

Loughborough University  
Institutional Repository

---

*Bi-Hamiltonian systems and  
Jordan-Kronecker invariants  
of finite-dimensional Lie  
algebras*

This item was submitted to Loughborough University's Institutional Repository by the/an author.

**Citation:** BOLSINOV, A.V., 2013. Bi-Hamiltonian systems and Jordan-Kronecker invariants of finite-dimensional Lie algebras. 2nd Conference on Finite Dimensional Integrable Systems - FDIS13, CIRM, Marseille, France, 15th - 19th July 2013

**Additional Information:**

- This is a conference presentation.

**Metadata Record:** <https://dspace.lboro.ac.uk/2134/17872>

**Version:** Accepted for publication

**Rights:** This work is made available according to the conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) licence. Full details of this licence are available at: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Please cite the published version.

# Jordan-Kronecker Invariants of finite-dimensional Lie algebras and bi-Hamiltonian systems

Alexey Bolsinov  
Loughborough University

Finite Dimensional Integrable Systems, CIRM, 15–19 July, 2013

- ▶ Motivation and Generalised Argument Shift Conjecture
- ▶ Jordan-Kronecker decomposition theorem
- ▶ Jordan-Kronecker invariants of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra
- ▶ General facts about JK invariants
- ▶ Realisation Problem
- ▶ Examples

# Motivation

# Motivation

- ▶ It is a very natural idea.

A Lie algebra is defined by its structure tensor  $c_{ij}^k$ . Too complicated!

**Classical approach:** Take  $\text{ad}_\xi = \sum_i c_{ij}^k \xi^i$  for generic  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$  and then study the properties of this operator.

**Another option:** Take a form  $\mathcal{A}_x = \sum_k c_{ij}^k x_k$  for generic  $x \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ . Unfortunately, the only invariant is the rank of  $\mathcal{A}_x$ .

# Motivation

- ▶ It is a very natural idea.

A Lie algebra is defined by its structure tensor  $c_{ij}^k$ . Too complicated!

**Classical approach:** Take  $\text{ad}_\xi = \sum_i c_{ij}^k \xi^i$  for generic  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$  and then study the properties of this operator.

**Another option:** Take a form  $\mathcal{A}_x = \sum_k c_{ij}^k x_k$  for generic  $x \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ .

Unfortunately, the only invariant is the rank of  $\mathcal{A}_x$ . But... non-trivial invariants appear if we consider a pair of forms

$\mathcal{A}_x$  and  $\mathcal{A}_a$ ,  $x, a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ . Can we get anything interesting?

# Motivation

- ▶ It is a very natural idea.

A Lie algebra is defined by its structure tensor  $c_{ij}^k$ . Too complicated!

**Classical approach:** Take  $\text{ad}_\xi = \sum_i c_{ij}^k \xi^i$  for generic  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$  and then study the properties of this operator.

**Another option:** Take a form  $\mathcal{A}_x = \sum_k c_{ij}^k x_k$  for generic  $x \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ .

Unfortunately, the only invariant is the rank of  $\mathcal{A}_x$ . But... non-trivial invariants appear if we consider a pair of forms

$\mathcal{A}_x$  and  $\mathcal{A}_a$ ,  $x, a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ . Can we get anything interesting?

- ▶ Many classical facts become more transparent and some new results can be derived.

# Motivation

- ▶ It is a very natural idea.

A Lie algebra is defined by its structure tensor  $c_{ij}^k$ . Too complicated!

**Classical approach:** Take  $\text{ad}_\xi = \sum_i c_{ij}^k \xi^i$  for generic  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$  and then study the properties of this operator.

**Another option:** Take a form  $\mathcal{A}_x = \sum_k c_{ij}^k x_k$  for generic  $x \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ .

Unfortunately, the only invariant is the rank of  $\mathcal{A}_x$ . But... non-trivial invariants appear if we consider a pair of forms

$\mathcal{A}_x$  and  $\mathcal{A}_a$ ,  $x, a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ . Can we get anything interesting?

- ▶ Many classical facts become more transparent and some new results can be derived.
- ▶ This approach seems to be useful for the study of arbitrary Lie algebras, not necessarily semisimple. It would be nice to have universal tools.



# Motivation

- ▶ It is a very natural idea.

A Lie algebra is defined by its structure tensor  $c_{ij}^k$ . Too complicated!

**Classical approach:** Take  $\text{ad}_\xi = \sum_i c_{ij}^k \xi^i$  for generic  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$  and then study the properties of this operator.

**Another option:** Take a form  $\mathcal{A}_x = \sum_k c_{ij}^k x_k$  for generic  $x \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ .

