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Abstract: The problem of microfossil preservation, specifically diatom dissolution, remains an important, but often overlooked, source of error in both qualitative and quantitative reconstructions of key variables from fossil samples, especially those using relative abundance data. A first step to tackling this complex issue is establishing an objective method of assessing preservation (here, diatom dissolution) that can be applied by different analysts and incorporated into routine counting strategies. Here, we establish a methodology for assessment of diatom dissolution under standard light microscopy (LM) illustrated with morphological criteria for a range of major diatom valve shapes. Dissolution data can be applied to numerical models (transfer functions) from contemporary samples, and to fossil material to aid interpretation of stratigraphic profiles and taphonomic pathways of individual taxa. Using a surface sediment diatom-salinity training set from the Northern Great Plains (NGP) as an example, we explore a variety of approaches to including dissolution data in salinity inference models indirectly and directly. Results show that dissolution data can improve models, with apparent dissolution-adjusted error (RMSE) up to 15% lower that their unadjusted counterparts. Internal validation suggests improvements are more modest, with bootstrapped prediction errors (RMSEP) up to 10% lower. When tested on a short core from Devils Lake, North Dakota, which has a historical record of salinity, dissolution-adjusted models infer higher values compared to unadjusted models during peak salinity of the 1930s-40s Dust Bowl but nonetheless significantly underestimate peak values. Site-specific factors at Devils Lake associated with effects of lake level change on taphonomy (preservation and re-working, implied by dissolution data) may override model improvements incorporating dissolution.
Dissolution-adjusted salinity models are also applied to a 150-yr sediment record from Spiritwood Lake, North Dakota, which suggests that this lake has a damped and lagged response to major regional climate forcing of salinity during the Dust Bowl. At this site, dissolution data also suggest different taphonomic behaviour of taxa related to their seasonal patterns of growth and sedimentation. Thus, dissolution data can improve models, and aid interpretation of sedimentary profiles as records of limnological, ecological and environmental change, filtered by taphonomy.
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Abstract

The problem of microfossil preservation, specifically diatom dissolution, remains an important, but often overlooked, source of error in both qualitative and quantitative reconstructions of key variables from fossil samples, especially those using relative abundance data. A first step to tackling this complex issue is establishing an objective method of assessing preservation (here, diatom dissolution) that can be applied by different analysts and incorporated into routine counting strategies. Here, we establish a methodology for assessment of diatom dissolution under standard light microscopy (LM) illustrated with morphological criteria for a range of major diatom valve shapes. Dissolution data can be applied to numerical models (transfer functions) from contemporary samples, and to fossil material to aid interpretation of stratigraphic profiles and taphonomic pathways of individual taxa. Using a surface sediment diatom-salinity training set from the Northern Great Plains (NGP) as an example, we explore a variety of approaches to including dissolution data in salinity inference models indirectly and directly. Results show that dissolution data can improve models, with apparent dissolution-adjusted error (RMSE) up to 15% lower that their unadjusted counterparts. Internal validation suggests improvements are more modest, with bootstrapped prediction errors (RMSEP) up to 10% lower. When tested on a short core from Devils Lake, North Dakota, which has a historical record of salinity, dissolution-adjusted models infer higher values compared to unadjusted models during peak salinity of the 1930s-40s Dust Bowl but nonetheless significantly underestimate peak values. Site-specific factors at Devils Lake associated with effects of lake level change on taphonomy (preservation and re-working, implied by dissolution data) may override model improvements incorporating dissolution. Dissolution-adjusted salinity models are also applied to a 150-yr sediment record from Spiritwood Lake, North Dakota, which suggests that this lake has a damped and lagged response to major regional climate forcing of salinity during the Dust Bowl. At this site, dissolution data also suggest different taphonomic behaviour of taxa related to their seasonal patterns of growth and
sedimentation. Thus, dissolution data can improve models, and aid interpretation of sedimentary profiles as records of limnological, ecological and environmental change, filtered by taphonomy.
1. Introduction

Current concern with global environmental change (IPCC, 2007) has highlighted the need for accurate reconstructions of past conditions to describe natural patterns of variation, and as an independent means of testing climate model hindcasts (Battarbee, 2000; Birks and Birks, 2006). Biological proxies preserved in sedimentary archives provide powerful tools for making quantitative reconstructions, often using multivariate modelling approaches. While sophisticated methods exist for such analyses, remarkably little attention has been paid to the quality of the primary data on which such models, and reconstructions, are based (Barker, 1992; Mackay et al., 2005; Ryves et al., 2006). Poor microfossil preservation has long been recognised as affecting all biogenic materials in certain circumstances (e.g. silica, calcite and sporopollenin; Lewin, 1961; Berger, 1968; Birks and Birks, 1980), to the extent that perfect preservation is often the exception rather than the rule. Despite much progress on understanding processes and rates of silica dissolution and biogeochemical cycling in natural systems (Conley et al., 1993; Dixit et al., 2001; Van Cappellen et al., 2002), little work has been carried out either to quantify the effects of preservation on inference models (Barker, 1992; Ryves et al., 2006) or explicitly to consider what approaches can be taken to minimise the impacts on inferences from fossil data.

Diatoms are widely used to reconstruct past aquatic environments in a range of systems (freshwater, brackish and marine; Stoermer and Smol, 1999), and are key in understanding the global biogeochemistry of silica (Tréguer et al., 1995). Diatom dissolution is a widespread problem in many depositional environments, particularly in saline and marine settings, but is observed in many freshwater systems too (Round, 1964; Flower, 1993; Straub, 1993; Ryves et al., 2003). Poor diatom preservation may be one reason why diatom-salinity models may lead to inaccurate or misleading reconstructions when applied to fossil data, especially at higher salinities (Fritz et al., 1993; Reed, 1998b; Ryves et al., 2006). The majority of models for quantitative reconstruction using diatoms use the robust technique of weighted averaging (WA) and its derivatives (e.g. weighted averaging-partial least squares, WA-PLS; ter Braak and Juggins, 1993),
whereby relative abundance (%) data are used to define species’ optima, and tolerances. Other methodological approaches, such as analogue matching and maximum likelihood modelling, also rely on relative abundance data. Such approaches are susceptible to any taphonomic processes (e.g. differential transport, sedimentation and dissolution; Juggins, 1992; Cameron, 1995; Ryves et al., 2003) that bias the relative representation of species within, and between, the training set and fossil data. Given that it is often not possible (or desirable, from an analogue perspective; Hutson, 1977) to create models and choose fossil samples that are always well preserved, incorporating preservation data directly or indirectly into modern training sets (transfer functions) and fossil data (reconstructions) offers an explicit route to tackle preservation issues.

Several possible approaches exist to the general problem of poor preservation using dissolution data (Table 1). Indirect methods include weighting species and samples according to relative resistance to dissolution, although situations in which this approach is suitable may be rare and may only result in minor improvements to model performance (Ryves, 1994). A more intuitively appealing, flexible, and potentially powerful, approach makes use of the direct (morphological) assessment of valve preservation in samples, whereby valves are classed into morphologically distinct dissolution categories, or simply classified as pristine or dissolved (Flower and Likhoshway, 1993; Ryves et al., 2001; Ryves et al., 2006). Earlier work has explored qualitative and quantitative use of diatom dissolution data in contemporary and palaeoenvironmental studies, to estimate the reliability of diatom-based inferences from fossil data (Barker, 1992; Ryves et al., 2001; Ryves et al., 2006), but here we incorporate dissolution data directly into numerical models and reconstructions themselves (cf. Battarbee et al., 2005; Mackay et al., 2005; Austin et al., 2007). We also make use of dissolution data for individual taxa to help understand their taphonomic behaviour and aid interpretation of stratigraphic profiles.

