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Dirac fermion time-Floquet crystal: manipulating Dirac points

Pablo Rodriguez-Lopez, Joseph J. Betouras, and Sergey E. Savel’ev
Department of Physics, Loughborough University, Loughborough LE11 3TU, UK

We demonstrate how to control the spectra and current flow of Dirac electrons in both a graphene sheet and a topological insulator by applying either two linearly polarized laser fields with frequencies $\omega$ and $2\omega$ or a monochromatic (one-frequency) laser field together with a spatially periodic static potential (graphene/TI superlattice). Using the Floquet theory and the resonance approximation, we show that a Dirac point in the electron spectrum can be split into several Dirac points whose relative location in momentum space can be efficiently manipulated by changing the characteristics of the laser fields. In addition, the laser-field controlled Dirac fermion band structure – Dirac fermion time-Floquet crystal – allows the manipulation of the electron currents in graphene and topological insulators. Furthermore, the generation of dc currents of desirable intensity in a chosen direction occurs when applying the bi-harmonic laser field which can provide a straightforward experimental test of the predicted phenomena.

I. INTRODUCTION

A huge surge of interest for both graphene (e.g., graphene superlattices (TIs) with two-dimensional topologically protected surface (e.g., and references therein), and three dimensional topological insulators (TIs) for graphene and the surface states of TIs, the effective Hamiltonians describing an evolution of wave functions of electron elementary excitations are linear in the momentum, resulting in pseudo-relativistic phenomena (e.g., the Klein tunnelling, the unconventional Hall effect, or the nonlinear magnetization).

In contrast to true relativistic particles which are difficult to manipulate, pseudo-relativistic Dirac fermions e.g. in graphene, can be controlled by static periodic electric and/or magnetic fields, known as graphene superlattices (see, e.g., 10). Such nano-structures can be experimentally implemented to control both spectrum and transport properties of Dirac electrons in graphene. Similar kind of structures have been proposed and made in TIs.

Alternatively, one can control the electron band structure and electron current both in graphene and in a topological insulator by applying a time-dependent laser field (see, e.g., 15 for graphene). It has been shown that a monochromatic laser field splits Dirac cone energy spectrum into mini-zones which can either touch each other in several Dirac points or be separated by gaps depending on electromagnetic field polarization. Analogous techniques can be applied for TIs. The fact that laser controlled graphene/TIs electron band manipulation is quite promising for applications as well as the search for new physics, created much recent activity, while very recently the Floquet-Bloch states were observed on the surface of a TIs and a photonic Floquet crystal has been also proposed.

Even more intriguing Dirac fermion dynamics can occur when a laser field is applied to graphene/TI superlattices resulting in acquiring an effective mass by the fermions. This situation has not been well studied yet due to a complex space-time dynamics described by the partial differential equation which cannot be reduced to a set of ordinary differential equations as for the case when only laser field or only 1D periodic potential is applied. Recently, a new method for dealing with such a situation has been proposed and giant backscattering resonances for electrons with small incident angles with respect to a 1D potential barrier has been predicted. This makes any further research in the field of laser-driven graphene/TI superlattices very timely.

In the present study, we first show that the Hamiltonian for a TI in external electromagnetic fields can be transformed to the Dirac graphene Hamiltonian by multiplying the second component of the corresponding spinor by an imaginary unity if, the component of the vector potential in the perpendicular direction $A_z$ is zero. This makes both problems for graphene and TIs in electromagnetic fields mathematically equivalent and allows to unify all the developed techniques for the manipulation of electrons in both materials.

Then we focus on new unexplored phenomena, studying the manipulation of the Dirac energy cone by varying the characteristics of the field of two linearly polarized monochromatic lasers, one with frequency $\omega$ and the other with double frequency (i.e., $2\omega$). We demonstrate, using the first order resonance approximation (FORA), that the Dirac point of the original energy cone can be split into several Dirac points whose location in momentum space and even their number can be readily controlled by the angle between the two oscillating fields as well as the amplitudes and time-phase shift of the electric fields of two lasers.