Unfortunately, the only invariant is the rank of  $\mathcal{A}_x$ . But... non-trivial invariants appear if we consider a pair of forms

$\mathcal{A}_x$  and  $\mathcal{A}_a$ ,  $x, a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ . Can we get anything interesting?

- ▶ Many classical facts become more transparent and some new results can be derived.
- ▶ This approach seems to be useful for the study of arbitrary Lie algebras, not necessarily semisimple. It would be nice to have universal tools.
- ▶ Finally, the main reason for us is the generalised “argument shift conjecture”. The “algebra of shifts” is one of those indeed universal constructions which should be studied in detail.

# Historical remarks

1. The first and main ingredient is the argument shift method suggested by [A. Mischenko and A. Fomenko](#) in 1976 as a generalisation of [S. Manakov](#) construction.
2. In 1988, [I. Gelfand and I. Zakharevich](#) observed a very important relationship between compatible Poisson brackets and Jordan–Kronecker decomposition theorem and used it to study the so-called micro-Kronecker pencils and their applications.
3. Jordan–Kronecker decomposition for a pencil of skew-symmetric forms ([C. Jordan](#), [L. Kronecker](#), [F. Gantmacher](#), [G. Gurevich](#), [R. Thompson](#), ...).
4. Transition from algebraic canonical forms of pencils in linear algebra to normal forms of compatible Poisson brackets was done by [F.-J. Turiel](#) (series of papers since 1989).
5. The idea of JK invariants was conceptualised in the framework of an informal Moscow–Loughborough research seminar for PhD students ([A. Izosimov](#), [P. Zhang](#), [A. Konjaev](#), [A. Vorontsov](#), [I. Kozlov](#), [D. Dowell](#)).
6. This talk is based on our joint paper with [Pumei Zhang](#).

# Conjecture

# Conjecture

In the two forms  $\mathcal{A}_x$   $\mathcal{A}_a$ , one can easily recognise two famous compatible Poisson structures on the dual space  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

# Conjecture

In the two forms  $\mathcal{A}_x$   $\mathcal{A}_a$ , one can easily recognise two famous compatible Poisson structures on the dual space  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

The first is the standard Lie-Poisson bracket:

$$\{f, g\}(x) = \mathcal{A}_x(df(x), dg(x)) = \sum (c_{ij}^k x_k) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}.$$

The second is:

$$\{f, g\}_a(x) = \mathcal{A}_a(df(x), dg(x)) = \sum (c_{ij}^k a_k) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}, \quad a \in \mathfrak{g}^* \text{ (fixed)}.$$

# Conjecture

In the two forms  $\mathcal{A}_x$   $\mathcal{A}_a$ , one can easily recognise two famous compatible Poisson structures on the dual space  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

The first is the standard Lie-Poisson bracket:

$$\{f, g\}(x) = \mathcal{A}_x(df(x), dg(x)) = \sum (c_{ij}^k x_k) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}.$$

The second is:

$$\{f, g\}_a(x) = \mathcal{A}_a(df(x), dg(x)) = \sum (c_{ij}^k a_k) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}, \quad a \in \mathfrak{g}^* \text{ (fixed)}.$$

Consider the following family of functions on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ :

$$\mathcal{F}_a = \{f(x + \lambda a) \mid f \in I(\mathfrak{g}), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

## Theorem (Mischenko, Fomenko)

- 1)  $\mathcal{F}_a$  is commutative w.r.t. both  $\{, \}$  and  $\{, \}_a$ .
- 2) If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple, then  $\mathcal{F}_a$  is complete.

# Conjecture

In the two forms  $\mathcal{A}_x$   $\mathcal{A}_a$ , one can easily recognise two famous compatible Poisson structures on the dual space  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

The first is the standard Lie-Poisson bracket:

$$\{f, g\}(x) = \mathcal{A}_x(df(x), dg(x)) = \sum (c_{ij}^k x_k) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}.$$

The second is:

$$\{f, g\}_a(x) = \mathcal{A}_a(df(x), dg(x)) = \sum (c_{ij}^k a_k) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}, \quad a \in \mathfrak{g}^* \text{ (fixed)}.$$

Consider the following family of functions on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ :

$$\mathcal{F}_a = \{f(x + \lambda a) \mid f \in I(\mathfrak{g}), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

## Theorem (Mischenko, Fomenko)

- 1)  $\mathcal{F}_a$  is commutative w.r.t. both  $\{, \}$  and  $\{, \}_a$ .
- 2) If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple, then  $\mathcal{F}_a$  is complete.