Dissolution indices can be used to weight species and samples directly within (or exclude them from) the training set (Table 1). Dissolution counting also can be used to create new transfer functions whereby dissolution is explicitly incorporated into the model. Each species’ response
along an environmental gradient can be decomposed into several constituent “dissolution taxa”, each with an associated optimum and tolerance, especially where dissolution is driven partly by the parameter of interest (e.g. salinity; Fritz et al., 1993; Wilson et al., 1996; Reed, 1998a; Ryves et al., 2006). While species’ distributions in living communities should respond to major environmental gradients (Jongman et al., 1995), a dissolution (taphonomic) gradient may cut across these in a fossil or sub-fossil assemblage. The replacement of one species optimum by two (or more) dissolution taxa optima may improve both model performance and quantitative inferences. Approaches that directly assess dissolution data in both training sets and fossil data are especially attractive as both datasets are treated equally (Table 1).

In some instances, valve abundances for individual taxa can be recalculated across a dataset (Battarbee et al., 2005; Mackay et al., 2005) where data on taxon-specific losses through dissolution exist (Ryves et al., 2001; Ryves et al., 2003). These dissolution-corrected species’ abundances can be used to derive new models and reconstructions (both qualitative and quantitative; Mackay et al., 2005). Dissolution-adjusted transfer functions can be compared to conventional models (e.g. Mackay et al., 2003; Mackay et al., 2005) and validated both internally (by the jack-knife or bootstrap methods) and externally by comparison with an observed record of an environmental parameter, where this exists.

Here we explore the issue of a dissolution-corrected transfer function in a well-known and widely applied diatom-salinity model used for palaeoclimate reconstruction from lake sediments in the Northern Great Plains of America (the NGP; Fritz et al., 1991; Laird et al., 1996b; Laird et al., 2003; Laird et al., 2007). This paper develops earlier work on quantifying and predicting diatom dissolution from physical and chemical limnology, and its role as a source of error in palaeosalinity reconstruction at Devils Lake, North Dakota (Ryves et al., 2001; Ryves et al., 2006). This site, which has an observed record of salinity covering much of the 20th century (Fritz, 1990), provides a test case for the approaches to dissolution data discussed here. Dissolution adjusted models and data are also applied to a 210Pb-dated short core from Spiritwood Lake, North
Dakota covering the last ~150 years.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 The Northern Great Plains datasets

Although, on an annual basis, evapotranspiration exceeds precipitation across the Northern Great Plains, topographic and groundwater conditions permit many perennial and quasi-perennial lakes to exist. Diatom communities, and surface sediment assemblages, respond strongly to the climatically-controlled salinity gradient found across the wider region (Fritz et al., 1993; Wilson et al., 1996), and have formed the basis for diatom-salinity transfer functions for palaeoclimate inference and their application at several key sites across the NGP (Fritz et al., 1991; Laird et al., 1996b; Laird et al., 2003).

The salinity models explored here are based on models developed by Fritz (1990), Fritz et al. (1991) and Fritz et al. (1993). The 55 site salinity model published by Fritz (1990) and Fritz et al. (1991) includes two sites (Alkaline and East Stump) with poorly preserved diatoms (and counts <100 valves) which were excluded in the 53 site salinity model of Fritz et al. (1993). Both these training sets (hereafter the NGP55 and NGP53 respectively) are examined here incorporating dissolution data. Additionally, an error of incorrect site attribution within earlier models has been corrected.

Many lakes within the NGP53 dataset are endorheic, from 0.1 – 28 m depth (average ~5 m) and range in size from ~10⁻² km² to ~10² km². Salinity ranges from 0.68 to 41.4 g L⁻¹ total dissolved solids (TDS) in NGP53, and to 82.9 g L⁻¹ TDS in NGP55 (mean ~10 g L⁻¹ TDS for both datasets), while pH ranges from 8.25 to 9.6 (median 8.82). Water chemistry is predominantly Na—MgSO₄, with carbonate precipitation at higher salinity. Full details of all NGP lakes may be found in Fritz et al. (1993).

2.2 Devils Lake and Spiritwood Lake
Short cores from two lakes within the NGP also were used to test the dissolution methodology. Devils Lake, North Dakota (48°05’ N, 98°56’ W) is a large (55 km$^2$) lake that is a terminal basin for a 10 000 km$^2$ watershed. Conductivity and salinity have been measured intermittently since 1899 but with a gap between 1923-1948, while annual water level measurements date back to 1901 (Fritz, 1990; Fig. 7). A 1 m piston core was collected in January 1986 from the Creel Bay embayment in 7.5 m water. Maximum water depth in the main bay was 8.5 m in 1985 (434.8 masl). About 100 km to the south, Spiritwood Lake, North Dakota (47°05’ N, 98°35’ W) is a small, relatively deep dimictic lake (lake area 1.67 km$^2$, catchment area 60 km$^2$, maximum depth 16 m), occupying a meltwater channel, filled with glaciofluvial sediments following deglaciation (Winters, 1963). This channel is part of the Spiritwood Valley aquifer, an important component of local and regional hydrology (Huxel and Petri, 1963; Huxel and Petri, 1965). In August 1991, core SW1 (82 cm long) was retrieved close to the centre of the lake using a modified piston corer in 13.4 m of water.

Both cores were extruded in the field at 1 cm intervals, and samples were stored at 4°C prior to analysis. Dating control was achieved using $^{210}$Pb (Appleby, 2001) at both sites, with a constant value subtracted for supported activity at Devils Lake (Fritz, 1990) and individual measurements of both supported and total activity at Spiritwood Lake (corroborated with measurement of $^{137}$Cs and $^{241}$Am; P.G. Appleby, University of Liverpool, UK; unpubl. data). A constant rate of supply model for $^{210}$Pb was applied in both cases (Appleby and Oldfield, 1978) with additional dating control for Devils Lake from known regional pollen markers (Jacobson and Engstrom, 1989; Fritz, 1990).

2.3 Diatom dissolution indices

In order to include dissolution data in numerical modelling, an objective means of quantifying diatom dissolution is needed. Experimental dissolution of fresh valves and surface
sediment assemblages from the NGP in a high pH medium found that groups of morphologically similar taxa (e.g. within the genera *Stephanodiscus* and *Cyclotella*) underwent similar physical patterns of corrosion as dissolution progressed (Ryves, 1994). Such patterns were also observed in deeper core material undergoing natural dissolution (e.g. *Stephanodiscus niagarae* in Figure 1), providing justification for an experimental approach as a means to quantify dissolution in natural settings. Using a combination of both light (LM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM), dissolution patterns for each major diatom shape were defined, with 2, 3 or 4 dissolution states (“stages”) identified for each taxon (stage 1 being the pristine state and higher stages indicating progressive dissolution). A description of dissolution stages for common taxa is given in Table 2 and illustrated in Fig.1 and Appendix A1.

Under routine counting under LM, all valves (excluding girdle views) were assigned into one of the categories. Two diatom dissolution metrics can be derived from these data (*F* and *DDI*; Ryves et al., 2001; Ryves et al., 2006). The *F* (fractional) index is the proportion of pristine valves (stage 1) to all valves that can be classed (Flower and Likhoshway, 1993; Ryves et al., 2001) and varies from 0 to 1, with *F* = 1 indicating all valves are perfectly preserved to *F* = 0 where all valves appear dissolved under LM. To maximise potential information from more dissolved assemblages, the "diatom dissolution index" (*DDI*, Ryves et al., 2006) was developed, which compares the assemblage to its dissolution endpoint:

\[
DDI = \frac{\sum_{S=1}^{S_{\text{max}}} n_S \cdot (S - 1)}{N \cdot (S_{\text{max}} - 1)}
\]

(1)

where *n* is the number of valves in stage *S*, and *N* is the total number of valves classified (i.e. excluding girdle views). *S_{\text{max}}* is the highest dissolution stage that valves in the assemblage
(from those present at 5% abundance or more) can reach and varies between 2 and 4. DDI also varies from 0 (perfect preservation) to 1 (all valves at highest dissolution stage for assemblage). A comparison between analysts (D.B. Ryves and R.W. Battarbee) counting 15 years apart on the US samples demonstrates that this methodology is robust for both indices (F: \( r^2 = 0.89 \) and DDI: \( r^2 = 0.93 \) for 27 NGP lakes, \( p < 0.001 \); Fig. 2).