Moreover, our approach allows to estimate the time evolution of a wave function of Dirac fermions, and, thus, calculate the fermion current at each state with certain momentum (or wave number $k$). This resulting current is similar for both graphene and TIs and can be controlled by the time phase shift or the relative angle of two laser fields, allowing even to generate a dc current in a desirable direction due to the effect of harmonic mixing. We also consider a graphene/TI superlattice driven by a monochromatic (one-frequency) laser field and show that the number and location of the Dirac points can be controlled by the relative angle between the laser and the static electric fields as well as their spatial and time periods of oscillations.

Finally, we go beyond the first order resonance approximation, for a simple case, to illustrate the validity of this approximation in the conclusions we arrive at.
II. BI-HARMONIC LASER FOR PRISTINE SAMPLES

In the low-energy limit, the behavior of the charge carriers in electric field in graphene is described by the standard two-dimensional (2D) Dirac equation where we set the electron charge $e = -1$.

$$i\partial_t \psi = \sigma \cdot (p - A(t)) \psi, \quad (1)$$

with the two-component wave function $\psi = (\psi_A, \psi_B)$ for electrons in the two triangular sublattices, $p = (-i\partial/\partial x, -i\partial/\partial y)$ is the momentum operator, $A$ is the vector potential and the vector $\sigma$ represents the Pauli matrices $\sigma_x$ and $\sigma_y$ (hereafter, we set $\hbar = 1, \nu_F = 1$ where $\nu_F$ is the Fermi velocity). For the case of a topological insulator, the charge equation for the Dirac-like fermions in both dimensional (2D) Dirac equation where we set the electron $(\psi^+_A, \psi^-_B)$ is the same if we replace the operator $\sigma \cdot (p - A(t))$ by $\hat{z} \cdot \sigma \times (p - A(t))$, where $\hat{z}$ is a unit vector pointed perpendicular to the 2D plane. However, both equations for the Dirac-like fermions in both graphene and TIs coincide, if we use the following substitution $\psi_A, \psi_B = (\hat{\phi} \cdot i\partial, \psi_B)$ in the equation for TIs. Similar analysis shows that fermion currents for graphene and TIs completely coincide if these are calculated for the corresponding states (e.g. states with a certain momentum). The reason is that one simply rotates the electron spin by multiplying the bottom component of a spinor by $i$, but this procedure does not affect the charge degrees of freedom. Thus, the calculations of the spectrum and single-particle currents described below are applicable for both TIs and graphene. In the following, we focus on the Eq. (1) only.

As a driving field $A = (A_x(t), A_y(t))$ in Eq. (1), we consider the superposition of two linear polarized electric fields having frequency $\omega$ and $2\omega$, namely:

$$A_x = A_1 \cos(\omega t) + A_2 \cos(2\omega t + \alpha),$$
$$A_y = A_2 \sin(\theta) \cos(2\omega t + \alpha), \quad (2)$$

where $A_1$ is oriented along the $x$ axis, without loss of generality. The angle $\theta$ is the one between the two oscillating fields with amplitudes proportional to $A_1$ and $A_2$, while $\alpha$ is a phase shift of the two ac drives at time $t = 0$. Such a driving field can be generated by two monochromatic lasers with a wave length much longer than the graphene (or TI) sample size projection on the weve propagation direction (in worst scenario the sample size should be smaller than laser wave length if wave propagates along the sample plane or just the sample thickness (several atomic layers) if the laser field propagates accross the sample). The time evolution of a wave function with a certain momentum $k$ can be described by $\psi(t) = \psi_0(t) \exp(i k_0 x + i k_y y)$ and the Dirac equation (1) can be reduced to a set of two ordinary differential equations for the two components $\psi_{0A}(t)$ and $\psi_{0B}(t)$ of the spinor $\psi_0(t)$. Since the coefficients of the ordinary differential equations are periodic functions in time due to periodicity of $A$, we can use the Floquet theory searching for a solution in the form $\psi_0(t) = \exp(i \varepsilon t) \tilde{\psi}_0(t)$ where $\tilde{\psi}_0(t)$ is the periodic function, which can be expanded in Fourier series, and $\varepsilon$ is the quasi-energy. In the resonance approximation (see, e.g. [3]) we keep only the first lowest harmonics in the Fourier expansion which are directly linked by the bi-frequency laser field. Substituting the following expression

