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An electrochemical microactuator based on highly textured LiCoO$_2$
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Abstract

In this paper we demonstrate a novel electrochemical actuator based on an array of micro-pillars of intercalation compound LiCoO$_2$ with induced crystallographic texture (Lotgering factor $f = 0.96$) to enhance actuation strain. The highly textured LiCoO$_2$ posts were fabricated by hot-press sintering and subsequent dicing, and the contrived texture facilitated both electrochemical lithiation and resulting actuation strain in the longitudinal direction. Compared with traditional actuator materials, such as piezoceramics, the micro-pillar array of LiCoO$_2$ showed an almost one order higher actuation strain (1.2%) at a low applied voltage (<5 V). The conceptual demonstration outlined in this paper provides a foundation for the design and application of intercalation compounds as novel smart materials.
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1. Introduction

Actuators are based on materials that change shape and dimension when an external stimulus is applied [1]. They are crucial for such diverse needs as robotics, prosthetic devices, sonar projectors, medical devices, microscopic pumps, microelectromechanical systems and so forth. At the sub-centimetre size, actuators make use of piezoelectric, pyroelectric, electrostrictive, magnetostrictive, piezoresistive, electroactive, and other effects. Piezoceramics are the most dominant microactuator materials today due to their extremely fast response. However, high modulus piezoceramics require rather high voltages (several hundreds or thousands of volts) to operate and low modulus ferroelectric ceramics have reduced force. The strains produced by a piezoelectric actuator are 0.1-0.2%, even for “high” strain polycrystalline lead perovskites [2]. Shape memory alloys exhibit the highest actuation energy density and can deliver a strain of up to 8% [1], but generally require careful temperature control for their operation. Conductive polymers, typified by polypyrrole and polyaniline, are technologically attractive due to their low operating voltage (1 V or less), high force, moderate strain and controllability. In particular, polypyrrole actuators can generate a strain of 1–3% under electro-chemical excitation and the forces generated are $10^2$-$10^3$ times greater than skeletal muscle. But the relationship between input electrical energy and mechanical output has yet to be fully understood and efficiency currently tends to be low at around 1% [3]. Other smart materials, such as electrostrictive and magnetostrictive actuators require high operating voltages or magnetic fields, and overall no microactuator material/effect combination provides idealised behaviour and each has compromised behaviour in at least one aspect.
Recently, electrochemical actuators have attracted attention as they provide the potential for actuation performance in some areas. For example, carbon single-walled nanotubes (SWNTs) can be used as electrochemical actuators when placed in an electrolyte, delivering stresses $\sim 10^2$ times greater than those generated by natural muscle, along with higher strains of up to $\sim 1\%$ at very low operating voltage ($< 10V$) [4]. However, the chief drawback of electrochemical actuators is that they are based on transport-limited mechanisms for actuation, and as such is intrinsically slower than piezoelectrics and magnetostrictors.

Electrochemical actuators can be operated by different mechanisms, such as the electrolysis of an aqueous electrolyte solution [5], solid/gas reaction [6], and quantum chemical and double-layer electrostatic effects [4]. The intercalation compounds employed in lithium ion rechargeable batteries are well known to undergo remarkable reversible volume change during intercalation and de-intercalation. This is a major concern for Li-ion battery application because volume change of electrode materials leads to pulverization and degrades the battery performance [7]. However, this effect can be utilized to produce electrochemically-induced strain. So far there are only few reports on intercalation compound-based electrochemical actuators. Takada et al. [8] demonstrated electrochemical actuation using silver vanadium bronze but not against an applied stress. Gu et al. [9] reported a strain of up to 0.21% and generated stress of up to 5.9 MPa using V$_2$O$_5$ nanofibre sheets.

