (Wu et al 2009). It has been suggested (Aladjem 1973) that the decrease in current seen can be attributed to the increase in film thickness causing greater surface resistance, a gradual decrease in the concentration of Ti$^{3+}$ ions in the film or increasing film perfection. In either case, the net effect is an increase in the apparent resistivity of the grown oxide leading to a dramatic slowing in film growth, an effect noted by Sul et al (2001).

There was a general change in colour of the wire samples that did not receive the pickle pre-treatments and the colour varied over the surface. In some areas the native oxide was clearly visible where no or only small amounts of growth had occurred. This was especially visible in the ‘wake’ of the wire created by the stirrer, where bubbles appeared on several of the samples. The bubbles were not observed on the pickled samples, indicating that they had a much lower surface energy than the un-pickled titanium. Unlike the pickled samples, there was still significant current being passed to the wire at the end of the treatment time. This indicated that film formation was incomplete and that the existing film had a low level of perfection.

4.14 TASK 9 – ANALYSIS OF THE GROWN OXIDE LAYER

Task four is broken down into two sub-tasks. Sub-task one examines the microscopy of the grown oxides and sub-task two examines their electrochemical properties.
4.14.1 Sub-task 1 – The microscopy of anodised titanium

In order to examine the structure of the grown oxide layer and determine a voltage/thickness relationship, scanning electron microscopy was used to characterise the surface of the wire.

4.14.1.1 Research method

The anodised samples created at 4, 8 and 16V using the process described in Section 4.13.1 were ultrasonically cleaned for 5 minutes before being dried. They were then bent through 180° at room temperature to rupture the oxide film on the outer surface, before being mounted on conductive carbon stubs using silver paint. The samples were then gold sputtered for ~1 minute to increase the conductivity of the oxide and reduce charging in the electron beam.

A field emission gun scanning electron microscope (FEGSEM) in secondary electron imaging mode was used to examine the oxide film and assess its morphology and thickness at the different formation voltages. The beam energy was 5kV throughout the analysis. Scanning electron microscope images can be seen in Figures 4.33 to 4.35.

4.14.1.2 Research findings

All of the anodic oxides formed appeared to have similar characteristics, with the fractured oxide having the appearance of an applied coating and the native oxide being clearly distinguishable from the anodised layer (Figure 4.33). The film appeared to be compacted and had little porosity even at a relatively high magnification. Afshar and Vaezi (2003) noted similar oxide characteristics, albeit in a much stronger solution. The bending technique had the desired effect of rupturing the film at room temperature.
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Figure 4.33 High magnification secondary electron SEM images of the NaOH anodised surfaces; a) 4V; b) 8V; c) 16V

Figure 4.34 General surface topography of the 8V NaOH anodised titanium
4.14.1.3 Discussion

The grown oxide is divided by very clean fractures (Figure 4.33), seemingly revealing the native oxide beneath. The measuring tool made it possible to attain the oxide thicknesses for each sample. It would be expected that the higher the treatment voltage, the thicker the oxide, however this was not the case, with the 4 and 16V samples which showed similar thickness layers. These results are at odds with the different colours generated by the treatments which suggest increased thickness with applied voltage (Sul et al 2001, Afshar and Vaezi 2003). It is possible that the measurements made are of an oxide composed of the native oxide as well as the grown oxide layer. More thickness measurements may have shown a clearer relationship and this result is likely to be erroneous.

General deformation of the titanium can be seen in Figure 4.34, whilst an interesting effect on the grown oxide can be seen in Figure 4.35. This ‘rucking’ of the layer and its peeling away in the other images questions the strength of its adhesion to the titanium, albeit under extreme tensile stresses caused by the bend.

4.14.2 Sub-task 2 - Potentiodynamic polarisation of anodised titanium wires

In order to quantify any protective effect imparted by the grown oxide layer, potentiodynamic polarisation experiments were undertaken in both chloride and bromide media.
4.14.2.1 Research method

A section of 30 mm long titanium (un-anodised, 6, 12 or 24V) prepared in the same manner as those described in Section 4.13.1 was immersed in the electrolyte to form the working electrode. A section of platinised titanium mesh (20 x 20 mm) formed the counter electrode and a SCE was used as the reference electrode. An EG & G potentiostat with attendant Powersuite software was used to increase the potential of the working electrode at 10 mV/second until 5V was reached. The resultant change in current was recorded and plotted against the working electrode potential to generate polarisation curves (Figure 4.3). Five scans each were completed for wires anodised at 0, 6, 12 and 24V in 1 M potassium bromide (KBr) and sodium chloride (NaCl) solutions made with deionised water. The scan rate of 10 mV/s was taken from the work of Fattahi and Shariat (2008).

4.14.2.2 Research findings

Polarisation curves for commercially pure titanium in 1 M KBr generated for the un-anodised and wires anodised at 6, 12 and 24V can be seen in Figure 4.36. The Figure contains one scan from the four carried out that best represented each anodising voltage. It can be seen in Figure 4.37 that wires anodised at the same voltages exhibited range of pitting potentials this is most likely due to the nature of pit initiation (Section 2.6), although the scan features were broadly the same. Experiments were also carried out using 1 M NaCl but it was not possible to pit the titanium using the available potentiostat as the limiting potential for the device was 10V and the pitting potential was above 10V in this case.
**Figure 4.36** Comparison of the potentiodynamic polarisation curves of 6, 12, 24V and un-anodised wires
Anodising the wire to create grown oxides of different thicknesses did not increase the pitting potential of the commercially pure titanium. Pitting potentials varied between 1.7 and 4V, with the 6V anodised wire showing the highest pitting resistance overall. Average pitting potentials can be seen in Table 4.5. The current at the pitting potential ranged by an order of magnitude from 0.0001 to 0.001A but again was un-related to oxide thickness.

The rest corrosion potential of the anodised wires at all anodising voltages was significantly more passive than the un-anodised wires, indicating a lower corrosion rate in the bromide solution. The average rest potentials of the wires can be seen in Table 4.5.
Table 4.5 Pitting potentials and rest potentials as a function of anodising voltage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anodising voltage (V)</th>
<th>Average pitting potential (V) vs. SCE</th>
<th>Average rest potential (V) vs. SCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Un-anodised</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>-0.522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>-0.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>-0.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>-0.277</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.14.2.3 Discussion

The ‘shape’ of the un-anodised and anodised wire polarisation scans was significantly different (Figure 4.38). The un-anodised wire entered the active corrosion region almost as soon as the scan commenced before transitioning into a very distinct passive region between ~-0.3 and +0.2V as corrosion products built up on the electrode surface. This passive region gave way to corrosion between 0.9 and 1V as the layer of corrosion products began to break down. Pitting corrosion began between 1.7 and 2.9V as the protective oxide was stripped.

In contrast, the scans representing the anodised titanium started with a metastable passive region which persists from the rest potential until ~1V. In all cases, the metastable passive region gave way to an active region which ended in a passive region or region of reduced corrosion before pitting set in between 1.7 and 4V. It is thought that the intervening period between corrosion initiation and passivation was due to general corrosion of the grown oxide before corrosion products building on the surface led to an increasingly passive period. The corrosion product layer and remaining oxide layer then broke down and pitting set in as the dominant mechanism.

Growing an oxide on the surface of the titanium had the effect of delaying the onset of corrosion to higher potentials. The anodised wires all displayed a metastable passive area from the rest potential to >800 mV where generalised corrosion began, whereas the un-anodised wire current increased almost from the rest potential.

The recorded pitting potentials for anodised titanium samples in the bromide solution were within the range of results (1.1-4.5V) previously reported for un-anodised titanium (Table
4.6. The variation in results can to some degree be attributed to experimental parameters such as solution agitation and aeration, scan rate and temperature, however several workers have also noted the large variation in pitting potential whilst using material and solution of the same purity (Trompette et al (2011) and Hoar (1967)). The variation in pitting potentials would then appear to be surface specific and dependent on grain boundaries, impurities and areas of oxide thinning. Although the growth of an anodic oxide did not significantly affect the pitting potential, the variation in pitting potential decreased with increased anodising voltage. The standard deviations of the pitting potentials of un-anodised and 6, 12 and 24V anodised wires were 0.601, 0.626, 0.315 and 0.09 respectively. This can be attributed to increased consistency and order in the structure of the oxide as it increases in thickness. This shows that although anodising in this electrolyte and in this voltage range does not increase the pitting potential, increased oxide thickness dramatically reduces the range of pitting potentials seen.

Table 4.6 Commercially pure titanium pitting potentials and the solution strengths used in potentiodynamic polarisation experiments by different authors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Measured Pitting potential (V)</th>
<th>Solution used and strength (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dugdale and Cotton (1964)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1M KBr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sazou et al (2012)</td>
<td>3.9 - 5.5</td>
<td>0.25 - 1M KBr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trompette et al (2011)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.1M KBr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fattahi and Shariat (2008)</td>
<td>1.1 – 1.5</td>
<td>0.1M KBr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtanen and Curty (2004)</td>
<td>2.5 – 4.5</td>
<td>1M KBr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.15 SUMMARY

This section presented the details of the tasks undertaken to meet Objectives 7, 8 and 9 as discussed in Chapter one.

Following the initial investigations detailed in this section, the sponsor company opted to replace un-coated CP titanium feed wire with a cross-linked polyethylene (XLPE) coated
version of the same material. This had the effect of insulating the wire from the environment at the concrete surface and removing the pitting risk.

4.16 SECTION SUMMARY

This chapter presented the details of the six tasks undertaken to meet the research aims and objectives set out in Chapter 1. The conclusions drawn from these are discussed in Chapter 5.
Conclusions
CHAPTER 5 - CONCLUSIONS

This chapter presents the key conclusions from the research along with their impact on the research sponsor and the wider industry. The research path and its outcomes are then critically evaluated following which recommendations for future research are made.

5.1 KEY RESEARCH CONCLUSIONS

5.1.1 Corrosion characterisation – Aim 1

This work was completed in order to achieve Aim 1: “Characterise the electrochemical processes occurring in laboratory samples using common industry techniques”. In order for the author to gain experience of corrosion assessment techniques, several experiments were carried out with the aim of characterising the corrosion processes occurring on concrete samples containing embedded hybrid anodes. In the case of Sample set 1, the testing took place 2 and 4.5 years after the sample was cast. The main conclusions from the experimental work completed to achieve Aim 1 were:

- A hybrid anode treatment regime was successful in halting steel corrosion and maintaining passivity in a chloride contaminated concrete block for more than 4.5 years (Sample Set 1). Steel passivity was confirmed by the low corrosion rate measurements determined by both impedance analysis and de-polarisation experiments and was indicated by the significantly more positive steel potential and slow steel depolarisation.

- Significant de-polarisation measured at the rear of the steel plate showed that the installed sacrificial anode was able to adequately protect steel even when it was positioned in difficult geometries.

- A short-term DC impressed current treatment (Sample Sets 1 and 2) caused a significant positive shift in the steel potential when compared with the native steel potentials prior to the treatment. This effect was evident in all of the chloride containing samples and suggests the modification of the environment at the steel surface.

