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The Effect of Long-term Physical Activity and acute exercise on Markers of Systemic Inflammation in Persons with Chronic Spinal Cord Injury: A Systematic Review

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To evaluate the effect of long term physical activity (PA) and acute exercise on markers of systemic inflammation in persons with chronic spinal cord injury (SCI).

Data sources: We searched Pubmed (MEDline), Embase, CENTRAL, Cinahl and PEDro, involving variations of the MeSH headings: SCI, PA, exercise and inflammation,. No time or language restrictions were applied.

Study selection: Except for case reports, we included any type of study, both genders, all ages, with SCI, resulting in 11 studies included. PA included leisure or work activity, including exercise.

Data extraction: Two authors independently scanned titles and abstracts, and read the articles included. One author extracted, while the second double-checked the data. The methodological quality and evidence were rated by the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool or the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale, and the GRADE approach.

Data synthesis: The included studies had a high risk of bias and ‘very low’ levels of evidence. Meta-analyses were performed (random effects model or generic inverse variance method). The acute interleukin 6 (IL-6) response to exercise was the same for SCI and able-bodied individuals (p=.91), however, responses were higher in paraplegia (PP) than in tetraplegia (TP), (weighted mean difference (WMD 1.19, p<.00001 and 0.25, p=0.003, respectively). Compared to physically inactive people with SCI, physically active people with SCI had lower plasma C-reactive protein (CRP) levels compared (WMD -0.38, p=.009). CRP concentrations were lower post- than pre-exercise intervention (WMD -2.76, p=.0001).

Conclusions: PA and exercise may improve systemic markers of low-grade inflammation in SCI, particularly IL-6 and CRP. The change in IL-6 and CRP is greater in PP compared to TP.
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Abbreviations
BWSTT – body-weight-supported treadmill training
CRP – C-reactive protein
CVD – cardiovascular disease
FES – functional electrical stimulation
GRADE – Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation
IL – interleukin
IL-1ra – interleukin 1 receptor antagonist
LTPA – leisure time physical activity
MCP-1/CCL2 - monocyte chemotactic protein-1 or chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2
NOS – Newcastle-Ottawa scale
PA – physical activity
PP – paraplegia
SCI – spinal cord injury
SMD – standard mean difference
SNS – sympathetic nervous system
TLR – Toll like receptor
TNF-α – tumour necrosis factor alpha
TP – tetraplegia
WMD – weighted mean difference
INTRODUCTION

Systemic low-grade inflammation, as expressed in 2-3 fold increases in levels of circulating inflammatory markers, appears to be increased in persons with a spinal cord injury (SCI) compared with non-SCI (1;2). Chronic low-grade inflammation is a potential contributor to mortality and co-morbidity. Specific co-morbidities linked to elevated circulating inflammatory markers occur in considerable numbers of persons with SCI, and include increased risks for cardiovascular disease (CVD) and respiratory disease, the two leading causes of death among persons with SCI (3;4). In support of this, inflammatory cytokines are thought to play a role in pulmonary impairment, obesity and specifically metabolic syndrome, diabetes, some types of cancers, poor wound healing, indwelling urinary catheters and pressure ulcers (3).