2.4 Diatom analysis

Original slides from the NGP surface sediment diatom training set (Fritz et al., 1993) were re-examined to assess diatom dissolution of species and assemblages. Counts were made under phase-contrast LM at x1000 magnification (mean 291 valves per lake; >300 for 45 lakes). In only two cases were counts <100 valves (Shinbone and Horseshoe). In addition, the uppermost 19 samples (count sum 150–300 valves) from the Devils Lake short core (Fritz, 1990) and 18 samples from the Spiritwood Lake short core (average count 420 valves) were counted using the dissolution methodology. Dissolution assessments of individual species important within any sample (>5% abundance) were based on at least 30 valve views of that species. Analytical effort was focussed on accurately quantifying dissolution within a training set of known species composition, so as to compare the original (unadjusted) model with those incorporating dissolution data. For Alkaline and East Stump, dissolution data were obtained from original countsheets of R.W. Battarbee.

Diatom identification followed standard texts (Patrick and Reimer, 1966; Patrick and Reimer, 1975; Krammer and Lange-Bertalot, 1986; Krammer and Lange-Bertalot, 1988; Krammer and Lange-Bertalot, 1991a; Krammer and Lange-Bertalot, 1991b), more specialist publications dealing with specific saline lake taxa found in the NGP (e.g. Battarbee et al., 1982; Carvalho et al., 1995) and informal saline diatom taxonomy workshop protocols. Initially, raphid and araphid valves from heterovalvar taxa (e.g. *Achnanthes* spp., *Cocconeis* spp. and *Rhoicosphenia curvata*) were enumerated separately during dissolution counting, as they may
show different dissolution behaviour (Ryves et al., 2001). Chaetoceros cysts (thought to be C. elmorei or C. muelleri; Fritz et al., 1993) were classed as stage 2 unless associated with the weakly silicified enclosing cell (considered stage 1), but no further dissolution stages could be reliably identified for this taxon.

2.5 Numerical analyses

Dissolution data obtained directly during routine counting of trainings sets and cores can be used to create new inference models in several ways (Table 1). One approach is to consider the distribution of each dissolution stage of every taxon as a pseudospecies in its own right, which appears logical as dissolution is correlated to salinity within the NGP (Ryves et al., 2006). For this method, the original percentage abundance of each species in every sample (as found by Fritz et al., 1993) was split amongst its constituent “dissolution taxa” (undissolved or corroded under the F index, and with up to four stages using the DDI methodology). This was calculated using the relative proportion of valves in each dissolution stage found under the recount for that species in that sample. For any sample where taxa were not recorded during the recount (i.e. rare taxa not encountered due to smaller counts or different transects viewed under LM), the average dissolution index for the sample was used. The original distribution of Fritz et al. (1993) across the dataset for all species was thus maintained and provides a direct comparison with the unadjusted NGP models.

A second method involved using the F values for each species in each sample as an indicator of taxon-specific valve loss within that sample, using the empirical relationship observed from dissolution experiments for mixed assemblages (Ryves et al., 2001). A dissolution correction factor ($F^*_{ij}$) can be applied to each occurrence ($y_{ij}$) of species $j$ in any sample $i$, and then new abundances ($F[y_{ij}]$) calculated for each species in every sample as follows:
\[ F[y_j] = \frac{y_j \cdot F*_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{i=j} y_j \cdot F*_{ij}} \]

(Eq. 2)

The dissolution correction factor, \( F*_{ij} \), for any species’ occurrence is derived from re-arranging the relationship between \( F \) and valve loss in Ryves et al. (2001):

\[ F*_{ij} = 10^{0.979 - 1.075F_{ij}} \]

(Eq. 3)

where \( F_{ij} \) is the \( F \) index for species \( j \) in sample \( i \). Values of \( F*_{ij} \) range from ~9.5 when \( F = 0 \), and are capped at 1 where preservation is excellent (\( F \geq 0.91 \)). To avoid introducing excessive noise from species found at low abundance, the original dataset was screened and taxa occurring at <1% maximum abundance deleted. Taxa that were not recorded in this reduced dataset during the dissolution recount were assigned the assemblage \( F \) value for that sample. These models can be directly compared to unadjusted NGP models screened with a 1% cut-off (e.g. Fritz et al., 1993).

Transfer function development was carried out within the C2 program (version 1.4.3; Juggins, 2003). Diatom assemblage data were analysed as percentages but were otherwise untransformed. Salinity (expressed as g L\(^{-1}\) TDS) was log-transformed to approximate normality and reduce the influence of large values. C2 includes all weighted averaging (WA) variants (WA with both classical and inverse deshrinking, and with tolerance downweighting of taxa), as well as WA-PLS, analogue matching (MAT) and maximum likelihood modelling (ML). Inverse deshrinking tends to improve overall model performance (lower errors), but may lead to less accuracy at extreme ends of the environmental gradient. Models were internally validated using the bootstrap method in C2 (1000 cycles; Birks et al., 1990). Apparent and predicted root-mean square errors (RMSE and RMSEP) values are reported as \( \log_{10} \) TDS. Salinity optima for species (and dissolution pseudospecies) were derived using WA.
User-defined weighting for individual samples and species within C2 is only available by deletion (zero weight; Table 1) but can be implemented within CANOCO to derive a simple WA transfer function with classical deshrinking (ter Braak and Šmilauer, 2002), although there is no straightforward method of assessing predictive error. Given these restrictions, weighting of samples and species using either directly observed dissolution data, or indirectly according to relative resistance to dissolution was not tested in the current study. Previous work using variable weighting based on species’ relative resistance to dissolution derived from experiments has suggested that only minor improvements can be expected (Ryves, 1994).

Model validation against the Devils Lake salinity record was based on a short core covering the period of historical salinity measurements (Fritz 1990). Salinity (or conductivity) has been measured sporadically at Spiritwood Lake since the mid-1970s (M. Sauer, North Dakota Department of Health, pers. comm.), with isolated values from 1965 - 72 (G. Van Eeckhout, North Dakota Game and Fish Department, pers. comm., Tarapchak, 1973; values converted to salinity). Although no measured data exist for earlier periods, there is some anecdotal evidence for lake level change from written accounts over the last century (Johnson, 1950). Goodness-of-fit of reconstructions also were estimated statistically by comparing fossil and training set squared residual distances from a single-variable CCA salinity axis (Birks et al., 1990). If fossil samples lie in the upper 10% or 5% of the distribution of such distances in the surface sediments (log transformed for normality), they are deemed to have a "poor" or "very poor" fit respectively to the reconstructed variable (Birks et al., 1990; Laird et al., 1996a).

3. Results

3.1 Dissolution taxonomy and methodology

There is excellent agreement between taxonomists for both F and DDI with the slopes for both indices indistinguishable from 1 (Fig. 2). Given the time interval separating these counts, this
suggests that objective criteria for assessing dissolution exist and are robust. Four dissolution stages were recognised for common *Cyclotella*, larger *Amphora* and Naviculoid taxa; three for many other pennates (e.g. *Anomoeoneis*, *Gomphonema*, and other smaller Naviculoid taxa), centrics (*Stephanodiscus*) and Surirellaceae; and two for fine and/or small taxa such as many *Nitzschia*, *Cocconeis* and *Achnanthes* species (Table 2, Fig. 2, Appendix 1). Descriptions for each dissolution stage (Table 2) are based on their appearance under LM at x1000 so that the method can be used during routine counting. SEM imagery, however, shows that substantial dissolution can occur before valves appear dissolved under LM (Appendix 1), with areolae becoming enlarged (cf. Fig 1F) and silica surfaces developing a “spongy” appearance in valves otherwise classed as pristine under LM.

