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INTRODUCTION
Few anatomical sites of such diminutive size have attracted so much variation in treatment as the orbital floor and its related fractures. The range of implant material in reconstruction following blow out fracture of the orbit is extensive and the decision as to which material is used remains debated 1.

Autologous materials offer clear advantages with cartilage, calvarial bone, antral bone, rib and ilium described 1. These grafts offer uncertain longevity and carry with them donor site morbidity. Alloplastic materials such as Silastic carry the largest track record but in addition, a well-documented complication rate related in particular to extrusion of the graft 2. Other alloplastic materials such as polyethylene sheeting (Medpore) are reported as having satisfactory results 3 and newer resorbable materials such as polydioxanone offer an alternative 4. The role of bioactive glass is more recently reported but its use is limited by defect size 5. Titanium for some time in other sites has offered an inert material of proven track record 6.7 but in its pre-formed presentation can be cumbersome for use in the orbital floor and if removal is required can present an operative challenge.

Continued development in CAD/ CAM technology with stereolithographic model construction offers unparalleled reproduction of anatomical detail 8 9 10. The use of this technology is described in relation to planning in trauma surgery 9 and in planning for ablative surgery for head and neck malignancy 12 13. Custom fit orbital floor implant construction is possible 14 15, although the material of choice is debated.

We describe a simple technique for construction of custom-made titanium orbital floor implants using easily available laboratory techniques combined with stereolithographically produced models. We estimate the cost of construction of an implant at around £300. This is largely accounted for by the cost of producing the model which, depending on the height of orbital contour required on the model, varies between £200 and £300. The making of the implant takes about 2 hours of a maxillofacial technician’s time and the medical-grade titanium sheet costs only a few pounds. This compares favourably with some of the newer alloplastic materials. This cost would drop substantially with greater use of the technique, and when reduced operating time is taken into account, the comparison is more favourable.

TECHNIQUE
Imaging
Scanning protocols are observed to minimise ionising radiation dosage to orbital tissues 16. Maximum detail can be obtained scanning with a 0.5mm collimation, but the 77% increase in dosage when
compared to using a 1mm collimation may not be justified. We use a Siemens Somatom Plus 4 Volume Zoom scanner and scan using parameters: 140 kV, 120MAS, 1mm collimation, 3.5 feed per rotation, 0.75 rotation time giving a displayed CTDiW of 45 mGY/100MAS. Data are reconstructed using 1mm slice width with 0.5mm increment (50% overlap) and very smooth kernel. Sharp reconstruction kernels normally associated with CT imaging of bony anatomy introduce an artificial edge enhancement. If used as part of a 3D volume based on selection of specific Hounsfield values; the enhancement artefact will be included with the bony detail therefore degrading the image. The data obtained can be used to construct very sharp multi planer reformats for bony detail and 3D imaging for both hard copy imaging and for stereo viewing by the surgeons.

FIGURE 1: Coronal CT scan demonstrating classical orbital blowout fracture.

Model Construction and Stereolithography Apparatus (SLA) Overview

The CT data are segmented to select the desired tissue type, usually compact bone. Region growing algorithms are then used to select the particular part of the anatomy required. The data is interpolated to increase resolution both in the scan plane and between the scan planes. Interpolation between the scan planes is done using a cubic algorithm that produces an accurate and natural appearance. The SLA machine creates models from a liquid resin that cures to solid when exposed to ultra violet light. An ultra violet laser scans the area of each slice to produce a solid layer. The model build platform is lowered by one layer thickness and more resin floods over the solidified layer. A recoating blade levels the resin and the next layer is scanned on top of the first, and so on until the model is complete. When this process is being used for reconstruction of the orbital floor, scans are typically taken in the axial plane at intervals exceeding 1 mm. This means that very thin bone that lies predominantly axially may fall between consecutive scans and therefore not be present in the data or 3D model created from it. To overcome this however, scans are taken using a smooth kernel at a slice distance of 1 mm but with a 0.5-mm overlap as described above. This improves the resolution of the data in these thin areas. The detail created is exceptionally good. To maintain the greatest level of accuracy an epoxy resin was chosen (SL5220). This type of resin shows almost no shrinkage during the photo-polymerisation process and therefore can produce models with excellent accuracy.
Construction of the prosthesis

From stereolithographically produced 3D models, the orbital defect is easily seen and assessed. The orbital defect is then filled using wax to reproduce a like contour to the contralateral side and an impression is taken of both orbital cavities using silicon putty impression material. The orbital injury side is then reproduced by pouring a hard plaster/stone model. The defect has been filled and therefore appears in its proposed reconstructed form. Using pressure flasks usually used in denture construction a layer of 0.5mm medical grade titanium is then swaged onto the stone/plaster model of the orbital floor producing an exact replica of the proposed orbital floor and rim contour. The titanium sheet may then be trimmed to allow sufficient overlap and the positioning of a flange for screw fixation. The prosthesis is polished and may be sterilised for use according to local protocol for titanium implants.
CASE REPORT

A 54-year-old man sustained a blow-out fracture of the left orbital floor and presented with diplopia and restriction of upward gaze. Coronal plane CT showed the fracture (Fig. 1). A stereolithographic model was constructed, which shows the trap door of the fractured orbital floor well (Fig. 2). The model was then used to construct a plaster cast of the orbital defect. A medical-grade titanium prosthesis was constructed from this working cast (Fig. 3). The prosthesis was packaged and sterilised by the hospital central sterile supplies department according to the standard protocol for titanium medical implants. The approach to the orbital floor was by a subciliary incision and the defect was exposed. Herniation and entrapment of periglobar fat was released and the defect prepared in a standard way. The prosthesis fitted perfectly and was stabilised with 1.3mm titanium screws from a standard plating kit (Fig. 4). Forced duction was confirmed as normal. Postoperative recovery was uneventful and radiographs revealed the prosthesis to be correctly positioned (Fig. 5a and b). At follow up complete return to normal range of ocular movement was found with resolution of the diplopia and no evidence of complications.

FIGURE 4: The implant inserted and fixed with 1.3mm screws. The very precise fit is seen.

FIGURE 5 (a) and (b): Plain radiographs in the anterior–posterior and lateral planes showing the position of the implant postoperatively.
CONCLUSION

We think that this technique has much to offer both in its simplicity and in the reliability of titanium as a prosthetic material. The laboratory techniques are simple and readily available in most maxillofacial laboratories. The models require off-site production but their use is felt especially valid in cases where defects may be complicated in three-dimensions and where operating time should be reduced to a minimum. The cost of construction of models will reduce significantly if numbers increase, meaning the technique may offer a financially viable alternative to current orbital floor prostheses.
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