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Abstract

Background: Childhood stunting has been associated with an increased risk
of obesity in adulthood, but the causes are unclear. We hypothesize that stunting
significantly reduces both resting and activity energy expenditure.

Aim: To assess and describe energy expenditure of low socio-economic
Maya children and to determine whether stunting is independently related to energy
expenditure after controlling for lean mass.

Subjects and Methods: 33 urban Maya children, 17 boys, aged 7-9 years,
living in Merida, Mexico were measured for height, weight and bioelectrical
impedance analysis (BIA). Body composition was estimated from BIA. Energy
expenditure was measured for one week using the Actiheart (combined heart rate
and accelerometer).

Results: Stunting affected 35% of these physically active children. Using
multiple linear regression analysis, greater lean body mass predicted higher resting
and activity energy expenditure. Stature was not a significant predictor of resting
energy expenditure. A lower height-for-age z-score, but not stunting as a categorical
variable, significantly predicted lower activity energy expenditure.
Conclusion: Our hypothesis that stunting reduces total energy expenditure (resting + active) in children is not supported. Rather children with shorter stature and less lean body mass have lower total energy expenditure. Complex interactions between body size, body composition and metabolic activity appear to elevate the risk for later life obesity in these Maya children.

1. Introduction

Middle-income countries, such as Mexico and Brazil, are currently experiencing a rise in obesity levels among their poor (Mendez et al. 2005; Popkin et al. 2012). It is possible that this rise in obesity is following the pattern shown in populations in high income countries as globalized diets and lifestyles are adopted by the populations of lower income nations (Adair and Popkin 2005; Barquera et al. 2008; Caballero 2007; Popkin et al. 2012). However, additional causes for this rise in obesity may exist. Trends toward urbanisation, including rural-to-urban migration, with associated changes in physical activity are a possible cause (Katzmarzyk and Mason 2009; Monda et al. 2007; Parra et al. 2009). In addition, the most impoverished segments of lesser-developed nations may have experienced a relatively rapid and acute shift from general protein-energy under-nutrition to a more complex mix of under-supply of specific amino acids, vitamins and minerals along with concurrent over-supply of energy. There is indirect evidence from research with adults indicating that this change in the nature of malnutrition is accompanied by metabolic shifts, such as reduced fat oxidation with increased risk of overweight/obesity (Florencio et al. 2003; Leonard et al. 2009).

Low SES, urban, Maya children are the focus of this study. Maya living in the Mexican Yucatan Peninsula are currently undergoing nutrition transition (Leatherman and Goodman 2005; Leatherman et al. 2010) and are a double burdened population, with simultaneously high rates of stunting and overweight and obesity (Azcorra et al. 2009; Dickinson 1997; Varela Silva et al. 2012).

A. Stunting and energy expenditure

Stunted and short height-for-age individuals may be at an increased risk of overweight or obesity (OW/OB) during the growing years compared with their non-
stunted peers (Bogin and Loucky 1997; Corvalan et al. 2008; Hoffman et al. 2007; Mardones et al. 2008; Martins et al. 2004; Smith et al. 2003; Walker et al. 2007). Changes in resting metabolic rate (RMR) occur during the first five years of life (Grillo et al. 2005) the same period that stunting most often occurs (Fox and Hillsdon 2007). A decreased metabolism could be an efficient method of adapting to energy requirements in response to low caloric availability. Yet a slowing of the metabolism places individuals at an increased risk of weight gain in conditions of caloric abundance. Preliminary evidence suggests that metabolic shifts may be associated with the risk for obesity in stunted children (Grillo et al. 2005; Hoffman et al. 2000; Soares-Wynter and Walker 1996; Wren et al. 1997) but the exact conditions this relationship requires have yet to be fully understood. The present study attempts to objectively estimate urban Maya children’s RMR and determine whether it is influenced by stunting status.