- An applied current which shifted the steel potential more than ~300 mV during the impressed current phase was sufficient to cause a significant positive shift in the galvanic...
mixed steel potential 500 mm from the anode following the removal of the impressed current.

5.1.2 Responsive behaviour – Aim 2

This work was completed in order to achieve Aim 2: “Investigate the benefits of the responsive current behaviour of cathodic protection systems and discuss with regards to existing steel protection hypotheses and criteria”. The current passed to the steel by installed hybrid/galvanic anode(s) was recorded and analysed in relation to concrete moisture content, concrete chloride content and air temperature. Data was analysed from sample sets 3, 4 and 5. The experimental design and the results of the investigation also informed a discussion regarding the application of protection criteria applied to steel in atmospherically exposed concrete and the theories behind these criteria. The key conclusions from the work were:

- The current passed by embedded hybrid/sacrificial galvanic anodes connected to reinforcing steel in concrete responds rapidly to changes in corrosion risk brought about by changes in temperature and concrete moisture and chloride content. In concrete environments where the corrosion risk is high, more current is passed to the steel. In concrete environments where the corrosion risk is low, less current is passed to the steel. This is true of both the impressed current and galvanic current phases of the hybrid treatment.

- Sacrificial anode lifetime is extended in benign environments where the corrosion risk is low, meaning that their protective capacity is applied more efficiently. This has been measured on site installations where the corrosion risk varies (Section 4.6) and in laboratory samples exposed to different environmental regimes (Papers 2 and 3).

- It is postulated that the impressed current phase of the hybrid treatment ‘activates’ the anodes, allowing them to deliver more charge than those that have not received the treatment. It is thought that this is due to the migration of chloride ions to the anode, aiding zinc oxidation.

- A basis for using the responsive behaviour of galvanic anodes is provided by the protective effects of pit re-alkalisation and pH maintenance. In benign environments no current is required to achieve pit re-alkalisation or maintain the pH, whilst in aggressive...
environments current is required to either restore a high pH at the site of a pit or prevent a local pH reduction and hence pit formation. The required protection current depends on the present level of corrosion risk. By contrast, protection based on achieving adequate polarisation inhibits the use of responsive behaviour and galvanic anodes might only deliver adequate polarisation in aggressive environments.

The protection criteria presented in the European standard BS EN 12696 (2000) inhibits the used of responsive behaviour. Revisions which consider the present risk of steel corrosion by considering the corrosion current resulting from the relative aggressivity of the concrete environment would add validity to their application.

5.1.3 Titanium feeder wire deterioration – Aim 3

This work was completed in order to achieve Aim 3: “Investigate the causes of the on-site deterioration of commercially pure titanium feeder wire and investigate methods of protecting against it”. Initial work examined the causes of discolouration seen on the surface of titanium wire at hybrid anode installation sites before the conditions leading to the phenomena were investigated. The process parameters of producing a protective anodic oxide on the surface of the wire were then researched and wire samples anodised at different voltages were produced. Finally, the characteristics of the grown oxides and their protective effects were examined. The main conclusions from the work were:

- The brown/gold coloured discolouration on the surface of the titanium wire taken from site is likely to be the result of anodising due to the anodic polarisation applied to the wire and the presence of chloride. The colour is consistent with an anodising voltage of 8 to 12V.

- The titanium pitting risk increases with distance from the installed anode, meaning that the highest risk on a site installation is the mid-point between two anodes. It is likely that the pitting risk changes over the course of the treatment with the risk to the wire closest to the anode increasing with time. This is due to the increasingly positive potential of the anode.

- Anodising CP titanium in 0.1 M NaOH solution at room temperature produces a compacted, non-porous oxide with the appearance of an applied coating.
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- Anodising the wire in 0.1 M NaOH solution to create grown oxides of different thicknesses did not increase the pitting potential of the commercially pure titanium in 1 M KBr solution, however, the rest potentials of the anodised samples was significantly more positive, suggesting a lower corrosion rate than the un-anodised samples.

- Growing an anodic oxide on the surface of the titanium in 0.1 M NaOH solution had the effect of delaying the onset of corrosion to higher electrode potentials in 1 M KBr solution. The anodised wires all displayed a metastable passive area from the rest potential to >800 mV where generalised corrosion began, whereas the un-anodised wire current increased almost from the rest potential.

- The variation in pitting potentials decreased with increased anodising voltage. It is likely that this can be attributed to increased consistency and order in the structure of the oxide as it increases in thickness.

5.2 RESEARCH IMPLICATIONS AND CONTRIBUTION TO KNOWLEDGE

5.2.1 Corrosion characterisation

The research project has served to reinforce the observations of previous workers (Glass et al 2008) that the steel potential tends to more passive values in the galvanic treatment phase following a short-term high current density treatment. This work contributes to the ongoing discussion regarding the minimum polarisation requirement suggested in BS EN 12696 (2000 and 2012).

The work has had the effect of demonstrating a new, viable treatment methodology to the cathodic protection industry. It has also proved that the treatment methodology is an effective way of re-passivating corroding steel and providing ongoing corrosion protection.

5.2.2 Responsive behaviour

The research presented has helped to expand knowledge of the responsive of galvanic and impressed current treatments to environmental factors, highlighting the practical impacts of site conditions on anode system lifetimes. Data has been presented which shows responsive current behaviour over the course of minutes, hours, days and months and also the response to
anomalous events. This has highlighted the consideration that must be given to the specific conditions affecting a structure when designing a galvanic or hybrid protection system.

The work has proposed that suitably designed galvanic systems can be successfully applied to a wider range of environmental conditions if responsive behavior is considered; not just the aggressive environments required to achieve polarisation criterion.

The research undertaken has added significant matter to the ongoing debate regarding the dominant protective effect imparted by the application of electrochemical treatments. Although a significant proportion of the cathodic protection industry still explains the protection imparted by cathodic protection systems in terms of steel polarisation and advocates the use of polarization-based protection assessment methods, concessions to the impact of other influences and the use of alternative assessment methods have been made in recent publications. Both The Concrete Society Technical Report 73 (2011) and updated BS EN 12696 (2012) suggest the use of the Butler Volmer equation in order to calculate the corrosion rate of the steel as well as the 100 mV depolarisation criteria whereas their predecessor documents did not. BS EN 12696 (2012) also states that “if the criteria for protection are achieved (see Section 2.3.1), no further measures are necessary. If they are not achieved with galvanic anode systems where it is not possible to increase current to the steel then a further assessment of corrosion risk shall be made. If a corrosion risk is identified then steps shall be taken to increase the protection current, by supplementing the galvanic anode system, to minimize this risk.” This, along with other concessions in the document demonstrates that consideration is now being given to the corrosion risk to the steel posed by the environment that surrounds it, acknowledging that these will have a large bearing on the steel de-polarisation and current achieved during system effectiveness testing.

5.2.3 Titanium feeder wire deterioration

To the author’s knowledge, this work represents the first attempt to characterise the influence of a grown anodic oxide on the corrosion characteristics of CP titanium under anodic polarisation. In addition, the relationship between interference colours and anodising voltage is seldom seen in the literature and the data for the specific solution used has not been published previously.
Conclusions

It has been shown that great care should be taken when using un-protected CP titanium under anodic polarisation conditions when the applied voltage places sections of the wire in the range of pitting potentials previously reported;

With significant future research, DC anodising may provide a cost-effective method for protecting against titanium pitting in chloride media. This material could then compete in the marketplace with mixed metal oxide coated titanium wire which is used heavily in the cathodic protection industry.

5.3 IMPLICATIONS/IMPACT ON THE SPONSOR COMPANY

To contextualise the implications of the research it is important to note that unlike many Research Engineers embarking on an Engineering Doctorate, the author joined a company very much in its infancy, with only one product that had been installed in a handful of small projects in the UK.

The work has generated four peer-reviewed papers which could be presented to potential customers to explain the system function and its benefits over competitor products. Two of these papers are referenced in sales literature. It is very unlikely that this research would ever have been completed without the RE’s input.

The work has allowed CPT, through the Research Engineer and CICE, to publish matter on the performance of their product systems at international conferences (Structural Faults and Repair 2008, EuroCorr 2008, EuroCorr 2009, CORROSION 2011, Concrete Solutions 2011). It has also allowed the demonstration of the capabilities of their anode systems and the ways in which performance can be proven and monitored by the use of additional services supplied by the company.

The EngD affiliation has allowed the sponsor company to complete significant research on their own products, giving the directors a greater understanding of the market position of their products, their strengths and their limitations. Work carried out by the RE has allowed the sponsor company to make informed decisions regarding material use (replacement of bare titanium with XLPE coated titanium), and maximum allowable anode spacing on site installations (Section 4.3).
5.4 FUTURE WORK

5.4.1 Corrosion characterisation

Although this work primarily involved the assessment of corrosion activity, it also served to ask questions of the methods used to determine corrosion rates. The disparity between the corrosion rates recorded using different test methodologies is a potential area of interest and has been noted in other studies by the author.

Work that measured the corrosion rate both before and after impressed current treatments of various lengths, and correlated this data with the movement of the steel potential following the treatment would be very interesting. Although a simple piece of work, to the author’s knowledge, no such data has been published to date.

5.4.2 Responsive behaviour

In the latter stages of the research project the focus turned to the examination of responsive behaviour with regard to the two theoretical hypotheses purporting to explain the protective effect imparted by electrochemical treatments. Although there is significant data which supports the pit re-alkalisation/pH maintenance hypothesis, further work in the area would be valid and novel. Research could include:

- Work that could directly measure the pH of previously corroding (acidic) steel surface following a brief impressed current phase. The methods discussed in Chapter 3 could be used to measure the corrosion rate before and after varying lengths of impressed current treatments and relate the corrosion rates to the pH of the steel/concrete interface.
- The development of an accurate and repeatable technique to measure the pH at the steel/concrete interface. Testing should be both laboratory and site based.

Such work would contribute to the increasing body of academic work which seeks a more open discussion regarding protection mechanisms and criteria which can be seen to favour impressed current systems at the cost of hybrid and sacrificial systems.

5.4.3 Titanium feeder wire deterioration

Although the investigation was curtailed due to the decision to introduce a bought-in solution to the pitting risk problem, it was felt that with further investigation a cost-effective solution
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to the problem could be provided by DC anodising. The research detailed below may be of significant interest;

- Work that examined the influence of different anodising solutions/solution strengths/production parameters on oxide microstructure and morphology.
- The use of the PP method to assess the protection offered by the new oxide structures in chloride solutions.
- The use of SEM and X-ray Diffraction (XRD) techniques to relate the oxide structure (thickness, and morphology, crystal structure/degree of perfection) to pitting potential and other behaviour under anodic polarisation.
- As well as solution experiments, conduct experiments using concrete electrolytes presenting various levels of pitting risk under site-like operating conditions in order to provide real-world data.