### Mischenko-Fomenko conjecture.

For any  $\mathfrak{g}$ , there is a complete commutative family  $\mathcal{G} \subset P(\mathfrak{g})$  of polynomials in involution.

# Conjecture

In the two forms  $\mathcal{A}_x$   $\mathcal{A}_a$ , one can easily recognise two famous compatible Poisson structures on the dual space  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

The first is the standard Lie-Poisson bracket:

$$\{f, g\}(x) = \mathcal{A}_x(df(x), dg(x)) = \sum (c_{ij}^k x_k) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}.$$

The second is:

$$\{f, g\}_a(x) = \mathcal{A}_x(df(x), dg(x)) = \sum (c_{ij}^k a_k) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}, \quad a \in \mathfrak{g}^* \text{ (fixed)}.$$

Consider the following family of functions on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ :

$$\mathcal{F}_a = \{f(x + \lambda a) \mid f \in I(\mathfrak{g}), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

## Theorem (Mischenko, Fomenko)

- 1)  $\mathcal{F}_a$  is commutative w.r.t. both  $\{, \}$  and  $\{, \}_a$ .
- 2) If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple, then  $\mathcal{F}_a$  is complete.

## Generalised Argument Shift Conjecture.

For any  $\mathfrak{g}$ , there is a complete commutative family  $\mathcal{G}_a \subset P(\mathfrak{g})$  of polynomials in bi-involution.



# Jordan-Kronecker decomposition

This theorem gives a classification of skew-symmetric bilinear forms  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  on a finite-dimensional vector space by reducing them simultaneously to an elegant block-diagonal form.

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two skew-symmetric bilinear forms on a complex vector space  $V$ . Then by an appropriate choice of a basis, their matrices can be simultaneously reduced to the following canonical block-diagonal form:*

$$\mathcal{A} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_1 & & & \\ & \mathcal{A}_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \mathcal{A}_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{B}_1 & & & \\ & \mathcal{B}_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \mathcal{B}_k \end{pmatrix}$$

*where the pairs of the corresponding blocks  $\mathcal{A}_i$  and  $\mathcal{B}_i$  can be of the following three types:*

# Jordan-Kronecker decomposition

	$\mathcal{A}_i$	$\mathcal{B}_i$
Jordan block ( $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$ )	$\begin{pmatrix} J(\lambda_i) \\ -J^\top(\lambda_i) \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -\text{Id} \\ \text{Id} \end{pmatrix}$
Jordan block ( $\lambda_i = \infty$ )	$\begin{pmatrix} -\text{Id} \\ \text{Id} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} J(0) \\ -J^\top(0) \end{pmatrix}$

Kronecker block	$\begin{pmatrix} \boxed{\begin{matrix} 1 & 0 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{matrix}} \\ \boxed{\begin{matrix} -1 & & & \\ 0 & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & -1 & \\ & & & 0 \end{matrix}} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \boxed{\begin{matrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 0 & 1 \end{matrix}} \\ \boxed{\begin{matrix} 0 & & & \\ -1 & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & 0 & \\ & & & -1 \end{matrix}} \end{pmatrix}$
-----------------	---	---

## Some comments

- ▶ The **characteristic number**  $\lambda_i$  plays the same role as an “eigenvalue” in the case of linear operators (recursion operators). More precisely,  $\lambda_i$  are those numbers for which the rank of  $\mathcal{A}_\lambda = \mathcal{A} + \lambda\mathcal{B}$  for  $\lambda = \lambda_i$  is not maximal.
- ▶ If  $\mu \neq \lambda_i$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_\mu = \mathcal{A} + \mu\mathcal{B}$  is called **regular** (in the pencil  $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{A}_\lambda\}$ ).
- ▶ The sizes of Kronecker blocks are odd  $2k_i - 1$ , the sizes of Jordan blocks are even  $2j_m$ . The numbers  $k_i$  and  $j_m$  are called **Kronecker and Jordan** indices of the pencil.
- ▶ The set of characteristic numbers  $\lambda_i$  of the pencil  $\mathcal{P}$  will be denoted by  $\Lambda$ .
- ▶ The Jordan–Kronecker decomposition theorem implies the existence of a large subspace which is isotropic simultaneously for all forms from the pencil  $\mathcal{P}$  (**bi-Lagrangian subspace**).