3.2 Dissolution pseudospecies within the NGP

As diatom dissolution is correlated with salinity, salinity optima calculated for successive dissolution stages should increase within an individual species and is the logic by which dissolution data may improve diatom-salinity models and inferences. Figure 3 shows the WA salinity optima for all dissolution stages for the 39 species in NGP53 that have a maximum abundance of at least 10% and occur in 5 lakes or more, with 4 species split into raphid and araphid forms. For 31 taxa (72%), less dissolved valves have lower salinity optima than more dissolved, and these often plot in numeric order where 3 or 4 stages are recognised. Dissolution salinity optima can span the species optimum by 0.5 log-salinity units or more, equivalent to a factor of 3. For example, NA054A (*Navicula veneta*, Fig. 3) has a salinity optimum of 4.94 g L\(^{-1}\) TDS, representing the weighted average of its three component dissolution stages, with optima of 2.74 (stage 1 = undissolved), 4.95 (stage 2) and 14.71 (stage 3) g L\(^{-1}\) TDS respectively; *Cyclotella quillensis* (CY017A; optimum 12.53 g L\(^{-1}\) TDS) has 4 dissolution stages (spanning 8.17 - 14.68 g L\(^{-1}\)).

Figure 3 suggests there are only minor differences in the salinity range of raphid and
araphid valves, in contrast to results from dissolution experiments which found sometimes large differences in robustness between these valve types (Ryves et al., 2001). Such differences may be obscured by other taphonomic effects during transport and sedimentation of these periphytic taxa from their littoral habitats to offshore, deeper water sediments. In the light of this, heterovalvar species were not separated into raphid and araphid taxa within NGP dataset for subsequent transfer function development.

Many of these dissolution taxa exhibit a unimodal response to salinity as does the species from which they derive, an assumption of WA methods. Figures 4 and 5 show the distribution with respect to salinity of *Cyclotella quillensis* (for both NGP53 and NGP55) and *Navicula cincta* for all observations and separated according to dissolution stage (4 stages for *C. quillensis* and 3 for *N. cincta*). The estimated WA salinity optima and the modelled response under logistic regression (predicted unimodal fit) for NGP53 are in close agreement and suggest true unimodal response for these dissolution taxa, even for pseudospecies that do not show large increases in salinity optima for successive dissolution stages (e.g. *Navicula cincta*, Fig. 5). These results suggest that the distribution of some taxa, and so their “aggregate” WA optima, are strongly influenced by dissolution. In particular, the species optimum for *C. quillensis* is largely defined by badly preserved samples as most valves in the NGP are dissolved (stage 1 optimum of 8.17 g L\(^{-1}\) TDS; Fig. 4). Including East Stump (77% *C. quillensis*, all stage 3 or 4) in the training set increases the species salinity optimum from 12.53 to 16.22 g L\(^{-1}\) TDS, through its effect on optima for stages 3 and 4 while leaving stage 1 and 2 optima unchanged (Fig. 4). This clearly has implications for ecological inferences made from surface sediment training sets that are affected by such taphonomic effects, where the surface sedimentary abundances may not be an accurate reflection of the living community.

### 3.3 Dissolution-adjusted salinity models

Table 3 summarises the results of modelling experiments incorporating dissolution
information (Table 1) on the NGP training sets using a variety of indirect and direct approaches (models E – K) compared to unadjusted models (A – D). For dissolution-adjusted models (Table 3, E – K), WA methods outperformed WA-PLS, MAT and ML methods in all cases. For each training set, the “best” apparent and predicted models are shown (as judged by lowest RMSE and RMSEP respectively). In all cases, the best apparent models (lowest RMSE) were produced under WA with tolerance downweighting and inverse deshrinking (WAtolinv), while lowest predicted errors (minimising RMSEP) were found using simple WA models (but always under inverse deshrinking for models ignoring dissolution, and classical deshrinking for those incorporating dissolution information).

The unadjusted NGP models (Table 3, A – D), with all taxa and a cut-off of 1%, appear robust with low RMSE (around 0.17 log-salinity units, LSU). These errors are likely underestimated, however, as the bootstrapping analysis shows, with RMSEP of around 0.3 LSU. Models display apparent systematic underestimation at higher salinity, which correlates to some extent with dissolution state of the training set sample (Fig. 6a), although when residuals are plotted against predicted values (Racca and Prairie, 2004) this trend disappears. Models tend to improve as the number of taxa increases, in agreement with other studies (Wilson et al., 1996; Birks, 1998). Within its salinity range, all NGP salinity transfer functions compare favourably with a 219 lake training set from British Columbia (Wilson et al., 1996; salinity range 0.02-620 g L⁻¹ TDS, no. taxa 204, r² = 0.87, RMSEboot = 0.371 LSU), and others (e.g. Gasse et al., 1995; Reed, 1998a; Davies et al., 2002; Yang et al., 2003).

All transfer functions making use of dissolution data produce models that appear to perform better, though these improvements are minor when validated under bootstrapping compared to the unadjusted models (Table 3, E – K). Under model E (NGP53), deleting four samples that are badly preserved (F < 0.15; Bitter (South Dakota), George, Hazelden and Rabbit) removes only one lake with a residual > 0.3 LSU (Hazelden; Fig. 6). Samples from Mission Bay, Boucher, Porter and Eckelson all have residuals > 0.4 LSU but are better
By definition models built using dissolution pseudospecies contain many more taxa than the unadjusted training set, with 365 taxa where species are separated into pristine and dissolved categories (Table 3, F and G), and 536 taxa where all dissolution stages are considered (Table 3, H and I). These two approaches produce models with the lowest apparent RMSE values generated (~0.14 LSU for 53 sites), a marked improvement on unadjusted models (~15% lower). RMSEP values are not better, however (~0.3 LSU). Recalculating species’ abundances using the F index (Table 3, J) produced models with similar RMSE to unadjusted models, and marginally lower RMSEP, though still ~0.28 LSU. Examination of the residuals of model J against predicted salinity of the training set lakes (Racca and Prairie, 2004) suggested that Eckelson Lake was an outlier (~0.55 LSU; cf. Fig. 6b), which is not the case with unadjusted NGP53 models (Fig. 6a). Deleting this site improved the model further (Model K; Fig. 6b, Table 3), with both RMSE and RMSEP ~10% lower than any of the unadjusted models, and appears to reduce bias at high salinity (Fig 6b).

3.4 Application of salinity models at Devils Lake and Spiritwood Lake

Summary diatom diagrams are shown for Devils Lake (Fig. 7, adapted from Fritz 1990) and Spiritwood Lake (Fig. 8) including all taxa found at >5% in any sample. The proportion of pristine and dissolved valves for each of the taxa found in each sample are indicated, together with sample F index, observed lake level and salinity (Devils Lake) and sedimentation rate data (Spiritwood Lake).

3.4.1 Devils Lake diatom stratigraphy

The recent diatom record at Devils Lake is discussed in detail in Fritz (1990) and only a brief summary is given here (Fig. 7). Over the last ~100 years, Devils Lake shows dramatic shifts from an assemblage dominated by freshwater planktonic Stephanodiscus taxa (S. minutulus and
S. niagarae) to one dominated by saline planktonic species, especially Cyclotella quillensis and Chaetoceros cysts, in keeping with the observed (and inverse) trends in lake level and salinity. Diatom dissolution is significant (F ~ 0.4; Ryves et al. 2006) for all samples before ~1960, even when water levels were high in the early 20th century.

3.4.2 Spiritwood Lake diatom stratigraphy

The diatom record at Spiritwood Lake (Fig. 8) is dominated by planktonic taxa throughout, especially Stephanodiscus minutulus and S. niagarae, which tend to co-vary inversely to each other (and may reflect Si:P availability and water column turbulence). The flora is indicative of freshwater (< 0.5 g L⁻¹ TDS) to subsaline (0.5 – 3 g L⁻¹ TDS) conditions throughout much of the recent past. A period of elevated salinity is suggested in the 1940s and 1950s, when Cyclotella meneghiniana (and the appearance of C. quillensis) and Chaetoceros elmorei/muelleri cysts make up over 30% of the assemblage. Throughout the 1980s, the epiphytic Cocconeis placentula becomes important, declining in the upper samples with the rise of the planktonic freshwater Fragilaria capucina var. mesolepta, in concert with lake management practises to reduce total phosphorus (TP) concentrations. The surface sediment is dominated (~80%) by very well preserved F. capucina, likely reflecting a spring bloom when the lake was cored (April 1991).

