

Loughborough University Institutional Repository

Mathematics difficulties in children born very preterm: current research and future directions

This item was submitted to Loughborough University's Institutional Repository by the/an author.

Citation: SIMMS, V. ... et al, 2013. Mathematics difficulties in children born very preterm: current research and future directions. *Archives of Disease in Childhood: Fetal and Neonatal Edition*, 98 (5), pp. 547-463

Additional Information:

- This article was published in the journal,
Archives of Disease in Childhood: Fetal and Neonatal Edition [BMJ Publishing Group / © the authors]. The definitive version is available at:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2013-303777>

Metadata Record: <https://dspace.lboro.ac.uk/2134/14561>

Version: Accepted for publication

Publisher: BMJ Publishing Group / © the authors

Please cite the published version.

This item was submitted to Loughborough's Institutional Repository (<https://dspace.lboro.ac.uk/>) by the author and is made available under the following Creative Commons Licence conditions.



For the full text of this licence, please go to:
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/>

Mathematics difficulties in children born very preterm:

Current research and future directions

Victoria Simms, *Ph.D.* Post-Doctoral Research Associate, Department of Health Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK.

Lucy Cragg, *Ph.D., CPsychol.* Senior Research Fellow, School of Psychology, University of Nottingham, UK.

Camilla Gilmore, *Ph.D., CPsychol.* Senior Research Fellow, Centre for Mathematics Education, Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK.

Neil Marlow, *DM, FMedSci.* Professor of Neonatal Medicine, Research Department of Academic Neonatology, Institute for Women's Health, University College London, London, UK.

Samantha Johnson, *Ph.D., CPsychol, AFBPsS.* Senior Research Fellow, Department of Health Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK.

WORD COUNT: 3218

KEY WORDS: preterm birth; low birthweight; mathematics; education; cognitive development.

Manuscript accepted for publication in Archives of Disease in Childhood.

Summary

Children born very preterm have poorer attainment in all school subjects and a markedly greater reliance on special educational support than their term-born peers. In particular, difficulties with mathematics are especially common and account for the vast majority of learning difficulties in this population. In this paper we review research relating to the causes of mathematics learning difficulties in typically developing children and the impact of very preterm birth on attainment in mathematics. Research is needed to understand the specific nature and origins of mathematics difficulties in very preterm children to target the development of effective intervention strategies.

Over the past three decades there has been a substantial increase in survival rates for children born very preterm (VP; <32 weeks) or with very low birthweight (VLBW, <1500g).(1) This has been accompanied by increasing preterm birth rates resulting in a growing number of preterm survivors in recent years.(2) Despite improved survival, long-term outcomes have remained remarkably consistent.(3, 4) Although severe disabilities such as neurosensory impairments and cerebral palsy are associated with very preterm birth, the most common childhood impairments are neurocognitive deficits.(5) The average weighted mean difference in general intelligence between children born <28 weeks/<1000g (ELBW: extremely low birthweight) and their term-born peers has been reported as 11 IQ points, with greater deficits found for children born <26 weeks of gestation.(6, 7)

Neurocognitive deficits can have a wide-reaching impact on children's learning and academic performance. As such, 53% of ELBW children are reported to have school problems in comparison to 13% of term-born peers.(8) These difficulties are observed in the pre-school years and persist throughout schooling.(9, 10) There are also increased rates of special educational needs (SEN); up to 2/3 of children born <26 weeks/ELBW require SEN support in school.(11, 12) Compared with term-born children, school difficulties and SEN are significantly increased in children born across the full spectrum of preterm gestations, including children born near term (37-38 weeks gestation).(13, 14)

Although very preterm children have poor performance across all school subjects,(15, 16) they have specific difficulties with mathematics.(8, 17, 18) A recent meta-analysis identified a 0.60SD deficit in mathematics scores compared with a 0.48SD deficit in reading.(19) These differences persist after controlling for IQ (11, 16, 20, 21) or excluding children who have neurosensory impairments.(11, 18) Using discrepancy based measures (i.e. a significant difference between IQ and academic attainment), very preterm children also have increased rates of learning difficulties in mathematics compared with other subjects: for example, 23% of VLBW children have specific mathematics difficulties compared with 10% in reading.(22) It has been suggested that mathematics difficulties become more pronounced with age in VP children, perhaps due to the increasing complexity of tasks or to the cumulative effects of early problems, however more thorough longitudinal studies are needed to confirm this.(10) As mathematics skills are predictive of overall educational attainment, future employment and economic productivity, the selective difficulty that very preterm children experience in this area is likely to have far-reaching consequences.(23, 24) Although mathematics difficulties are widely reported, the nature and causes of these problems in preterm children are poorly understood.(10, 25)

Solving mathematical problems utilises numerous component processes, or domain-specific skills. Strengths and weaknesses in these domain-specific skills affect an individual's overall proficiency with mathematics and performance in curriculum-based achievement tests.(26) In addition, a variety of more general cognitive skills, termed domain-general skills, contribute to overall proficiency.(27) In this paper we review developmental psychology literature pertaining to domain-specific and domain-general factors underlying the typical development of mathematics before applying this to help advance our understanding of the nature and causes of mathematics difficulties in preterm populations.

