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1. Introduction

One of the key design targets for differential hypoid gears is improved efficiency, which depends critically on the formation of a lubricant film. Therefore, tribological predictions are essential in order to study parasitic losses. Another key parameter in gear design is dynamics performance and thus noise, vibration and harshness (NVH). Various researchers have investigated the dynamics of non-parallel axes gears, such as hypoid and bevel gears [1-4]. EHL of hypoid gear pairs has also been investigated in [5, 6]. However, in these works the quasi-static calculations have neglected the gear dynamic behavior. Tooth contact analysis (TCA) has been used to provide the necessary input for the EHL models.

The current work shows that gear dynamics and contact tribology are inexorably linked, and therefore, need to be integrated as follows:
1. With regard to dynamic modeling, the stiffness and damping of the lubricant film are important parameters. This link establishes the first relationship between dynamics and tribology of hypoid gears.
2. Viscous shear of the lubricant in meshing teeth pairs also dissipates some of the input energy and thus is a source of damping itself. This is the second relationship between dynamics and tribology of hypoid gears. Thermal non-Newtonian behavior would be mostly encountered.
3. On the other hand, in order to undertake tribological assessment realistic contact loads and speed of lubricant entraining motion is required for any instantaneous contact. In the case of hypoid gears pairs, a quasi-static solution cannot provide suitable estimates of these parameters as inertial effects are not taken into account nor the continuity of time history of motions is considered. This linkage forms the third relationship between dynamics and tribology for gearing systems.

The stiffness of the lubricant film depends on its rheological state under the prevailing dynamic conditions. At relatively low loads, a hydrodynamic regime of lubrication may be prevalent and the lubricant film may be regarded as compressible. This means that lubricant film stiffness can be the determining factor in the overall contact stiffness. The compressibility of the lubricant under hydrodynamic conditions can also result in a modest contribution to system damping. At high loads, elastohydrodynamic regime of lubrication is prevalent, where the lubricant film is regarded as incompressible. The amorphous nature of the lubricant in the contact under EHL conditions presents insignificant damping [7] and relatively high stiffness in such a way that a dry elastostatic Hertzian contact may be assumed in any suitable quasi-static step-wise analysis.

Some extrapolated/empirical equations to estimate friction and film thickness have been reported. Also, there are some suitable formulae for application of friction to the case of hypoid gear teeth pairs. The first equation for film thickness was provided in a paper on EHL by Grubin [8], based on the work of Ertel [9]. In fact, Grubin presented an equation based on his analytical solution of circular point contact EHL. The equation takes into account the effect of contact load, surface velocities and lubricant rheological parameters; viscosity and pressure-viscosity coefficient. However, it ignores the side leakage from the contact described by Gohar [10]. Mostofi and Gohar [11] provided numerical predictions, as well as extrapolated film thickness equations for both the central flat and the minimum exit constriction films. Furthermore, for the first time they included the effect of squeeze film action in their numerical analysis. Their equation takes into account the angled flow and also covers the side leakage, but does not show the same trend for film thickness variation in a meshing cycle [5]. After original contribution of Mostofi and Gohar [11], Chittenden et al [12] provided extrapolated oil film thickness formulae with angled flow.

In hypoid gear applications, the contact is mostly a long ellipse with a large ellipticity ratio and with angled flow. Chittenden’s equation [12] can simulate all these conditions and is extrapolated for similar load, speed, material and geometrical combination of the current study. It shows good agreement with numerical modeling of EHL contacts in hypoid gear pairs [5].

Based on [13], thermal effects on EHL film thickness are negligible despite the significant effect on friction. It is also claimed in [14] that non-Newtonian effects show same behavior with thermal effects on film thickness and friction. Therefore, the isothermal Newtonian form of Chittenden equation is suitable for the analysis in this work.

This paper presents an investigation into Elastohydrodynamic (EHL) or Hydrodynamic modeling of differential hypoid gear teeth contacts, coupled with multi-body dynamics to study the dynamics and efficiency of gear pairs.

A multi-body model of hypoid gears with torsional degrees of freedom has been developed. In the EHL regime of lubrication this model calculates teeth pair contact reactions from their dynamic response and neglects the stiffness and damping coefficients of the lubricant film, as previously justified. Friction and the required film thickness are calculated using available extrapolated equations.