The F index fluctuates between 0.2 and 0.6 throughout the record before ~1970, when there is a transition to higher values indicating better preservation (reaching ~0.9 in the surface sample). Sediment accumulation rates reflect the long term rise in agricultural intensification throughout the region since European immigration in the 19th century, falling in the 1950s and 1960s, but increasing to the present.

3.4.3 Salinity inference at Devils Lake and Spiritwood Lake

Both original models (i.e. ignoring dissolution) and dissolution-adjusted models were applied to the Devils Lake and Spiritwood Lake short cores and compared with measured salinity
at both sites (Fig. 9). Results are shown for comparable 55 and 53 lake datasets with lowest
RMSEP (models A, C, F and G in Table 3). At each site, the general patterns of salinity inference
are similar, and at Devils Lake (Fig. 9a), all reconstructions are significantly correlated with
observed salinity (p < 0.01, n = 15). Sample-specific errors are substantially lower than predicted
(RMSEP), in all cases being ~0.1 LSU (~20% of the back-transformed value), and hence profiles
of inferred salinity show significant differences both within and between models at each site.

The effect of deleting Alkaline and especially East Stump (the latter dominated by
dissolved *C. quillensis*) is clear at Devils Lake as DI-salinity values are significantly lower under
the NGP53 models. At salinity above ~5 g L\(^{-1}\) TDS at Devils Lake, dissolution models tend to
infer higher values relative to their unadjusted counterparts, particularly in samples before ~1950,
where most valves are dissolved, although highest salinity values in the 1930s-40s are likely
underestimated under all models (but to which there are no observed salinity data for comparison).
Conversely, dissolution models appear to overestimate salinity in the late 19th century compared
to unadjusted models. DI-salinity tends to be inversely related to sample dissolution index, and
this relationship is emphasised by dissolution models.

Inferred salinity at Spiritwood Lake (Fig. 9b) over the last ~150 years is relatively low
compared to Devils Lake, with values between 1-3 g L\(^{-1}\) TDS (depending on model) for much
of this time, except during a period of elevated salinity in the 1940s-50s. At Spiritwood Lake,
salinity inference under the 55 site models tends to be higher than the 53 site models in all
cases, again except for low values from the mid-1970s, where all models infer very similar
values. Dissolution models differ little from unadjusted models at this site, except during the
1940s-50s. The NGP55 dissolution model (G, Table 3) infers the highest salinity of all models
in the mid-1950s (almost 5 g L\(^{-1}\) TDS), suggesting that salinity was above the saline threshold
(3 g L\(^{-1}\) TDS) from the 1940s, in contrast to other models (including the NGP53 dissolution
model). All DI-inferred values agree well with salinity measurements from the mid-1970s
when salinity was low, but observed salinity in 1970 and especially 1965 agree better with the
dissolution models, although too few observations exist for a proper validation. As at Devils Lake, the F index varies inversely to DI-salinity, even at these low inferred values.

4. Discussion

4.1 Developing a dissolution taxonomy

Repeatable dissolution patterns exist for many valve shapes observable under LM across different aquatic systems (Flower, 1993; Barker et al., 1994; Mackay et al., 1998), and the strong agreement between different taxonomists (using independently derived dissolution schemes) suggests that these can be objectively defined. While previous research has used the dissolution state of one, two or three common taxa to categorise sample preservation (e.g. Barker, 1992; Flower and Likhoshway, 1993; Mackay et al., 1998; Warnock et al., 2007), basing an assessment upon all valve views counted allows samples to be compared across very different ecological and limnological (or oceanographic) conditions and reduces possible errors from low abundance or reworking that may affect any single taxon. Evaluating dissolution under LM may not be as accurate or detailed as under SEM (e.g. Warnock et al., 2007) but can be easily incorporated into routine counting strategies, without the need for additional sample preparation and analysis.

Dissolution stages for taxa not included here can be easily established by LM examination of material across the full range of dissolution state (ideally obtained from dissolution experiments, where other factors, such as breakage, can be controlled). Examination under SEM can support this and demonstrates that extensive valve corrosion occurs before effects are noticeable under LM. This may help explain the exponential decline of valve number with increasing dissolution (as recorded by F or DDI; Ryves et al., 2001), as weakened valves may easily break into uncountable fragments leaving a much reduced residual assemblage identifiable during progressive dissolution. Previous work has noted the strong relationship between dissolution and fragmentation in both surface sediments (Ryves et al., 2006) and core studies in both freshwaters (Straub, 1993) and marine sediments (Warnock et al., 2007).
4.2 Incorporating dissolution data into models

Dissolution experiments allow taxa to be ranked according to their susceptibility to dissolution, and offer an indirect means of incorporating dissolution data into models by downweighting resistant species (and/or samples, if weighted by the rank of constituent species; Ryves 1994). Although many studies have used the presence or proportion of robust and/or susceptible taxa as indicative of dissolution (e.g. Johnson, 1974; Shemesh et al., 1989; Pichon et al., 1992) weighting species and samples according to dissolution resistance is an ad hoc approach to the more fundamental problem of actual sample and species preservation within training sets and fossil data. While modest improvements to a version of the NGP salinity model were reported in Ryves (1994), other approaches offer more appropriate solutions. Firstly, it is logistically challenging to include all taxa in dissolution experiments, especially in larger, more diverse training sets (especially as living rather than sedimentary material should be used; Ryves et al., 2001). Secondly, the approach assumes that species’ distribution is always a reflection of taphonomy and that dissolution state for any sample can be inferred from the taxa present (for example, downweighting samples even when assemblages consist of well-preserved, but resistant taxa, due to other ecological and environmental conditions). Thirdly, the weightings themselves are arbitrary if based on relative (not absolute) resistance.

Weighting samples inversely according to dissolution state (downweighting or deleting, i.e. weight = 0) offers a direct, if blunt, approach (Model E, Table 3). The underlying logic in both cases involves the assumption that poorly preserved samples are unreliable repositories of environmental information, and specifically that species optima derived from them are unsound. Downweighting samples according to dissolution index will tend to dampen the response the model at higher salinities as there is a bias towards poor dissolution at higher salinities within the NGP dataset. If species in these samples are not represented in well-preserved saline samples their estimated WA optima will be underestimated relative to the unadjusted model. This is especially
so for *C. quillensis* (important at Devils Lake in samples pre-1970) which has its greatest abundances in generally badly preserved samples (e.g. East Stump).

Salinity itself may interact with resistance to dissolution in complex ways. The greater separation of dissolution stages at lower salinity (Fig. 3), and the general trend that dissolution increases with salinity independent of pH and depth (Ryves et al., 2006), despite silica solubility itself declining, may also in part reflect processes of biogenic silica formation. Marine diatoms have been shown to produce silica of lower density (and so higher solubility, and lower resistance to dissolution) at higher salinity (Vrieling et al., 2007), which raises the possibility that dissolution behaviour is not just taxon-specific but salinity-dependent too. This might have implications for ranking schemes and correction factors using data for individual species derived from a range of lakes of different salinity.