Domain-Specific Predictors of Mathematical Ability

There is burgeoning evidence that a range of domain-specific skills are required to perform mathematics. Numerous studies indicate that having precise and accurate internal representations of number has a positive effect on overall achievement.(28-30) Experimentally, the nature of numerical representations is explored by asking children to discriminate between sets of non-symbolic or symbolic quantities (Figure 1a & 1b, respectively)(31), or to place numbers on a number line (Figure 1c)(32). Neuroimaging research has indicated that the nature of internal numerical representations is linked to the functioning of the left and right horizontal intraparietal sulci, areas which are believed to be responsible for low-level numerical processing.(33)

INSERT FIGURE 1

Children's ability to carry out basic mathematical procedures, such as being able to count sets of objects (e.g., accurately counting a set of buttons) and recite a number word list (e.g. counting accurately and unassisted) have also been identified as important predictors of mathematical success.(32) In addition, the ability to assess, select and apply appropriate computational strategies is an important skill. Taking the development of addition strategies as an example, children develop from using predominantly finger-based counting strategies in the early years, to using verbal counting strategies, before reaching maturation with the dominance of retrieval strategies.(34, 35) Mathematical success is related to the accurate and efficient application of the most appropriate strategies in different scenarios.(36, 37)

Basic conceptual understanding of mathematics is also important for the development of mathematics skills: young children who show a grasp of the rules that govern the counting process, measured, for example, by pointing out when a cartoon character makes a counting mistake (Figure

2a), outperform their peers on achievement tests.(38) Complex conceptual understanding of mathematical processes (Figure 2b) is also linked to the successful development of calculation skills(39), and has been shown to underpin complex mathematical processing providing a foundation stone for mathematical development.(26) These multiple domain-specific components are essential for success in mathematics and a difficulty with one component may cascade into problems with another.(40) This may be due to overreliance on competent strategies or skills to the detriment of the development of more effective strategies.

INSERT FIGURE 2

Domain-General Predictors of Mathematical Ability

A range of domain-general factors, such as language(41), processing speed(42) and general intelligence(43), contribute to success in mathematics. In particular, executive functions; skills required to monitor and control thought and action, have been found to be critical. Correlational studies have demonstrated that working memory, the ability to hold and manipulate information in mind, accounts for unique variance in written and verbal calculation, as well as mathematical word problems, across a range of different age groups(44). Experimental studies investigating the role of working memory in different strategies have shown that it plays a larger role in procedural strategies, particularly counting, compared to retrieval strategies(45). Importantly, it is the ability to manipulate and update, rather than simply maintain information in working memory, that seems to be critical for mathematics proficiency. The executive skills of inhibition, the ability to suppress distracting information and unwanted responses(46) and shifting, the ability to flexibly switch attention between different tasks(47), have also been implicated in mathematics achievement.

Mathematical Learning Difficulties

One way to identify the mechanisms underlying very preterm children's difficulties with mathematics is to examine the characteristics of term-born children who have mathematical learning difficulties. However, a critical issue here is differences in the criteria used for defining mathematical learning difficulties, stemming from a lack of consensus in the definition of the developmental disorder itself.(48) Research to date has used a variety of criteria, the most popular being a discrepancy-based definition; for example, a low mathematics test score (<25th or <30th percentile) in combination with low-average or above IQ (i.e. scores of 80-120)(49). A major problem with discrepancy-based definitions is the lack of sensitivity of these criteria; children with a clear discrepancy between IQ and mathematical performance will be identified as having problems,

however children with low IQ, but who also have specific difficulties with mathematics, may not.(48) Mathematical learning difficulties in very preterm populations may therefore be underestimated using such criteria. Other conventional identification methods, such as low standardised mathematics scores irrespective of IQ (e.g., <25th percentile on a standardised test)(50), or consistently poor mathematical achievement over a period of two school years(51), may be more appropriate for this population.

Research with non-clinical populations has identified a variety of factors associated with mathematical learning difficulties. Two distinct profiles can be identified. Many children with mathematical learning difficulties may have poor domain-general skills, particularly working memory, visuo-spatial skills or attention (e.g. see (52)for a review). While their underlying difficulties may have a domain-general source, this results in a specific impairment with mathematics achievement. Other children appear to have a specific deficit with numerical representations, often termed 'Developmental Dyscalculia' (DD), which can lead to profound difficulties learning even basic mathematics. The underlying functional deficit is thought to be imprecise and inaccurate representations of number which may affect the representations of numerical symbols themselves, the representation of semantic magnitude information, or the connection between the symbolic and semantic information (e.g. (53-55)). Nevertheless, these difficulties all lead to problems with simple tests of numerical magnitude (e.g. Figure 1a & b), as well as higher-order mathematical skills. Neuroimaging research has explored whether DD is associated with measurable differences in the structure or function of the intraparietal sulci, areas identified as key for basic numerical processing, with mixed results. While some studies have found evidence for differences between DD and control participants in activation patterns in this region (56, 57), others have found no difference.(58)

Mathematical Difficulties in Very Preterm Children

Mathematics difficulties in very preterm children have been investigated as part of a comprehensive outcome assessment in population-based studies. Table 1 summarises case-control studies of mathematical achievement in cohorts born since 1990. As the achievement measures used vary between studies, standardised effect sizes are provided for comparison.