B. Modernization and activity energy expenditure

The changes in behaviour that occur as part of urbanization and modernisation may play a substantial role in nutrition-related, non-communicable diseases. The behavioural transition, associated with modernisation and the nutrition transition, is characterised by an increase in sedentary activity (Onywera 2010) and reduced occupational physical activity (Huneault et al. 2011; Popkin et al. 2002) in adults. Low levels of activity energy expenditure (AEE) and physical activity are risk factors for obesity (Fox and Hillsdon 2007; Gomez et al. 2007; Monda et al. 2008). The relationship between physical activity and adiposity or OW/OB in children living in developing countries has been less studied compared to adults in the same environments and children in developed countries (DepartHealth 2004). Research with Latin American and Mexican children has found an increase in sedentary behaviour, such as television viewing (Hernandez et al. 1999; Malina et al. 2008; Sauri Bazán 2003). However frequent participation in sedentary activities does not necessarily result in low physical activity levels (Biddle et al. 2004; Malina et al. 2008). Thus, it is not clear what the relationship is between children’s physical activity and adiposity or OW/OB in the nutrition transition. This present study presents objective measurements of the physical activity and AEE of urban Maya children and classifies them for risk of negative health outcomes.
C. Actiheart

This is one of the first studies to objectively assess energy expenditure using combined heart rate monitoring and accelerometry, the Actiheart, in free-living children in developing countries. A previous study has been performed in adolescents in urban Brazil (Victora et al. 2008). The Actiheart allows habitual physical activity to be assessed objectively and accurately (Assah et al. 2011; Corder et al. 2005) for up to 3 weeks at a time (Delisle 2008). The Actiheart was designed to minimize high discomfort and burden on the participant (ibid) and has been validated against double-labelled water (Catalano and Ehrenberg 2006) and indirect calorimetry (Brage et al. 2005; Corder et al. 2005). This makes it a very good tool for assessing free-living activity.

D. Aims

The purpose of this article is to report the physical activity levels of low SES, urban Maya children and to assess whether chronic under-nutrition (stunting) impacts their energy expenditure. We hypothesize that stunting significantly reduces both resting and activity energy expenditure, independently of lean mass.

2. Methods

E. Sample

The study design was cross-sectional and conducted between March and July 2010. This sample was composed of 7.00-9.99 year old urban Maya school children living in Merida, Yucatan, Mexico.

F. Recruitment

Schools located in the low SES neighbourhoods of southern Merida, known to contain a relatively high proportion of Maya families, were selected. Children of Maya ethnicity, aged 7-9 years-old, were identified from school lists by having two Maya surnames, one from their mother and one from their father. The mothers were then invited to group information sessions at their children’s schools where the nature of the study was verbally explained, in Spanish, and information sheets, in Spanish, were provided. The Maya living in the south of Merida were highly acculturated and all were comfortable speaking Spanish.
Written informed consent was obtained from the mothers and verbal assent from the children. Ethical clearance was obtained from the Loughborough University Ethics Committee in the U.K. and the Bioethical Committee of CINVESTAV in Mexico.

G. Anthropometry

Children underwent anthropometric measurements for stature (Gordon et al. 1988), weight (ibid), waist circumference (WC) (Callaway et al. 1988) and skinfolds (triceps and sub-scapular) (Harrison et al. 1988) using standard techniques. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing the child’s weight in kilograms by their statures in metres squared. The technical error of measurement for anthropometry was not calculated. The research team received careful training both before and during the fieldwork.

The Comprehensive sex- and age-specific reference charts (Frisancho 2008) were used to calculate z-scores for BMI and WC. The Comprehensive reference was chosen as it was created using data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III) from the United States. NHANES III is a stratified, nationally representative sample of the US population, which over-sampled ethnic minorities. The percentage of participants according to ethnicity is: White (European-American) 34.4%, Black (African-American) 26.8%, Hispanic/Latino (mostly Mexican-American and Cuban) 27.0% and Other 4.3%, with 7.5% missing an ethnic affiliation. NHANES III is, perhaps, the largest sampled, statistically validated growth reference that includes Mexican children. As such, it is the most appropriate for use with a Mexican population such as the Maya.

Children were classified as being stunted if their height-for-age was below the 5th percentile.