5.5 CRITICAL EVALUATION/LIMITATIONS OF THE WORK

In the case of the corrosion characterisation work, a single historic hybrid anode sample was analysed (sample set 1). Although the different techniques used were in agreement as to the corrosion conditions affecting the steel in the sample, the investigation would have benefitted from multiple samples. Unfortunately, the sample used was the only one of its kind and alternative samples of the same design could not be sourced. Similarly, the Whiteadder bridge was selected from a narrow range of possible structures due to necessity.

The polarisation experiments carried out on the commercially pure titanium wire have limited validity when applied to an on-site system installation as they were carried out in a bromide solution instead of chloride solution. This was a practical choice due to the lack of an available potentiostat that could attain the potentials required to pit titanium in chloride solutions.

Although the potentiodynamic polarisation experiments clearly show the effect of a grown oxide on the anodically polarised titanium, the results may have been more consistent if the surfaces of the samples had been polished to a fine finish. The results taken from such samples would better represent the properties of the metal but at the cost of documenting the behaviour of the material in its intended form.
Fully depolarised steel potentials following a period of treatment would have been a useful addition to the potential mapping exercise detailed in Section 4.3. This would have been a ‘truer’ reading as the effect of the installed anode would have been removed.
Conclusions
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APPENDIX A – DuoGuard Hybrid Anode, Technical Datasheet

The DuoGuard hybrid anode range is applied as a remedial measure to reinforced concrete structures suffering chloride-induced steel corrosion.

HOW IT WORKS

The DuoGuard hybrid anode is a dual technology anode based on the use of a sacrificial metal in both an impressed current and sacrificial anode role. Initially an impressed current is driven from the DuoGuard anode to the steel using a temporary power supply. In the process corroding sites on the steel are moved to the surface of the installed anode. This occurs because the treatment generates inhibitive hydroxide ions at the steel and aggressive chloride ions are drawn from the concrete to the installed anode. At the end of the brief impressed current treatment the DuoGuard anode is connected to the steel to act as a sacrificial anode in a long term preventative role.
Technical Datasheet

DuoGuard™ Hybrid Anode™

Features
- Simple, single unit
- Straight-forward installation
- No long term power supply needed
- Targeted application
- A variety of sizes to suit the structure
- Large charge capacity >125 to 450 kC*
- High impressed current density >1000 mA/m²
- Long life, up to 50 years*

Advantages
- Rapidly halts steel corrosion to eliminate further concrete spalling
- Short on-site treatment minimises structure downtime during application
- Minimal long term costs
- Performance can be monitored
- Accidental electrical shorts easily broken
- Cost-effective corrosion control solution

Application
Application shall be in accordance with the 'Installation Guidelines' and is summarised as follows:

DuoGuard anodes are installed following guidelines in EN12696:2000 and CEN/TS 14038-1:2004(E). The anode units are typically applied at a density of 4-9 units/m² concrete surface, at a spacing of 350-500 mm between anodes.

DuoGuard anodes are typically installed into pre-drilled holes of 30 mm diameter using DuoCrete SD embedding mortar.

The individual DuoGuard units are then connected electrically to a feeder wire which runs to the temporary power supply for the impressed current phase of the treatment (typically 1 week) during which time the DuoGuard anodes distribute ~50-500 kC/sqm steel surface.

After 1 week the feeder wire is removed from the temporary power supply and connected to the reinforcing steel. The DuoGuard units are now operating in galvanic mode, maintaining the steel in a passive state.

The size of each treated area on a structure may be varied to suit the client's requirements.

Technical Data
The DuoGuard anode offers the significant advantage of running at relatively low driving voltages in impressed current mode versus the commonly used MMO anode. A polarisation curve for a DuoGuard™ 500 anode is shown below and demonstrates the high current densities possible at low drive voltages.

The lifetime of the unit can be estimated from knowledge of the anode composition and total current requirement: a unit of 110 x 18 mm can offer a lifetime of up to 50 years*.

*dependent on local site conditions, including chloride concentration, concrete properties, humidity and temperature.
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Technical Datasheet

DuoGuard™ Hybrid Anode™

Product Data

DuoGuard™ Dimensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DuoGuard</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Diameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>37 mm</td>
<td>18 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>77 mm</td>
<td>18 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>110 mm</td>
<td>18 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>165 mm</td>
<td>18 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>220 mm</td>
<td>18 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quantity of anodes per box: 25

Storage: Store dry. Do not allow contact with oxidizing materials.

Specification Clause

The discrete anode shall be DuoGuard, a sacrificial alloy anode with an integral titanium electrical connection which can operate in both impressed current distribution and sacrificial anode modes. The DuoGuard anode shall be embedded in DuoCrete SD mortar.

Ancillary Material

The following ancillary materials are also available from CPT Ltd:

- DuoCrete SD embedding mortar
- MN15 Manganese dioxide reference electrodes
- Monitoring equipment

Limitations

In order that suitable current flow and lifetime be achieved from the DuoGuard anode, certain practical considerations should be taken into account.

The patch repair material cover for the DuoGuard unit must be a minimum depth of 20 mm. When installed in a patch repair, the resistivity of the repair material should be in the range 50-200% of the parent concrete.

Any discontinuous steel should be either electrically bonded to or electrically isolated from the system negative.

Any cracks or delaminations in the concrete which affect ionic current flow will affect performance of the DuoGuard unit and should thus be pre-treated.

During installation, electrical shorts between the DuoGuard anode and other metal components must be avoided.

The time to achieve passivity will be dependent on site conditions. Depolarisation of treated steel will be slower in moist conditions.

Health and Safety

Protective clothing must be worn. Wear gloves and eye protection at all times.

Design of the DuoGuard system should be undertaken by a competent designer.

Contact Details

For technical and sales support please contact us at;

E-mail: nigeld@cp-tech.co.uk

Address: EnterpriseLab, The Sir Colin Campbell Building University of Nottingham Innovation Park Triumph Road Nottingham NG7 2TU

Telephone: +44 (0)115 8229 430
Fax: +44 (0)115 9220 316

www.cp-tech.co.uk

© Concrete Preservation Technologies Ltd (2012). The information contained herein is to the best of our knowledge accurate and current and is given in good faith but no liability can be assumed by the company for any damage, loss, injury or patent infringement arising from its use. The company cannot cover the conditions of use and application of its products and any warranty, written or implied covers material only.
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ABSTRACT

Monitoring the performance of systems installed to protect against/halt the corrosion of reinforcing steel in concrete is essential in ensuring that the reinforcing bar (rebar) is adequately protected and remains in a non-corroding state. A chloride contaminated concrete test block containing a hybrid anode system has been periodically monitored over the past 4.5 years to assess the anode current output and thus, the protection offered to the steel. Depolarisation and impedance analysis data have been acquired to calculate steel corrosion rates. Both tests indicated that the steel was passive in the concrete environment and that the anode was able to protect all of the embedded steel despite the difficult geometry of the sample. It was also found that after 2 years it was still possible to drive a high current from the installed anode.

INTRODUCTION

Electrochemical treatments can be used to halt steel reinforcement corrosion in concrete [1]. The available treatments have traditionally fallen into three general categories, either making
the environment at the steel surface less aggressive by increasing the pH (by generating hydroxide) removing aggressive ions or suppressing the corrosion reactions on the steel surface by permanently polarizing the steel [2,3,4].

In recent years, a hybrid anode system has been developed [5,6,7,8,9] which combines elements of all three approaches in that, for a short period a high current is driven from a discrete sacrificial anode to re-alkalise and re-passivate the steel and draw chloride to the anode (the Pit Re-alkalisation phase) before the same anode is connected directly to the steel to sustain hydroxide production and provide a galvanic current (the pH Maintenance Phase).

Following treatment by, or the installation of an electrochemical repair system, the owners of structures increasingly require a method of monitoring the condition of their structure and the system effectiveness. There are several ways of assessing the condition of the steel reinforcement ranging from indicative measurements such as the steel corrosion potential through to techniques which use a variety of methods to ascertain steel corrosion rate data. Methods of measuring the corrosion rate of steel include impedance analysis [10,11] and direct polarisation resistance analysis [12]. The techniques use different experimental methods to determine a steel corrosion rate.

The objective of the study was to assess the extent to which an installed sacrificial anode was protecting steel plate in a specially designed laboratory sample after both 2 and 4.5 years of service and to examine the ability of the anode to pass protective current from its surface.

**EXPERIMENTAL WORK**

A concrete block (380 x 270 x 220 mm) was cast with a 8:1 (all-in 20 mm aggregate:opc) mix at a w/c ratio of 0.6 containing 4% chloride by weight of cement. Two steel plates with an approximate surface area 0.125 m$^2$ each were positioned at the sides of the block and a hybrid anode (15 x 100 mm) was embedded into a 25 mm diameter x 130 mm deep hole drilled in the centre of the block using a proprietary mortar (Figure 1).

The steel potential when the anode was installed was -450 mV with respect to a Standard Calomel Electrode (SCE) and therefore almost certainly corroding.

To deliver an initial high-current electrochemical treatment (henceforth ‘the Pit Re-alkalisation phase) the anode and steel were connected to terminals of a 12V DC power supply for an 18 day period. From the logged data the total charged was calculated. Figure 3
shows the anode current density as a function of time during the first phase of the electrochemical treatment.

Following the Pit Re-alkalisation phase, the external power supply was removed and the anode was connected to the steel galvanically. Current output data was recorded at irregular intervals over a 4.5 year period (Figure 4), using a high impedance data logger or manual readings. In this manner it was possible to measure anode performance and estimate the charge passed. The temperature over the course of the first 2 years fluctuated between approximately 15 and 23°C and the laboratory air fluctuated between 60 and 85% relative humidity. After 2 years the block was moved to another location where the temperature varied between 5 and 25°C with a similar range of humidity.

**Tests conducted after two years of galvanic current**

*Corrosion rate of the steel – De-polarisation method*

By measuring the applied current density and the depolarised potential of the steel, the steel corrosion rate can be calculated [12]. The steel was disconnected from the anode and their potentials measured using two surface-mounted SCE and a data logger, until the steel was fully depolarised. The applied current density was measured before disconnecting and the IR drop measured with a data logger coupled to a reed switch, which enabled an ‘off’ potential measurement between 0.02 and 0.07 seconds after interrupting the galvanic current. The steel and anode depolarisation curves are shown in Figure 5. The potential shift and steel applied current density are used to calculate the open circuit steel corrosion rate using Equation (1) which relates applied current density ($i_{\text{appl}}$), potential shift ($\Delta E$) and corrosion rate ($i_{\text{corr}}$).

$$i_{\text{corr}} = \frac{i_{\text{appl}}}{\left(\exp\left(\frac{2.3\Delta E}{\beta_c}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{2.3\Delta E}{\beta_a}\right)\right)}$$

(1)

$\beta_a$ and $\beta_c$ represent the anodic and cathodic Tafel slopes respectively.