Then, the EHL model predicts the film thickness and power loss in a quasi-static manner at some snapshots during a typical meshing cycle. A numerical model of EHL elliptical point contact is presented in order to obtain the film behavior under the usual range of operating conditions of vehicle differential hypoid gear pairs, taking into account non-Newtonian thermal shear. Distributed line low relaxation effective influence Newton-Raphson method is used for rapid numerical convergence [5]. In the case of highly loaded contacts, usually a thin film yields mixed regime of lubrication. In such cases the effect of boundary friction should be considered.
Lightly loaded hydrodynamic condition usually takes place when the load is very low or teeth separation occurs. Teeth separation is one of the main causes of NVH problems, also considered to be responsible for axle whine noise. In this condition, the gap between teeth flank pairs is calculated using rigid multi-body analysis. In this case the applied contact load is obtained after the lubricant reaction is calculated from multi-body model that to be applied on the torsional system. Therefore, an iterative solution between tribology and multi-body dynamics model is required. In current study, only highly loaded condition is studied and the lubrication regime is always EHL.

2. Tribological Model

As it is mentioned above, in the current study conditions that lead to the EHL regime of lubrication are considered. Therefore, the dominant stiffness and damping are those of the bounding solids in accord with Hertzian condition, not those of the lubricant. This means the dynamic model can be used without obtaining the stiffness and damping from the tribological model.

An analytical-experimental equation for the calculation of coefficient of friction is presented in [15], considering the non-Newtonian behavior of the thin lubricant film and thermal effects:

\[ \mu = 0.87 \alpha r_g + 1.74 \frac{t_g}{\rho} \frac{1.2}{R} \frac{k}{r_h c_o} \left( \frac{2 k}{r_h c_o} \right)^{1/2} \]  

(1)

where:

\[ \zeta = \frac{R}{\pi h c_o (R^2 - p c o \rho c o)}^{1/2} \]  

(2)

To calculate boundary friction, the presented method in [16] is used. This model assumes a Gaussian distribution of asperity heights with a mean radius of curvature for an asperity summit. A full procedure for this method is provided in [5].

Film thickness, \( h \) is required for the calculation of friction and is estimated using Chittenden’s extrapolated oil film thickness formula [12] for elliptical point contacts with angled lubricant flow entrainment into the conjunction:

\[ h_{co} = 4.31 U^{6.68} G^{-0.45} W^{0.073} \left\{ 1 - \exp \left[ -1.23 \left( \frac{b}{c} \right)^{2/3} \right] \right\} \]  

(3)

where, the non-dimensional groups are:

\[ W* = \frac{\pi F f_{fl}}{2 \pi s \eta^2} \quad U* = \frac{\eta_0 U}{4 \pi s \eta} \quad G* = \frac{G}{\pi (\eta_0 c) \alpha} \]

and

\[ \frac{1}{\eta_0} = \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\eta_x} + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\eta_y} \quad \frac{1}{\eta_1} = \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\eta_x} + \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\eta_y} \]

After simulation runs of the dynamic model with the empirical tribological model, the operating conditions for a complete meshing cycle are obtained and detailed numerical EHL analysis is used quasi-statically to calculate film and generated pressures. Owing to insignificant effects of thermal and non-Newtonian behavior on film thickness, an isothermal Newtonian approach [5] is utilized.

3. Dynamic Model

The multi-body model comprises a two-degree of freedom torsional model developed in ADAMS environment. The governing equations of motion have been presented below. The indices p and g refer to the pinion and gear, respectively. Same methodology has been used by Karagiannis et al. [17]. The mesh stiffness variation with respect to pinion angle \( k_{m}(\varphi_p) \) has been calculated using TCA analysis [17]. 3% damping ratio has been used for the calculation of damping coefficient, \( c_m \).

\[ I_p \ddot{\varphi}_p + R_p c_m \dot{x} + R_p k_{m}(\varphi_p) f(x) = T_p + T_{fr,p} \]

\[ I_g \ddot{\varphi}_g - R_g c_m \dot{x} - R_g k_{m}(\varphi_g) f(x) = -T_g + T_{fr,g} \]  

