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The interplay between superconductivity and the charge-density wave (CDW) state in pure 1T-TiSe$_2$ is examined through a high-pressure study extending up to pressures of 10 GPa between sub-Kelvin and room temperatures. At a critical pressure of 2 GPa a superconducting phase sets in and persists up to pressures of 4 GPa. The maximum superconducting transition temperature is 1.8 K. These findings complement the recent discovery of superconductivity in copper-intercalated 1T-TiSe$_2$. The comparisons of the normal state and superconducting properties of the two systems reveal the possibility that the emergent electronic state qualitatively depends on the manner in which the CDW state is destabilized, making this a unique example where two different superconducting domes are obtained by two different methods from the same parent compound.

The nature in which superconductivity and other types of electronic order combine continues to remain an ongoing puzzle. Recent findings of superconductivity in copper-intercalated 1T-TiSe$_2$ triggered a great deal of activity [1–8] due to the possible connection between the superconductivity and the charge-density wave (CDW) state [9–11], and especially as the latter has been proposed as an example of an exciton condensate [12–14]. The superconductivity in Cu$_x$TiSe$_2$ was found to arise as the CDW state melts with doping, in a region confined around a critical doping [1]. The dependence of the superconducting transition temperature on the copper content shows a domelike structure, characteristically found in phase diagrams of cuprate high-temperature superconductors, some heavy fermion compounds and layered organics [15–17]. The superconductivity in those compounds is thought to be tightly related to neighboring antiferromagnetic ordering, with superconductivity appearing around a (purely electronic) quantum critical point (QCP) [16,18]. On the other hand the case of 1T-TiSe$_2$ signals the possibility of a novel state, where superconductivity emerges around a new type of quantum critical point, unrelated to magnetic degrees of freedom [4,8].

An alternative viewpoint regards the proximity of the CDW state and superconductivity in 1T-TiSe$_2$ as purely coincidental, and the superconductivity as conventional, phonon-mediated, developing in a single band [3]. The formation of the dome structure in $T_{SC}(x)$ is then explained as a consequence of two dissimilar effects produced by doping: (i) the shift of the chemical potential into conduction band caused by the donated electrons and (ii) enhanced scattering at high Cu concentrations, responsible for the reduction of the superconducting transition temperature [3].

In this Letter we report the superconductivity in pure 1T-TiSe$_2$, studied around sub-Kelvin temperatures, as a function of pressure up to 10 GPa, with the superconducting dome appearing around the critical pressure related to the CDW meltdown. The doping-induced disorder is not present in our system; therefore, impurity effects may not be held responsible for the closing of the superconducting dome.

The single crystal 1T-TiSe$_2$ samples used in this study were grown by a conventional vapour transport method and the sample stoichiometry was verified by x-ray and resistivity measurements. The resistivity was measured using a standard 4-point technique, with ac lock-in detection. Pressure measurements in the low-pressure range of 0–2 GPa were carried out using a standard piston cylinder pressure cell, while those in the pressure range of 2–10 GPa were performed in an opposed anvil Bridgeman-type pressure cell with tungsten carbide anvils and steatite medium. A dilution refrigeration cryostat was used to achieve base temperatures of 70 mK. Magnetic field for $H_c$ measurements was generated by a superconducting solenoid.

Figure 1 shows the evolution of the resistivity with temperature and pressure. In the low-pressure range up to 1.1 GPa the resistivity curves resemble closely in shape to the one at the ambient pressure [12], although the strong upturn in resistivity that signals the CDW transition becomes gradually less pronounced as pressure increases. Also, the CDW transition temperature, $T_{CDW}$, identified from the maximum of $-d\rho(T)/dT$ [12], gradually shifts to lower temperature. In this pressure range the resistivity above the transition shows a weak nonmetallic temperature dependence. At temperatures well below the transition the electrons uncondensed into the CDW give the metallic character to the resistivity. Further application of pressure gives rise to the metallic high-temperature region where the resistivity behaves linearly with temperature. Simultaneously the temperature of CDW transition lowers...
monotonically and becomes difficult to identify above 2.5 GPa, disappearing completely above 3 GPa from our resistivity measurements. In the pressure range of 2–4 GPa we observe superconductivity at low temperature (Fig. 1, inset).