Table 1: Summary of studies with preterm children (born after 1990) using measures of achievement in mathematics.

Study	Birth year	Age (yrs)	Sample number		Index Selection Criteria		Achievement Test	Effect size
			Index	Control	Birthweight (g)	Gestational age (weeks)		
Anderson & Doyle (2003)	1991-1992	8	275	223	<1000	<28	WRAT Arithmetic	0.64
							CSSS Mathematics	0.65
Esbjorn, Hansen, Greissen & Mortensen (2005)	1994-1995	5	207	76	<1000	<28	WPPSI Arithmetic	0.50
Pritchard, Clark, Liberty, Champion, Wilson, & Woodward (2009)	1998-2000	6	102	108	-	< 33	WJ-III Math Fluency	0.77
							Mean stage on numeracy framework	0.61
							Teacher rating below average/delayed maths	0.67
Taylor, Klein, Anselmo, Minich, Espy & Hack (2011)	2001-2003	5.96	148	111	< 1000	<28	WJ-III Math Fluency	0.31
							WJ-III Applied Problems	0.65
							Sum of teacher ratings of learning progress-Maths	0.63
Aarnoudse-Moens, Oosterlaan, Duivenvoorden, van Goudoever & Weisglas-Kuperus (2011)	1996-2004	8	200	230	-	≤ 30	Dutch National Pupil Monitoring System	
							-Pre-school Reasoning Test	0.4
							-Primary Mathematics/ Arithmetic Test	0.6
Johnson, Wolke, Hennesy & Marlow (2011)	1995	10.9	219	153	-	<26	WIAT-II Numerical Operations	1.5
							WIAT-II Mathematical Reasoning	1.3
							Teacher rated assessment- Maths	1.4
Rose, Feldman & Jankowski (2011)	1995 - 1997	11.18	44	90	<1750	< 37	WJ-III Math Fluency	0.22
							WJ-III Applied Problems	0.53
Litt, Taylor, Margevicius, Sschluchter, Andreias, & Hack (2012)	1992-1995	8	181	115	<1000	-	WJ-R Calculation	0.88
		14					WJ-R Calculation	0.63

Note: Woodcock Johnson-III (WJ-III); Woodcock Johnson-Revised (WJ-R); Weschler Individual Achievement Test- (WIAT-III); Wide Range Achievement Test (WRAT); Weschler Pre-school and Primary Scale of Intelligence Revised (WPPSI); Comprehensive Scales of Student Success (CSSS); All effect sizes were reported or calculated based on unweighted means and standard deviations.

All differences between very preterm and term-born children in standardised mathematics tests are of moderate to large effect sizes, with the greatest effect found for children who were born extremely preterm/ELBW.(16) Remarkably similar effect sizes have also been observed using curriculum-based measures(21) and teacher reports.(16, 18, 20) This suggests that simple teacher ratings, such as the Teacher Academic Attainment Scale (TAAS), can be used with confidence to assess achievement in mathematics where standardised tests are not feasible.(11) Given the wide variation in mathematics tests, comparing between studies is problematic. However, when identical measures are used, such as the Woodcock-Johnson-III, a similar pattern of difficulties is observed across studies with very preterm children displaying larger deficits in the Applied Problems sub-scale compared with the Math Fluency sub-scale(59, 60); this indicates greater difficulty with the application of mathematical concepts, rather than with knowledge of basic mathematics facts. Thus very preterm children's problems in mathematics may be related to the application of domain-specific skills in more complex mathematical problem-solving scenarios, rather than performance in low-level mathematical tasks. Importantly, a major problem with the use of standardised tests is that it is impossible to pinpoint the specific areas of mathematics with which children struggle. Although significant progress has been made in understanding the aetiology of general cognitive deficits in very preterm children(61, 62), the exact nature and causes of their difficulty with mathematics remain poorly understood.(25, 63)

Domain-Specific Predictors of Mathematical Difficulties in Very Preterm Children

A major limitation of existing studies is their reliance on standardised tests. These very general tests provide a single composite measure of attainment in mathematics and do not allow exploration of specific areas of difficulty. Only a handful of studies have used tests of domain-specific skills in an attempt to pinpoint areas of deficit, from which it has been suggested that very preterm children have basic numerical processing deficits similar to children with DD. A neuroimaging study found that very preterm (<33 weeks) children with calculation difficulties had significantly less grey matter in the left parietal lobe than those without calculation problems.(22) As this area is believed to be responsible for basic numerical processing(64), the authors concluded that impairments in these types of low-level skills were responsible for poor achievement in mathematics. However, no other domain-specific skills were assessed and conflicting evidence from another sample of very preterm children suggests similar basic number processing as term-born controls, implying that these basic processes are an unlikely source of VP children's mathematical difficulties.(65) In only one other study, in which diagnostic interviews were used, specific issues with number sequences, number identification and place value were identified as problems areas.(18) Due to the lack of research

investigating low-level numerical processes in very preterm children, it is difficult to establish whether these factors do indeed contribute to their deficits in mathematics and impossible to ascertain whether they have similar cognitive profiles as children with DD.