$$\psi_0 = e^{-i\varepsilon t} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{+A} \\ \psi_{+B} \end{pmatrix} + e^{i \omega t} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{-A} \\ \psi_{-B} \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

into eq. (1) and equating the amplitudes that multiply $e^{-i\omega t/2}$, $e^{i\omega t/2}$, $e^{i3\omega t/2}$ and $e^{i3\omega t/2}$ separately while ignoring higher harmonics, we arrive at a simple linear matrix equation

$$\varepsilon \tilde{\psi} = L \tilde{\psi}, \quad (4)$$

with $L$ a matrix that has constant elements and $\tilde{\psi} = (\psi_{+A}, \psi_{+B}, \psi_{-A}, \psi_{-B}, \psi_{+B}, \psi_{+A}, \psi_{-B}, \psi_{-A}, \psi_{+B})$ consisting of the time-independent amplitudes in Fourier series. This eigenvalue-eigenvector problem can be solved numerically for each set of $k_x$ and $k_y$ allowing to construct both the electron pseudo-spectrum $\varepsilon(k_x, k_y)$ (see Fig.1 for the two lowest energy zones) and an approximate wave function $\psi = \psi_0(t) \exp(i k_0 x + i k_y y)$ for the Dirac fermions driven by the laser fields (eq.2). Moreover, the calculated approximate wave functions can be used to estimate the Dirac fermion one-particle currents (Fig. 2) at states corresponding to different values of momentum.

Numerically, the calculated spectrum contains the first eight sub-bands. In order to consider the other sub-bands corresponding to higher energies, the use of a higher order resonance approximation is needed, keeping higher order harmonics in the $\psi_0$-expansion. In this section we focus on the first two sub-bands touching each other in several Dirac points. One main result is that the number and location (in momentum space) of these points are controlled by the bi-frequency laser field and can be manipulated by any one of three methods, by changing: (i) the relative orientation $\theta$ of the electric fields of the first and second laser harmonics, (ii) the relative time shift $\alpha$ of these drives, (iii) the drive amplitudes $A_1$ and $A_2$. These Dirac points originate from the splitting of the initial Dirac point connecting the positive energy Dirac cone with the negative energy Dirac cone, if the laser field is switched off.

Fig. 1(a) shows a representative 3D plot of the two lowest pseudo-energy zones $\varepsilon(k_x, k_y)$ touching in five Dirac points when the relative angle between two electric fields corresponding to the lasers with frequency $\omega$ and $2\omega$ is $\pi/10$ while these fields have the same amplitudes and zero time shift $\alpha$. In order to clearly see the Dirac points we replot (Fig. 1(b)) the same data for upper zone calculating $F = -\ln(\varepsilon)$ since the region of small values of $\varepsilon$ near the points $\varepsilon = 0$ is highlighted in this representation. By changing the relative orientation of the laser fields we can move the location of Dirac points in the momentum space. Figure 1(c) shows the example of such a motion where different dots with different colours correspond to the positions $(k_x^D, k_y^D)$ of Dirac points (where $\varepsilon(k_x^D, k_y^D) = 0$) for different orientations $\theta$ (video 1 can be provided upon request for an illustration). Tracing the
both amplitudes of laser fields, $A_1 = A_2 = 1$, time phase shift $\alpha = 0$, and relative angle $\theta = \pi/10$. To highlight five Dirac points where $\varepsilon = 0$, we plot $F = -\ln[\varepsilon(k_x, k_y)]$ for the top energy zone in (b). Panel (c) shows the evolution of the locations of the Dirac points when changing the relative angle $\theta$ of the electric fields, i.e., the angle between $A_1$ and $A_2$; different colours code different angles from blue for $\theta = 0$ to yellow for $\theta = 2\pi$, all other parameters are the same as in panel (a). Panel (d) is the same as panel (c) for the same set of parameters except for the weaker second field amplitude $A_2 = 0.5$.