*Corrosion rate of the steel - Impedance analysis method*

The steel corrosion rate can be measured using impedance analysis to first determine the polarisation resistance [11]. Impedance (Z) is a frequency dependent resistance with a
magnitude and phase angle and is often represented as a frequency dependent x and y components mathematically described as real (Re) and imaginary (Im). The charge and potential transients measured are used to calculate the impedance at different frequencies using Equation 2

\[ Z(j\omega) = \text{Re}[Z] + j \text{Im}[Z] = \frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{\infty} \eta(t) \cos(\omega t) dt - j \frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{\infty} \eta(t) \sin(\omega t) dt \]

(2)

where \( \omega \) is the angular frequency, \( t \) is time, \( q \) is charge (current x time) and \( \eta(t) \) is the measured potential time transient. The results are shown graphically in Figure 6. The impedance plotted reflects the corrosion state of the steel at the concrete interface. The steel corrosion rate is calculated by dividing a constant (26 mV) by the value of the polarisation resistance measured at the Real (Re) axis.

A pulse of DC current was applied to the anode (coupled to the steel) for 1 second. The current was measured every 0.05 seconds during the pulse with a logging multimeter and from this the total charge passed was calculated. The resultant effect on the potential of the steel was recorded with a data logger at 1 second intervals using a SCE and can be seen in Figure 2.

Anode polarisation

To obtain the anode polarisation data a potentiostat and function generator were used to control and vary the potential of the anode relative to the potential of a reference electrode by passing current from the steel plate counter electrodes to the anode. The anode current, anode potential measured relative to the reference electrode while the current was flowing and the IR corrected potential of the anode were measured. The IR corrected potential subtracts the geometry dependent voltage drop between the anode and the reference electrode from the un-corrected anode potential.

The controlled potential was increased at a rate of 0.33 mV/s to approximately +2000 mV from its native potential relative to the reference electrode. The IR corrected curve in Figure 9 was obtained by momentarily interrupting the current for a period of not more than 0.15
seconds using a relay switch and measuring the potential between 0.02 and 0.05 after the initial interruption. All measurements were recorded using a high impedance data logger.

**Tests after 4.5 years of galvanic current**

The de-polarisation method was employed to attain corrosion rate data from the steel although only the steel de-polarisation was measured in this instance. A description of the method used can be seen above, with the only difference being that a salt bridge was used to measure the steel potential from the bottom of a ~100 mm hole drilled vertically into the block at a position between the two sheets of steel (Figure 7).

In order to assess the ‘throwing power’ of the anode, a surface mounted Cu/CuSO\(_4\) was used to measure steel depolarisation at the rear of one of the steel plates during the above depolarisation experiment. Potential readings were taken manually.

**RESULTS**

Figures 3 and 4 show the impressed and galvanic current phases of the treatment respectively. During the impressed current phase the charge was calculated from the current and time relationship and found to be around 69 kC. The galvanic charge delivered to the steel was 193 kC over the subsequent 4.5 year period. The current fell significantly over the first nine months of the treatment probably as a result of the drying of the concrete specimen and the build up of corrosion products around the anode which provided some resistance to current flow. The current density then reached a plateau of approximately 200 mA/m\(^2\).

Figure 5 shows the first hour of depolarisation for both the steel and the anode which was necessary to perform the corrosion rate measurements. The mixed potential measured \(-655\) mV (instant off = \(-593\) mV) when the steel was disconnected from the anode and after 10 hours, this potential had risen to \(-247\) mV, resulting in a depolarisation potential shift of 346 mV. The same test completed after 4.5 years gave a de-polarisation potential shift of 265 mV (Figure 7).

Figure 8 shows steel de-polarisation data measured from a Cu/CuSO\(_4\) reference electrode positioned so that it measured the steel potential at the rear of one of the steel plates. A mixed potential of 624 mV and a de-polarisation of \(~200\) mV was measured in the first 90 seconds following disconnection.
From Figures 5 and 6 it was possible to calculate the corrosion rate. After 2 years a depolarisation potential shift of 346 mV and a steel current density of 4.1 mA/m² indicated a steel corrosion rate of 0.0054 mA/m² (passive steel has a corrosion rate less than 1 mA/m²). This compares with a corrosion rate of 0.025 mA/m² taken from the impedance experiment, which was calculated from a pulse of 0.887 mA.s, resulting in an initial potential shift of 43 mV on the steel.

After 4.5 years, a potential shift of 265 mV and current density of 3.9 mA/m² indicated a corrosion rate of 0.0024 mA/m².

The anodic polarisation sweep is shown in Figure 9, for both uncorrected and IR corrected potentials. The native potential of the anode was approximately -960 mV. The marked difference between the two curves represents the effect of the concrete resistivity and resultant IR drop under the applied current.

**DISCUSSION**

Despite the aggressive nature of the concrete, both methods of measuring the steel corrosion rate indicated that the steel was passive, with less than 0.1 µm of steel loss per year, equating to less than 1 mm in 10,000 years. The difference in the calculated corrosion rate could be due to two main reasons; firstly, the impedance calculation may over-estimate the corrosion rate as the potential transient was only 500 seconds long and secondly, it is assumed that the cathodic Tafel slope remains constant at 120 during de-polarisation – with such a large de-polarisation this is questionable.

The measured corrosion rates are complimented by features of the data which also indicate steel passivity. For example, the relatively slow de-polarisation of the steel in such dry conditions suggested that corrosion activity at the steel surface was minimal. It was also noted that the open circuit steel potential had moved to more positive and therefore passive values, from the initial figure of -450 mV to -247 mV (relative to SCE) after 2 years and -339 mV after 4.5 years. The significant difference between the de-polarised potentials could be due to the different conditions the sample was stored in after 2 years and where the reference cell was positioned during the experiments (see experimental detail).

Equation (1) was used to plot the corrosion rate at a variety of potential shifts for a current density of 4.1 mA/m² and from this data Figure 8 was produced. A potential shift of ~73 mV
or more would have been needed to signify passive steel at the applied current density by current industry standards [13]. Approximately 70 mV would have been required for the applied current density of 3.9 mA/m².

The de-polarisation shown in Figure 8 shows that the installed anode is able to throw significant protective current to the rear of the installed steel plates. If an IR drop of 114 mV is considered then the steel de-polarises by 80 mV in the 90 seconds following disconnection from the anode, also indicating passivity. This observation highlights the ability of galvanic anodes to distribute current to difficult geometries.

Anode polarisation behaviour is shown in Figure 9. The anode current density is estimated from the anode surface area when the system was installed although metal dissolution will have reduced the surface area of the anode, making this a conservative estimate. The high current density passed from the anode surface is generated by its relatively negative potential and weakly polarised anodic reaction kinetics. This shows that the anode surface is still active and able to pass significant current to protect the steel. A small positive potential shift in the anode results in the delivery of a high current density to the steel (a 50 mV potential shift = 350 mA/m²), which means that after two years of galvanic treatment, re-application of the Pit Re-alkalisation phase would still drive a large current density from the anode to the steel and could be used to re-passivate steel if corrosion had re-initiated.

CONCLUSIONS

1. A two-stage electrochemical treatment using the same sacrificial anode to deliver a temporary DC impressed current phase and a subsequent galvanic phase has been successful in halting steel corrosion and maintaining passivity in a chloride contaminated concrete block for more than 4.5 years. This was confirmed by low corrosion rate measurements taken from both impedance analysis and de-polarisation experiments and was indicated by the significantly more positive steel potential and slow steel depolarisation.

2. Significant de-polarisation measured at the rear of the steel plate shows that the installed sacrificial anode is able to pass protective current to the steel even when it is positioned in difficult geometries.
3. The polarisation experiment showed that after 2 years it is still possible to drive a high current density from the zinc anode, meaning that the impressed current phase of the treatment can be re-applied should the need arise. The anode surface remains active in the chloride contaminated concrete environment.
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FIGURES

Figure 1. Block configuration details showing position of anode and steel plates.
Figure 2. Potential/time transient following the 1 second current pulse

Figure 3. The anode current density over the 18 day impressed current period.
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Figure 4. The galvanic anode current density over a 4.5 year period.

Figure 5. Depolarisation curves for the anode and steel after 2 years.
Figure 6. Plot of impedance at different frequencies after 2 years.

Figure 7. Depolarisation curve of the steel after 4.5 years.
Figure 8. Steel de-polarisation measured from the rear of the steel plate.

Figure 9. Polarisation behaviour of the anode with and without IR drop correction
Figure 10. Corrosion rate calculated as a function of potential at an applied current density of 4.1mA/m².
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ABSTRACT

This work examines the current response of installed ‘hybrid’ (combined impressed current and sacrificial) anodes to changes in environmental conditions and investigates the effect of this ‘responsive behavior’ on anode lifespan. The behavior reported is also discussed in terms of its correlation with the two reported models regarding the criteria for steel protection/passivity in concrete. The study showed that current passed by the installed anode was responsive to changes in temperature, moisture and concrete chloride content during both the impressed current and galvanic phases of the hybrid treatment. This meant that anode life was conserved in benign conditions and shortened when the concrete environment was aggressive. It was also seen that a high current density impressed current treatment ‘activated’ the installed anode, allowing it to pass a much higher current during the galvanic phase of the treatment. The data collected fits better with the Acidification - Pit Re-alkalization model for corrosion monitoring of steel in atmospheric concrete, rather than the Pitting Potential - Repassivation model used frequently in the industry.

Key words: Hybrid anode, sacrificial anode, re-alkalization, re-passivation, responsive behavior, galvanic, pitting, impressed current, hydroxide,

INTRODUCTION

Over the last fifteen years there has been a dramatic increase in the use of sacrificial galvanic anodes in the repair of corrosion damaged steel in concrete. Traditional galvanic anodes are used in topical applications following patch repairs, where they are attached to the steel and immersed in the repair mortar or concrete. The aim of these anodes is to counteract the ‘incipient anode effect’ which can cause reinforcement corrosion due to residual chloride in adjacent unrepaired concrete

In recent years, a hybrid anode system has been introduced (Figure 1). During treatment a sacrificial anode is used as both an impressed current and galvanic anode. Initially, a constant voltage power supply is used to drive a high current from the installed anode to re-passivate the steel and draw chloride to the anode (the Pit Re-alkalization phase). The same anode is then connected directly to the steel to provide maintenance free cathodic protection by means of a galvanic current (High pH Maintenance phase). Instead of being installed in the patch repair, the anodes are placed in adjacent un-repaired concrete to counteract the anodic behavior of the steel.
It has been reported\textsuperscript{4} that the current passed by galvanic anodes on site installations fluctuates depending on the local weather conditions and the time of year. This is due to changes in concrete resistivity brought about by fluctuations in temperature and moisture content.

Concrete resistivity has been used by several authors to evaluate the risk of steel reinforcement corrosion\textsuperscript{5,6,7,8}. These authors propose relationships between measured concrete resistivity and active corrosion, as well as the chloride concentration threshold for concrete of different resistivities. These studies have focused on the influence of concrete resistivity on steel corrosion rates, with respect to humidity/moisture, concrete structure, and chloride concentrations. None of these papers discussed responsive current behavior when considering galvanic cathodic protection, where concrete resistivity has an important part to play in the effectiveness and longevity of the system.