In a recent report from the EPICure Study cohort, we established that basic number processing, as measured by a brief estimation test like those shown in Figure 1, predicted mathematical performance in extremely preterm children and thus provided evidence of a domain-specific deficit in this population. However, this was alongside domain-general simultaneous and sequential processing skills, reading ability and visuospatial skills, which were also significant predictors of achievement.(66) In fact, these domain-general skills explained substantially more variance in mathematics scores for the extremely preterm population compared to controls (70% vs. 48%, respectively). Thus we hypothesize that the mathematics difficulties associated with preterm birth are likely to be the result of a complex interplay between both domain-specific and domain-general factors.

Domain-General Predictors of Mathematical Difficulties in Very Preterm Children

Although little research has focused on domain-specific mathematics processes, there is now a large body of studies that have investigated the impact of very preterm birth on domain-general cognitive skills and these have highlighted a variety of potential causes for difficulty with mathematics. A number of studies suggest that very preterm children's difficulties with mathematics originate from poor IQ(7); that is, general intelligence impairments impact more substantially on mathematical attainment than any other academic subject. Deficits in speed of processing, over and above poor IQ, are commonly observed, which may also contribute to mathematical difficulties.(15) As many attainment tests are timed, the effect of slow encoding and processing of information can have obvious effects on performance. In fact, slow processing speed has been suggested as a core deficit underlying numerous cognitive deficits in this group.(59) Very preterm children also find simultaneous processing of information a particular struggle; this is a pertinent ability for mathematics, for example being able to encode various pieces of concurrently presented information in order to successfully carry out a mental calculation. (11, 16)

Executive functions have also been identified as an important set of skills for mathematical attainment in very preterm children.(25, 67) Particular areas of deficit include verbal fluency, planning and verbal/spatial working memory.(68) As already mentioned, working memory is critical for mathematical performance and spatial working memory and spatial span length have been

shown to be strong predictors of mathematical performance in VLBW children.(10) Poor visuo-spatial skills are also common in very preterm children and have been shown to contribute to their difficulty in mathematics.(16, 17, 69, 70) Even at 3-4 years of age, deficits in visuo-spatial processing and spatial working memory are evident(71); such skills may be important for the development of early number skills, particularly learning the process of counting.(72) Poor early number knowledge, such as difficulties in mastering counting and sorting in pre-school, has also been suggested as a potential cause of poor mathematical skills in VLBW children, however these developmental pathways have not been robustly tested.(21)

Future Directions for Research

There are a number of key methodological issues relating to existing research into mathematical difficulties in very preterm children. First, the selection of control groups is pertinent. Mathematical performance is affected by educational experience, and therefore the use of appropriate controls is important. Numerous studies either compare the performance of preterm children to set norms(22) or to groups of children from different schools.(7) Future studies should endeavour to carefully match preterm children to term-born classmates in order to reduce the impact of different educational input on assessment of performance.

Second, the over-reliance on standardised tests does not help identify specific areas of difficulty. In order to develop effective educational interventions, it is essential to identify common specific difficulties and their underpinning cognitive factors.(25) The use of more detailed mathematical diagnostic tests and experimental measures of basic mathematics skills (such as those detailed in Figure 1 and 2) should enable a better understanding of very preterm children's mathematical processing profiles.

Third, as the vast majority of previous studies have focused predominantly on general cognitive processes *or* used very brief measures of specific mathematical skills(66), it is difficult to identify the cognitive mechanisms that underpin very preterm children's difficulties. Future studies should explore multiple domain-specific components(27, 39) and *concurrently* investigate domain-general cognitive skills in order to quantify the relative contributions of these factors to curriculum-based achievement.

Implications

Many VP children have particular difficulty with mathematics. Therefore, clinicians and teachers may wish to monitor performance in this subject. There are a number of standardised assessment batteries that may be suitable in this case. For example, the Weschler Individual Achievement Test(73) includes assessments of numerical operations (paper and pencil calculations) and mathematical reasoning skills (applying mathematical skills to real world scenarios, e.g. telling the time or using money). Careful analysis of errors on these tests may indicate specific areas of difficulty for individual children. The Test of Early Mathematics Ability(74) is also a useful diagnostic tool in the form of a semi-structured interview focusing on informal and formal mathematics concepts. This assessment can be used to identify strengths and weaknesses in children's knowledge and also provides some suggestions for interventions. As with numerous other cognitive difficulties, early identification and intervention appears to have most success in improving mathematical outcomes.(75)