such rich dynamics of the Dirac points (especially when varying laser field parameters with $A_1 = A_2 = 1$) has to significantly affect the transport properties of Dirac fermions at least at low energies. In order to prove this, we calculate the $x$ and $y$ components of the single-particle currents $j_i = \langle \psi | \partial_k H | \psi \rangle$ at each state with certain value of the wave vector $k = (k_x, k_y)$ ($j_i = \psi^* \sigma_i \psi$ for graphene and $j_i = \delta^* \epsilon^{ij} \sigma_j \phi$ for TIs, where $\epsilon^{ij}$ is the Levi-Civita symbol in 2D). As demonstrated above, we obtain the same results for graphene and TIs, thus we focus on the case of graphene here. Several representative contour-plots of $j_x(k_x, k_y)$ (the left column) and $j_y(k_x, k_y)$ (the right column) are shown in Fig. 2. Figures 2(a,b) show the case when both electric fields are oriented along the $x$-axis destroying the reflection symmetry with respect to the $y$-axis. Therefore, the condition $j(x, y) \neq j_x(-k_x, -k_y)$ would lead to a measurable DC current along the $x$-axis even within a proper many-body kinetic description (of course, an experimentally measured current should also depend on the distribution function which is beyond our simple single-particle consideration, but the qualitative result that we describe here will be observed). In contrast, the symmetry $j_y(k_x, k_y) = -j_y(k_x, -k_y)$ should pre-
Red and black dots correspond to \(j_x(\alpha)\) and \(j_y(\alpha)\) current components respectively, calculated for \(A_1 = A_2 = 1\), \(k = 0.25\), \(\theta = \pi/4\) and \(\alpha\) changing from 0 to \(2\pi\); note that the nodes \(j_x(\alpha) = 0\) and \(j_y(\alpha) = 0\) coincide, giving \(\theta = \pi/2 + \pi n\) with integer \(n\). (b) Red and black dots show the \(j_x(\theta)\) and \(j_y(\theta)\) dependence, calculated for \(A_1 = A_2 = 1\), \(k = 0.25\), \(\alpha = 0\); the nodes \(j_x(\theta) = 0\) and \(j_y(\theta) = 0\) are shifted by \(\pi/2\) giving the possibility to have a nonzero \(\alpha\)-dependence of one current component and zero value of the other component at the points \(\theta = \pi n/2\) with integer \(n\). Example of such a case is shown in (c) where \(j_x(\alpha) \neq 0\) and \(j_y(\alpha) = 0\) for \(A_1 = A_2 = 1\), \(k = 0.25\), \(\theta = 0\).
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shift of these Dirac points away from the $k_x$-axis (see Fig. 4c) [detailed dynamics can be seen upon request in video 2 which shows the motion and change of number of the Dirac points when gradually changing the angle $\theta$].

By changing the spatial period $L = 2\pi/\mu$ of the super-lattice potential $U$ compared with the corresponding scale $2\pi v_F/\omega$ [note that $v_F = 1$ in the unit system we use here] of the monochromatic laser field, the different Dirac point dynamics can be observed (Fig. 5a,b and the corresponding videos). For a short spatial period, $\mu > \omega$, of $U$, the two Dirac points moves along two well-separated almost-circle trajectories with no intersections. Increasing the space period of $U$ results in touching trajectories for $\mu = \omega$ as well as more complicated, interconnected trajectories (Fig. 5b) for $\mu < \omega$.

For the last case, even number of the Dirac points can vary from 4 to 8 as seen in the available video.

Regarding the one-particle current distribution $j_{x,y}(k_x, k_y)$ (see Fig. 5c-f), the obtained patterns are highly symmetric, $j_{x}(-k_x, k_y) = -j_{x}(k_x, k_y)$ and $j_{y}(k_x, -k_y) = -j_{y}(k_x, k_y)$, resulting in the zero dc-currents for any $\theta$. Nevertheless, the obtained patterns have a peculiar structure which can affect some transport properties which are sensitive to the states with different fermion momentum. Also, the obtained patterns can be readily controlled by rotating the electric laser field with respect to the static field (compare Fig. 5(c,d) with Fig 5(e,f)).