Figure 2 summarizes our findings in a pressure-temperature phase diagram. Superconductivity dome appears in the pressure range of 2 GPa around the critical pressure of 3 GPa, where the superconducting transition maximizes with $T_{SC}^{max}$ = 1.8 K. The residual resistivity, or the resistivity in the normal state just above the superconducting transition at 2 K, shows dramatic variation with pressure until about 4 GPa with only some marginal decrease thereafter, at which point, incidentally, there remains no sign of the superconductivity. Additionally, at the pressure of about 3 GPa there is a local maximum in the residual resistivity, coinciding with the maximum transition temperature of the superconducting dome. On closer examination, we discover a depression in the resistivity temperature exponent $n$, derived from the standard resistivity fit, $R(T) = R_0 + A T^n$, at the same pressure. The resistivity exponent $n$ was obtained through a fitting procedure over the low temperature region of up to 30 K in the data. Outside the superconducting pressure range of 2–4 GPa, the resistivity exponent $n$ hovers around the value of $n \approx 3.0 \pm 0.1$. This exponent is different from the expected value of $n = 2$ or $n = 5$ for electron-electron or electron-phonon scattering, respectively, a fact in itself rather unusual. In rare cases where similar behavior is observed, e.g., in Nb$_3$Ge, Ref. [20], it is commonly attributed to a phonon-assisted $s$-$d$ interband scattering. A notation proposed by Wilson [21] explains how scattering from a low-mass band into a high-density band can produce higher power law temperature dependence in resistivity. Regardless of the origin of $n = 3$ in 1T-TiSe$_2$, we observe a sizeable suppression of this exponent in the 2–4 GPa pressure region to a value of $n \sim 2.6 \pm 0.1$ (see lower panel of Fig. 2). These features are reminiscent of a quantum critical scenario, signifying the presence of quantum fluctuations around a critical pressure of 3 GPa. This would complement the quantum critical point recently suggested for Cu-intercalated material, Cu$_x$TiSe$_2$, near $x = 0.07$ at ambient pressure [8].

Although pure 1T-TiSe$_2$ at ambient pressure serves as the starting point for both Cu-intercalation and high-pressure studies, there are several key differences that develop as a function of these two parameters. These go beyond the structural ones, where, in contrast to pressure, the intercalation with copper increases the separation between the layers as well as the lattice constants within Ti-Se layers [1]. An earlier report of the sensitivity of the CDW state in 1T-TiSe$_2$ to pressure [22] also hinted that the electronic state attained through the suppression of the CDW by pressure is qualitatively different from the one recently observed in Cu-intercalated material. The Hall coefficient, $R_H$, is negative in Cu-intercalated material [5], with minor variation in temperature above the CDW transition. Conversely, $R_H$ is always positive in the normal state of pure and pressurized 1T-TiSe$_2$ [22]. The value of
\( R_H \) in Cu-intercalated materials is consistent with the assumption that TiSe\(_2\) layers become doped by electrons through Cu-intercalation, a rough estimation giving us 0.7 electrons per Cu atom from the 1/\( R_H \) data. On the contrary, the pressure does not change total concentration of electrons per unit cell in TiSe\(_2\) layers, but rather enhances the two-band character of the system by increasing the number of electrons and holes in Ti- and Se-derived bands, respectively [22,23]. The positive \( R_H \) reflects the fact that the effective mass of holes in the valence band is approximately 1/20 of the effective mass of electrons in the conduction band [24–26]. This large asymmetry is evidently compensated in Cu-intercalated materials, even for Cu concentrations much lower than those required for the superconductivity to set in [5].