As we do not currently know the specific areas of mathematics with which VP children struggle, or the cognitive mechanisms that underpin these difficulties, we cannot as yet recommend appropriate interventions. However, we can make some suggestions for interventions that may show promise for VP children. In relation to domain-general skills, the adaptive computerised working memory intervention "Cogmed"(76) has received recent interest in terms of transfer to performance on untrained working memory skills(77, 78), attention(77) and non-verbal IQ(78). Recently there has been some success with this intervention with small groups of VLBW pre-schoolers(79) and ELBW adolescents(80), with improvements in a variety of memory tasks and attention. However, more carefully controlled intervention studies are required to confirm the efficacy of this intervention and to demonstrate evidence of transfer to academic performance, which is currently lacking.(81) For domain-specific skills, simple board games have been noted to improve the internal numerical representations of children from low-income backgrounds, with evidence of transfer to simple addition fact retrieval, a core skill in basic mathematics.(82) The use of concrete manipulatives, such as blocks or rods, in the classroom has also had some success in improving mathematical performance(75 for review). However, again more wide-scale, well-controlled studies are required to substantiate the effects of these interventions. It is anticipated that with a deeper understanding of VP children's specific difficulties in mathematics, targeted and effective interventions can be developed.

Conclusions

Very preterm children have specific difficulties in mathematics that can have lifelong consequences. A major limitation of existing research is the reliance on standardised tests that provide a single, composite measure of achievement. Cognitive psychologists are continuing to develop experimental paradigms for assessing components of mathematics skills but, as yet, research has not capitalised on these advances to study preterm populations. Amongst the handful of studies that have investigated the impact of preterm birth on specific components of mathematics, the results are equivocal and suggest both low-level and higher-order mathematics skills may be affected. As yet, no studies have concurrently investigated both domain-general and domain-specific skills. Such studies are needed to determine the nature and causal of mathematics difficulties in preterm populations. Very preterm children are part of a new generation of children with complex learning difficulties that are different in nature to those found in more mature populations.(83)

Understanding the similarities and differences in the processes underlying mathematics difficulties between very preterm and term-born children is needed for developing intervention strategies to improve, not only achievement in this core academic subject, but the lifelong outcomes of this growing population.

1. Costeloe KL, Hennessy EM, Haider S, Stacey F, Marlow N, Draper ES. Short term outcomes after extreme preterm birth in England: comparison of two birth cohorts in 1995 and 2006 (the EPICure studies). *Br Med J*. 2012;345(dec04 3):e7976-e.
2. Howson CP, Kinney MV, Lawn JE. *Born Too Soon: The Global Action Report on Preterm Birth*. Geneva: March of Dimes, PMNCH, Save the Children, WHO., 2012.
3. Horbar JD, Badger GJ, Carpenter JH, Fanaroff AA, Kilpatrick S, LaCorte M, Phibbs R, Soll RF, Members of the Vermont Oxford Network. Trends in mortality and morbidity for very low birth weight infants. *Pediatrics*. 2002;110:143-51.
4. Moore T, Johnson S, Haider S, Hennessy E, Marlow N. Relationship between test scores using the second and third editions of the Bayley Scales in extremely preterm children. *J Pediatrics*. 2012;160(4):553-8.
5. Saigal S, Doyle LW. An overview of mortality and sequelae of preterm birth from infancy to adulthood. *Lancet*. 2008;371(9608):261-9.
6. Johnson S, Fawke J, Hennessy E, Rowell V, Thomas S, Wolke D, et al. Neurodevelopmental disability through 11 years of age in children born before 26 weeks of gestation. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124(2):e249-57.
7. Esbjorn B, Hansen B, Greisen G, Mortensen E. Intellectual Development in a Danish Cohort of Prematurely Born Preschool Children: Specific or General Difficulties? *J Dev Behav Pediatr*. 2006;27(6):477-84.
8. Saigal S, Hoult L, Streiner D, Stoskopf B, Rosenbaum P. School difficulties at adolescence in a regional cohort of children who were extremely low birthweight. *Pediatrics*. 2000;105(2):325-31.
9. D'Angio CT, Sinkin RA, Stevens TP, Landfish NK, Merzbach JL, Ryan RM, Phelps DL, Palumbo DR, Myers GJ. Longitudinal, 15-year follow-up of children born at less than 29 weeks' gestation after introduction of surfactant therapy into a region: neurologic, cognitive, and educational outcomes. *Pediatrics*. 2002;110(6):1094-102.
10. Litt JS, Taylor GH, Margevicius S, Schluchter M, Andreias L, Hack M. Academic achievement of adolescents born with extremely low birth weight. *Acta Paediatr*. 2012;101(12):1240-5.
11. Johnson S, Hennessy E, Smith R, Trikic R, Wolke D, Marlow N. Academic attainment and special educational needs in extremely preterm children at 11 years of age: the EPICure study. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. 2009;94(4):F283-9.
12. Hack M, Taylor T, Drotar D, Schluchter M, Cartar L, Andreias L, Wilson-Costello D, Klein N. Chronic conditions, functional limitations, and special health care needs of school-aged children born with extremely low-birth-weight in the 1990s. *JAMA- J Am Med Assoc*. 2005;294(3):318-25.
13. MacKay DF, Smith GC, Dobbie R, Pell JP. Gestational age at delivery and special educational need: retrospective cohort study of 407,503 schoolchildren. *PLoS Med*. 2010;7(6):e1000289.
14. Quigley MA, Poulsen G, Boyle E, Wolke D, Field D, Alfirovic Z, et al. Early term and late preterm birth are associated with poorer school performance at age 5 years: a cohort study. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. 2012;97(3):F167-73.