The objective of the work was to investigate the effect that concrete environmental conditions (moisture, temperature and chloride) have on current delivery during the hybrid anode treatment and discuss the benefits of such behavior with regards to anode utilization and lifespan. A secondary objective was to examine the effect of the initial high current density treatment on the protective current passed during the subsequent galvanic phase of the hybrid treatment and relate these results to an alternative model for steel protection/passivity criteria.
EXPERIMENTAL

In total, 10 concrete blocks of 2 different designs were made during the study to study the effect of the environment on the current passed by the installed anodes. The zinc/steel anode block detailed below was designed to examine the steel and zinc anode response to environmental factors, whereas the 9 blocks with varying chloride levels were designed to assess the effect of different chloride levels and exposure regimes as well as the effect of an impressed current treatment on anode current output.

A concrete block, dimensions 600 x 120 x 120 mm was cast in November 2009. A 6:1 aggregate to cement mix with a 0.32 water to cement ratio was used. Two recesses were created on the top of the mold to house the anodes in a chloride (NaCl) contaminated mortar. A 20 mm rebar section was cast in centrally 30 mm from the base of the mold (Figure 2). The cylindrical anodes were cut to size in order that their surface areas were the same +/- 5%. Both were in a non-corroded state when they were cast into the mortar. Steel connections were made by riveting titanium wire into a drilled hole and painting over the connections with an epoxy primer. The anode currents were logged, as with all other data, using a Campbell Scientific CR200 data-logger.

A mortar to house the anodes was prepared with a chloride content of 5% by weight of cement, using the same mix ratios as for the bulk concrete, but using sharp sand instead of an aggregate mix. The block was de-molded after 2 days and left to dry in the laboratory for 90 days before being placed outdoors.
In a separate experiment, 9 concrete samples with an 8:1 aggregate to OPC mix, at a water to cement ratio of 0.6 were prepared in late December 2007. The samples were made with 0, 1, 2.5 or 5 % chloride by weight of cement (2 x 0 wt%, 2 x 1 wt%, 3 x 2.5 wt% and 2 x 5 wt%). The samples were cast in wooden molds with the 15 mm diameter mild steel rebar being fed in from the centre at one end. The approximate surface area of the steel was 230 cm$^2$ (Figure 3). The samples were removed from the molds and left to cure in the laboratory (12-20°C, ~50-70% Relative Humidity). After a period of six months the cylindrical anode (L:14.5 W:17 mm) was installed in a drilled hole. The anode was held in place with an activating mortar.

For 7 days a 12V power supply was used to deliver the impressed current (pit re-alkalization) phase of the treatment before the anode was connected to the steel galvanically by a stainless steel rivet. One of the 2.5 wt% Cl blocks did not receive the pit re-alkalization treatment and the anode was connected to the steel galvanically throughout. Following initial treatment, the blocks were placed outdoors. In May 2009, 4 of the 9 blocks were placed indoors (i.e., one of each chloride level in the two regimes) with the 2.5 wt% galvanic block remaining outdoors. A data-logger was used to record the galvanic current and the indoor and outdoor temperatures and rainfall/meteorological events were also recorded.
Figure 3. Test block schematic.

RESULTS

Figure 4 shows current density date from a 167 day period between November 2009 and May 2010 for zinc and steel anodes embedded in a chloride contaminated mortar.
Figure 4. Zinc and steel anode current response to environmental factors.

Figure 5 (taken from the data used in Figure 4) shows the effect of significant precipitation on the protective current being passed by the installed anodes, with both the zinc and steel anode current density increasing significantly after rainfall.

Figure 5. The effect of rainfall and temperature fluctuations on zinc/steel anode current.

The recorded current densities over a 29 day period for the 5 outdoor and 4 indoor blocks can be seen in Figures 6 and 7. Figure 8 illustrates the difference in anode current density between samples kept indoors and outdoors containing 2.5 and 0% chloride by weight of cement respectively.
Figure 6. Current density/temperature plot for the outdoor blocks.
Figure 7. Current density/temperature plot for the indoor blocks.
Figure 8. Current of indoor/outdoor blocks, containing 0 and 2.5% chloride by weight of cement.

Table 1. Charge passed as a function of chloride content for indoor and outdoor blocks in galvanic mode during the Pit Re-alkalization treatment and a 29 day galvanic period some 297 days later.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>wt% Chloride</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2.5</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>2.5 Galv.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indoor Samples</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge passed during Re-alkalization Phase (C)</td>
<td>10400</td>
<td>70000</td>
<td>72600</td>
<td>127800</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge passed during a 29-day Galvanic Phase (C)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outdoor Samples</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge passed during Re-alkalization Phase (C)</td>
<td>8500</td>
<td>41500</td>
<td>63000</td>
<td>168900</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge passed during a 29-day Galvanic Phase (C)</td>
<td>19.25</td>
<td>65.80</td>
<td>143.09</td>
<td>518.6</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Effect of the Pit Re-alkalization Treatment on Anode Current Density

Figure 9 shows the anode current density during the pit re-alkalization phase of the hybrid treatment and Figure 11 shows the anode current density over a 29 day period some 297 days later. The purely galvanic block (no impressed current phase) containing 2.5% chloride by weight of cement was used as a control sample.

After 10 months in galvanic mode, the current being passed by a hybrid anode in the sample containing 2.5 wt% chloride is similar to that of a block containing no mixed-in chloride which was subjected to the pit re-alkalization treatment (Figure 10). In contrast, a 2.5% chloride block subjected to the impressed current phase is able to provide 3 to 4 times as much protective current as a purely galvanic block in an identical environment.

![Figure 9. Current passed to the steel during the pit re-alkalization phase of the hybrid treatment](image)
DISCUSSION

The aggressivity of the concrete surrounding the steel can be examined in terms of its resistance to current flow, which is affected by the concrete mix and condition, but also by dynamic factors such as moisture, temperature and chloride content\(^1\). Varying any of these conditions will have an effect on the resistance of the cell and in accordance with Ohm’s law in equation (1) the current passed will change.

\[
I = \frac{E}{R}
\]  

(1)

Where \(I\) = Current (Amps)

\(E\) = Potential (Volts) and

\(R\) = Resistance (Ohms).
Taking an electronic circuit approach, each of these factors can be seen as a variable resistor in an electrical circuit (Figure 11), with the 3 variable resistances making up the total resistivity of the concrete. This forms a convenient method of discussing the fluctuations in current output when concrete samples are placed in different environmental conditions.

Figure 11. The variable resistance of concrete in a galvanic cell, represented by the variable resistances of the contributing concrete conditions.

**Anode Current Responsive Behavior**

Figures 4 to 8 all show the anode current density response to fluctuations in hourly/daily temperature, with the anode current output following these fluctuations closely unless rainfall reduces the resistivity of the concrete in the outdoor samples (Figure 5).

The effect of precipitation on concrete resistivity clearly depends on the water content of the concrete prior to any subsequent wetting (Figure 5). After being kept in dry laboratory conditions for ~86 days, rainfall in the first 3 days of outdoor exposure had the effect of greatly reducing the concrete resistivity/increasing the current output of both anodes, despite the dramatic reduction in average temperature (~15 to 4°C). Similarly, when 7 mm of rain fell on day 119, significantly wetting the block after a week where no rain fell, the effect on the steel current density was much greater than when ~14 mm of rain fell 6 days later. This is likely to be because the block had retained significant moisture from the earlier rainfall.
Conversely, a spell of dry weather between days 139 and 154 caused a gradual tail-off in anode current density due to increasing concrete resistivity and therefore reduced corrosion risk.

Overall, Figures 4 and 5 show that the hybrid anode is capable of passing significant current to protect the steel when the conditions demand it, but that anode life will be preserved when the environment becomes less aggressive. It can also be said that whereas the data for the zinc anode represents the response of galvanic protection current to environmental changes, the current data collected from the steel anode represents fluctuations in steel corrosion rate in response to the same changes.

It is clear from the results of the indoor and outdoor blocks in Figures 6 and 7 that the resistivity of the concrete and therefore current delivered to the steel is heavily dependent on the amount of chloride in the concrete mix. The 0 wt% chloride block had the lowest current flow followed by the blocks with 1, 2.5 and 5 wt% chloride that had received the pit re-alkalization treatment. It is also clear that the amount of chloride in the concrete determined the degree to which the current was affected by temperature fluctuations, with the Outdoor 0 wt% Cl block showing variations of up to ~20 mA/m² and the block with 5 wt% Cl showing variations of up to ~250 mA/m².

What is not is not plainly evident in Figures 6 and 7 is the difference in magnitude of the current fluctuation as a result of the outdoor conditions. Figure 8 represents two sets of two blocks containing the same amount of chloride, one of which has been left outdoors. The outdoor blocks delivered ~4 times more current than the equivalent indoor 0 wt% blocks and 2.5 times more current for the equivalent 2.5 wt% blocks.

The Effect of Responsive Behavior on Anode Lifespan

The effect of responsive behavior on anode life is indicated by the current/time plots seen in Figures 4 to 8, but is best represented by examining the charge passed to the steel by anodes that are in different environments because in hybrid/sacrificial systems the charge passed is directly related to metal consumption and therefore anode lifespan.

The current response during a 12V Pit Re-alkalization treatment can be seen in Figure 10. The charge passed during the treatment increases with the aggressivity of the environment and, as a result, so does the amount of anode material consumed.
The charge passed by the anodes during a 29 day period some 297 days later can be seen in Table 1. The outdoor blocks demonstrate a clear relationship between chloride content and charge passed, although the indoor blocks gave anomalous results, possibly due to the lack of significant moisture overriding the influence of chloride in the concrete. It can also be seen that the wetter the concrete (in the outdoor blocks) the greater the charge passed by the zinc anode whilst the steel anode corrodes at a greater rate. The data clearly shows that by only delivering the current when it is needed, the anode is more efficiently utilized.

**The Effect of the Pit Re-alkalization Treatment on Anode Current Output**

Figures 9 and 10 clearly show the effect of the impressed current (pit re-alkalization) treatment on the current output of the hybrid anode and its response to changes in environmental conditions. A purely galvanic block (no re-alkalization phase) containing 2.5 wt% chloride by weight of cement had a similar current output to that of a block with no mixed-in chloride which had undergone the re-alkalization treatment (Figure 10). Removing the pit re-alkalization treatment also has a huge influence on the charge that an anode is able to pass. A 2.5 wt% chloride block which had not received the pit re-alkalization treatment passed 17.6 C during a 29 day period whereas a block that had received the treatment passed 143.1 C during the same period some 11 months after the initial treatment.