Nonetheless, results from this study suggest that approaches making use of individual dissolution state for each taxon within each sample (either by dissolution taxa or individual correction factors; models F-K in Table 3) can improve both models and reconstructions, although more complex models (e.g. with more “species”, or abundances adjusted by F scores) may be produced. Even the correction method using F index scores (models J and K) is an oversimplification, as aggregate, assemblage-wide relationships between species loss and F index (from Ryves et al. 2001) are applied. Previous work has suggested that species exhibit a range of population-dissolution relationships (Ryves 1994), and while it may be unrealistic to evaluate these for all species in a training set or core, where only a few taxa dominate, it may be worth exploring these further (e.g. in Lake Baikal and the African Great Lakes; Battarbee et al., 2005; Mackay et al., 2005). In fact, recent evaluations of diverse diatom training sets have shown that models based on only a small fraction of the total dataset are as robust as the full model (e.g. only 15% of taxa for the SWAP pH dataset; Racca et al., 2003). Using dissolution data to recalculate species abundance for key species, and basing new models on these, may be a fruitful approach for other, species-rich datasets, requiring evaluation of only a few
4.3 Salinity inferences at Devils Lake and Spiritwood Lake

Incorporating dissolution data into models does lead to substantial differences in inferred salinity at both sites, particularly during more saline periods. As samples become more dissolved (driven by increasing salinity and lower lake level; Barker, 1992; Reed, 1998b; Ryves et al., 2006), models making use of information on the salinity distribution of more dissolved stages within the NGP training set infer elevated salinity compared to models ignoring this (Fig. 9). All salinity models can differentiate between high and low salinity events but as inferred salinity co-varies with sample dissolution state, errors are strongly correlated with sample dissolution index within the fossil assemblages (Ryves et al., 2006). As preservation is generally good in the freshwater lakes within the training set, all models produce very similar results in this part of the gradient. Given the strong effect of salinity on preservation, however, in situations where salinity is perhaps not the main factor controlling dissolution, this can lead to error.

While it is unclear why preservation was poor during high lake level at the end of the 19th century at Devils Lake (leading to overestimation of inferred salinity under dissolution models), low lake level during the Dust Bowl likely had important taphonomic impacts. In this case, while dissolution models infer higher salinity than comparable unadjusted models (Fig. 9a), salinity is underestimated in these very poorly preserved samples. Dissolution does destroy information and while inferences may be improved (in the sense of being nearer measured values), beyond some threshold reconstructions cannot be improved. This will depend on the proportion of robust taxa (i.e. identifiable when heavily dissolved) in the original fossil sample, the optima of which will determine the inferred salinity as dissolution progresses to an end state. Ultimately, even dissolution models will produce underestimates at high salinity as the distribution of taxa (and dissolution pseudospecies) is truncated by progressive dissolution, with optima biased to the salinity at which some preservation occurs. For this reason, even though valve counts for Alkaline
and East Stump are less than 50, inclusion of these samples does allow higher salinity values to be inferred, and in some sense provides analogues with poorly preserved samples found in fossil datasets. Inclusion of these sites increased the range of theoretical salinity inference for dissolution models using pseudospecies (models G and I in Table 3) from ~150 g L\(^{-1}\) TDS to > 300 g L\(^{-1}\) TDS, as estimated by running a dummy sample containing a single taxon with the greatest salinity optimum for each model.

At Spiritwood Lake (Fig. 9b), where dissolution is perhaps more simply linked to salinity without additional taphonomic effects from major lake level change for example, including Alkaline and East Stump does seem to improve the inferences under either 53 site model (whether or not dissolution data are incorporated). Measured salinity in 1965 is close to values inferred under the 55 site models, and about twice that under either 53 site model (thus beyond model error), and fits best with the 55 site dissolution model (G in Table 3). Unfortunately, no measurements of salinity are known before 1965. Lake level was known to be low in 1950 relative to the late 19\(^{th}\) century (Johnson, 1950), although this could support all reconstructions. However, if dissolution model G is accepted as being the most accurate available, it would suggest that the lake crossed the saline threshold (3 g L\(^{-1}\) TDS) by the 1940s and remained so throughout the 1950s, and would therefore be more responsive to regional aridity than other models imply (though still lagged compared to Devils Lake).

Palaeolimnological studies within the NGP have shown that lakes only 100-200 km apart may have distinctly different salinity histories, even to major climate events that are considered regionally synchronous (Fritz et al., 2000; Laird et al., 2003). Compared with Devils Lake, Spiritwood Lake (Fig. 9) appears to show a damped and lagged response to the Dust Bowl drought of the 1930s-40s, with maximum salinity values occurring in the 1950s, and slightly elevated salinity in the 1970s, perhaps in response to regional drought in the 1960s (cf. Devils Lake, Fig. 7).

Groundwater (via connection to the Spiritwood Valley aquifer) likely plays an
important role in modulating the chemical response to drought. Anecdotal evidence over the
20\textsuperscript{th} century suggests Spiritwood Lake has never become strongly saline over this time, and it
was known in pre-European Sioux folklore as a permanent source of drinking water (Johnson,
1950). Large inter-annual lake level changes of several metres (perhaps up to 30\% lake
volume) have been recorded in the 20\textsuperscript{th} century (Johnson, 1950), however, suggesting that the
lake is sensitive to fluctuations in the annual water balance, but the diatom record implies that
the inverse relationship between volume and salinity commonly assumed for closed basins
does not hold here. Spiritwood Lake diatom assemblages, and hence DI-salinity, since the early
1980s may also be affected by a programme of lake management, aimed at reducing the levels
of phosphorus in upper, photic waters by pumping out hypolimnetic waters enriched in P (and
dissolved solids) during lake stratification (M. Sauer, pers. comm.), further decoupling this link
in the contemporary lake system.

4.4 Dissolution profiles and taphonomic pathways for taxa

As well as using dissolution data for adjusting inference models, dissolution profiles for
individual taxa can aid interpretation of sedimentary records by providing insights into, and
provoking hypotheses about, sedimentation processes and the means by which environmental
signals are transferred to and preserved in sedimentary archives. Valves of different species
making up the sedimentary assemblage may have travelled along different taphonomic pathways
(e.g. re-working of deposits under major lake level change at Devils Lake, or different patterns of
seasonality at Spiritwood Lake), which may be traced by comparison of individual species’ $F$
values. In addition to analogue matching (Birks et al., 1990) and goodness-of-fit measures
(Kingston et al., 1992; Laird et al., 1996a), dissolution indices also provide another means of
flagging samples whose inferred values should be treated with caution (Ryves et al., 2006).

Although none of the Devils Lake samples were flagged as outliers by conventional
goodness-of-fit measures methods, several assemblages contained significant percentages of
both freshwater (e.g. *Stephanodiscus niagarae*; optimum 1.24 g L$^{-1}$ TDS and *S. minutulus*; 2.06 g L$^{-1}$ TDS) and saline taxa (e.g. *Cyclotella quillensis*; 12.53 g L$^{-1}$ TDS). Although major seasonal salinity changes can occur in such closed lakes, inspection of the distributions of these taxa in the NGP dataset shows there is very little overlap. Species’ F values within the Devils Lake sequence differ markedly however, with the larger, more robust *C. quillensis* valves much less well preserved, contrary to expectation (Barker et al., 1994; Ryves et al., 2001; Fig. 7). This suggests that the source of some of these *C. quillensis* valves in the upper part of the record may be older sediments laid down during higher salinity periods reworked during subsequent lake filling. Reconstructions in this section may be overestimated as a result.

In earlier core sections, problems of dating, resuspension and sediment reworking may affect the diatom record during the low water stand of the 1920s to 1940s, when salinities were likely highest (Fritz, 1990; Fig. 7). Diatom-inferred salinity is driven by *Cyclotella quillensis* and *Chaetoceros elmorei/muelleri* over this period, which have salinity optima < 15 g L$^{-1}$ TDS for all models (considered as composite species or dissolution pseudospecies). Given the poor preservation of samples in this section, it may be that taxa with higher salinity optima (e.g. *Cyclotella chochtawhatcheeana*, optimum 21.5 g L$^{-1}$ TDS) have been preferentially removed from the record, although dissolved central areas of this taxon are distinctive. At Devils Lake, sample preservation itself may best track changing salinity ($r^2 = 0.77$ for F against log(salinity), n = 15, p < 0.001; Fig. 7) perhaps because this is amplified by lake level change crossing critical thresholds where the additional impacts of resuspension and breakage are important. Under such conditions, recovery of the true death assemblage may be impossible, and salinity reconstructions can only reflect what remains in the assemblage, regardless of techniques to adjust or rebuild salinity models (Tables 1, 3). Errors in reconstructed values may then be directly related to preservation state (Ryves et al., 2006).