15. Wocadlo C, Reiger, I. Phonology, rapid naming and academic achievement in very preterm children at eight years of age. *Early Hum Dev.* 2007;83(6):367-77.
16. Johnson S, Wolke D, Hennessy E, Marlow N. Educational outcomes in extremely preterm children: Neuropsychological correlates and predictors of attainment. *Dev Neuropsychol.* 2011;36(1):74-95.
17. Taylor HG, Klein N, Minich N, & Hack M. Middle school-age outcomes in children with very low birthweight. *Child Dev.* 2000;71:1495-511.
18. Pritchard VE, Clark CAC, Liberty K, Champion PR, Wilson K, & Woodward LJ. Early school-based learning difficulties in children born very preterm. *Early Hum Dev.* 2009;85(215-224):215.
19. Aarnoudse-Moens CS, Weisglas-Kuperus N, van Goudoever JB, & Oosterlaan J. Meta-analysis of neurobehavioral outcomes in very preterm and/or very low birth weight children. *Pediatrics.* 2009;124(2):717-28.
20. Anderson P, Doyle, L., for the Victoria Infant Collaborative Study Group. Neurobehavioral outcomes of school-age children born extremely low birth weight or very preterm in the 1990s. *JAMA- J Am Med Assoc.* 2003;289:3264-72.
21. Aarnoudse-Moens CS, Oosterlaan J, Duivenvoorden HJ, van Goudoever JB, Weisglas-Kuperus N. Development of preschool and academic skills in children born very preterm. *J Pediatrics.* 2011;158(1):51-6.
22. Issacs EB, Edmonds, C.J., Lucas, A., Gadian, D.G. Calculation difficulties in children of very low birth weight: A neural correlate. *Brain.* 2001;124:1701-7.
23. Williams J, Clemens S, Oleinikova K, Tarvin K. The skills for life survey. A national needs and impact survey of literacy, numeracy and ICT skills. 2003. London: Department for Education and Skills.
24. Crawford CC, Cribb J. Reading and maths skills at age 10 and earnings in later life: a brief analysis using the British Cohort Study. Centre for Analysis of Youth Transitions. 2013. Report No. 3.
25. Taylor HG, Espy KA, Anderson PJ. Mathematics deficiencies in children with very low birth weight or very preterm birth. *Dev Disabil Res Rev.* 2009;15(1):52-9.
26. Dowker A. Individual differences in arithmetic: Implications for psychology, neuroscience and education. U.K.: Hove: Psychology Press; 2005.
27. LeFevre J-A, Fast L, Skwarchuk S-L, Smith-Chant BL, Bisanz J, Kamawar D, Perner-Wilger M. Pathways to Mathematics: Longitudinal Predictors of Performance. *Child Dev.* 2010;81(6):1753-67.
28. Holloway ID, Ansari D. Mapping numerical magnitudes onto symbols: the numerical distance effect and individual differences in children's mathematics achievement. *J Exp Child Psychol.* 2009;103(1):17-29.
29. De Smedt B, Verschaffel L, Ghesquiere P. The predictive value of numerical magnitude comparison for individual differences in mathematics achievement. *J Exp Child Psychol.* 2009;103(4):469-79.