IV. BEYOND THE FIRST ORDER RESONANCE APPROXIMATION

A. Temporal second order resonance approximation for graphene in monochromatic laser field

One of the simplest ways to assess the validity of the resonance approximation used above, is to calculate the Dirac points in a higher order resonance approximation keeping more harmonics in the expansion of $\psi_0(t)$ and observe the differences. Here we consider the simplest possible case, with a single monochromatic laser field $A = (0, A_1 \cos \omega t)$ and no spatial modulations $U = 0$. In the first order resonance approximation we search for $\psi = \psi_0(t) \exp(ik_x x + ik_y y)$ with

$$\psi_0^{(1)} = e^{-i t \varepsilon_0} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \psi_{0A}^{(1)} \\ \psi_{0B}^{(1)} \end{array} \right] = e^{-i \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} t} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \psi_{0A}^{(1)} + \psi_{0B}^{(1)} \\ \psi_{0A}^{(1)} - \psi_{0B}^{(1)} \end{array} \right],$$

where the upper sub-index (1) refers to the first order resonance approximation. Following exactly the same approach as we used above, that is substituting (10) into eq. (1) and equating the amplitudes that multiply $e^{-i \omega t/2}$ and $e^{i \omega t/2}$ separately, while ignoring higher harmonics, we arrive at a simple linear matrix equation

$$\varepsilon^{(1)} \tilde{\psi}^{(1)} = L^{(1)} \tilde{\psi}^{(1)}$$

with a $4 \times 4$ matrix $L^{(1)}$ and a time-independent ‘vector’ $\tilde{\psi}^{(1)} = (\psi_{0A}^{(1)} + \psi_{0B}^{(1)}, \psi_{0A}^{(1)} - \psi_{0B}^{(1)}, \psi_{0A}^{(1)} + \psi_{0B}^{(1)}, \psi_{0A}^{(1)} - \psi_{0B}^{(1)})$. Solving this simple eigenvalue-eigenvector problem results in the spectrum $\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{(1)}(k_x, k_y)$ which has two Dirac points (see Fig. 6c, red points) at $k = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2} \approx \omega/2$ in the first order approximation.

In the second order approximation, in addition to the harmonics that correspond to $\pm \omega/2$ we keep the $\pm 3\omega/2$ harmonics, thus, searching for $\psi_0$ in the form

$$\psi^{(2)}_0 = e^{-i t \varepsilon_0} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \psi_{A}^{(2),+} \\ \psi_{B}^{(2),+} \\ \psi_{A}^{(2),-} \\ \psi_{B}^{(2),-} \end{array} \right] e^{+i \frac{\varepsilon}{2} t} +$$

$$+ \left[ \begin{array}{c} \psi_{A}^{(2),+} \\ \psi_{B}^{(2),+} \\ \psi_{A}^{(2),-} \\ \psi_{B}^{(2),-} \end{array} \right] e^{-i \frac{\varepsilon}{2} t}$$

with sub-index (2) referring into the second order resonance approximation. This problem reduces to the eigenvalue-eigenvector problem

$$\varepsilon^{(2)} \tilde{\psi}^{(2)} = L^{(2)} \tilde{\psi}^{(2)}$$

with an $8 \times 8$ matrix $L^{(2)}$ and a vector $\tilde{\psi}^{(2)} = (\psi_{A}^{(2),+}, \psi_{A}^{(2),-}, \psi_{B}^{(2),+}, \psi_{B}^{(2),-}, \psi_{A}^{(2),-}, \psi_{A}^{(2),+}, \psi_{B}^{(2),+}, \psi_{B}^{(2),-})$. The spectrum $\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{(2)}(k_x, k_y)$ is shown in Fig. 6d which has two Dirac points at $k = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2} \approx \omega/2$ and six more Dirac points at $k = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2} \approx 3\omega/2$.