Evidence in healthy able-bodied persons suggests that PA and exercise are related to a decreased risk of both developing and mortality from such chronic diseases by way of reducing levels of circulating markers of inflammation (5;6). Circulating levels of inflammatory markers are mediated by a variety of cytokines. These are immuno-modulating agents that can be classified as lymphokines, interleukins and chemokines, based on their function. Current evidence suggests that above a threshold intensity, contracting muscle releases myokines (cytokines released directly from working muscle) such as interleukin 6 (IL-6), resulting in large (>10 fold), short lasting increases in circulating IL-6 levels. This transient ‘spike’ in IL-6 levels appears to stimulate a counteractive release of anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin 1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra), thus creating a circulating anti-inflammatory environment with each bout of exercise (5; 16; 17). IL-6 release from muscle is also associated with several positive metabolic effects including enhanced lipolysis and improved insulin sensitivity. Interleukin 15 (IL-15), another key inflammatory myokine released from the working muscles, seems to be involved in increasing an anti-inflammatory environment. IL-15 possesses anabolic effects on skeletal muscle and plays a role in reducing adipose tissue mass, thereby influencing muscle-fat crosstalk (7).
In addition to these acute exercise effects, regular PA is also associated with higher circulating numbers of regulatory T cells that release the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 (5). Furthermore, regular PA appears to both reduce the infiltration of inflammatory immune cells into adipose tissue and stimulate phenotypic alterations of monocytes within adipose tissue, with cells switching to an anti-inflammatory phenotype. These events, along with an exercise-induced down-regulation of monocyte toll-like receptor expression leading to reduced monocyte activation (8;9), are associated with reduced release of pro-inflammatory adipokines (cytokines release from adipose tissue) such as tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1/CCL2) and IL-6(5;7). Importantly, this reduced long-lasting circulating IL-6 response (as opposed to the short, sharp large increases associated with muscle contraction) also reduces the stimulus for the liver to release CRP.

Taken together, it is not surprising that exercise is considered best practice to enhance health in both healthy people and people with chronic disease (10). However, persons with SCI are amongst the most sedentary and inactive people worldwide (11) as a consequence of loss of function and enforced behavior. SCI is heterogeneous by nature and can either be characterized by incomplete or complete tetraplegia (C1-C8) or paraplegia (PP) (T1 and below). Persons with the same level of SCI can differ in symptom display and abilities, partially caused by the degree of sympathetic nervous system (SNS) dysfunction and the quantity of muscle mass that can be activated (12). Given the role of active muscle in the anti-inflammatory effects of exercise, the decreased muscle mass and impaired muscle innervation and function in people with SCI is expected to limit potential anti-inflammatory benefits (12;13). Furthermore, in able-bodied populations CRP is reported to be lower in response to regular PA and linked with BMI as a risk factor for developing CVD (7; 8).

Thus far, the effects of PA and exercise have been investigated more extensively in healthy able-bodied persons, though the effects in persons with SCI are not well known. Therefore, the aim of this systematic review was to evaluate the effect of long-term PA and acute exercise on markers of systemic inflammation in persons with chronic SCI. In this systematic
review, high versus low PA levels, different exercise modalities, and different levels of SCI were evaluated, and a comparison between persons with and without SCI was made.

Methods

Inclusion criteria

Any type of study was included, except for case reports, with both male and female participants of all ages with either acute or chronic (≥ 1 year post injury) PP or TP. PA consisted of leisure or work activity, including exercise.

Comparisons

In the review protocol we determined the following a priori comparisons of effect to investigate the acute- and long-term response on levels of inflammatory markers in SCI:

- Exercise vs. no exercise;
- Low PA vs. high PA levels;
- Aerobic vs. strengthening exercises;
- Aerobic and strengthening exercise vs. aerobic or strengthening or no exercise;
- Exercise in acute SCI versus chronic SCI;
- Exercise in SCI vs. exercise in able-bodied persons.

Outcome measures

The outcome measures assessed for the acute effects of exercise were IL-6, IL-1ra and IL-10 (14-16). The long-term effect key inflammatory markers studied were CRP, TNF-α and MCP-1/CCL2 (5;6;17).

Search strategy

The search strategy was developed in close collaboration with a medical information specialist, and the final version was approved by two assessors. The databases used were: Pubmed (MEDline), Embase, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL),
Cinahl and PEDro, including articles up to March 19th, 2013. No time or language restrictions were applied and the strategy included MeSH headings and keyword searches involving variations of the following principle terms: spinal cord injuries, physical activity, exercise, wheelchair sports, electrical stimulation, inflammation, cytokines, myokines and adipokines. The search was complemented by scanning reference lists of the selected publications. Some authors were contacted for extra data information.