H. Bioelectrical impedance analysis

Body composition was measured using bioelectric impedance analysis (BIA) with a BioScan 916 by (Maltron, UK). Percent body fat (%BF) was calculated using the impedance and reactance values with equations specific for American Indian children (Equation 1) (Lohman et al. 1999) as the Maya are an indigenous American group. %BF was converted into kilograms of body fat, which was used to calculate
fat free mass (FFM). %BF was compared against age- and sex-specific reference
curves (Ogden et al. 2011). The technical error of measurement for BIA was not
calculated. The research team received careful training in BIA both before and during
the fieldwork.

Equation 1: Percentage body fat = -0.49age + 0.51sex + 0.44weight + 1.55 triceps
skinfold + 0.15subscapular skinfold + 0.54(stature^2/resistance) + 0.13reactance –
0.04triceps skinfold x stature^2/resistance – 10.91

Definitions: Sex coded 1 for girls, 0 for boys. Weight is in kg. Skinfold thicknesses are in mm.
Resistance and reactance are in ohms. Stature is in m.

I. Energy expenditure

Physical activity of the children was measured for 7 days using an Actiheart, a
combined heart rate and accelerometer (Corder et al. 2007; Corder et al. 2005;
Wilson et al. 2011). Children were included in the analysis if they had at least 3 days
usable data that included a minimum of 2 weekdays and 1 weekend day. A day was
defined as a minimum of 10 hours of usable data during waking hours (Ward et al.
2005). Data was collected and analysed in minute-long epochs or sampling interval.

Energy expenditure was estimated using branched equation modelling in
Actiheart software v.4.52. Data were cleaned by removing extended periods (>5
minutes) in which the heart rate data was missing and also when there was a
mismatch in the heart rate and accelerometer data, for example, extended periods of
high accelerometer counts and low heart rates (but not the reverse). The software
performed straight line interpolation for periods of missing heart rate data lasting up
to 5 minutes, allowing energy expenditure to be estimated for these periods. The
period of data removal was extended if 5 minutes of missing heart rate data was
preceded or followed by one minute of heart rate data and then another period of
missing heart rate data.

Resting energy expenditure (REE), activity energy expenditure (AEE) and total
energy expenditure (TEE) were used in the analyses. All variables were calculated
using predictive equations with an external reference curve created using 13 year old
British children (Corder et al. 2005). The error introduced into the sample through
using an external group calibration curve is likely similar across the sample, as the
sample is fairly genetically homogeneous and has experienced similar chronic
environmental conditions. However the error introduced by this method of estimation is probably too high for direct comparisons with external samples.

REE was calculated using the Schofield equation (Schofield 1985). Sleeping heart rate was individually calculated for each child using the average heart rate during extended periods (>2 hours) of negligible accelerometry counts during night hours (12 pm to 9 am). AEE was calculated when the heart rate was above sleeping heart rate. TEE was calculated as the additive combination of REE, AEE and diet induced thermogenesis.

For assessment of the level of physical activity in which the children engaged, metabolic equivalent (MET) was calculated by the Actiheart software. The time at each MET was used to classify the activity level of each minute-long epoch as low (MET<3) or moderate-to-vigorous (MET ≥3).

J. Statistical analysis

Normality of the distribution of all variables was checked using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and skewness and kurtosis. Independent t-tests were used to compare chronic nutritional status (stunted v. non-stunted) and sex with anthropometric, body composition and energy expenditure variables. Energy expenditure variables were linearly regressed onto BMI z-score and WC z-scores and body composition variables. Independent t-tests were used to compare included and excluded children for anthropometric and body composition measures. Energy expenditure variables were not compared between included and excluded children because of the unreliability of the excluded measures.

Stepwise multiple linear regressions using the enter method were performed with measures of energy expenditure (REE, AEE and TEE) as the dependent variables. The independent variables were added in three steps: 1) FFM, 2) height-for-age z-score or stunting and 3) sex. Normality of the residuals were checked.

All analyses were undertaken using PASW (SPSS) v.18.0. Significance was set a priori at p<0.05.