The workings of the collapse of the CDW state are also comparatively dissimilar for pressure and doping. Both mechanisms are rooted in the microscopic nature of the CDW state in 1T-TiSe\(_2\), of which two major viewpoints have emerged over the years [27]. The first one regards the CDW state as an excitonic condensate forming due to the proximity of Se 4p-derived valence bands and Ti 3d-derived conduction bands and the Coulomb attraction of holes and electrons in those bands [6,12]. The second viewpoint treats the CDW state exclusively within the electron-phonon coupling framework of a band-type Jahn-Teller (JT) instability [29,30]. Both viewpoints received some experimental support recently through the analysis of the ARPES spectra [6,26,31], with some evidence that both mechanisms might be working simultaneously, and even cooperatively [31,32]. Irrespective of the true nature of the CDW state the pressure and doping will affect it differently. The doping is primarily understood through the shift of the chemical potential within the fixed bands. It is expected to collapse the state of excitonic condensate by introducing a misbalance between two types of charge carriers involved in the creation of the exciton. Similarly, the sensitivity of the JT state to doping is due to the shift of the chemical potential away from the part of the electronic spectrum that becomes depleted with lattice deformation. Conversely, the pressure acts by shifting whole valence and conduction bands and increasing their overlap in energy [22,23]. This dislodges the excitonic phase outside the window of stability as proposed by Halperin and Rice [33]. The increase of the overlap of two bands pushes the Fermi level further into both bands, away from the band edges. This also reduces the possibility of the band-JT instability, with a deformation that would significantly reduce the density of states near the Fermi level.

Another important indication which suggests that the situation in pressurized and Cu-intercalated 1T-TiSe\(_2\) may be qualitatively different comes from quantifying the influence of the magnetic field on the superconducting state, presented in Fig. 3 for the pressurized material. The value of the critical field, taken as the 10% drop of the normal state resistivity signal, is approximately 200 Gauss at 3.5 GPa. Therefore, the superconductivity in pure 1T-TiSe\(_2\) is extremely sensitive to magnetic field, in sharp contrast to the critical field values of the order of 1 T obtained for the Cu-intercalated system Cu,TiSe\(_2\) [1], although detailed doping and pressure dependencies for \( H_c \) for both these systems would be needed for a more precise comparison.

In conclusion, the results of the present Letter strongly suggest that the CDW fluctuations are tightly linked with superconductivity in 1T-TiSe\(_2\). The parallels with several families of materials where the superconducting dome has been discovered in the vicinity of purely electronic ordered phase, dressing the quantum critical point [16–18] strengthen the viewpoint of excitonic superconductivity in 1T-TiSe\(_2\). On the other hand, the continuous development of the soft phonon mode in the vicinity of the CDW transition, both in Cu-intercalated and pure and pressurized material [8,34,35], suggests that the lattice deformation may not be regarded as a secondary effect that simply follows the electronic ordering. The soft phonon mode, generally regarded as unfavorable for superconductivity within the weak-coupling BCS single-phonon exchange picture, has been identified as helpful in several instances for higher values of the electron-phonon coupling [36–39]. This marks an alternative route for searching for the origin of the superconducting dome in 1T-TiSe\(_2\).

The new phase diagram of pristine 1T-TiSe\(_2\) under pressure complements and puts in a new light recently reported superconductivity in Cu-intercalated 1T-TiSe\(_2\). Figure 4 summarizes our knowledge of the phase diagram.
of 17-TiSe₂ in the temperature, pressure and copper doping content parameter space. The absence of dopands in our case implies that the closure of the superconducting dome is unrelated to impurity-induced scattering. Given the similarities between the intercalated and the pressurized system, analogous reasoning may be extended for Cu-intercalated system. On the other hand we also point out the qualitative differences in electronic states that develop along P and x axis, marked by opposing signs of the Hall coefficient in the normal state, different maximum superconducting transition temperatures $T_{\text{SC}}^{\text{Max}}$ (1.8 K versus 4.15 K for pressure and intercalation, respectively) and diverse magnetic properties in the superconducting state. These dissimilarities leave open the question whether two separate superconducting domes develop in this phase diagram, corresponding to two distinct critical points. The alternative perspective of a critical line in the $T = 0$ plane of Fig. 4, covered by the "superconducting tunnel", is a challenging topic for future studies.
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[27] Antiferroelectric instability has been also proposed [28].