### Theorem

*For every pencil  $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{A}_\lambda\}$ , there is a bi-Lagrangian subspace  $L \subset V$ . This means that  $L$  is isotropic with respect to all  $\mathcal{A}_\mu \in \mathcal{P}$  and is maximal isotropic for all regular forms  $\mathcal{A}_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ . In particular,*

$$\dim L = \frac{1}{2}(\dim V + \text{corank } \mathcal{P}).$$

# Definition of Jordan-Kronecker invariants

# Definition of Jordan-Kronecker invariants

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  its dual space. We say that  $(x, a) \in \mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathfrak{g}^*$  is a **generic pair** if the type of the Jordan-Kronecker decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}_x = \sum_k c_{ij}^k x_k$  and  $\mathcal{A}_a = \sum_k c_{ij}^k a_k$  is the same for all points in the neighborhoods of  $(x, a)$ .

## Definition

The **type of the Jordan-Kronecker canonical form** for the pencil  $\mathcal{A}_x + \lambda \mathcal{A}_a$  for a generic pair  $(x, a) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , is called the **Jordan-Kronecker invariant** of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

# Definition of Jordan-Kronecker invariants

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  its dual space. We say that  $(x, a) \in \mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathfrak{g}^*$  is a **generic pair** if the type of the Jordan-Kronecker decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}_x = \sum_k c_{ij}^k x_k$  and  $\mathcal{A}_a = \sum_k c_{ij}^k a_k$  is the same for all points in the neighborhoods of  $(x, a)$ .

## Definition

The **type of the Jordan-Kronecker canonical form** for the pencil  $\mathcal{A}_x + \lambda \mathcal{A}_a$  for a generic pair  $(x, a) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , is called the **Jordan-Kronecker invariant** of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

In particular, a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is

- ▶ of **Kronecker** type,
- ▶ of **Jordan (symplectic)** type,
- ▶ of **mixed** type,

if the Jordan-Kronecker decomposition of the (generic) pencil  $\mathcal{A}_x + \lambda \mathcal{A}_a$  consists of

- ▶ only Kronecker blocks,
- ▶ only Jordan blocks
- ▶ both Jordan and Kronecker blocks.

The Kronecker and Jordan indices of a generic pencil  $\{\mathcal{A}_x + \lambda \mathcal{A}_a\}$  are said to be the **Kronecker and Jordan indices** of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

# Prerequisites: basic notions

- ▶ Finite-dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and its **dual space**  $\mathfrak{g}^*$
- ▶ Adjoint and **coadjoint** representations of the Lie group  $G$  and Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ :  
 $\text{Ad}_X \xi = X \xi X^{-1}$ ,  $\langle \text{Ad}_X^* a, \xi \rangle = \langle a, \text{Ad}_X^{-1} \xi \rangle$   
Similarly:  $\text{ad}_\xi \eta = [\xi, \eta]$ ,  $\langle \text{ad}_\xi^* a, \eta \rangle = \langle a, -[\xi, \eta] \rangle$ .
- ▶ **Lie-Poisson bracket** on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ :

$$\{f, g\}(x) = \langle x, [df(x), dg(x)] \rangle$$

- ▶ Symplectic leaves of the Lie-Poisson structure = **Ad\*-orbits**;  
**Casimir functions** = invariants of the coadjoint representation.
- ▶ **Annihilator** of  $a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ :

$$\text{Ann } a = \{ \xi \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \text{ad}_\xi^* a = 0 \}$$

- ▶ **Index** of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the codimension of generic Ad\*-orbits:

$$\text{ind } \mathfrak{g} = \min_{x \in \mathfrak{g}^*} \dim \text{Ann } x$$

If  $\text{ind } \mathfrak{g} = 0$ , then the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called **Frobenius**.

- ▶ **Singular set**

$$\text{Sing} = \{ y \in \mathfrak{g}^* \mid \dim \text{Ann}(y) > \text{ind } \mathfrak{g} \}$$

## Theorem

*The following properties of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  are equivalent*

- 1.  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of Kronecker type, i. e. the Jordan–Kronecker decomposition of the (generic) pencil  $\mathcal{A}_x + \lambda\mathcal{A}_a$  consists of Kronecker blocks only,*
- 2.  $\text{codim Sing} \geq 2$ , where*

$$\text{Sing} = \{y \in \mathfrak{g}^* \mid \dim \text{Ann } y > \text{ind } \mathfrak{g}\} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$$

*is the singular subset of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ ,*

- 3. the algebra of shifts  $\mathcal{F}_a$  is complete.*



## Theorem

*The following properties of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  are equivalent*

- 1.  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of Kronecker type, i. e. the Jordan–Kronecker decomposition of the (generic) pencil  $\mathcal{A}_x + \lambda\mathcal{A}_a$  consists of Kronecker blocks only,*
- 2.  $\text{codim Sing} \geq 2$ , where*

$$\text{Sing} = \{y \in \mathfrak{g}^* \mid \dim \text{Ann } y > \text{ind } \mathfrak{g}\} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$$

*is the singular subset of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ ,*

- 3. the algebra of shifts  $\mathcal{F}_a$  is complete.*

## Example

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be semisimple. Then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of Kronecker type and the Kronecker indices  $k_1, \dots, k_s$ ,  $s = \text{ind } \mathfrak{g}$ , are exactly the degrees of the basic Casimirs  $f_1, \dots, f_s$  (invariants of the adjoint representation).