3. Results
From the 58 children recruited, 33 were included in the final analysis. Children were excluded for incomplete Actiheart data (n=24) and health problems (n=1). The reason for missing Actiheart data was primarily poor electrode adherence caused by high rates of sweating in the hot, humid climate. This resulted in missing heart rate data or the device falling off of the skin (Wilson et al. 2011). Also several Actihearts were broken during the course of the week. See Wilson et al. (2011) for a more complete description of the logistical difficulties encountered when attempting to use Actihearts in children. The included children were not statistically significantly different from excluded children for any measures of anthropometry or body composition (Table 1).

Table 1 about here

Overall these urban Mexican Maya children were short and except for the non-stunted girls had low weight-for-age z-scores (Table 2). Stunted children were significantly smaller in stature and weight, with lower estimated FFM and FM than their non-stunted peers. BMI z-scores did not significantly differ between the stunted and non-stunted children. Using United States references based upon the NHANES III (1999-2004), the mean %BF of the stunted girls is about the 17th percentile, at about the 61st percentile for the non-stunted girls and both stunted and non-stunted boys are at about the 53rd percentile (Ogden et al. 2011).

Table 2 about here

Stunted children had lower levels of, REE, AEE and TEE (Table 3) compared to non-stunted children. Stunted children spent significantly more time in light physical activity and less time per day in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) than non-stunted children. No significant differences in REE, AEE or TEE were found between the sexes.

Overall, this sample of children was highly active, spending an average of 120 minutes per day in MVPA. However girls and stunted children spent significantly less time in MVPA compared to boys and non-stunted children, respectively. Of the five children who did not spend 60 minutes per day in MVPA, all were girls and four were stunted. The variation in time spent at each level of physical activity was high, with a range of 20-312 minutes per day spent in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity.
In multiple linear regression models (Table 4), kilograms of FFM was the largest predictor of all measures of energy expenditure (REE, AEE and TEE), explaining between 33 and 81% of the variance in energy expenditures (Table 4). Boys had significantly higher measures of all measures of energy expenditure than girls. Neither height-for-age z-scores nor stunting were significant predictors of REE. Height-for-age z-score but not stunting was a significant predictor for AEE and TEE.
4. Discussion

Our hypothesis that stunting, *per se*, is associated with lower estimated energy expenditure (resting + activity) in children is not supported by the current study. Rather children with short stature, as a continuous variable, and lower fat free mass (FFM) have lower total energy expenditure (TEE) compared to taller children with higher FFM. This study of Maya children extends the understanding of the relationship between chronic under-nutrition and obesity risk by adjusting for the primary determinant of resting energy expenditure (REE), lean tissue. For these Maya children the lower absolute amount of FFM in the stunted children may mitigate other factors and result in a lower REE. The stunted children also have lower activity energy expenditure (AEE), a potential behavioural modification. The differences in behaviour according to stature are clearly revealed by the Actiheart data, which show that the stunted children engage in significantly less moderate-to-vigorous activity than the non-stunted children. Lower REE and AEE lead to lower TEE and this may leave the stunted Maya children more susceptible to weight and fat gain if they ingest as much energy as the taller children. Metabolic shifts, such as one toward reduced fat oxidation, may exacerbate the risk of overweight and excessive fatness. We did not measure directly metabolic activity at the physiological level, and this needs to be done to better evaluate our findings in light of past research.

A statistically significant interaction between FFM, sex and height-of-age z-score was observed in all regression models. Compared with non-stunted boys and girls, stunted girls had a significantly lower REE and TEE than stunted boys (Table 3). Stunted girls also had 49% lower MVPA than non-stunted girls (60 vs. 116.7 MET). In contrast, stunted boys had 31% lower MVPA than non-stunted boys (113.6 vs. 164.25 MET).

Other studies have found that stunting has effects on energy expenditure. Soares-Wynter and Walker (1996) found lower REE in stunted Jamaican children aged 7-8 years old but did not report sex composition of the sample or differences between male and female children. Our findings are similar to those of Grillo et al. (2005) who found in a case-controlled study in the shantytowns of Sao Paulo, Brazil that stunted girls had lower resting energy expenditure than age- and weight-for-
height matched, non-stunted girls. In the same Brazilian cohort, Martins et al. (2004) reported that stunted children had an increased %BF and reduced %FFM compared to their non-stunted, age- and weight-for-height matched peers. Our new results find a trend toward just the opposite for body composition in girls.