Data collection and analysis
The two review assessors independently scanned the titles and abstracts before reaching consensus regarding the articles needed to be included. In case of disagreements, a third reviewer was involved. The electronic references were documented using Reference Manager 12.03 bibliographic software. One of the assessors extracted relevant data from the included articles. The data extraction was checked by a second assessor and discussed within the group of authors before analysis took place.

Assessment of risk of bias and level of evidence
The two assessors assessed the risk of bias of the included articles by using the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool in case of prospective controlled trials, and the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) in case of observational studies (18). Because of its validation, the NOS checklist for cohorts was used to assess the included cross-sectional studies. Case series were considered having a high risk of bias. In addition the two assessors evaluated the overall strength of evidence by using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach (19). GRADE identifies risk of bias, imprecision, inconsistency, indirectness and publication bias, thereby focusing on each important outcome across the included studies (19). GRADE specifies four categories of quality (i.e. high, moderate, low and very low) that are applied to the total body of evidence. The final rating of the overall evidence of quality (performed with GRADEprofiler version 3.6) includes the validity, precision, consistency, and applicability of the estimates (19).
All statistical analyses were performed using Review Manager Version 5.2. When possible, a meta-analysis was performed. Study data were tested on heterogeneity by the eye-ball test (evaluating overlapping confidence intervals), applying a test for homogeneity (Q), and by quantifying the heterogeneity (I²). Because some variation among studies was expected, a random-effects model was used. For continuous outcomes being measured with identical scale, the weighted mean difference (WMD) was used as effect estimate; for studies with different scales, the standardized mean difference (SMD) was used. For studies with a pre and post measurement, the results were pooled with a generic inverse variance method, using the average difference and standard error per group.

Results

Search strategy

A total of 2037 articles were retrieved from the search process, of which 1825 articles remained after removing duplicates. The assessment of the titles and abstracts resulted in 13 potential articles, of which the full articles were obtained. After reading the full articles, 11 studies were included in this review (20-30). A summary of the search process is presented in Figure 1. No randomized-controlled trials were identified. However, three case series (35-37), five cross-sectional studies (29;33;34;38;39) and three prospective (non-randomized) controlled trials (30-32) were disclosed. The study characteristics are included in Table 1. The included 11 studies involved 328 participants in total, of which only 15 were female. The age ranged from 22 to 70 years and the time since injury ranged from 2 to 39 years. Three studies included females (26;28;29) and two studies included persons with PP and TP in separate groups (21;29). Participants were recruited from medical records, (rehabilitation) hospitals and clinics and by active recruitment in the United States, Canada, Brazil, Japan, Great Britain and Italy.

Comparisons and interventions
Within the acute response comparison ‘Exercise in persons with SCI versus exercise in non-SCI (other wheelchair users) or able-bodied persons’, the exercise interventions varied widely. *(Table 1).* In all three included prospective controlled trials, one exercise session was applied, comprising of arm cranking ergometer exercise of different duration (31; 32), or sub-maximal or graded exercise wheelchair testing on a motorized treadmill (21).

The effect of ‘pre to post aerobic exercise training’ was compared in all of the case series. Two of the three case series investigated the long-term response to aerobic exercise. One of these studies applied functional electrical stimulation (FES cycling (26), while the other applied body-weight-supported treadmill training (BWSTT) with gradually reduced support as tolerated (28). In the last case series, the acute response of a competition wheelchair basketball match was investigated (27).

Within different cut-off points or parameters, the long-term comparison ‘low PA versus high PA in SCI’ was explored in the cross-sectional studies. One of the five cross-sectional studies *(Table 1)*, compared participants with low leisure time physical activity (LTPA) (< 25 min/day) to participants with high LTPA (≥ 25 min/day); analyses were performed for the whole group and separately for the TP and PP groups (29). Another cross-sectional study compared those who participated in PA for a total of 150 min/week with non-physically active participants (33). Yet another study compared tertiles of PA in metabolic equivalents (METs) hours per day (29). Furthermore, one study analyzed associations between peak oxygen uptake (VO$_{2peak}$: absolute and relative), PA and CRP (30), while the last study compared CRP in mobility mode (motorized wheelchair, manual wheelchair, walks with an aid and walks without an aid) (25).