This property holds for many other classes of Lie algebras, e. g.,  $e(n) = so(n) + \mathbb{R}^n$ .

## Theorem

*The following properties of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  are equivalent*

- 1.  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of Jordan type, i.e. the Jordan–Kronecker decomposition of the generic pencil  $\mathcal{A}_x + \lambda\mathcal{A}_a$  consists of Jordan blocks only,*
- 2. a generic form  $\mathcal{A}_x$  is non-degenerate, i.e.,  $\text{ind } \mathfrak{g} = 0$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Frobenius Lie algebra,*
- 3.  $\mathcal{F}_a$  is trivial, i.e.,  $\mathcal{F}_a = \mathbb{C}$ .*

## Proposition

- 1) The number of Kronecker blocks in the JK decomposition is equal to the index of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .
- 2) The number of trivial Kronecker blocks is greater or equal to the dimension of the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .
- 3) The number of independent functions in the family of shifts  $\mathcal{F}_a$  (i.e.,  $\text{tr.deg. } \mathcal{F}_a$ ) is equal to  $\sum k_i$ , where  $k_1, \dots, k_s$ ,  $s = \text{ind } \mathfrak{g}$  are the Kronecker indices of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

## Proposition

- 1) The number of Kronecker blocks in the JK decomposition is equal to the index of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .
- 2) The number of trivial Kronecker blocks is greater or equal to the dimension of the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .
- 3) The number of independent functions in the family of shifts  $\mathcal{F}_a$  (i.e.,  $\text{tr.deg. } \mathcal{F}_a$ ) is equal to  $\sum k_i$ , where  $k_1, \dots, k_s$ ,  $s = \text{ind } \mathfrak{g}$  are the Kronecker indices of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

## Theorem (A. Vorontsov, 2011)

Let  $f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_s(x) \in P(\mathfrak{g})$  be algebraically independent polynomial  $\text{Ad}^*$ -invariants of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $s = \text{ind } \mathfrak{g}$ , and  $m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_s$  be their degrees,  $m_i = \deg f_i$ . Then

$$m_i \geq k_i,$$

where  $k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_s$  are Kronecker indices of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

In the semisimple case (but not only!):  $m_i = k_i$ .

# Characteristic numbers and singular set

- ▶ The **characteristic numbers**  $\lambda_i$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  are, by definition, the characteristic numbers for a generic pencil  $\mathcal{A}_x + \lambda \mathcal{A}_a$ ,  $(x, a) \in \mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathfrak{g}^*$ .
- ▶ The characteristic numbers  $\lambda_i = \lambda_i(x, a)$  are defined by the following algebraic condition  $x + \lambda_i a \in \text{Sing}$ .
- ▶ The characteristic numbers exist if and only if  $\text{codim Sing} = 1$ .
- ▶ The codimension one component of the singular set  $\text{Sing}$  is defined by one polynomial equation  $f(x) = 0$ , where  $f$ , **the characteristic polynomial**, is the greatest common divisor of all polynomials of the form  $\text{Pf} C_{i_1, \dots, i_m}$ , where  $C_{i_1, \dots, i_m}$  is a diagonal submatrix of  $\mathcal{A}_x = (c_{ij}^k x_k)$ ,  $m = \dim \mathfrak{g} - \text{ind } \mathfrak{g}$ .
- ▶ This polynomial may be reducible and may contain multiple factors:

$$f(x) = (f_1(x))^{s_1} \cdot \dots \cdot (f_k(x))^{s_k}$$

We can also consider the reduced polynomial

$$f_{\text{red}}(x) = f_1(x) \cdot \dots \cdot f_k(x)$$

which still define the singular set  $\text{Sing}$ .