The increase in anode current density and therefore charge passed is due to the effect that the re-alkalization treatment has on both the surface of the embedded anode and the steel that it protects. During such a high current density treatment, there is a greatly increased transfer of ionic species through the pore structure of the concrete. Free chloride ions are drawn to the embedded anode and hydroxide ions are drawn to the steel surface. The Cl\(^-\) ions have the effect of aiding the oxidation reaction at the anode surface, whereas the OH\(^-\) ions generated at the surface of the steel create an alkaline environment which re-passivates corroding areas by neutralizing the acid required for continued corrosion\(^4\). The combined effect of the reactions at the steel and anode surfaces is that the steel potential moves towards passive values whereas the anode potential moves to more negative values. This ‘separation’ of potentials (the driving voltage) will fluctuate with the changing resistivity of the concrete during the galvanic phase, becoming greater when the corrosion risk is high (i.e., when it is needed) and smaller when the corrosion risk is low. High driving voltages are difficult to achieve with a purely galvanic anode, as modification of the steel/anode surfaces is minor at such low current densities.
Modelling the Protective Effects of Responsive Behavior

A comparison of the two theories regarding the criteria for steel protection/passivity when being treated by a cathodic protection system has previously been published\(^3\). In the European standard BS EN 12696\(^9\), a Pitting Potential - Repassivation model is described (Figure 12). The model states that protection of the reinforcement is achieved by negatively polarizing the steel to a point below the repassivation potential for a given chloride content and holding it there to reduce corrosion to negligible levels.

Figure 12. Regions of corrosion suggested by the Pitting Potential – Repassivation model and corresponding pitting behavior for a given chloride content\(^9\).

An alternative theory has been put forward by Glass et al\(^3\), which suggests that halting corrosion sites by increasing the pH (by hydroxide generation) at the steel/concrete interface is an important pre-requisite to attaining steel passivity using cathodic protection current densities. He suggests that a temporary high current density treatment can be used to increase the pH at the edges of anodic sites, thus gradually shrinking the area of dissolution until the passive film is restored. This is termed the Acidification – Pit Re-alkalization model.

The proposed protection methods are distinguished from one another in Figure 13, which is a thermodynamic model based on the Pourbaix diagram for iron and its oxides in water. The Pitting Potential - Re-passivation model suggests that protection is achieved by lowering the steel potential and no emphasis is put on modifying the steel surface. The Acidification – Pit Re-alkalization model however suggests that increasing the pH/changing the environment at the steel to halt corrosion is the main aim of the treatment.
Figure 13. Thermodynamic model for corrosion initiation and arrest (iron and its oxides in water)\textsuperscript{10}.

On balance, the responsive behavior argument appears to fit better with the Acidification - Pit Re-alkalization model than the Pitting potential – Repassivation model, because the former allows the installed anodes to pass an intermittent high current when demanded (by a high corrosion risk/low concrete resistivity), but pass very little current when the corrosion risk is low, whilst still adequately protecting the steel. This is true for both treatment phases, for example, when the pit re-alkalization phase is applied in aggressive conditions a high charge will be passed and more of the sacrificial anode consumed, but more hydroxide will be produced at the steel surface (to re-alkalize corroding sites) and more chloride drawn to the anode (making the environment at the steel less aggressive and activating the anode). Following the re-alkalization treatment, chemical changes at the anode/steel surface mean that a greatly increased protective current can be driven during the galvanic phase, providing protection to the steel under a variety of concrete conditions. In contrast, to achieve
passivation using the Pitting Potential – Repassivation model a constant current is required to suppress the steel corrosion reaction.

CONCLUSIONS

1. The responsive behavior of sacrificial anodes allows their protective effect to be used more efficiently. Anode life is extended in more benign environments and shortened in more aggressive environments.

2. A brief high current can be driven off a sacrificial anode in aggressive conditions. The current and therefore charge that is driven off the anode to arrest corrosion also responds to the aggressive nature of the environment when a constant voltage power supply is used to deliver the current.

3. The impressed current in the pit re-alkalization phase activates the sacrificial anode by drawing chloride in the concrete to the anode. This can be seen by the greatly increased current in anodes which have received the re-alkalization treatment compared to those that have not.

4. The current output from galvanic anodes responds positively to changes in the aggressive nature of the environment, conserving charge when the environment is benign but able to pass high current in aggressive conditions. The model that on balance provides the best explanation for the utilization of this responsive current output is the pit re-alkalization/pH maintenance model where the main protective effect is the generation of inhibitive hydroxide ions on the steel.
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ABSTRACT
This work examines the current response of installed ‘hybrid’ (combined impressed current and sacrificial) anodes to changes in environmental conditions and investigates the effect of this ‘responsive behavior’ on anode lifespan. The behavior reported is also discussed in terms of its correlation with the two reported models regarding the criteria for steel protection in concrete. The study showed that current passed by the installed anode was responsive to changes in concrete temperature, moisture and chloride content during both the impressed current and galvanic phases of the hybrid treatment. This meant that anode life was conserved in benign conditions and shortened when the concrete environment was aggressive. It was also seen that a high current density impressed current treatment ‘activated’ the installed anode, allowing it to pass a much higher current during the galvanic phase of the treatment. The data collected fits better with the Acidification/Pit Re-alkalization model for corrosion monitoring of steel in atmospheric concrete, rather than the Pitting Potential/Repassivation Potential model used frequently in the industry.

Key words: Hybrid anode, sacrificial anode, re-alkalization, re-passivation, responsive behavior, galvanic, pitting, impressed current, hydroxide.

INTRODUCTION

Over the last fifteen years there has been a dramatic increase in the use of sacrificial galvanic anodes in the repair of corrosion damaged steel in concrete. Galvanic anodes are used in topical applications following patch repairs, where they are attached to the steel and immersed in the repair mortar or concrete. The aim of these anodes is to counteract the ‘incipient anode’ or ‘ring anode’ effect which can cause reinforcement corrosion due to residual chloride in adjacent unrepaired concrete\(^1\).

In recent years, a hybrid anode system\(^2,3\) has been introduced (Figure 1). During treatment a sacrificial anode is used as both an impressed current and galvanic anode. Initially, a constant voltage power supply is used to drive a high current from the installed anode to re-passivate the steel and draw chloride to the anode (Pit Re-alkalization phase). The same anode is then connected directly to the steel to provide maintenance free cathodic protection by means of a galvanic current (High pH Maintenance phase). Instead of being installed in the patch repair, the anodes are placed in adjacent un-repaired concrete to counteract the anodic behavior of the steel.
Figure 1. The two stages of the hybrid anode treatment; a) Impressed current/Pit re-alkalisation b) High pH maintenance.

It has been reported\textsuperscript{4} that the current passed by galvanic anodes on site installations fluctuates depending on the local weather conditions and the time of year. This is due to changes in concrete resistivity brought about by fluctuations in temperature and moisture content.

Concrete resistivity has been used by several authors to evaluate the risk of steel reinforcement corrosion\textsuperscript{5,6,7,8}. These authors propose relationships between measured concrete resistivity and active corrosion, as well as the chloride concentration threshold for concrete of different resistivities. These studies have focused on the influence of concrete resistivity on steel corrosion rates, with respect to humidity/moisture, concrete structure, and chloride concentrations. None of these papers discussed current response to resistance changes in the concrete when considering galvanic cathodic protection, where concrete resistivity has an important part to play in the effectiveness and longevity of the system.

The objective of the work was to investigate the effect that concrete environmental conditions (moisture, temperature and chloride) have on current delivery during the hybrid anode treatment and discuss the benefits of such behavior with regards to anode utilization and lifespan. A secondary objective was to examine the effect of the initial high current density treatment on the protective current passed during the subsequent galvanic phase of the hybrid treatment and relate these results to an alternative hypothesis regarding steel protection criteria.

**EXPERIMENTAL**
A concrete block, dimensions 600 x 120 x 120 mm was cast in November 2009. A 6:1 aggregate to cement mix with a 0.32 water to cement ratio was used. Two recesses were created on the top of the mold to house the anodes in a chloride (NaCl) contaminated mortar which was prepared with a chloride content of 5% by weight of cement, using the same mix ratios as for the bulk concrete, but using sharp sand instead of an aggregate mix. A 20 mm rebar section was cast in centrally 30 mm from the base of the mold (Figure 2). The cylindrical anodes were cut to size (65 x 15 mm) in order that their surface areas were the same +/- 5%. Both were in a non-corroded state when they were cast into the mortar. Steel connections were made by riveting titanium wire into a drilled hole and painting over the connections with an epoxy primer. The anode currents were recorded using a data-logger.

![Figure 2. Embedded zinc/steel anode block; a) side elevation, b) plan elevation.](image)

The block was de-moulded after 2 days and left to dry in the laboratory for 90 days before being placed outdoors. Temperature and rainfall data was obtained retrospectively from a public domain source.

In a separate experiment, 9 concrete samples with an 8:1 aggregate to OPC mix, at a water to cement ratio of 0.6 were prepared. The samples were made with 0, 1, 2.5 or 5% chloride by weight of cement (2 x 0 wt%, 2 x 1 wt%, 3 x 2.5 wt% and 2 x 5 wt%) and cast in wooden molds with a 15 mm diameter mild steel rebar being fed in from the centre at one end. The approximate surface area of the steel was 230 cm$^2$ (Figure 3). The samples were removed from the molds and left to cure in the laboratory (12-20°C, ~50-70% Relative Humidity). After
a period of six months the cylindrical anode (L: 14.5 W: 17 mm) was installed in a drilled hole. The anode was held in place with encapsulating mortar.

For 7 days a 12V power supply was used to deliver the impressed current phase of the treatment before the anode was connected to the steel galvanically by a stainless steel rivet. One of the 2.5 wt% Cl blocks did not receive the impressed current treatment and the anode was connected to the steel galvanically throughout. Following initial treatment, the blocks were placed outdoors. In May 2009, 4 of the 9 blocks were brought indoors (i.e., one of each chloride level in the two regimes) with the 2.5 wt% galvanic block remaining outdoors. A data-logger was used to record the galvanic current and the indoor and outdoor temperatures and rainfall/meteorological events were also recorded.

Figure 3. Varied chloride block details; a) side elevation, b) plan elevation.

RESULTS

Figure 4 shows the effect of precipitation and daily temperature fluctuations on the protective current being passed by the installed anodes some 20 days after being placed outdoors. Both the zinc and steel anode current density increase significantly after rainfall.
Figure 4. The effect of rainfall and temperature fluctuations on zinc and steel anode currents over a 13 day period.

The recorded current densities over a 29 day period for the 5 outdoor and 4 indoor blocks can be seen in Figures 5 and 6. Figure 7 illustrates the difference in anode current density between samples kept indoors and outdoors containing 2.5 and 0% chloride by weight of cement, respectively.

Table 1. Charge Passed as a Function of Chloride Content for Indoor and Outdoor Blocks in Galvanic Mode During the Pit Re-alkalization Treatment and a 29 Day Galvanic Period some 297 Days Later.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>wt% Chloride</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2.5</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>2.5 Galv.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge passed during Re-alkalization Phase (C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor Samples</td>
<td>10400</td>
<td>70000</td>
<td>72600</td>
<td>127800</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Samples</td>
<td>8500</td>
<td>41500</td>
<td>63000</td>
<td>168900</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge passed during a 29-day Galvanic Phase (C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor Samples</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Samples</td>
<td>19.25</td>
<td>65.80</td>
<td>143.09</td>
<td>518.6</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5. Current density/temperature plot for the outdoor blocks between day 304 and day 339.