**Outcome measures**

The outcome measures *(Table 1)* of the three prospective controlled trials included IL-6 (22;23), IL-10, IL-1ra (21) and TNF-α levels (21;23). Lastly, it included CRP (23). The case series used IL-6, TNF-α and CRP as outcome (26-28). In the cross-sectional studies CRP
(20;30) and IL-6 (30) were used as outcome measure in correlation with PA, while the last study used the outcome of CRP in association with locomotive mode (25).

Risk of bias

Prospective controlled trials

The risk of bias assessment of the prospective controlled trials is summarized in Table 2. In all three trials the risk of selection bias was considered high because the studies were not randomized. Since the blood analyses of all three studies were performed in a laboratory setting and in two of the studies (31;32) duplicate blood samples were taken, the risk of performance bias was judged as low. All three trials had unclear risk of attrition bias (30;36). The risk of selective reporting bias was judged low, because the study protocols of all three studies were available and all included outcomes were reported. An additional risk of indirectness was considered to be present, because by selecting men only and in one case these being wheelchair athletes, the study populations were not true representatives of the whole SCI population.

Cross-sectional studies

The risk of bias assessed is summarized in Table 3. Except for the Buchholz study (29), the risk of selection bias was judged high as a result of selecting men with SCI only, the studies being cross-sectional, and the self-reported PA in four out of five studies. However, the selection of the non-exposed was drawn from the same cohort in all five studies attenuating selection bias somewhat. The risk of attrition bias was judged low in four of the five studies (20;25;29;30), in which was controlled for at least one or more key factors. Since in all five studies the blood analyses were done in a laboratory, the detection bias was judged low. The time of follow-up was lacking since all five studies had a cross-sectional design and causal conclusions cannot be drawn upon the results.

Case series
The three included case series were not formally assessed, however, it was noticed that two of these studies selected a population that was representative of the adult SCI population (26;28).

Effects of interventions

The summary of findings for the main comparisons (Table 4) shows the results of the overall quality of evidence. The evidence was rated ‘very low’ for the ‘acute effect of exercise on the IL-6 response compared to pre-exercise in SCI versus able-bodied participants’, the ‘long-term effect on CRP between PA and non-PA in SCI’ and for the long-term effect of PA on CRP level in SCI.

Systemic inflammatory responses to acute exercise

Exercise in persons with SCI versus exercise in non-SCI or able-bodied persons

Baseline IL-6 was significantly higher in persons with chronic SCI, (2.18±0.44 pg/ml) than in able-bodied participants in one study (1.02±0.22 pg/ml) (p<0.05) (22). However, Umemoto et al. (23) reported no differences in plasma IL-6 reaction between the SCI and able-bodied group, while detecting significant increases in circulating IL-6 at baseline and before exercise in SCI compared to able-bodied persons, and during, immediately after and 2 hours after exercise for both groups. In addition, they reported higher CRP values in the SCI group compared with the able-bodied group throughout the study, while the CRP and TNF-α did not change in either group throughout the study (23). The third study reported a five-fold elevation of circulatory IL-6 compared with pre-exercise in PP and Non-SCI groups. Both groups showed a significant \( p=.003 \) for interaction effect directly post exercise and 30 minutes after exercise. No significant circulatory IL-6 changes were detected in the TP group. There was no effect on plasma IL-10 concentration for any groups in response to exercise, however, baseline levels of IL-10 were higher in the TP and PP groups compared with the non-SCI group \( p=.001 \) for group. In addition, no significant interaction effects or main effects of group or time for plasma concentrations of IL-1ra and TNF-α were found (21). All
three studies included only adult males. When the results of the 3 studies were pooled for analysis comparing the SCI groups with able-bodied participants (Figure 2), there was no effect of exercise on plasma IL-6 concentrations (p=0.91).