# Characteristic numbers and singular set

- ▶  $\deg f(x)$  and  $\deg f_{\text{red}}(x)$  are called the algebraic and geometric degree of the singular set  $\text{Sing}$ .
- ▶ The characteristic numbers of  $\mathfrak{g}$  are the roots of  $f(x + \lambda a)$  (or, equivalently, of  $f_{\text{red}}(x + \lambda a)$ ).
- ▶ The number of distinct characteristic numbers of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is equal to  $\deg f_{\text{red}}$ .
- ▶ Let  $f(x) = (f_1(x))^{s_1} \cdot \dots \cdot (f_k(x))^{s_k}$  be the decomposition of the characteristic polynomial into irreducible components. Then  $s_i$  are multiplicities of characteristic numbers.
- ▶ The symmetric polynomials of characteristic numbers  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  are rational functions of  $x$  and  $a$ . Moreover, if  $a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  is fixed, then they are polynomial in  $x$ .
- ▶  $\lambda_j(x, a)$  are in bi-involutions and commute with the algebra of shifts  $\mathcal{F}_a$ .

# Frobenius Lie algebras

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be Frobenius, i.e.,  $\text{ind } \mathfrak{g} = 0$ . Then  $\text{Sing}$  is defined by one polynomial, namely,  $\text{Pf}(\mathcal{A}_x) = \sqrt{\det(c_{ij}^k x_k)}$ . The degree of this polynomial is  $\frac{1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{g}$ .

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Frobenius Lie algebra, and the (geometric) degree of  $\text{Sing} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$  be equal to  $k = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{g}$ .*

*Then a generic pencil  $\mathcal{A}_x + \lambda \mathcal{A}_a$  is diagonalisable (i.e. has no Jordan blocks of size greater than  $2 \times 2$ ), all characteristic numbers are distinct, and the coefficients of the “characteristic polynomial”  $p(\lambda) = \text{Pf } \mathcal{A}_{x+\lambda a}$  form a complete family of polynomials in bi-involution.*

# Mixed case

Consider the polynomial  $f_{\text{red}}(x)$ , substitute  $x + \lambda a$  and consider it as a polynomial in  $\lambda$ :

$$p(\lambda) = f_{\text{red}}(x + \lambda a) = g_0(x) + \lambda g_1(x) + \lambda^2 g_2(x) + \cdots + \lambda^m g_m(x).$$

The homogeneous polynomials  $g_0(x), \dots, g_m(x)$  are obviously the symmetric polynomials of characteristic numbers. So they are in bi-involution and, moreover, they are in bi-involution with the algebra of shifts  $\mathcal{F}_a$ .

Combining the collection of  $g_k$ 's with the algebra of shifts  $\mathcal{F}_a$ , we obtain an extended algebra of functions in bi-involution  $\mathcal{G}_a$ .

**Question.** Is  $\mathcal{G}_a$  complete?



# Mixed case

Consider the polynomial  $f_{\text{red}}(x)$ , substitute  $x + \lambda a$  and consider it as a polynomial in  $\lambda$ :

$$p(\lambda) = f_{\text{red}}(x + \lambda a) = g_0(x) + \lambda g_1(x) + \lambda^2 g_2(x) + \cdots + \lambda^m g_m(x).$$

The homogeneous polynomials  $g_0(x), \dots, g_m(x)$  are obviously the symmetric polynomials of characteristic numbers. So they are in bi-involution and, moreover, they are in bi-involution with the algebra of shifts  $\mathcal{F}_a$ .

Combining the collection of  $g_k$ 's with the algebra of shifts  $\mathcal{F}_a$ , we obtain an extended algebra of functions in bi-involution  $\mathcal{G}_a$ .

**Question.** Is  $\mathcal{G}_a$  complete?

## Theorem (A.Isosimov)

*The algebra  $\mathcal{G}_a$  is complete if and only if, the annihilator of a generic singular element is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{a}_2 \oplus \text{centre}$ , where  $\mathfrak{a}_2$  is the two-dimensional non-Abelian Lie algebra.*

# Realisation problem

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{A} + \lambda\mathcal{B}\}$  be an arbitrary pencil of skew-symmetric bi-linear forms.

**Question.** Can  $\mathcal{P}$  can be realised as a generic pencil  $\mathcal{A}_{x+\lambda a}$  for a suitable Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ?

**Observation.** The JK invariants of a direct sum  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$  can naturally be obtained from those of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  by “summation”. In particular, the set of characteristic numbers for  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$  can be understood as the *disjoint* union of the corresponding sets for  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ . Thus, first it is natural to study the realisation problem for the following simplest cases:

- ▶ a single Kronecker block,
- ▶ a single  $\lambda$ -block which consists of several Jordan blocks.

Examples of such Lie algebras were constructed by [I. Kozlov](#).