The AEE data from these Maya children also stand in contrast to the same stunted Brazilian children, which found equivalent AEEs in stunted and non-stunted children (Hoffman et al. 2000). Another study of rural indigenous children and urban children, all from poor families, in Oaxaca, Mexico found that stunted children exhibited similar fitness levels levels to non-stunted, adequately nourished children. It appears that the Mexican Maya children of our study have a different relationship between stunting and physical activity levels from the children in Sao Paulo and Oaxaca. From these data, it is not possible to know whether the lower levels of physical activity in the stunted Maya are driven by biological or social processes.

These urban Maya children can be considered very active, with 85% (n=28) spending at least one hour per day in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity. Recommendations are that children should be moderately to vigorously active for a minimum of 60 minutes a day (DepartHealth 2004) for independent reduction of chronic disease risk (Balas-Nakash et al. 2010; Bell et al. 2007). Even the stunted girls achieved on average 60 minutes per day of MVPA.

It is notable that the only children who failed to spend an hour a day in MVPA were girls. That the majority of these girls (4 of 5) were stunted is suggestive of a biosocial or biocultural relationship related to the sex of the child. It is likely that this sample of girls will become less active in adolescence (Dumith et al. 2011), increasing their risk for obesity in adulthood. The mothers of these children had very high levels of obesity (Varela Silva et al. 2012). Women who are both stunted and overweight place their own children at risk of negative health outcomes. Stunted mothers are more likely to have children who are low birth weight (Victora et al. 2008), who die before their fifth birthday (Monden and Smits 2009) and who are stunted (Delisle 2008). Overweight mothers are more likely to miscarry, have gestational diabetes and have children who are overweight (Catalano and Ehrenberg 2006). Thus a population with a large number of women with individual double burden faces a public health concern in for multiple generations facing cycles of ill health increasing the risk for the cycle of poverty to continue (Harper et al. 2003).
The applicability of the results of this study to other human groups is limited by the small sample size, the use of a group calibration curve for the energy expenditure estimation and a BIA predictive equation that was not specific to the Maya. Some strengths of this study are the variety of data collected simultaneously, especially the use of an objective and well-validated instrument, the Actiheart, to estimate energy expenditure. The cost and participant burden of traditional methods of objectively measuring energy expenditure have tended to limit their use in low income groups of developing countries, with self-reported physical activity being the preferred method.

5. Conclusion

Complex interactions between body size, body composition and metabolic activity appear to elevate the risk for current and later life obesity in this sample of Maya children. These children were found to be highly active but girls and stunted children exhibited the lowest level of physical activity. In this sample, shorter children were less active than taller children, and stunted children spent significantly less time in MVPA than non-stunted children. The effect of stature seems to be mediated via the lower FFM of the shorter/stunted children and not primarily due to the stunting. Even so, an important point to make here is that lower FFM is associated with short stature/stunting and public health workers and policy planners may still use short stature as a proxy for higher risk of negative health outcomes.
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Table 1 Comparison of body composition and anthropometric measurements of included and excluded urban Maya children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Included</th>
<th>Excluded</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>58 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys, n (%)</td>
<td>17 (51.5)</td>
<td>14 (56.0)</td>
<td>31 (53.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>8.34 (0.82)</td>
<td>8.52 (0.75)</td>
<td>8.42 (0.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stature (cm)</td>
<td>121.41 (6.96)</td>
<td>123.08 (6.64)</td>
<td>122.13 (6.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stature z-score</td>
<td>-1.19 (0.92)</td>
<td>-1.10 (0.79)</td>
<td>-1.15 (0.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>26.14 (6.96)</td>
<td>27.85 (5.76)</td>
<td>26.87 (6.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight z-score</td>
<td>-0.52 (0.89)</td>
<td>-0.35 (0.88)</td>
<td>-0.45 (0.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>17.48 (2.97)</td>
<td>18.28 (3.19)</td>
<td>17.83 (3.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI z-score</td>
<td>0.45 (0.90)</td>
<td>0.68 (1.01)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>58.90 (7.87)</td>
<td>60.93 (7.70)</td>
<td>59.77 (7.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC z-score</td>
<td>0.28 (0.75)</td>
<td>0.43 (0.84)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFM (kg)²</td>
<td>18.43 (2.96)</td>
<td>19.58 (2.83)</td>
<td>18.96 (2.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM (kg)²</td>
<td>7.71 (4.40)</td>
<td>8.27 (3.32)</td>
<td>8.00 (3.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%FFM²</td>
<td>72.19 (7.53)</td>
<td>71.38 (6.31)</td>
<td>71.84 (6.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%BF²</td>
<td>27.81 (7.53)</td>
<td>28.62 (6.31)</td>
<td>28.23 (7.03)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Age and sex specific z-scores based upon NHANES III using Frisancho’s Comprehensive reference (2008).
²Calculated using an equation for American Indian children including bioelectrical impedance and anthropometry (Lohman et al 1999).
No significant differences found between included and excluded using independent t-tests
## Table 2