(4)

To take into account for contact/impact and separation, the following equation is included:

\[ f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & -b < x < b \\ x - b, & x \geq b \\ x + b, & x \leq -b \end{cases} \]  

(5)

To include the road conditions and variations of the resisting wheels’ torque with velocity, this is calculated considering the tire rolling resistance and aerodynamic resistance [18] as:

\[ T_g = Fr_{wheel} \]  

(6)

where:

\[ F = m a + R_x + R_y + R_r \]

\[ R_r = \frac{E L A_n^2}{2} \]

\[ R_y = f_r W \]

\[ f_r = 0.01 \left( 1 + \frac{V}{147} \right) \]

The input torque to the differential includes the sinusoidal variation in engine torque (engine order vibration [19]):

\[ T_p = \frac{R_p}{R_g} T_{to}(1 + 0.1 \cos(2R/A_n)) \]  

(7)

The frictional torque in gear teeth meshing is given as:

\[ T_{fr,p} = R_p f_r \]

\[ T_{fr,g} = R_g f_r \]

\[ f_r = W_{fr} \mu \]

In these set of equations the key point is the coefficient of friction (presented in previous section).

4. Results and Discussion

The hypoid gear pair of a commercial vehicle is considered in the current analysis. The related gear data are listed in Table 1. Table 2 lists the vehicle data, which determine the resisting torque on the gear side at any speed. Required rheological data and thermal properties of lubricant are presented in Table 3.

The studied cases lead to continuous meshing conditions without teeth pair separations. These conditions pertain to teeth pair contact, subjected to the EHL regime of lubrication. Therefore, the dynamic model can be simulated with the previously stated empirical equations. Once dynamic analysis is carried out, flank load, surface speed and geometry are determined. Figure 1 shows the flank load during some meshing cycles. In this figure, it is clear that the expected flank load (contact force per meshing teeth pair) is in the range of several kN (highly loaded conditions).
Using the above stated outputs of the dynamic model, a full numerical model of EHL contact is used for quasi-static contact calculations. This gives detailed results of film thickness and pressure distribution for engaged meshing gear teeth. Figure 2 shows a snapshot of the elastohydrodynamic pressure distribution. The maximum pressure is approximately 1 GPa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter name</th>
<th>Pinion</th>
<th>Gear</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of teeth</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face-width (mm)</td>
<td>33.851</td>
<td>29.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face angle (deg)</td>
<td>29.056</td>
<td>59.653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch angle (deg)</td>
<td>29.056</td>
<td>59.653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root angle (deg)</td>
<td>29.056</td>
<td>59.653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiral angle (deg)</td>
<td>45.989</td>
<td>27.601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch apex (mm)</td>
<td>-9.085</td>
<td>8.987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face apex (mm)</td>
<td>1.368</td>
<td>10.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer cone distance</td>
<td>83.084</td>
<td>95.598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offset (mm)</td>
<td>24.0000028</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter name</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( A_f ) (frontal area)</td>
<td>5.42 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_{rl} ) (rolling resistance coefficient)</td>
<td>0.0166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_D ) (drag coefficient)</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho ) (air density)</td>
<td>1.22 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W ) (vehicle weight)</td>
<td>2340 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tire</td>
<td>P205/65R15 BSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd gear ratio</td>
<td>1.5:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Roughness of solids</td>
<td>0.5 µm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter name</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressure viscosity coefficient ( \alpha )</td>
<td>2.3827E-008 [Pa-1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric dynamic viscosity @ ( 40\degree C ) ( \eta_0 )</td>
<td>0.19514 [Pa.s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric dynamic viscosity @ ( 100\degree C ) ( \eta_0 )</td>
<td>0.0170304 [Pa.s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyring stress ( \tau_0 )</td>
<td>2 [MPa]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tau_{L0} )</td>
<td>2.3 [MPa]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure-induced shear coefficient ( \lambda^\prime )</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity of fluid</td>
<td>0.14 [J/kgK]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat capacity of fluid</td>
<td>2000 [W/mK]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus of elasticity of contacting solids</td>
<td>210 [GPa]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio of contacting solids</td>
<td>0.3 [-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of contacting solids</td>
<td>7850[kg/m³]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity of contacting solids</td>
<td>46 [W/mK]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat capacity of contacting solids</td>
<td>470 [J/kgK]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 illustrates the variation of minimum film thickness during few meshing cycles. It shows that film thickness is estimated to be of the order of a few tenths of micrometer. This means that a mixed regime of lubrication would be expected. Using the calculated pressure distribution and film thickness, lubricant shear stress can be calculated. Its integration over the contact footprint yields the value of friction. The transmission inefficiency is defined as:

\[
\epsilon = \frac{\sum P_{fj}}{T \omega} \times 100
\]