Koyama et al.[10,11] proposed that electrochemical actuators based on intercalation compounds could have the potential for extremely high actuation-energy density and force because of their large reversible crystallographic strains and intrinsically high
elastic moduli. By using highly orientated pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) posts fabricated by laser micro-machining, Koyama et al. made a microactuator with an actuation energy density as high as 6700 kJ/m$^3$, which was more than $10^2$ times greater than any existing electrically operated micro-actuation mechanism, and was comparable to shape memory alloys. Their microactuator exhibited a strain of 6.7% and 0.7% against a 10 MPa and 200 MPa “blocking” stress, respectively. A higher rate capability was further demonstrated in a multilayer construction, similar to prismatic-form lithium ion batteries.

In this paper, we present a microfabricated electrochemical microactuator capable of achieving high actuation strain against an applied stress of 1 MPa at low operating voltage by using highly textured LiCoO$_2$ in an array of micro-pillars. The potential applications for intercalation compound based electrochemical microactuators are discussed.

2. Experimental

2.1 Microactuator design

The electrochemical cells in the prismatic configurations found in commercial Li-ion batteries have two features that must be addressed if Li-ion intercalation/de-intercalation strains are to be considered for actuation purposes: (1) an applied load to the actuator, or blocking stress, will squeeze the liquid organic electrolyte from between the Li-based cathode and graphite-based anode; and (2) the induced strain will be isotropic since the electrode materials are in the form of randomly arranged
powders, which means the strain and energy density of a uniaxial actuator stroke cannot be fully optimized. For the first problem, even if an impregnated solid polymer is used to hold the electrolyte (a “Li-polymer” battery), the polymer modulus is too low to offer any useful load carrying capacity.

Our approach to the first problem is overcome by adopting a microactuator design with four square arrays of load-bearing LiCoO$_2$ posts made by micromachining, as shown schematically in Fig. 1. Each array of pillars consisted of $5 \times 5 = 25$ square posts, each of which was of 0.4 mm width and 1 mm height. Compared with Koyama’s single post array design [10], our set of four post arrays provides the ability to distribute load over a larger area. In order to integrate these LiCoO$_2$ post arrays into a microactuator and as shown in Fig. 1, a number of sub-assemblies with a gasket configuration for the anode (graphite) coated on copper foil, polymer separator and cathode current collectors (Ag paste) was used in which the gasket materials sit on the base of LiCoO$_2$ and then surround the LiCoO$_2$ posts. This planar arrangement is then suitable for a pouch cell configuration, as described later.

LiCoO$_2$ adopts a layered structure having rhombohedral symmetry with space group R $\bar{3}$m. The structure of LiCoO$_2$ consists of layers of edge-sharing lithium and cobalt octahedra stacked alternatively between ABCABC cubic-close-packed oxygen arrays. Driven by increasing electrostatic repulsion between CoO$_2$ slabs as a result of lithium removal during charging, anisotropic structural changes in Li$_x$CoO$_2$ occur upon lithium de-intercalation, where the strain in the $c_{\text{hex}}$ axis is much greater than the strain in $a_{\text{hex}}$ axis [7]. Since the largest strain is along $c$-axis of the LiCoO$_2$ lattice [12] and the fastest Li$^+$ diffusion occurs along $a$-$b$ plane of the LiCoO$_2$ lattice [13], it is
important to explore a design which can fully take advantage of crystal anisotropy for actuation. Therefore, we fabricated the post arrays with a configuration in which the more responsive \( c \)-axis orientates preferentially to the actuation direction along the posts by contriving highly textured LiCoO\(_2\). In view of the fact that the LiCoO\(_2\) grains exhibit a plate-like morphology due to its layered crystallographic structure [14], we induce the formation of the required strong crystallographic texture in the LiCoO\(_2\) by a carefully manipulated hot-press sintering route.

2.2 Preparation of bulk textured LiCoO\(_2\)

As-supplied lithium cobalt oxide (LiCoO\(_2\), Sigma-Aldrich, UK) powders with stated purity of 99.8\% were ball milled for 72 h and then sieved to under 250 \( \mu \)m. The powders were consolidated in a graphite die and sintered at 950\(^\circ\)C for 5 h under a uniaxial applied pressure of 20 MPa under vacuum. The full details of the preparation of the highly textured LiCoO\(_2\) were described in a previous publication [15].