In the Spiritwood Lake core, F index scores for *S. minutulus* and *S. niagarae* are correlated ($r = +0.45$, n = 16 with valve counts >10 for each, p < 0.05) but *S. minutulus* valves are
on average better preserved than *S. niagarae* (*F* = 0.48 and 0.21 respectively) again contrary to what might be expected from gross valve morphology (Barker et al., 1994). Such patterns may relate to sedimentation processes associated with each species. *S. minutulus* blooms predominantly in the spring/summer (Lund, 1950; Holland, 1969; Interlandi et al., 1999), associated with low Si/P ratios following strong overturn after ice-out (and replenishment of P from the anoxic hypolimnion to the upper water column). By early summer, cells will sediment into anoxic hypolimnetic waters, providing good conditions for preservation where bioturbation and mixing are minimised. In contrast, *S. niagarae* generally has greatest abundance during autumn (Holland, 1969; Baker and Baker, 1981), linked to turbulent conditions and higher Si/P ratio, when the major stratification period is over, with sedimentation into oxic bottom waters.

In a diatom record from the Aral Sea (Austin et al., 2007), basin morphometry presents the unusual situation for a relatively shallow lake where low diatom-inferred salinity (and high lake level) is inferred at times when the assemblage is dominated by periphytic taxa (e.g. the benthic *Amphora pediculus* and epiphytic *Cocconeis neodiminuta*). Source-area habitat modelling has demonstrated this possibility (Stone and Fritz, 2004), and Austin et al., (2007) argue that here it reflects expansion of littoral areas in the eastern Aral basin flooded during higher lake levels (and vice versa). Although the case is not explicitly made, diatom preservation (assessed by Austin et al. using the *F* index) may support this argument as sample *F* scores are lower in these sections and rise when diatom-inferred salinity rises (and levels fall), dropping again at higher salinity (see Fig. 3 in Austin et al., 2007). This is consistent with a source of diatom valves some distance from the coring site at high lake level (and so dissolution during transport), with better preservation as littoral habitats move nearer as lake level falls, until higher salinity again reduces preservation. It might be possible to test this hypothesis using habitat-specific dissolution data: if correct, at this site one would expect periphytic forms to be, on average, less well preserved than pelagic taxa at higher lake levels (plankton sedimenting more locally, and above the coring site itself) and vice versa as levels drop. Dissolution indices can highlight
differences in habitat or seasonality and shed light on questions of representatively in pelagic and benthic sedimentation in a variety of situations.

5. Conclusions

Information is inevitably lost along the taphonomic pathway from living community (biocoenosis) to the death assemblage (thanatocoenosis) preserved in sedimentary archives, weakening the link between fossil data and their contemporary environment. Incorporating preservation information from the thanatocoenosis (directly or indirectly) may help reduce the disjunction between living community and sedimentary assemblage, strengthen the link between contemporary environment and sedimentary signal, and improve our inferences of past environments. Dissolution information is most effectively applied in conjunction with (rather than as a substitute for) ecological and sedimentological knowledge, for example in determining whether a fossil occurrence is reworked, as well as the basis for adjusting sedimentary abundances. The quantitative treatment of microfossil preservation may extend the range over which valid and useful palaeoenvironmental inferences can be made.

Diatom dissolution indices allow diatom preservation to be quantified and samples to be compared objectively rather than on an ad hoc basis. Sample dissolution indices can be used diagnostically (as a data screening process and to flag samples) and can be used to adjust inferential models, by supplying an objective means of weighting samples, for instance. Salinity transfer functions incorporating dissolution data perform as well as or better than orthodox models even under internal validation, but (within the NGP) all suffer from the bias (underestimation) in reconstructed salinity at the highest salinity values. This study has also shown that there is a paucity of higher salinity sites with well preserved diatom assemblages. Targeting such gaps (perhaps meromictic lakes; Ryves et al., 2006) in the dataset can be expected to improve the transfer function at higher salinities as species parameters will be more accurate, while including even badly dissolved samples in dissolution models can enhance
inferences of past environmental change.
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Figure captions

**Figure 1.** Dissolution stages for *Stephanodiscus niagarae* Ehrenberg (SEM micrographs of fossil material from Spiritwood Lake, ND). Stage 1 is the “pristine” state and higher stages are progressively more dissolved. **A.** Stage 1 showing the spines and complete valve and mantle. **B.** Stage 2 displays clear signs of dissolution with partial loss of the mantle and loss of finer ornamentation, e.g. spines. Note also the valve starting to fracture along striae, starting to enlarge under dissolution. **C.** Stage 3 is the highest stage recognised for this taxon. All spines are gone and the mantle is removed. Parts of the valve face may be missing. **D.** Details of a valve in stage 1 (A) – areolae are not noticeably dissolved. **E.** Detail of stage 3 – areolae are enlarged and starting to coalesce as dissolution progresses, creating lines of structural weakness along the valve.

**Figure 2.** Correlation between (A) F index and (B) DDI values for 27 NGP lakes independently counted by D.B. Ryves (DBR) and R.W. Battarbee (RWB). Dotted line is the 1:1 correlation.

**Figure 3.** Salinity optima (weighted average, log TDS, g L⁻¹) of the most abundant taxa in the NGP dataset (ordered according to species optimum of all valves without reference to dissolution state). For each species, salinity optima for each dissolution stage (1 to 4, depending on species) are also plotted (dissolution pseudospecies). A = araphid valve; R = raphid valve. Species mentioned in the text are indicated.

**Figure 4.** Species abundance (%) across the NGP dataset for *Cyclotella quillensis* Bailey (CY017A; see Fig. 3). **Upper plot:** Species distribution for all valves. **Lower plots:** Distributions for the 4 dissolution pseudospecies recognised for this species (CY017A1 = stage 1, CY017A2 = stage 2, CY017A3 = stage 3, CY017A4 = stage 4). û = weighted
average (WA) optimum, g L\(^{-1}\) TDS, indicated by the down arrow in each plot. Adding East Stump to the training set (empty circles) alters the WA optimum for stage 3 and 4, and hence optimum for all occurrences (shown in italics).

**Figure 5.** Species abundance (%) across the NGP dataset for *Navicula cineta* (Ehrenberg) Ralfs (NA021A; see Fig. 3). **Upper plot:** Species distribution for all valves. **Lower plots:** Distributions for the 3 dissolution pseudospecies recognised for this species (NA021A1 = stage 1, NA021A2 = stage 2, NA021A3 = stage 3). \(\hat{u}\) = weighted average (WA) optimum, g L\(^{-1}\) TDS, indicated by the down arrow in each plot.

**Figure 6.** **A.** Plot of residuals (log TDS, g L\(^{-1}\)) against predicted salinity for model D (Table 3) sized according to dissolution index (DDI). Sites mentioned in the text are labelled. Solid line is LOESS smoother added through data. Dotted line is LOESS smoother when residuals are plotted against observed salinity. **B.** Plot of residuals (log TDS, g L\(^{-1}\)) against predicted salinity for model H2 (Table 3) with the outlier Eckelson Lake removed. Points are sized according to dissolution index (DDI). Sites mentioned in the text are labelled. LOESS smoother added through data.

**Figure 7.** Summary diatom diagram (%) for Devils Lake short core, North Dakota (after Fritz 1990). Hollow bars indicate proportion of valves dissolved for each species. Thin bars are x5 exaggeration. Also plotted are sample F index, measured lake level and observed salinity.