Exercise in SCI only

We did not define this subgroup a priori, however, due to substantial heterogeneity we looked for a trend to see if this would support other findings of this review. There was only one study that evaluated the acute effect of exercise on inflammation in 5 athletes with SCI (T7 – T12) with no control group. The athletes engaged in a competition wheelchair basketball game. The IL-6 levels changed from 1.11±0.66 pre-game to 2.5±1.29 pg/ml post-game (p<.05) (27). In addition, we were able to retrieve two more PP groups to add and perform a subgroup analysis (not shown). The WMD was 1.19 pg/ml, with a 95% CI of 1.11 to 1.28 (p<0.001), with no heterogeneity, indicating an increase of IL-6 post exercise compared to pre-exercise in PP only.

We were also able to retrieve two TP groups with a pre- and post exercise comparison (not shown). The pooled WMD was 0.25 pg/ml, with a 95% CI of 0.09 to 0.42 (p = 0.003), while the heterogeneity was negligible ($I^2 = 14\%$). However, conclusions should be carefully drawn, because of the post-hoc subgroup analysis, the effect measure being estimated from a figure, the imputed SD of one study (22), and the small sample size.

We did not identify any studies evaluating the following acute response comparisons:

- Exercise in SCI vs. no exercise in SCI;
- Aerobic exercise versus strengthening exercise in SCI;
- Aerobic- and strengthening exercise versus aerobic or strengthening exercise in SCI;
- Exercise in acute SCI versus chronic SCI.

Systemic inflammatory responses to long-term physical activity

CRP in high versus low physical activity in subjects with SCI
Four cross-sectional studies reported outcomes for this comparison (29;33;38;39). The effect of PA on circulatory CRP (3 studies, N= 47) had a WMD of -0.38 mg/L; CI of -0.67 to -0.09 (p=0.009) indicating an inverse association of PA with CRP (Figure 3).

When we investigated the effect of adding mode of mobility data from Morse et al. (34) to the association between PA and circulatory CRP in SCI (Figure 4), the effect was attenuated and had a WMD of -0.53 mg/L; 95% CI -1.04 to -0.03 (p=0.04). The heterogeneity can be explained by the difference between mode of mobility and non-PA versus PA.

Physical activity in tetraplegia versus paraplegia

The studies did not allow a comparison of PA in TP and PP. Although, two studies (24;29) showed no association, as a result between PA and circulatory CRP level for TP (Figure 5), the WMD was -0.11 mg/L; 95% CI of -0.63 to 0.41; p=0.68; and I²=6%.

Effect of regular exercise in SCI

Exercise in SCI only

We did not define this subgroup a priori, however, we identified two studies evaluating the longitudinal effects of exercise in participants with SCI only without a control group. Both studies were similar in gender distribution equal to the general SCI population. One study resulted in significant decreases of base levels of CRP, IL-6 and TNF-α, after 2 to 3 times per week of FES cycling for 10 weeks (p<.05) (26). The other study resulted in a mean reduction in CRP of -1.54 (0.187), p=0.0022 (signed rank one-tailed test) after 5 times per week, 45 minutes per day for 6 weeks of BWSTT (28). Both results would indicate that the combinations of duration, frequency, intensity and type of exercise of these interventions are sufficient to elicit reduced base CRP levels in persons with SCI. When we pooled both CRP effects (Figure 6), it resulted in a WMD of -2.76; 95% CI -4.19 to -1.34 (p=0.0001), suggesting an inverse relationship between long-term exercise, either FES or BWSTT, and CRP in SCI.
We did not identify any studies evaluating the following long-term comparisons: Acute versus chronic SCI; Physical activity in SCI versus able-bodied participants; Aerobic exercise versus strengthening exercise in SCI; Aerobic exercise and strengthening exercise versus aerobic or strengthening exercise in SCI.

**Adverse events**

No adverse events were indicated.