2.3 Fabrication and packaging of the microactuator

Bulk sintered LiCoO\(_2\) discs with a diameter of 33 mm were firstly cut to a rectangle with \( x \)-\( y \) dimensions 20\( \times \)20 mm and thickness \(~3\) mm by using a diamond wafer blade. Then LiCoO\(_2\) post arrays which will become the cathode in the microactuator cell were machined using an accurate dicing machine (MicroAce-series 3, Loadpoint Ltd, Swindon, UK). Fig. 2 shows side view of LiCoO\(_2\) post arrays fabricated by dicing. The posts were 400 \( \mu \)m square and 1mm in height. Compared with laser micromachining used by Koyama et al. [10], dicing used in current work is a much
more cost-effective method. In addition, all posts here were parallel sided without any taper, in contrast to micromachined HOPG. The base “plinth” of the LiCoO₂ pillars was then coated with Ag paste (Johnson Matthey, E1100) and fired at 800°C for 30 min. An anode slurry was fabricated by mixing the graphite powder (particle size < 20 μm, Sigma-Aldrich, UK) with 10wt% poly-vinylidene fluoride (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) in N-methyl pyrrolidone solution (Sigma-Aldrich, UK). The slurry was cast onto a Cu foil and dried in a vacuum oven at 120°C for 12 h to form the graphite gasket. Then, the graphite gasket and polymer separator was placed sequentially around the LiCoO₂ post arrays. The microactuators were then placed in pouches formed from aluminum-polymer film foil laminates (Fisher Scientific UK Ltd) and injected with a standard lithium-ion battery electrolyte [1 M LiClO₄ solution dissolved in a 1:1 (by volume) mixture of ethylene carbonate and dimethyl carbonate, Sigma-Aldrich, UK] in an Ar-filled glove box, and the pouch was finally sealed using a hot plate press.

2.4 Microstructural characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) using Cu Kα radiation was performed at room temperature in a Siemens D5000 diffractometer to characterise the crystal structure of the LiCoO₂. Bulk textured LiCoO₂ for scanning electron microscope (SEM) study was polished and then thermally etched at 850°C for 15 min and then studied in a JEOL 840A SEM operating an accelerating voltage of 15 kV.

2.5 Performance testing
In order to measure the extension/contraction of the LiCoO₂ posts during charging/discharging, we designed an in-situ non-contact displacement monitoring system based on a capacitance displacement sensor (Nanosensor NXD1-SI, Queensgate Instruments Ltd, UK). Fig. 3 shows the apparatus for the performance testing of the microactuator. The packaged microactuator was held flat on a cast iron stage. A constant stress of 1 MPa was applied to the packaged microactuator by putting a weight on the top of the microactuator, where stress was calculated by dividing the load by total area of the four sets of 5×5 post arrays. There were two electrodes for the capacitance displacement sensor: one was tightly fixed on the top of weight; the other was positioned on the underside of the upper steel beam. The steel beam was supported on an Invar steel to minimise any ambient thermal fluctuation and associated thermal expansion effects. The microactuator was charged or discharged using a battery charger (Ministat potentiostat, H.B. Thompson and Associates, Newcastle, UK). The thickness change of the entire microactuator package along the direction of applied stress during charging/discharging was then precisely measured by detecting the gap distance between the two electrodes of the sensor by a change in capacitance. The working range of the sensor was 0-250 μm with a resolution of 1 nm.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Microstructural characterization