These Dirac points are shown in blue on Fig. 6c. The two Dirac points at $k = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2} \approx \omega/2$ almost coincide in both the first and the second order resonance approximation, indicating that two spectra are almost the same for $k \leq \omega/2$. Moreover, the higher order resonance approximation allows a better calculation of the spectrum at higher momentum resulting in the opening of a gap and the appearance of six more Dirac points at $k = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2} \approx 3\omega/2$.

The physical meaning of the different spectra obtained in the first and second resonance approximation can be interpreted in the limit of a very low amplitude of laser field. In the first order approximation, instead of the usual Dirac energy cone, we should consider the energy spectrum of a hole and a photon and the spectrum of an electron and an emitted photon. This produces two shifted cones $\varepsilon \pm \omega/2$ (see red and blue energy spectra in Fig 6a). As a result, the low energy zone (black dots in Fig. 6(a)) with zero-energy rings at $k = \omega/2$ forms in the limit $A_1 \to 0$. Note that for $A_1 = 0$ this new zone structure is just an alternative way to represent an initial Dirac cone. This situation is similar to the extended and reduced zone representations for infinitesimally weak, spatially periodic potential. At finite $A_1$ two Dirac points of zero energy form instead of the zero energy rings (Fig. 6(c), red points). In the second order approximation we need to consider the initial Dirac cone shifted either by one or by two photons resulting in four shifted cones (Fig. 6(b)) $\varepsilon \pm \omega/2$ and $\varepsilon \pm 3\omega/2$ producing two zero energy rings at $k = \omega/2$ and $k = 3\omega/2$. Again the field intensity $A_1 \neq 0$ creates gaps in this energy spectrum and six more Dirac points at $k \approx 3\omega/2$. Obviously, calculation of energy spectrum in higher order approximations will results in formation of other Dirac points at higher momentum.
order resonance approximation for monochromatic laser field shifted Dirac cones for the case of the first (a) and the second (b) order resonance approximation. For the case when both electrostatic and laser fields are directed along the same (axial) direction, the higher harmonics influence the spectrum strongly: when increasing the rank of the matrix $\psi^{(2s)}$ up to $16 \times 16$, our numerical calculations become much more time consuming but the spectra $\varepsilon^{(2s)}$ can be calculated and compared with the first resonance approximation described in section III.

Comparing the energy spectra obtained in the first and second spatial resonance approximation (Fig. 7), we conclude that the higher order harmonics have very little effect when the electrostatic field $\nabla U$ and laser field $\propto d\mathbf{A}/dt$ are orthogonal (Fig. 7a). In this case the four low-momentum Dirac points are just slightly shifted from their positions when the higher order resonance approximation is used. It is clear that new (four) Dirac points for higher momentum occur in the second order resonance approximation. For the case when both electrostatic and laser fields are directed along the same ($x$-) axis, the higher harmonics influence the spectrum strongly: in addition to a simple shift of the two very-low momentum Dirac point, we observe splitting of the two higher momentum Dirac points (obtained in the first order approximation) into four Dirac points (in the second approximation). Note that the split Dirac points are located at momentum just slightly below $3\mu/2$, where we can expect the stronger influence of higher harmonics.
V. CONCLUSION

To conclude, in the present study we consider the quasi-energy spectra for both graphene and topological insulators and demonstrate that an application of the biharmonic laser field can provide a very useful method to manipulate the number and position of Dirac points in the spectrum where the two lowest energy zones merge.

There are, certainly, many other ways to manipulate the number and position of the Dirac points in both classes of materials. The reason we make this specific proposal is that it is easy to be implemented experimentally and there is a good control, within the calculational scheme we use, of the results. It is evident that there is a strong effect on the single-particle current. We have shown how it manifests itself in the DC electrical current which, in turn, can be manipulated by the laser fields. For the case of graphene/TI superlattices, the Dirac points and single-particle current distributions can be well controlled even by a one-frequency (monochromatic) laser field if its electric field is rotated with respect to the gradient of the superlattice potential. However the DC electric current is expected to be zero due to the high symmetry of \( j_{x,y}(k_x, k_y) \). This is a prediction that can be tested experimentally, even without invoking details beyond the single-particle picture and a full, more complicated calculation of the current.
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