**Discussion**

The response of circulating IL-6 to acute exercise was not different between persons with SCI compared with non-SCI or able-bodied persons. Subgroup analyses showed significantly higher plasma IL-6 levels for TP in response to one bout of exercise, however, these increases were smaller than those in persons with PP. This indicates that plasma IL-6 increases in response to acute exercise in both able-bodied and persons with SCI.

The results from studies of regular PA demonstrate that high levels of regular PA are associated with lower resting levels of circulating CRP compared with low PA in SCI. However, when the same association was tested cross-sectionally in persons with TP, no significant effect could be established. The association between PA and a low resting circulating CRP concentrations was supported by the regular exercise interventions in SCI, however, the results appear to be largely attributable to those with PP (PP groups N=18, combined TP and PP group N=18).

The strengths, to our knowledge, are that this systematic review is the first that included a meta-analysis on the effect of PA on the inflammatory response in SCI, and the first that investigated both long-term- and acute effects of PA in SCI. In addition, we identified the gap in SCI research. Indicating, first that there is no knowledge on the effect of strength exercise
in SCI, and second, there is no strong evidence for the short- or long-term effect of both cardio- and strength training in different SCI populations.

Four published reviews, addressing cardiovascular and metabolic diseases and PA in SCI, also discussed PA and systemic inflammation (31-34). None of these reviews reported a search strategy or performed meta-analyses. They included three observational studies of the eleven studies (25;29;30) that were included in the current review. In agreement with earlier studies (1;2;4), we found indications of elevated resting levels of plasma CRP and IL-6 in persons with SCI, while also exhibiting elevations in response to exercise. However, the magnitude of the response was dependent on duration, intensity and type of exercise as seen in the separate interventions. Diversity in type of exercise or level of PA was also observed in our review and might explain the statistical heterogeneity. Further heterogeneity can be explained by the population differences of the included studies. The SCI group consisted of males with lesions at C6 – C7 in one study (22), and of males with lesion at T6 – T10, while the third study included both a TP group (C6 – C7) and a PP group (T10 – L6) (22). Third, in the first two studies the controls were able-bodied (22;23), while the last study included non-SCI elite wheelchair athletes as controls (21). The overall heterogeneity between the studies hampers a clear investigation of an acute dose-response relationship in any type of exercise between and CRP in PP and TP as seen in non-SCI, independent from baseline levels (17;35-39).

Inflammation markers are elevated in SCI compared to non-SCI, and similar to our findings, Gibson et al. (1) demonstrated that CRP was clinically high in persons with SCI, which according to the American Heart Association (AHA) is associated with a high risk of CVD. Moreover, they concluded that CRP was elevated in PP and even more so in TP, implicating a different inflammatory response between PP and TP(1). When the long-term effects were pooled, we found no significant difference in CRP level between PA and non-PA in TP, in contrast to the significant whole SCI group effect. However, the response of IL-6 to acute exercise in TP indicated a significant effect in the meta-analysis, and contradicting effects
among the studies, while the IL-6 response to acute exercise in PP was both significant in
the meta-analysis and in the studies. The difference can be explained, first by a possible
underpowered analysis by way of low numbers of TP, or second of a likely larger active
muscle mass, and lastly by a consequent larger voluntary muscle contraction, allowing
persons with PP to elicit more myokines from the working muscle compared to persons with
TP. (40;41). However, it does not explain our significant finding of the pooled response of
elevated IL-6 in response to acute exercise in those with TP, and further investigation from
large, well controlled studies is necessary to clarify.