XRD patterns from the LiCoO₂ disc surfaces perpendicular to the hot-pressing direction are shown in Fig. 4, and are compared with a pattern from the randomly
oriented as-supplied powder. The diffraction peaks were matched and indexed according to the diffraction data of pure LiCoO$_2$ (JCPDF No. 44-145). The materials were all single-phase within the sensitivity of the technique. For the powdered sample, the highest intensity reflection was (003) followed by (101) and (104) reflections [Fig. 4(a)], while for the pressed LiCoO$_2$ with the surface perpendicular to the pressing direction, there were reflections of the type (00$l$) where $l = 3, 6, 9, 12$, only [Fig. 4(b)]. The grains in the bulk LiCoO$_2$ were strongly oriented along the $c$-axis of the LiCoO$_2$ lattice. The degree of texture can be estimated using the Lotgering factor $f$ which was determined using the XRD data in the 2$\theta$ range of 15°–85° according to [16]:

$$f = (p - p_0)/(1 - p_0)$$

(1)

where $p = \sum_{l} I(00l)/\sum_{hkl} I(hkl)$, $\sum_{l} I(00l)$ and $\sum_{hkl} I(hkl)$ were the sums of the intensities of (00$l$) and (hkl) reflections respectively, and $p_0$ is the value of $p$ for a randomly oriented sample, taken in this study as the as-supplied powder. $f$ varies from 0 (nonoriented) to 1 (completely oriented) and can be used as a measure of the grain orientation. The LiCoO$_2$, as intended, had $f = 0.96$ confirming a very high degree of crystallographic texture.

Figure 5 shows SEM images of the textured LiCoO$_2$ ceramics. The surface perpendicular to the hot-pressing direction [Fig. 5(a)] indicated that the LiCoO$_2$ grains developed a plate-like shape, while at the surface parallel to the hot-pressing direction [Fig. 5(b)], the short axis of all plate-like grains were aligned strongly to the pressure direction.
3.2 Electrochemical actuation of the LiCoO$_2$ pillar array

Electrochemical actuation of the LiCoO$_2$ microactuator against a constant applied stress (1 MPa) under two different charging/discharging protocols is shown in Fig. 6. Fig. 6(a) illustrates the results for microactuator tested under constant voltage (CV = 4.2V) charge and constant current (CC = 0.2 $\mu$A) discharge. The highest actuation strain of the LiCoO$_2$ post arrays was obtained under a CV testing protocol, which is consistent with the results of the earlier HOPG microactuator [10]. The expansion strain of LiCoO$_2$ microactuator first increased with CV charging time and then reached a plateau of $\sim$1.2% in the time interval from 8.9 hr to 10.1 hr, as indicated in Fig. 6(a). Subsequently there was a slight decrease in expansion strain up to 12 hr. The maximum expansion of the $c$-axis in LiCoO$_2$ during charging from LiCoO$_2$ to Li$_{0.5}$CoO$_2$ has been reported to be in the range 2.4-2.6% according to ex-situ and in-situ XRD [17]. Considering that the LiCoO$_2$ posts were highly textured with $c$-axis aligned with the post long axis, the measured expansion strain of 1.2% in the present work is reasonable, and almost one order higher than the strain (0.1-0.2%) provided by piezoceramics. The mechanical work (stress $\times$ strain) delivered by the LiCoO$_2$ posts during electrochemical expansion was 12 kJm$^{-3}$.

A plateau of expansion strain and then a continuous decrease in expansion strain over time during CV charging has been reported for commercial lithium ion batteries [18, 19]. However, the mechanism for the slight fade of expansion strain is not well understood. Because our microactuator was sealed in a pouch, it was impractical to observe the actuation response of each individual micropillar during charging/discharging. But we suppose that the decrease in the expansion strain of
LiCoO$_2$ microactuator during CV charging might derive from an expected non-uniform de-intercalation level between interior LiCoO$_2$ posts (far from the graphite anode) and external LiCoO$_2$ posts (close to anode) intrinsic to the pillar array configuration. Those posts that were in centre region of the 5×5 array experienced a lower extent of de-intercalation, while the external LiCoO$_2$ posts that were close to the graphite gasket anode had a higher degree of de-intercalation and thus provided a larger expansion strain and consequently carried most of load. Thus, the expansion of interior LiCoO$_2$ posts may not synchronously follow that of the external LiCoO$_2$ posts, and the slight decrease in expansion strain during extended CV charging may result from relaxation of the strain in external LiCoO$_2$ posts and a gradual shift to more uniform de-intercalation behaviour.