Where \( P_{fj} = f_{ij} \Delta u_j \) is the frictional power loss, \( \Delta u_j \) is the sliding velocity of teeth pairs \( j \), while \( T \) and \( \omega \) are the pinion torque and angular velocity, respectively. Figure 4 shows the variation of inefficiency during a few meshing cycles. It shows that inefficiency of the studied hypoid gear pair under the considered conditions has a maximum value.
5. Concluding Remarks

Two key points in hypoid gears design are NVH refinement and efficiency. In order to estimate and refine these parameters a coupled solution between dynamics and tribology is required. Integration between dynamics and tribological models are through stiffness and damping of the lubricant film, flank friction and the effect of dynamic loads in an iterative process.

6. Nomenclature

\[
\begin{align*}
A_p & \quad \text{Vehicle frontal area} \\
\alpha & \quad \text{Acceleration} \\
b & \quad \text{Half of teeth pair backlash} \\
c_m & \quad \text{Damping coefficient in the direction of mesh} \\
c' & \quad \text{Thermal coefficient of bounding solid surfaces} \\
E_r & \quad \text{Reduced elastic modulus} \\
\pi \left( \frac{1-v'^2}{E_r} \right) + \frac{1-v'^2}{E_r} & \quad \text{Young's modulus of gear and pinion material} \\
E' & \quad \frac{E}{\pi} \\
F & \quad \text{Traction} \\
h_c & \quad \text{Central contact film thickness} \\
h_m & \quad \text{Minimum film thickness} \\
h^* & \quad \text{Dimensionless film thickness} \\
I_{p}, I_{g} & \quad \text{mass moments of inertia of pinion and gear} \\
K & \quad \text{Thermal conductivity of the lubricant} \\
K' & \quad \text{Thermal conductivity of the solids} \\
K_m & \quad \text{mesh stiffness} \\
m & \quad \text{Vehicle mass} \\
T_p, T_g & \quad \text{externally applied torques to the pinion and gear} \\
T_{fr,p}, T_{fr,g} & \quad \text{frictional moments at pinion and gear} \\
\bar{p} & \quad \text{Average pressure} \\
R_{xx} & \quad \text{Equivalent radius of contact along the minor axis} \\
R_{xy} & \quad \text{Equivalent radius of contact along the major axis} \\
R' & \quad \text{Equivalent radius} \\
R_p, R_g & \quad \text{pinion and gear contact radii} \\
R_a & \quad \text{Aerodynamic resistance} \\
R_{rl} & \quad \text{Rolling resistance} \\
R_{gr} & \quad \text{Gravitational resistance} \\
R_t & \quad \text{Transmission ratio} \\
r_{\text{Wheel}} & \quad \text{Tire radius} \\
U & \quad \text{Speed of entraining motion} \\
V & \quad \text{Vehicle speed} \\
W_n & \quad \text{Calculated contact load} \\
\alpha & \quad \text{Lubricant pressure-viscosity coefficient} \\
\mu & \quad \text{Coefficient of friction} \\
\eta_0 & \quad \text{Lubricant dynamic viscosity} \\
\theta & \quad \text{Angle of lubricant entrainment into the contact} \\
\nu_p & \quad \text{Poisson’s ratio for the pinion gear material} \\
\nu_w & \quad \text{Poisson’s ratio for the gear wheel material} \\
p' & \quad \text{Density of solids} \\
\tau_0 & \quad \text{Eyre shear stress} \\
\Phi_p, \Phi_g & \quad \text{pinion and gear angle of rotation} \\
\omega_{\text{mech}} & \quad \text{meshing frequency}
\end{align*}
\]
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