30. Booth JL, Siegler R S. Numerical magnitude representations influence arithmetic learning. *Child Dev.* 2008;79(4):1016-31.
31. Gilmore C, Attridge N, Inglis M. Measuring the approximate number system. *Q J Exp Psychol.* 2011;64(11):2099-109.
32. Muldoon K, Towse J, Simms V, Perra O, & Menzies V. A longitudinal analysis of estimation accuracy, counting skills and mathematical ability across the first school year. *Dev Psychol.* 2012; On-line first.
33. Dehaene S, Piazza M, Pinel P, Cohen L. Three parietal circuits for number processing. *Cognitive Neuropsych.* 2003;20(3):487-506.
34. Groen GJ, & Parkman, J.M. A chronometric analysis of simple addition. *Psychol Rev.* 1972;79(329-343):329.
35. Ashcraft MH, Fierman BA. Mental addition in third, fourth, and sixth graders. *J Exp Child Psychol.* 1982;33(216-234):216.
36. Geary DC, Brown SC. Cognitive addition: Strategy choice and speed-of-processing differences in gifted, normal, and mathematically disabled children. *Dev Psychol.* 1991;27(398-406):398.
37. Torbeyns J, Verschaffel L, Ghesquiere P. Simple Addition Strategies in a First-Grade Class With Multiple Strategy Instruction. *Cognition and Instruct.* 2005;23(1):1-21.
38. LeFevre JA, Smith-Chant BL, Fast L, Skwarchuk SL, Sargla E, Arnup JS, et al. What counts as knowing? The development of conceptual and procedural knowledge of counting from kindergarten through Grade 2. *J Exp Child Psychol.* 2006;93(4):285-303. Epub 2005/12/20.
39. Cowan R, Donlan, C, Shepherd, D-L, Cole-Fletcher R, Saxton M, Hurry J. Basic Calculation Proficiency and Mathematics Achievement in Elementary School Children. *J Educ Psychol.* 2011;103(4):786-803.
40. Dowker A, Sigley G. Targetted interventions for children with arithmetical difficulties. *Brit J Educ Psychol: Understanding Number Development and Difficulties.* 2010; Monograph Series II(7):65-81.
41. Donlan C, Cowan R, Newton E, Lloyd D. The role of language in mathematical development: Evidence from children with specific language impairments. *Cognition.* 2007;103(1):23.
42. van der Sluis S, de Jong PF, van der Leij A. Executive functioning in children, and its relations with reasoning, reading, and arithmetic. *Intelligence.* 2007;35(5):427-49.
43. Mayes SD, Calhoun SL, Bixler EO, Zimmerman DN. IQ and neuropsychological predictors of academic achievement. *Learning and Individual Differences.* 2009;19(2):238-41.
44. Raghubar KP, Barnes MA, Hecht S A. Working memory and mathematics: A review of developmental, individual difference, and cognitive approaches. *Learn Individ Differ.* 2010;20(2):110-22.

45. Gilmore C, Cragg L, Richardson S, Hubber P. The role of working memory in arithmetic performance differs by strategy. . British Psychological Society Developmental Section Annual Conference; 5-7 September 2012; Glasgow, UK2012.
46. St Clair-Thompson HL, Gathercole SE . Executive functions and achievements in school: Shifting, updating, inhibition, and working memory. *Q J Exp Psychol.* 2006;59(4):745-59.
47. Yeniad N, Malda M, Mesman J, van IJzendoorn MH, Pieper S . Shifting ability predicts math and reading performance in children: A meta-analytical study. *Learn Individ Differ.* 2013;23(0):1-9.
48. Mazzocco MM, Myers GF. Complexities in Identifying and Defining Mathematics Learning Disability in the Primary School-Age Years. *Ann Dyslexia.* 2003;53(1):218-53.
49. Geary DC, Hoard MK. Learning disabilities in arithmetic and mathematics: Theoretical and empirical perspectives. In: Campbell JID, editor. *Handbook of Mathematical Cognition.* New York: Psychology Press; 2005. p. 253-67.
50. Swanson HL, Jerman O. Math disabilities: A selective meta-analysis of the literature. *Rev Educ Res.* 2006;76(249-274):249.
51. Geary DC, Hoard MK, Hamson CO. Numerical and Arithmetical Cognition: Patterns of Functions and Deficits in Children at Risk for a Mathematical Disability. *J Exp Child Psychol.* 1999;74(3):213-39.
52. Geary DC. The classification and cognitive characteristics of mathematical disabilities in children. In: Dowker RCKA, editor. *Oxford Handbook of Numerical Cognition* Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press; In press.
53. De Smedt B, Gilmore, CK. Defective number module or impaired access? Numerical magnitude processing in first graders with mathematical difficulties. . *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology.* 2011;108:278-92.
54. Mazzocco MM, Feigenson L, Halberda J. Impaired acuity of the approximate number system underlies mathematical learning disability (dyscalculia). *Child Dev.* 2011;82(4):1224-37.
55. Rousselle L, Noel, M. Basic numerical skills in children with mathematics learning disabilities: A comparison of symbolic vs non-symbolic number magnitude. *Cognition.* 2007;102:361-95.
56. Price GR, Holloway I, Rasanen P, Vesterinen M, Ansari D. Impaired parietal magnitude processing in developmental dyscalculia. *Curr Biol.* 2007;17(24):R1042-3. Epub 2007/12/20.
57. Mussolin C, De Volder A, Grandin C, Schloegel X, Nassongne MC, Noel M-P. Neural correlates of symbolic number comparisons in developmental dyscalculia. *J Cognitive Neurosci.* 2010;22:860-74.
58. Kucian K, Loenneker T, Martin E, von Aster M. Non-symbolic numerical distance effect in children with and without developmental dyscalculia: a parametric fMRI study. *Dev Neuropsychol.* 2011;36(6):741-62.