We have considered different pillar array configurations with few pillars in each array to reduce the relaxation of strain. However, smaller pillar arrays would require increasingly delicate, hard to align, and perhaps impractical copper foil gaskets (Fig. 1) also to be produced in order to reduce the lithium ion diffusion distance between cathode and anode. Nevertheless, it does not seem unlikely that future work and other fabrication approaches may well find alternative, more practical approaches to this aspect. For the current work, a pillar array configuration with 5×5 posts was selected for practical demonstration.

The microactuator was discharged in CC mode at 13.7 hr. There was an immediate decrease in the voltage of the microactuator from 4.2 V to 2.97 V once the state of microactuator was switched from charging to discharging due to the IR drop related to the equivalent series resistance of the overall electrochemical cell. The voltage of the
microactuator slowly decreased in the period 13.7 hr to 32 hr and then dramatically reduced after 32 hr. The expansion strain was almost unchanged at the beginning of discharging, and then the LiCoO$_2$ posts progressively contracted and led to the progressive decrease in strain.

Fig. 6(b) shows the electrochemical actuation performance of the LiCoO$_2$ microactuator against a constant applied stress (1 MPa) under an alternative CC charge and CC discharge protocol. The CC charge and discharge current was 3 mA and 0.1 mA, respectively. The voltage of the microactuator increased sharply from 0.02 V to 3.88 V in the first 3 minutes charging and then climbed slowly afterwards. Similar charging curves are observed in commercial Li-ion batteries [20]. The expansion strain increased almost linearly with the time, which was consistent with the actuation response of the earlier HOPG microactuator under CC charging condition [10]. Charging was terminated at 4.3 hr once the voltage of microactuator increased to 4.20 V, where the expansion strain was 0.055%. 4.20 V was a pre-selected cut-off charging voltage for the LiCoO$_2$/graphite system because higher voltages can lead to cobalt dissolution induced structural instabilities and capacity fade of LiCoO$_2$ [21], and irreversible decomposition of electrolyte [7].

The microactuator was then held at open-circuit state from 4.3 hr to 11.7 hr. During initial open-circuit hold from 4.3 hr to 7.4 hr, the voltage of the microactuator was near constant (~ 2.86V) and the expansion strain continually increased until a plateau region of 0.075% (marked by the arrows in Fig. 6(b)) was reached between 5.0 hr and 7.5 hr. The microactuator started to slowly self-discharge from 2.86 V to 2.46 V after
7.4 hr. Accordingly, the microactuator slightly contracted. The contraction continued during CC discharge. Self-discharge is common problem for Li-ion batteries, and here may also be related to chemical reaction between organic electrolyte solvent and electrode materials when the microactuator was charged for the first time [7].

One of key challenges in achieving a reasonable cycle life was to achieve robust electrical contacts for the LiCoO$_2$ substrate, cathode current collector and microactuator terminal (conducting wire). A very good electronic contact between LiCoO$_2$ substrate and cathode current collector was achieved using the high temperature (800°C) fired Ag paste. The subsequent joint between Ag paste and conducting wire was connected by using solder, and this combination led to galvanic corrosion that progressively undermined performance on each cycle. The performance in Fig. 6 was very similar for three devices, with a maximum expansion strain reproduced to ±8%. Nevertheless, long term cycling behaviour should be improved by careful choice of compatible electrical joint materials with one another and the electrolyte environment, and use of protective coatings.