Anthropometric and body composition variables for urban Mexican Maya children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Stunted</th>
<th>Non-Stunted</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boys (%)</td>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>Boys (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (boys)</td>
<td>5 (15.2)</td>
<td>6 (18.2)</td>
<td>12 (36.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>8.24 (0.93)</td>
<td>8.27 (0.96)</td>
<td>8.20 (0.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stature (cm)$^a$</td>
<td>114.46 (4.25)</td>
<td>115.23 (7.91)</td>
<td>123.47 (4.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stature z-score$^2,a$</td>
<td>-2.38 (0.53)</td>
<td>-2.02 (0.45)</td>
<td>-0.71 (0.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)$^a$</td>
<td>22.56 (3.27)</td>
<td>20.89 (4.77)</td>
<td>26.15 (5.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight z-score$^2,a$</td>
<td>-1.17 (0.58)</td>
<td>-1.17 (0.41)</td>
<td>-0.49 (0.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m$^2$)</td>
<td>17.13 (1.50)</td>
<td>15.60 (1.91)</td>
<td>17.06 (2.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI z-score$^2$</td>
<td>0.48 (0.58)</td>
<td>-0.25 (0.73)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFM$^3,a$</td>
<td>16.51 (1.84)</td>
<td>15.88 (3.13)</td>
<td>18.98 (1.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%FFM$^3$</td>
<td>73.81 (7.49)</td>
<td>76.37 (3.71)</td>
<td>73.79 (7.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM$^3,a$</td>
<td>6.05 (2.46)</td>
<td>5.01 (1.81)</td>
<td>7.17 (3.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%BF$^3$</td>
<td>26.19 (7.50)</td>
<td>23.63 (3.71)</td>
<td>26.21 (7.18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Stunted defined as height-for-age less than the 5th percentile of Frisancho’s Comprehensive reference using NHANES III (2008).

$^2$Age and sex specific z-scores based upon Frisancho’s Comprehensive reference (2008).

$^3$Calculated using an equation for American Indian children including bioelectrical impedance and anthropometry (Lohman et al 1999).