**Figure 8.** Summary diatom diagram (%) for Spiritwood Lake short core, North Dakota. Hollow bars indicate proportion of valves dissolved for each species. Thin bars are x5 exaggeration. Also plotted are sedimentation rate (flux and accumulation) and sample F index.
Figure 9. Diatom-inferred salinity for short cores from (A) Devils Lake and (B) Spiritwood Lake, using comparable 53 and 55 site NGP models both ignoring (models A and C, Table 3) and incorporating dissolution data (models F and G, Table 3). At Devils Lake, measured salinity values are shown by the dotted line. For Spiritwood Lake, shaded diamonds are measured mean summer salinity values (1965, 1970, 1972: data supplied by G.R. Van Eekhout; 1970: from Tarapchak, 1973, conductivity converted to salinity; 1977-1988: values from M. Sauer, pers. comm.).
Appendix 1

Figures A1-A3. Where scale is not provided on figure, see caption for details of scale bar.

[NB All these figures, and others covering 20 other taxa, can be put in the Online Appendices (Multi Media Components). This material can be supplied for review if requested.]

Fig. A1. Dissolution stages of *Amphora libyca* (A-G)

A. Dissolution stage 1 (LM). Scale bar = 18 μm.

B. Dissolution stage 1 (LM) – same valve as A at different focal plane. Scale bar = 18 μm.

C. Dissolution stage 1 (SEM).

D. Dissolution stage 2 (SEM). Dissolution begins at valve apices.

E. Dissolution stage 2 (SEM) - detail of C.

F. Dissolution stage 3 (SEM). Valve dissolves towards raphe canal, which begins to dissolve away from apices.

G. Dissolution stage 4 (SEM). Raphe canal dissolves and/or breaks off, leaving a distinct central area, which is readily identifiable.

Fig. A2. Dissolution stages of *Cyclotella meneghiniana* (A-G)

A. Dissolution stage 1 (LM). Scale bar = 8 μm

B. Dissolution stage 1 (SEM). Detail shows structure of process (internal view).

C. Dissolution stage 2 (SEM), with the outer margin complete.

D. Dissolution stage 3 (SEM), with outer ring absent, but costae intact.

E. Dissolution stage 3 (SEM).

F. Dissolution stage 3 (LM). Scale bar = 4 μm.

G. Dissolution stage 4 (SEM). Only silica disc remains.

Fig. A3. Dissolution stages of *Navicula oblonga* (A-H). Similar patterns are observed with many other pennate taxa with a distinct central area.
A. Dissolution stage 1 (SEM).

B. Dissolution stage 2 (SEM). Vela within striae dissolve.

C. Dissolution stage 2 (SEM), detail of B.

D. Dissolution stage 2 (SEM), with detail of dissolution of internal structures within striae.

E. Dissolution stage 3 (SEM). Dissolution proceeds from the apices to the central area.

F. Dissolution stage 4 (LM). Robust central area allows identification often to species for larger taxa. Scale bar = 10 μm.

G. Dissolution stage 4 (SEM).

H. dissolved end of valve distinct for *N. oblonga* but this often breaks up along raphe.

Identification is thus preferably based upon central areas (F, G) (SEM).
Table 1. Approaches to modifying training sets and fossil data according to dissolution information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Dissolution information</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Fossil data</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Reference (NGP)</th>
<th>Model (Table 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Fritz (1990); Fritz et al. (1991, 1993); this paper</td>
<td>A – D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect adjustment</td>
<td>Species’ relative resistance (index for species and samples (F, DDI))</td>
<td>Downweight species and/or samples</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>WA class (CANOCO)</td>
<td>Ryves (1994)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dissolution index for species and samples (F, DDI)</td>
<td>Delete samples (and/or species)</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>WA, WA-PLS, MAT, ML</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dissolution index for species and samples (F, DDI)</td>
<td>Create many new dissolution taxa</td>
<td>Fossil dissolution data used</td>
<td>WA, WA-PLS, MAT, ML</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>F – I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dissolution index for species and samples and dissolution/population relationship (F)</td>
<td>Recalculate species’ abundances using dissolution index</td>
<td>Fossil dissolution data used</td>
<td>WA, WA-PLS, MAT, ML</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>J, K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Dissolution stages for some major morphological groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphological Group</th>
<th>Stage 1</th>
<th>Stage 2</th>
<th>Stage 3</th>
<th>Stage 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amphora (Appendix Fig. A1)</td>
<td>Pristine condition</td>
<td>Striae at distal raphe ends dissolve and coalesce. Areolae enlarged throughout valve.</td>
<td>Valve dissolves from distal raphe ends, particularly along dorsal margin, which may quickly disappear.</td>
<td>Central hyaline area of thicker silica remains; raphe, if present, vestigial. No striae remain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anomoeoneis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Areolae/ocelli enlarged; valve walls noticeably thinner</td>
<td>Valve dissolves from margins to raphe, and from apices to centre. Valve may split along raphe canal. Identification is by central area.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campylodiscus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Inter costal area corrodes at margin; central area persists.</td>
<td>Central area tends to dissolve before the marginal ribs, which are progressively exposed. Raphe and ribs remain, usually at expense of intercostal and central areas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocconeis – (araphid valve)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Areolae enlarged; marginal rim dissolved. Valve may split along apical axis.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocconeis + (raphid valve)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Areolae enlarged; raphe often resists dissolution relative to the surrounding valve face. Valve may split along raphe.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclotella (Appendix Fig. A2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Intercostal areas dissolve but marginal rim remains.</td>
<td>Costae remain but marginal rim dissolved.</td>
<td>Costae dissolved or vestigial; central area (with processes enlarged) may survive as a featureless disc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cymbella</td>
<td></td>
<td>Distal valve ends dissolve from both margins towards raphe.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Stage 3** Raphe and central area remain; striae dissolved but silica ribs separating striae remain. Both margins dissolved.

Naviculoid pennate - e.g. *Navicula oblonga, Mastogloia* spp. (Appendix Fig. A3)

**Stage 2** Chambers of striae coalesce, especially at distal raphe ends. Valve outline maintained. Margins remain.

**Stage 3** Valve dissolves from distal apices towards central area. Valve margins distinguishable towards centre.

Stage 4 Central area only remains, with vestigial raphe canal.

*Nitzschia*

**Stage 2** Areolae coalesce; striae dissolve; often raphe (and keel punctae) only remain.

*Stephanodiscus* (Fig. 1)

**Stage 2** Areolae enlarged and may coalesce; margins remain. Spines often corroded, irregularly preserved.

**Stage 3** Valve dissolves from margin towards central area; margin dissolved. Spines disappear.

*Surirella*

**Stage 2** Inter costal area corrodes at margin; central area and raphe persists.

**Stage 3** Marginal raphe and central area remain; intercostal area dissolved.

*Synedra*

**Stage 2** Striae chambers coalesce and dissolve at valve margins; valve apices distinct.

**Stage 3** Valve dissolves towards central area from apices.
Table 3. NGP model results under various dissolution-dependent strategies. Predictions are based on 1000 bootstrap cycles. Inclusion indicates the cut-off value below which species (as relative abundance, %) or samples (F scores) were deleted. RMSE and RMSEP values are log salinity units (g L\(^{-1}\) TDS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>No. taxa</th>
<th>No. lakes</th>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Best Apparent</th>
<th>Best predicted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Model r(^2)</td>
<td>Model r(^2)boot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted models</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Original (NGP53)</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>WAtol(_i)inv</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Original (NGP53)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>WAtol(_i)inv</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Original (NGP55)</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>WAtol(_i)inv</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Original (NGP55)</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>WAtol(_i)inv</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolution-adjusted models</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Model r(^2)</td>
<td>Model r(^2)boot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Original – deleted sites</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>F &lt; 0.15</td>
<td>WAtol(_i)inv</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Dissolution – binary</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>WAtol(_i)inv</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Dissolution – binary</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>WAtol(_i)inv</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Dissolution – all stages</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>WAtol(_i)inv</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Dissolution – all stages</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>WAtol(_i)inv</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>F adjusted</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>WAtol(_i)inv</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>F adjusted</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>WAtol(_i)inv</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2 (Ryves et al.)

(A) F index
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(B) DDI values

\[ y = 0.87x + 0.03 \]
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Figure 3 (Ryves et al.)
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Figure 7 (Ryves et al.)
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