# Single Kronecker block

This case can be realised by the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with the basis  $e_1, \dots, e_k, f_1, \dots, f_{k+1}$  and commutation relations:

$$[e_i, f_i] = f_i, \quad [e_i, f_{i+1}] = -f_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

This Lie algebra admits the following matrix representation

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}(k+2, \mathbb{C}),$$

where  $A$  denotes the matrix  $\text{diag}(a_1, a_2 - a_1, a_3 - a_2, \dots, a_k - a_{k-1}, -a_k)$ , i.e., an arbitrary diagonal matrix with zero trace, and  $b$  is a column of length  $k+1$  with arbitrary entries.

The index of  $\mathfrak{g}$  equals 1.

The singular set  $\text{Sing}$  consists of several connected components each of which has codimension 2 and is defined by two linear equations  $f_i = 0, f_j = 0, i \neq j$ .

The Casimir function of the Lie-Poisson bracket on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is  $f_1 f_2 \cdots f_{k+1}$ .

# Single $\lambda$ -block

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_m & b_0 \\ & A_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & y_1 \\ & & A_2 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & \ddots & 0 & y_{m-1} \\ & & & & A_m & y_m \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Here  $x_k$  is an arbitrary row of length  $n_k$ ,  $y_k$  is an arbitrary column of length  $n_k$ , and  $A_k$  is the  $n_k \times n_k$ -matrix of the form:

$$A_k = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & x_k^1 & x_k^2 & \dots & x_k^{n_k-2} & x_k^{n_k-1} \\ & a_0 & x_k^1 & \ddots & & x_k^{n_k-2} \\ & & a_0 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & \ddots & x_k^1 & x_k^2 \\ & & & & a_0 & x_k^1 \\ & & & & & a_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This Lie algebra is Frobenius, its singular set  $\text{Sing} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$  is defined by the linear equation  $b_0^* = 0$ . Let  $n_1 = \max_{k=1, \dots, m} n_k$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of pure Jordan type and the Jordan indices are  $n_1 + 1, n_2, \dots, n_m$ .

# One restriction

The Jordan indices can be arbitrary with the only restriction. Namely, **the largest Jordan block is unique**. This restriction is unavoidable (F.-J.Turiel). In particular, there is no Frobenius Lie algebra with diagonalisable  $\lambda$ -blocks if the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  is greater than 1.

## Corollary

*If a characteristic number of a Frobenius Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  has non-trivial multiplicity, then  $\text{ind Ann } y > \text{ind } \mathfrak{g}$  for any generic singular  $y \in \text{Sing}$ .*

However this restriction disappears if we allow Kronecker blocks. The simplest example which illustrates this phenomenon is the Heisenberg algebra with the basis  $e_i, f_i, h$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and relations  $[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij}h$ . A generic pencil  $\mathcal{A}_{x+\lambda a}$  consists of one trivial Kronecker block and  $n$  Jordan  $2 \times 2$  blocks with the same characteristic number

$$\lambda(x, a) = -\frac{\langle h, x \rangle}{\langle h, a \rangle}.$$

# Elashvili conjecture

Let  $\text{Ann } a = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \text{ad}_\xi^* a = 0\}$  be the stationary subalgebra of  $a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  with respect to the coadjoint representation. The following estimate is well-known:

$$\text{ind Ann } a \geq \text{ind } \mathfrak{g}$$

Elashvili conjecture: if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple, then  $\text{ind Ann } a = \text{ind } \mathfrak{g}$  for all  $a \in \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^*$ .

Interpretation in terms of Jordan-Kronecker decomposition:

## Proposition

Let  $a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  be fixed and  $x \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  is generic in the sense that the type of the Jordan-Kronecker decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}_x$  and  $\mathcal{A}_a$  does not change in a certain neighborhood of  $x$ . Then

$$\text{ind Ann } a = \text{ind } \mathfrak{g}$$

if and only if the Jordan-Kronecker decomposition does not contain any non-trivial Jordan blocks, i.e., the Jordan part is diagonalisable.

Otherwise, i.e. if there are non-trivial Jordan blocks, we have strong inequality:

$$\text{ind Ann } a > \text{ind } \mathfrak{g}$$

# Examples

- ▶ Semisimple Lie algebras:  
Pure Kronecker case, the Kronecker indices  $k_i$  coincide with the degrees of  $m_i$  of basis Casimirs:

$$k_i = m_i.$$

For classical series these numbers  $m_i$  are:

- ▶  $A_n$ :  $2, 3, 4, \dots, n + 1$ ;
- ▶  $B_n$ :  $2, 4, 6, \dots, 2n$ ;
- ▶  $C_n$ :  $2, 4, 6, \dots, 2n$ ;
- ▶  $D_n$ :  $2, 4, 6, \dots, 2n - 2$  and  $n$ .
- ▶ Some semidirect sums.
  - ▶  $\mathfrak{e}(n) = \mathfrak{so}(n) + \mathbb{R}^n$ :  
JK invariants are the same as those for  $\mathfrak{so}(n + 1)$ .
  - ▶  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n) + \mathbb{R}^n$ :  
Pure Kronecker type with one single Kronecker block, i.e.,  
 $k_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{g} + 1)$ .
  - ▶  $\mathfrak{k} +_\rho V$  with  $\mathfrak{k}$  simple and  $\rho$  irreducible:  
Pure Kronecker type  
(F. Knop, P. Littelmann, B. Priwitzer, AB)
- ▶ Lie algebras of low dimension  $\leq 5$ . The complete list of JK invariants is obtained by P. Zhang.

# Examples

- Affine Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{aff}(n) = \mathfrak{gl}(n) + \mathbb{R}^n$ . The Pfaffian  $\text{Pf}(\mathcal{A}_x) = \sqrt{\det(c_{ij}^k x_k)}$  is irreducible and we can apply one of above theorems. The pencil  $\mathcal{A}_x + \lambda \mathcal{A}_a$  is of Jordan type, diagonalisable, with  $\frac{1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{g}$  distinct characteristic number. In other words, the Jordan indices of  $\mathfrak{g}$  are

$$\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_k, \quad k = \frac{1}{2}(n^2 + n) = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{aff}(n).$$

- Another interesting example  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n) + \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ , where  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$  is realised as the space of  $n \times n$ -matrices, and the action of  $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$  on it is left multiplication. The matrix realisation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is:  $\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

This Lie algebra is Frobenius, the singular set is defined by  $\det C = 0$ . Hence, we have  $n$  distinct characteristic numbers, the multiplicity of each of them is  $2n$ , and the Jordan indices are

$$\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{n-2}, 2$$



# Triangular Lie algebra

Let  $\mathfrak{t}_n$  be the Lie algebra of upper triangular  $n \times n$  matrices.

The description of Jordan-Kronecker invariants for  $\mathfrak{t}_n$  easily follows from the results by [A.Arkhangel'skii](#).

If  $n$  is even, then  $\mathfrak{t}_n$  is of mixed type. The coadjoint invariants are rational functions  $f_k = \frac{P_k}{Q_k}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, \frac{n}{2}$  with  $\deg P_k = k$  and  $\deg Q_k = k - 1$ . The Kronecker indices are exactly  $\deg P_k + \deg Q_k$ , namely

$$1, 3, 5, \dots, n - 1.$$

The singular set  $\text{Sing} \subset \mathfrak{t}_n^*$  is defined by an irreducible polynomial  $f$  of degree  $\frac{n}{2}$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{t}_n$  possesses  $\frac{n}{2}$  distinct characteristic numbers, each of multiplicity one. In particular, the Jordan part of a generic pencil  $\mathcal{A}_{x+\lambda a}$  is diagonalisable and Jordan indices are  $1, \dots, 1$  ( $\frac{n}{2}$  times).

If  $n$  is odd, then  $\mathfrak{t}_n$  is of Kronecker type and the Kronecker indices are  $1, 3, 5, \dots, n$ .

# Triangular Lie algebra

Let  $\mathfrak{t}_n$  be the Lie algebra of upper triangular  $n \times n$  matrices.

The description of Jordan-Kronecker invariants for  $\mathfrak{t}_n$  easily follows from the results by [A.Arkhangel'skii](#).

If  $n$  is even, then  $\mathfrak{t}_n$  is of mixed type. The coadjoint invariants are rational functions  $f_k = \frac{P_k}{Q_k}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, \frac{n}{2}$  with  $\deg P_k = k$  and  $\deg Q_k = k - 1$ . The Kronecker indices are exactly  $\deg P_k + \deg Q_k$ , namely

$$1, 3, 5, \dots, n - 1.$$

The singular set  $\text{Sing} \subset \mathfrak{t}_n^*$  is defined by an irreducible polynomial  $f$  of degree  $\frac{n}{2}$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{t}_n$  possesses  $\frac{n}{2}$  distinct characteristic numbers, each of multiplicity one. In particular, the Jordan part of a generic pencil  $\mathcal{A}_{x+\lambda a}$  is diagonalisable and Jordan indices are  $1, \dots, 1$  ( $\frac{n}{2}$  times).

If  $n$  is odd, then  $\mathfrak{t}_n$  is of Kronecker type and the Kronecker indices are  $1, 3, 5, \dots, n$ .

**Important:** For all these Lie algebras, the Generalised Argument Shift Conjecture holds.