59. Rose SA, Feldman JF, Jankowski JJ. Modeling a cascade of effects: the role of speed and executive functioning in preterm/full-term differences in academic achievement. *Dev Sci*. 2011;14(5):1161-75.
60. Taylor G, Klein N, Anselmo M, Minich N, Espy K, Hack M. Learning Problems in Kindergarten Students with Extremely Preterm Birth. *Arch Pediat Adol Med*. 2011;165(9):819-25.
61. Aarnoudse-Moens CS, Duivenvoorden HJ, Weisglas-Kuperus N, Van Goudoever JB, Oosterlaan J. The profile of executive function in very preterm children at 4 to 12 years. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2012;54(3):247-53.
62. Anderson PJ. Executive Functioning in School-Aged Children Who Were Born Very Preterm or With Extremely Low Birth Weight in the 1990s. *Pediatrics*. 2004;114(1):50-7.
63. Gross SJ, Mettelman BB, Dye TD, Slagle TA. Impact of family structure and stability on academic outcome in preterm children at 10 years of age. *J Pediatrics*. 2001;138(2):169-75.
64. Rubinsten OH, Henik A. Developmental dyscalculia: Heterogeneity may not mean different mechanisms. *Trends Cog Sci*. 2009(13):92-9.
65. Guarini A, Sansavini A, Giovanelli G, Alessandrini R, Faldella G, Ansari D, Karmiloff-Smith A. Basic numerical processes in preterms. *World J Pediatrics*. 2006;2(2): 102-108.
66. Simms V, Gilmore C, Cragg L, Marlow N, Wolke D, Johnson S. Mathematics difficulties in extremely preterm children: evidence of a specific deficit in basic mathematics processing. *Pediatric Res*. 2012.
67. Mulder H, Pitchford NJ, Hagger MS, Marlow N. Development of executive function and attention in preterm children: a systematic review. *Dev Neuropsychol*. 2009;34(4):393-421.
68. Aarnoudse-Moens CS, Duivenvoorden H, Weisglas-Kuperus N, Goudoever J, Oosterlaan J. The profile of executive functions in very preterm children at 4 to 12 years. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2012;54:247-53.
69. Marlow N, Hennessy EM, Bracewell MA, Wolke D. Motor and executive function at 6 years of age after extremely preterm birth. *Pediatrics*. 2007;120(4):793-804.
70. Klein NK, Hack M, Breslau N. Children who were very low birth weight: development and academic achievement at nine years of age. *J Dev Behav Pediatr*. 1989;10(1):32-7.
71. Vicari S, Caravale B, Carlesimo GA, Casadei AM, Allemand F. Spatial working memory deficits in children at ages 3-4 who were low birth weight, preterm infants. *Neuropsychology*. 2004;18(4):673-8.
72. Assel MA, Landry SH, Swank P, Smith KE, Steelman LM. Precursors to Mathematical Skills: Examining the Roles of Visual-Spatial Skills, Executive Processes, and Parenting Factors. *Appl Dev Sci*. 2003;7(1):27-38.
73. Weschler D. *Weschler Individual Achievement Test*. London, UK: Harcourt Assessment 2005.

74. Ginsberg HP, Baroody AJ. Test of Early Mathematics Ability (3rd Edn). Austin, Texas: PRO-ED 2003.
75. Dowker A. What Works for Children with Mathematical Difficulties? The Effectiveness of Intervention Schemes. Lewes UK: National Numeracy 2009.
76. Cogmed Systems, Stockholm, Sweden. Information available from: <http://www.cogmed.com/>.
77. Torell LB, Lindqvist S, Bergman Nutley S, Bohlin G, Klingberg T. Training and Transfer Effects of Executive Functions in Preschool Children. Dev Sci. 2009;12(1):106-13.
78. Klingberg T, Forssberg H, Westerberg H. Training of Working Memory in Children with ADHD. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. 2002;24(6):781-91.
79. Grunewaldt KH, Lohaugen GC, Austeng D, Brubakk AM, Skranes J. Working Memory Training Improves Cognitive Function in VLBW Preschoolers. Pediatrics. 2013;131(3):e747-54.
80. Lohaugen GC, Antonsen I, Haberg A, Gramstad A, Vik T, Brubakk AM, Skranes J. Computerized Working Memory Training Improves Function in Adolescents Born at Extremely Low Birth Weight. J Pediatr. 2011;158(4):555-61.
81. Melby-Lervag M, Hulme C, 2013, Is Working Memory Training Effective? A Meta-Analytic Review. Dev Psychol;49(2):270–91.
82. Siegler RS, Ramani GB. Playing Linear Number Board Games -But Not Circular Ones- Improves Low-income Preschoolers' Numerical Understanding. J Educ Psychol. 2009;101:545-60.
83. Complex learning difficulties and disabilities research project. Specialist Schools and Academies Trust [25/03/2013]; Available from: <http://complexld.ssatrust.org.uk/>.

Figure headings

Figure 1. Examples of experimental tests used to assess non-symbolic numerical representations (Figure 1a), symbolic representations (Figure 1b) and number line tasks (Figure 1c).

Figure 2. Examples of experimental tasks used to measure children's conceptual understanding of mathematics.

Funding. Dr Victoria Simms was funded by an Action Medical Research (AMR) project grant for the Premature Infants Skills in Mathematics (PRISM) Study. Professor Neil Marlow receives a proportion of funding from the Department of Health's NIHR Biomedical Research Centres funding scheme at UCLH/UCL. Dr Camilla Gilmore is supported by a British Academy Fellowship.

Conflicts of interest. The authors do not have any conflicts of interest to disclose.