The studies included in this review were not sufficiently powered. However, expectations of
increasing levels of inflammatory markers as an acute response to exercise, like in able-
bodied persons, and decreasing base levels of inflammatory markers as a long-term
response, both in comparison to pre-exercise levels were confirmed in meta-analyses for IL-
6 and CRP respectively. Furthermore, there is some support that exercise performed at least
at 60% of VO2peak, with a duration of 2 hours, or graded exercise until exhaustion, are both
sufficient to elicit a significant increase of IL-6 above pre-exercise levels in persons with a
SCI (26;28). When performed three to five times per week for 6 to 10 consecutive weeks, the
resting level of CRP will decrease significantly, therefore potentially reducing the risk of CVD
and respiratory disease in persons with SCI. However, the external validity of the studies
included in this review may be low, on account of the inclusion of few women. Although the
influence of gender on the systemic inflammatory response to PA in SCI has not yet been
investigated, it is known that there are sex differences in IL-6 responses both at rest and in
response to exercise. At rest the difference may be enhanced by females taking oral
contraceptives, while the exercise-induced IL-6 response in females is prolonged after
exercise when the male level is already decreasing (42;43).

For clinical implication, the sub-group analysis of level and severity of injury and the time
since injury should be investigated. To indicate if and from what timepoint since injury
exercise is beneficial for which type of SCI. In addition, information regarding the occurrence
of adverse effects (if any) should be reported, considering arm- and shoulder injuries are very common in SCI. Furthermore, the effect of PA on circulating inflammatory markers in PP and TP should be investigated in more detail to add statistical power, insight and overall knowledge and build up evidence on the effect of exercise in SCI. This would include, a possible dose-response relationship between the type, duration, frequency and intensity of PA and lower levels circulating inflammatory markers of chronic low-grade inflammation. Knowledge about possible dose-response relationships, for the different types of SCI to start at a specific time since injury, will aid the therapeutic process.

Even though this study may have assessed some relevant factors, the estimate of effect remains uncertain with a need for more valid answers through research. Heterogeneity, the small number of studies, the small study populations and selection bias led to a GRADE quality score of ‘very low’ for all comparisons. Therefore, future studies should include a control group, a larger number of participants, more women, and various levels of SCI. However, recruiting larger sample sizes in SCI may prove difficult considering that SCI is a rare disorder and heterogeneous by nature. It seems unethical to withhold treatment for the control group when exercise facilities are difficult to attain or to reach, while in addition, it is many persons with SCI find it difficult to overcome barriers to begin exercising (11). Given these difficulties, it may be plausible to develop a methodological assessment tool. A new tool for non-double blinded randomized trials, in contrast to the existing tools, should weigh the biological implications of the outcomes that can be of relative importance over the methodological quality for studies that explore interventions that cannot be fully blinded by definition. Non blinded studies such as exercise or food related interventions, and/or in rare disorders (small sample sizes). The tool may account for blinded result assessment by the statistician, in conjunction with the weighed biological significance, thereby adding to the power of the body of evidence.

Some limitations of this review are, the use of the NOS scale for cohort studies to assess studies with cross-sectional design causes an immediate downgrading of the quality
assessment of these studies on all items regarding longitudinal aspects. In addition, we did not identify negative studies, possibly enhancing publication bias and overestimation of the results. One last important limitation to applicability of the evidence is that PA had different cut-off points in different studies and exercise was diverse in type, duration and intensity. Consequently, strong evidence is lacking on a possible dose-response association of PA and inflammatory markers in SCI.

Conclusions

The findings of the current study suggest a significant increase in circulating IL-6 concentrations directly after moderate to vigorous exercise for persons with SCI. The effects of long-term exercise suggest a significant association and effect between PA and a reduction of circulating CRP, and some indication of IL-6 and TNF-α plasma reduction in SCI, while resting levels of IL-6, CRP and IL-10 in SCI were high compared to able-bodied persons. The exercise response appears to be more pronounced in persons with PP, with conflicting results for persons with TP. In addition, there does not seem to be a difference in the response of circulating inflammatory markers to exercise between persons with SCI and able-bodied persons, another indication that PA and exercise may be also beneficial for SCI. However, the quality of evidence supporting a reduced risk of pulmonary disease and CVD in SCI via reductions in chronic systemic inflammatory markers with exercise is very low. Further research of higher methodological quality is needed.
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