Figure 6. Current density/temperature plot for the indoor blocks between day 304 and 339.
Figure 7. Current of indoor/outdoor blocks, containing 0 and 2.5% chloride by weight of cement.

Figure 8. Current passed to the steel during the pit re-alkalization phase of the hybrid treatment.
Figure 9. Anode current density after approximately 10 months in galvanic mode.

Effect of the Impressed Current Treatment on Anode Current Density

Figure 8 shows the anode current density during the impressed current phase of the hybrid treatment and Figure 9 shows the anode current density over a 29 day period some 297 days later. The purely galvanic block (no impressed current phase) containing 2.5% chloride by weight of cement was used as a control sample.

After 10 months in galvanic mode, the current being passed by a hybrid anode in the sample containing 2.5 wt% chloride is similar to that of a block containing no mixed-in chloride which was subjected to the impressed current treatment (Figure 9). In contrast, a 2.5% chloride block subjected to the impressed current phase is able to provide 3 to 4 times as much protective current as a purely galvanic block in an identical environment.

DISCUSSION

The Effect of the Pit Re-alkalization Treatment on Anode Current Output

Figures 8 and 9 clearly show the effect of the impressed current (pit re-alkalization) treatment on the current output of the hybrid anode and its response to changes in environmental conditions. A purely galvanic block (no re-alkalization phase) containing 2.5 wt% chloride by weight of cement had a similar current output to that of a block with no mixed-in chloride
which had undergone the re-alkalization treatment (Figure 9). Removing the pit re-alkalization treatment also has a huge influence on the charge that an anode is able to pass. A 2.5 wt% chloride block which had not received the pit re-alkalization treatment passed 17.6 C during a 29 day period whereas a block that had received the treatment passed 143.1 C during the same period some 11 months after the initial treatment.

The increase in anode current density and therefore charge passed is due to the effect that the re-alkalization treatment has on both the surface of the embedded anode and the steel that it protects. During such a high current density treatment, there is a greatly increased transfer of ionic species through the pore structure of the concrete. Un-bound chloride ions are drawn to the embedded anode and hydroxide ions are drawn to the steel surface. The Cl$^-$ ions have the effect of aiding the oxidation reaction at the anode surface, whereas the OH$^-$ ions generated at the surface of the steel create an alkaline environment which repassivates corroding areas by neutralizing the acid required for continued corrosion. The combined effect of the reactions at the steel and anode surfaces is that the steel potential moves towards passive values whereas the anode potential moves to more negative values. This 'separation' of potentials (the driving voltage) will fluctuate with the changing resistivity of the concrete during the galvanic phase, becoming greater when the corrosion risk is high (i.e., when it is needed) and smaller when the corrosion risk is low. High driving voltages are difficult to achieve with a purely galvanic anode, as modification of the steel/anode surfaces is minor at such low current densities.

**Anode Current Responsive Behavior**

The aggressivity of the concrete surrounding the steel and the anode concrete interface can be examined in terms of its resistance to current flow, which is affected by the concrete mix and condition, but also by dynamic factors such as moisture, temperature and chloride content. Varying any of these conditions will have an effect on the resistance of the cell and in accordance with Ohm’s law the current passed will change.

Taking an electronic circuit approach, each of these factors can be seen as a variable resistor in an electrical circuit (Figure 10), with the 3 variable resistances making up the total resistivity of the concrete. This forms a convenient method of discussing the fluctuations in current output when concrete samples are placed in different environmental conditions.
Figures 4 to 7 all show the anode current density response to fluctuations in hourly/daily temperature, with the anode current output following these fluctuations closely unless rainfall reduces the resistivity of the concrete in the outdoor samples.

The effect of precipitation on concrete resistivity clearly depends on the water content of the concrete prior to any subsequent wetting (Figure 4). When rain fell on day 119, wetting the block after a week where no rain fell, the effect on the steel current density was considerably greater than when a larger amount of rain fell on day 124. This is likely to be because the block had retained significant moisture from the earlier rainfall.

Overall, Figure 4 shows that the hybrid anode is capable of passing significant current to protect the steel when the conditions demand it, but that anode life will be preserved when the environment becomes less aggressive.

It is clear from the results of the indoor and outdoor blocks in Figures 5 and 6 that the resistivity of the concrete and therefore current delivered to the steel is dependent on the amount of chloride in the concrete mix. In the outdoor blocks the 0 wt% chloride sample had the lowest current flow, followed by the blocks with 1, 2.5 and 5 wt% chloride that had received the pit re-alkalization treatment. The indoor blocks gave anomalous results with the 2.5 and 5 wt% blocks having similar current densities. It is probable that this is due to the lack of significant moisture overriding the influence of chloride in the concrete in such a dry environment. It is also clear that the amount of chloride in the concrete determined the
degree to which the current was affected by temperature fluctuations, with the Outdoor 0 wt% Cl block showing variations of up to ~20 mA/m² and the block with 5 wt% Cl showing variations of up to ~250 mA/m². What is not plainly evident in Figures 5 and 6 is the difference in magnitude of the current fluctuation as a result of the outdoor conditions. Figure 8 represents two sets of two blocks containing the same amount of chloride, one of which has been left outdoors. The outdoor blocks delivered ~4 times more current than the equivalent indoor 0 wt% blocks and 2.5 times more current for the equivalent 2.5 wt% blocks.

**The Effect of Responsive Behavior on Anode Lifespan**

The effect of responsive behavior on anode life is indicated by the current/time plots seen in Figures 4 to 7, but is best represented by examining the charge passed to the steel by anodes that are in different environments because in hybrid/sacrificial systems the charge passed is directly related to metal consumption and therefore anode lifespan.

The current response during a 12V Pit Re-alkalization treatment can be seen in Figure 9. The charge passed during the treatment increases with the aggressivity of the environment and, as a result, so does the amount of anode material consumed.

The charge passed by the anodes during a 29 day period some 297 days later can be seen in Table 1. The outdoor blocks (Figure 5) demonstrate a clear relationship between chloride content and charge passed and it can be seen that the wetter the concrete (in the outdoor blocks) the greater the charge passed by the zinc anode whilst the steel anode corrodes at a greater rate. The data clearly shows that by only delivering the current when it is needed, the anode is more efficiently utilized.

**Modeling the Protective Effects of Responsive Behavior**

A comparison of the two hypotheses regarding the criteria for steel protection/passivity when being treated by a cathodic protection system has previously been published. In the current European standard (Figure 11), a Pitting Potential/Repassivation Potential hypothesis is described which states that protection of the reinforcement is achieved by negatively polarizing the steel to a point below the repassivation potential for a given chloride content.
An alternative theory has been put forward by Glass et al\textsuperscript{3}, which suggests that halting corrosion sites by increasing the pH (by hydroxide generation) at the steel/concrete interface is an important pre-requisite to attaining steel passivity using cathodic protection current densities. He suggests that a temporary high current density treatment can be used to increase the pH at the edges of anodic sites, thus gradually shrinking the area of dissolution until the passive film is restored. This is termed the Acidification/Pit Re-alkalization hypothesis.

The Pitting Potential/Re-passivation Potential hypothesis suggests that protection is achieved by lowering the steel potential (zone D to zone B in Figure 11) and no emphasis is put on modifying the steel surface. The Acidification/Pit Re-alkalization model however suggests that increasing the pH/changing the environment at the steel to halt corrosion is the main aim of the treatment (point \textit{a} to point \textit{c} in Figure 12) with a positive steel potential shift often being measured as a secondary effect\textsuperscript{3,4,10,11}.

Figure 11. Regions of corrosion suggested by the Pitting Potential – Repassivation model and corresponding pitting behavior for a given chloride content\textsuperscript{9}.

Figure 12. The approach for achieving steel protection with the Acidification/Pit Re-alkalization hypothesis, based on the Pourbaix diagram for iron and its oxides in water\textsuperscript{12}.
On balance, the responsive behavior argument appears to fit better with the Acidification/Pit Re-alkalization hypothesis than the Pitting potential/Repassivation Potential hypothesis, because the former allows the installed anodes to pass an intermittent high current when demanded (by a high corrosion risk/low concrete resistivity), but pass very little current when the corrosion risk is low, whilst still adequately protecting the steel. This is true for both treatment phases, for example, when the impressed current phase is applied in aggressive conditions a high charge will be passed and more of the sacrificial anode consumed, but more hydroxide will be produced at the steel surface (to re-alkalize corroding sites) and more chloride drawn to the anode (making the environment at the steel less aggressive and activating the anode). Following the re-alkalization treatment, chemical changes at the anode/steel surface mean that a greatly increased protective current can be driven during the galvanic phase, providing protection to the steel under a variety of concrete conditions. In contrast, to achieve protection with the Pitting Potential/Re-passivation Potential hypothesis, permanent polarization is required and current fluctuations are something that must be controlled during the treatment.

CONCLUSIONS

1. A brief high current can be driven off a sacrificial anode in aggressive conditions. The current and therefore charge that is driven off the anode to arrest corrosion also responds to the aggressive nature of the environment when a constant voltage power supply is used to deliver the current.

2. A brief impressed current treatment activates the sacrificial anode by drawing chloride in the concrete to the anode. This can be seen by the greatly increased current in anodes which have received the impressed current treatment compared to those that have not.

3. The responsive behavior of sacrificial anodes means that their protective capacity is used more efficiently. Anode life is extended in more benign environments and shortened in more aggressive environments.

4. The Pit Re-alkalization/pH Maintenance hypothesis provides a basis for using a brief impressed current phase in conjunction with a galvanic phase where the main protective effect is the generation of inhibitive hydroxide ions on the steel.
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ABSTRACT

In this study, a unique concrete specimen was used to analyse the response of embedded sacrificial zinc and steel anodes to rainfall and fluctuations in temperature. Current from the zinc and steel anodes increased when the environment was aggressive, showing that the required protection current depends on the present level of corrosion risk. A basis for using the ‘responsive behaviour’ of galvanic anodes is provided by the protective effects of pit re-alkalisation and pH maintenance. By contrast, protection based on achieving adequate polarisation inhibits the use of responsive behaviour and galvanic anodes might only deliver adequate polarisation in aggressive environments.
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INTRODUCTION

Electrochemical treatments are widely used in the mitigation of steel reinforcement corrosion. There is much debate within academia and industry regarding which treatment approach tackles the dominant factor affecting steel corrosion in concrete. It has been argued that adequately cathodically polarising the steel is the dominant protective effect. This is supported by the Pitting Potential/Re-passivation Potential hypothesis [1]. By contrast, it has been suggested that modifying the surface of the steel by raising the pH is the dominant protective effect, with reports that typical Impressed Current Cathodic Protection current densities are enough to halt corrosion when applied for long periods [2,3], or delay its onset in aggressive environments once the protective current has been removed [4,5]. These observations support the basis of the Pit Re-alkalisation/pH Maintenance hypothesis [6-8].