Actuation energy density is usually used to distinguish the capabilities of different actuator materials. The theoretical actuation-energy density is equal to $\frac{1}{2}E\varepsilon_{\text{max}}^2$, where $E$ is elastic modulus and $\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum free strain, i.e. the strain achieved in absence of a blocking stress. Theoretical calculations suggest ~150 GPa for the Young’s modulus of LiCoO$_2$ [22,23]. The maximum expansion of c-axis of LiCoO$_2$ lattice during charging from LiCoO$_2$ to Li$_{0.5}$CoO$_2$ has been suggested to be 2.5% [17]. Therefore, if any difference in Young’s modulus with crystallographic orientation and
de-intercalation are ignored for the simplicity, we obtain a theoretical actuation-energy density of LiCoO₂ microactuator of ~47 MJ m⁻³. In practice, the LiCoO₂ discs prepared by hot-press sintering easily withstood a compressive load of 100 MPa without breakdown, while a 1.2% strain was measured under a 1 MPa load and can be assumed as the free strain to give an actual actuation-energy density of 600 kJ m⁻³, which is 6 times that of a good lead-zirconium-titanate (PZT) piezoelectric ceramic (~100 kJ m⁻³ from Ref 10). Further accounting for the difference in specific gravity of these two materials (5050 kg m⁻³ for LiCoO₂ versus 7500 kg m⁻³ for PZT [24,10]), the disparity in specific energy is even larger (one order), i.e. 118.8 J kg⁻¹ versus 13.3 J kg⁻¹.

The major merits of the present actuation approach compared with competing technologies are summarized in Fig. 7, which is plotted after Ref 1. Figure 7 shows that the energy density of the LiCoO₂ microactuator exceeded those of piezopolymer and piezoelectric ceramics.

The major disadvantage of our approach is the slow frequency because it is based on an electrochemical diffusion process. However, the dimensions of the posts here was relatively coarse for ease of processing in this proof-of-principle study. Microactuator performance could be significantly enhanced by using other microfabrication processes [25, 26] to achieve a much smaller pillar cross section. This type of intercalation compound based electrochemical microactuators may then find applications where relatively slow but forceful and controllable actuation is needed, such as biological or medical devices to pump and control delivery of small volumes of fluids, as well as valves, infusion pumps and other drug delivery devices [27, 28]. An interesting device topology is where two such microactuators are counter-posed to produce a reciprocating stroke, where diacharge of one actuator battery can provide
the charge of the other, and then doubling the potential force at high energy efficiency.

4. Conclusions

An electrochemical actuator based on the intercalation compound LiCoO$_2$ has been demonstrated. In order to take advantage fully of LiCoO$_2$ crystalline anisotropy for actuation, we proposed a novel design in which highly textured LiCoO$_2$ post arrays facilitated both electrochemical lithiation and actuation strain. The pronounced preferred orientation of the polycrystalline LiCoO$_2$ with a high Lotgering factor ($f^*=0.96$) was prepared by hot-press sintering, followed by a cost-effective dicing method. The LiCoO$_2$ microactuator exhibited expansion during charging and contraction during discharging. The maximum actuation expansion strain of up to 1.2% was achieved during low operating voltage (4.2V) charging, and was an order higher than the actuation strain of piezoceramics. The mechanical work delivered by the LiCoO$_2$ micro actuators during electrochemical expansion was 12 kJm$^{-3}$. The promising actuation behaviour from this preliminary LiCoO$_2$-based microactuator design indicates that intercalation compound-based electrochemical actuators may have potential for biological or medical device applications, such as valve actuation, infusion pumps and other drug delivery devices.
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**Figure captions**

Fig. 1 Schematic diagram showing the structure of the intercalation compounds based microactuator

Fig. 2: Side view of the LiCoO₂ post arrays fabricated by dicing.

Fig. 3 A photograph of the *in-situ* strain monitoring system

Fig. 4 XRD patterns of LiCoO₂: (a) as-supplied powder, and (b) hot pressed bulk ceramic with the surface perpendicular to the hot-pressing direction.

Fig. 5 SEM micrographs of the textured LiCoO₂ ceramics from surface (a) perpendicular and (b) parallel to the hot-pressing direction.

Fig. 6 Electrochemical actuation of the LiCoO₂ pillar array microactuator under two different charging/discharging protocols: (a) the effect of CV charging and CC discharging on the actuation strain and microactuator voltage; (b) the effect of CC charging and CC discharging on the actuation strain and microactuator voltage.

Fig. 7 Actuation stress and strain of electrochemical microactuators in this study, compared with other actuation technologies.
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