$^a$Significant difference found between stunted and non-stunted children using an independent $t$-test, $p<0.01$
Table 3 Energy expenditure variables for urban Mexican Maya children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Stunted Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>Non-Stunted Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resting energy expenditure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kJ/day</td>
<td>4221.00</td>
<td>3813.17</td>
<td>4542.25</td>
<td>4615.90</td>
<td>4383.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(315.23)</td>
<td>(384.53)</td>
<td>(437.84)</td>
<td>(615.36)</td>
<td>(547.15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kJ/kg/day</td>
<td>188.89</td>
<td>185.99</td>
<td>176.31</td>
<td>153.24</td>
<td>172.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(15.02)</td>
<td>(18.34)</td>
<td>(15.05)</td>
<td>(19.44)</td>
<td>(21.50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Activity energy expenditure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kJ/day</td>
<td>2022.20</td>
<td>2340.50</td>
<td>3711.67</td>
<td>3411.60</td>
<td>3272.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(257.92)</td>
<td>(425.36)</td>
<td>(955.11)</td>
<td>(799.06)</td>
<td>(879.21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kJ/kg/day</td>
<td>135.97</td>
<td>114.26</td>
<td>143.10</td>
<td>112.09</td>
<td>127.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(15.19)</td>
<td>(13.51)</td>
<td>(32.08)</td>
<td>(18.51)</td>
<td>(26.78)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total energy expenditure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kJ/day</td>
<td>8060.40</td>
<td>6859.83</td>
<td>9,171.00</td>
<td>8919.50</td>
<td>8506.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(594.34)</td>
<td>(858.29)</td>
<td>(1393.84)</td>
<td>(1487.21)</td>
<td>(1485.79)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kJ/kg/day</td>
<td>360.95</td>
<td>333.62</td>
<td>354.90</td>
<td>294.81</td>
<td>33373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(32.28)</td>
<td>(30.23)</td>
<td>(42.56)</td>
<td>(36.81)</td>
<td>(45.13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MET (min)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light (&lt;3)</td>
<td>1327.00</td>
<td>1380.33</td>
<td>1275.92</td>
<td>1323.10</td>
<td>1316.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(29.65)</td>
<td>(40.81)</td>
<td>(70.63)</td>
<td>(52.93)</td>
<td>(65.46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mod-Vig (≥3)</td>
<td>113.60</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>164.25</td>
<td>116.70</td>
<td>123.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(29.84)</td>
<td>(40.09)</td>
<td>(70.30)</td>
<td>(52.74)</td>
<td>(65.21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Stunted defined as height-for-age less than the 5th percentile of Frisancho’s Comprehensive reference using NHANES III (2008).
2 Calculated in the Actiheart software using simultaneous heart rate and accelerometry data and an external reference curve (Corder 2005).
3 Average number of minutes per day spent at each MET level

4 Significant difference found between stunted and non-stunted children using an independent t-test, \( p<0.05 \)
5 Significant difference found between stunted and non-stunted children using an independent t-test, \( p<0.01 \)
6 Significant difference found between the sexes using an independent t-test, \( p<0.05 \)
7 Significant difference found between the sexes using an independent t-test, \( p<0.01 \)
Table 4 Estimated measures of energy expenditure predicted by absolute fat free mass, stature and sex in urban Mexican Maya children using multiple linear regression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Resting energy expenditure (kJ/day)</th>
<th>Activity energy expenditure (kJ/day)</th>
<th>Total energy expenditure (kJ/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>Model 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>1297.51 (264.34) &lt;0.001</td>
<td>1675.07 (367.88) &lt;0.001</td>
<td>1716.65 (338.84) &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFM (kg)(^1,2)</td>
<td>167.48 (14.17) &lt;0.001</td>
<td>152.23 (17.46) &lt;0.001</td>
<td>154.77 (16.09) &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height z-score(^3)</td>
<td>81.35 (56.13) 0.158</td>
<td>76.29 (51.65) 0.140</td>
<td>-189.81 (74.76) 0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex(^4)</td>
<td>0.813</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td>0.847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(^2) adj</td>
<td>0.813</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td>0.847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>1322.66 (341.02) 0.001</td>
<td>1310.45 (314.92) 0.001</td>
<td>1305.65 (314.92) 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFM (kg)(^1,2)</td>
<td>166.35 (17.24) &lt;0.001</td>
<td>171.50 (16.05) &lt;0.001</td>
<td>178.15 (42.79) &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height z-score(^3)</td>
<td>22.48 (99.29) 0.822</td>
<td>-436.32 (311.43) 0.171</td>
<td>-548.45 (219.92) 0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex(^4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(^2) adj</td>
<td>0.813</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>0.835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Fat free mass expressed as kilograms of body weight  
\(^2\) Calculated using an equation for American Indian children including bioelectrical impedance and anthropometry  
\(^3\) Height-for-age z-scores calculated using the age and sex specific curves of Frisancho’s Comprehensive reference (2008).  
\(^4\) Boys set as reference  
\(^5\) Non-stunted set as reference  
\(^6\) Stunted defined as height-for-age less than the 5\(^{th}\) percentile of Frisancho’s Comprehensive reference (2008).