It has been reported that the current passed by galvanic anodes on site and in laboratory installations fluctuates depending on the local weather conditions and the time of year. The phenomena has been termed ‘Responsive Behaviour’ [9,10]. This brief study used a unique experimental design to investigate the effect of fluctuations in temperature and concrete moisture content on the current output of separate zinc and steel anodes encased in the same concrete sample. These results are then discussed with respect to the practical benefits of responsive behaviour and the protection criteria applied to steel in concrete.

SAMPLE PREPARATION

A concrete block, dimensions 600 x 120 x 120 mm was cast in November 2009. A 6:1 aggregate to cement mix with a 0.32 water to cement ratio was used. Two recesses were created on the top of the mould to house the anodes in a chloride (NaCl) contaminated mortar which was prepared with a chloride content of 5% by weight of cement, using the same mix ratios as for the bulk concrete, but using sharp sand instead of an aggregate mix. A 20 mm rebar section was cast in centrally 30 mm from the base of the mould (Figure 1). The cylindrical anodes were cut to size (65 x 15 mm) in order that their surface areas were the same +/- 5%. Both were in a non-corroded state when they were cast into the mortar. Steel connections were made by riveting titanium wire into a drilled hole and painting over the connections with an epoxy primer. The anode currents were logged using a data-logger.
Figure 1. Embedded zinc and steel anode block; a) side elevation, b) plan elevation (All dimensions in mm).

The block was de-moulded after 2 days and left to dry in the laboratory for 90 days before being placed outdoors. Temperature and rainfall data was obtained retrospectively from a public domain source.

EXPERIMENTAL FINDINGS

Figure 2 shows the current densities of the zinc and steel anodes, along with temperature and rainfall data. Both anodes were embedded in a chloride contaminated mortar but as the steel bar was installed in chloride-free mortar with significant cover it was not expected to be corroding. This test arrangement resulted in metal dissolution at the installed zinc and steel anodes providing a cathodic current to the steel bar. The large increase in the anode current densities on day 67 was as a result of rainfall after a period of dry weather.
Figure 2. Steel anode current response to rainfall and changes in temperature.

The general decay in both zinc and anode current density is likely to be the result of a build up of corrosion products at the anode surface and a resulting increase in the resistance to current flow from the anodes.

Figure 3 is hourly data taken from the data used in Figure 2 and shows the anode response to rainfall in more detail. It can be seen that the zinc anode responded more quickly to the rapid environmental change. In contrast, Figure 4 shows the anode current densities on a day when no rain fell. It can be seen that in the absence of any ‘shock’ changes to the environment, the current outputs from both anodes follows the changes in daily temperature.

The ratio of the zinc and steel current densities (Figures 3 and 4) is a good indicator of the amount of protection offered to the steel by the zinc anode; when it is large, the zinc is
offering more protective current than when the ratio is small. It can be seen that the protection offered to the steel increases when the environment becomes more aggressive.

Figure 3. The zinc and steel anode current density response to sudden rainfall and the resulting effect on the protective ratio.
Figure 4. The zinc and steel anode current density response to dry weather and the resulting effect on the protective ratio.

**DISCUSSION**

In this work the zinc anode represents a galvanic anode installed in contaminated concrete and the steel anode represents a section of corroding steel rebar. The current density recorded for the steel anode gives an indication of the amount of corrosion activity occurring on the steel bar and represents the steel corrosion risk, whilst the zinc anode galvanic current represents the protective current. In this work both the zinc and steel response to environmental conditions have been recorded.

**Responsive Behaviour**

The steel current density gives a good indication of the need for protective current. It can be seen in Figure 2 that as the concrete dries (between days 50 and 67), the steel and zinc anode current densities fall and the difference between them becomes smaller. The steel requires little protective current as the corrosion risk is low due to the resistance of the drying concrete. In this state, little current is required to adequately protect the steel from corrosion.
On day 67 rainfall greatly reduced the resistance of the concrete, causing a significant increase in the steel corrosion current and an order of magnitude increase in the protective current. In this state the steel requires more protective current in order to maintain passivity as the reduction in overall concrete resistivity reduces the restriction on ionic flow and increases the corrosion risk. In this state, increased current is required to fully protect the steel. It can be seen that the installed zinc anode responds to the environment-related requirement for protective current.

Current output from the zinc anode responds to changes in the aggressive nature of the environment, passing a high current when required to address a high steel corrosion risk (signified by increased steel anode current) and low current when there is a low steel corrosion risk.

**Theoretical considerations**

Available electrochemical treatments for corrosion mitigation ascribe to one of two approaches. Re-alkalisation [11] and Electrochemical Chloride Extraction [12] treatments aim to make the environment at the steel surface less aggressive by increasing the pH (by generating hydroxide), or removing aggressive chloride ions, thus re-instating passivity. They are termed temporary treatments because the treatment time is short compared to the period of the protection offered. Cathodic protection (galvanic or impressed current) is a long term treatment which aims to suppress the steel corrosion reactions by permanently polarising the steel reinforcement [13]. A common protection criterion applied to cathodic protection systems [1] suggests that a 100 mV steel depolarisation is required on disconnection of the anode system.

The concept of adequate polarisation requires the potential to be depressed below the pitting potential to prevent corrosion initiation. The pitting potential depends on factors such as concrete chloride content, so the use of cathodic protection as a corrosion prevention technique is associated with the pitting potential of reinforcing steel at some future chloride content. Because of this, it has been argued that a much larger potential shift is required. Values of up to 400 mV have been suggested [4,14,15]. The requirement for a potential shift of less than 100 mV to signify protection has little support in the academic literature.

What is not adequately considered by this concept is that the removal of chloride and the generation of hydroxide under polarisation will raise the pitting potential and hence reduce
the magnitude of what constitutes adequate polarisation. This makes the protective effect of adequate polarisation a variable that is primarily determined by chloride removal and hydroxide generation, depending on which factor is dominant.

A protective effect based on the generation of hydroxide under an applied current has been termed Pit Re-alkalisation [16]. The effects of pit re-alkalisation, chloride extraction from pits and polarisation have been compared by others and on balance pit re-alkalisation and pH maintenance appear to be the dominant protective effects at typical cathodic protection current densities [17]. Indeed brief high current treatments have been shown to raise the chloride threshold level for corrosion initiation to values substantially greater than 2% chloride by weight of cement [5]. It is postulated that this is due to the generation of hydroxide at the steel.

The perceived need for polarisation inhibits the use of responsive behaviour. Current controlled power-supplies are predominantly used in Impressed Current Cathodic Protection systems to suppress current fluctuations in order to maintain consistent polarisation levels [18]. Another example is the suggestion that galvanic anode systems should only be applied in aggressive environments [19-21], because it is only in this environment that they can deliver sufficient current to meet the 100 mV criteria.

As shown in the present work, steel in benign concrete environments does not corrode and therefore requires no protective current. This is consistent with a system based on the protective effects of pit re-alkalisation and pH maintenance. Steel in aggressive environments does corrode, and protective current is required to either restore a high pH at the site of a pit or prevent a local pH reduction and hence pit formation. The protection offered by pit re-alkalisation and pH maintenance depends on the present level of corrosion risk rather than the future risk. A cathodic protection system based on pit re-alkalisation and pH maintenance can fully utilise galvanic anode responsive behaviour.

Environmental ‘Shock’

Figure 3 uses data from Figure 2 to show the zinc and steel anode current response to significant rainfall after a period of dry weather. This preliminary data suggests that the zinc anode responds more rapidly than the steel anode to the large increase in corrosion risk arising from ‘environmental shock’, in this case, rainfall. Such a feature would ensure that the protective current is greatly increased prior to any increased demand from the steel. It is
postulated that the quicker response to corrosion risk by the zinc anode is due to the nature of the corrosion products which surround the two different materials, whose conductivity will dictate the speed of the anode response to changes in moisture content. Another explanation is that the polarising effect of the zinc anode slowed the response of the steel anode, thus contributing to the apparent rapid response of the zinc anode. The disparity in the anode response means that there is a dramatic increase in the ‘protective ratio’ (the difference between the zinc and steel current output) and therefore the amount of protection offered by the zinc. As the steel corrosion risk increased, the ratio stabilised at a more typical level.

In the absence of rain, the peak seen in Figure 4 is predominantly related to the temperature rise and fall during daylight hours. The data clearly shows that when the concrete environment is more aggressive (the temperature is higher) the zinc anode provides more protection to the steel although due to the more gradual changes in conditions there is no advanced response from the zinc. Even in relatively benign conditions (low temperature and dry concrete), the zinc responds to changes in corrosion risk.

**Practical Considerations**

As the current passed by the anodes is directly related to their consumption and therefore lifespan, Figure 2 demonstrates how anode life is conserved when the concrete environment is benign (during periods of little or no rain) and how it is shortened when conditions become more aggressive. It also shows that having an active anode surface is the key to obtaining adequate protection as the zinc anode is able to increase its current output dramatically as soon as the concrete environment becomes more aggressive.

The sole sample for the work (Figure 1) had a unique design and was specially made to investigate the relationship between fluctuations in corrosion risk and galvanic anode current output. It was not designed with the intention of using the zinc anode to protect the steel anode from corrosion. Further tests on replicate samples are underway to corroborate the data collected, although observations from both site and laboratory installations [9,10,22] reinforce the results presented.

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. It is shown for the first time that the current output of a zinc anode corresponds to the steel corrosion current in the same changing concrete environment. A high current is passed when
required to address a high steel corrosion risk and a low current is passed when there is a low steel corrosion risk. This is termed responsive behaviour of the galvanic protection current.

2. A basis for using the responsive behaviour of galvanic anodes is provided by the protective effects of pit re-alkalisation and pH maintenance. In benign environments no current is required to achieve pit re-alkalisation or maintain the pH, whilst in aggressive environments current is required to either restore a high pH at the site of a pit or prevent a local pH reduction and hence pit formation. The required protection current depends on the present level of corrosion risk. By contrast, protection based on achieving adequate polarisation inhibits the use of responsive behaviour and galvanic anodes might only deliver adequate polarisation in aggressive environments.

3. Preliminary data indicates that a zinc anode responds to environmental ‘shock’ events more rapidly than a steel anode, meaning that the protective current being passed to the steel is greatly increased just prior to increased corrosion risk. Postulated reasons include the higher conductivity of the zinc corrosion products or the polarising effect of the zinc anode causing a delay in the steel response to the increased corrosion risk.

4. The Pit Re-alkalisation/pH Maintenance hypothesis provides a basis for achieving corrosion protection with less integrated current (charge) than theories based on adequate polarisation. Responsive behaviour means that anode life is conserved in benign environments as the charge passed is less and therefore less anode material is consumed. This supports the conclusions of previous work.
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