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Using advanced three-dimensional simulations, we show that an Abrikosov vortex, trapped inside a cavity perpendicular to an artificial Josephson junction, can serve as a very efficient source for generation of Josephson vortex-antivortex pairs in the presence of the applied electric current. In such a case, the nucleation rate of the pairs can be tuned in a broad range by an out-of-plane ac magnetic field in a broad range of frequencies. This parametrically amplified vortex-antivortex nucleation can be considered as a macroscopic analog of the dynamic Casimir effect, where fluxon pairs mimic the photons and the ac magnetic field plays the role of the oscillating Casimir effect, where fluxon pairs mimic the photons and the ac magnetic field plays the role of the oscillating magnetic field [4].

Already since the late 1960s, parametric amplification has found one of its main applications, in nonlinear optics [5], and still attracts considerable interest [6, 7]. About the same time, the role of the periodic excitations required to trigger otherwise unachievable phenomena in optics was examined in what is now known as the dynamic Casimir effect (DCE) [8], where the virtual photons in vacuum, associated with quantum fluctuations, are converted under interaction with the fast oscillating mirrors into experimentally detectable real photons. Subsequently, the DCE concept of generation of quasiparticles has been explored in a plethora of systems. In optical systems, proposals were made to suitably vary the boundary conditions rather than vibrate the optical cavity (see, e.g., Ref. [3]) or response to the applied magnetic field [4].

I. INTRODUCTION

The fundamental process of parametric amplification suitably exploits periodic variation of a parameter to achieve gain in certain modes of a given system and is relevant to many physical phenomena [1]. It has also found various applications in electronics, where the demand for low-noise solutions has introduced superconducting circuitry [2]—achieving parametric amplification utilizing the current-dependent kinetic inductance (see, e.g., Ref. [3]) or response to the applied magnetic field [4].

Already since the late 1960s, parametric amplification has found one of its main applications, in nonlinear optics [5], and still attracts considerable interest [6, 7]. About the same time, the role of the periodic excitations required to trigger otherwise unachievable phenomena in optics was examined in what is now known as the dynamic Casimir effect (DCE) [8], where the virtual photons in vacuum, associated with quantum fluctuations, are converted under interaction with the fast oscillating mirrors into experimentally detectable real photons. Subsequently, the DCE concept of generation of quasiparticles has been explored in a plethora of systems. In optical systems, proposals were made to suitably vary the boundary conditions rather than vibrate the optical cavity (see, e.g., Refs. [9–14]). The DCE was recently experimentally realized in a superconducting circuit containing a coplanar transmission line, where the role of mirrors for the usual DCE was played by the modulating inductance of a superconducting quantum interference device [15]. Fast variations of boundary conditions mimicking accelerating mirrors were also used in an acoustic analog of the DCE in Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) by changing the scattering length of the interacting Bose gas [16, 17]. Several other analogs of the DCE were reported, in different systems where the stringent requirements for its experimental demonstration are eased [18–21]. Particularly superconductors [18] and BECs [22–24] are attractive in this respect, because of the pronounced macroscopic observables of quantum effects at low temperatures.

In this article we study the parametric amplification of the generation of Josephson vortex-antivortex pairs in layered superconductors by an external ac magnetic field and in the presence of applied current, and we explore the applicability of the DCE principles on the control and tunability of this effect. Since, to some extent, one could consider the DCE as a quantum counterpart of the classical parametric amplification, our system exhibits a macroscopic and first classical analog of the DCE, in contrast to other proposed analogs [18–24] where quantum fluctuations do play an important role. Vortex-antivortex pairs have been intensively studied in superconductors with magnetic nanostructures on top, where they appear and stabilize under the influence of the inhomogeneous stray magnetic field [25], have been directly imaged in experiment [26], and exhibit peculiar dynamics under the applied electric current [27]. Actually, vortex-antivortex pairs can be induced by electric current even in the absence of the magnetic field, in effect which is hydrodynamically similar to vortex shedding in superfluids [28], where the rate of nucleated pairs and their subsequent annihilation depends on the magnitude of the applied current [29]. A similar principle has been recently used to generate Josephson vortex-antivortex pairs in a planar junction [30], where another degree of tunability was demonstrated by using a preconditioned phase imprint on the junction. Adding the fact that vortex-antivortex pairs are known to appear in Josephson junctions also under thermal and quantum fluctuations [31], and that the dynamics of such vortices under external excitations is intimately related to the properties and coherence of the emitted electromagnetic radiation from layered materials [32], it is of timely interest to see to which extent the nucleation and motion of Josephson vortex-antivortex pairs can be controlled by experimentally accessible tools.

Therefore, in this article we consider the creation of Josephson vortex-antivortex (V-Av) pairs in a planar Josephson...
The article is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we outline the theoretical formalism and practical issues concerning the simulations. Section III is devoted to the characterization of the system in the presence of dc electric current and an out-of-plane magnetic field, both its stationary and resistive state. In Sec. IV, we present the achieved tunability and control of the generated V-Av pairs in the Josephson junction under the ac magnetic field and the resonance effects between the nucleation frequency and the frequency of the drive. Our results are summarized in Sec. V.

II. THEORETICAL APPROACH

To simulate the behavior of our system, we solved the time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau (GL) equation:

\[ u(\partial/\partial t + i\varphi)\psi = (\nabla - iA)^2 \psi + (1 - |\psi|^2)\psi, \]

(1)

where \( \psi \) is the superconducting order parameter, \( \varphi \) the electrostatic potential, and \( A \) the magnetic vector potential, with the following boundary condition for the Josephson tunneling [40],

\[
\begin{align*}
(-i\nabla_z - A_z)\psi \big|^{\text{tot}}_z &= \frac{i}{\mu s} \left[ \psi(x,y,D) \exp(-iA_s) - \psi(x,y,D) \right], \\
(-i\nabla_z - A_z)\psi \big|^{\text{hop}}_z &= \frac{i}{\mu s} \left[ \psi(x,y,D) \exp(iA_s) - \psi(x,y,D) \right],
\end{align*}
\]

at the interface of the junction area with the bottom and top superconducting layers (each layer of thickness \( d \), separated by a metallic junction of thickness \( s \), see Fig. 1), respectively. Here \( D = d + s \), \( \tilde{A} \) is defined as \( \tilde{A} \equiv (1/s) \int dD A_D dz \), and \( \mu \) is the ratio of the mass of the Cooper pairs in the metallic and superconducting regions. The superconducting-vacuum boundary condition \( \tilde{n}(-i\nabla - A)|\psi| = 0 \) is applied at the other boundaries with \( \tilde{n} \) being the unit vector normal to the surface. Equation (1) is coupled with the equation for the electrostatic potential \( \varphi \):

\[ \Delta \varphi = \text{div}(j), \]

(2)

where the superconducting current is given by

\[ j = [(\psi^*\nabla\psi - \psi^*\nabla\psi) - A|\psi|^2]/2i, \]

(3)

with a Josephson component \( j_\perp \):

\[ j_\perp = [\psi(x,y,D) \exp(-iA_s)\psi^*(x,y,d) - \psi^*(x,y,D) \exp(iA_s)\psi(x,y,d)]/2i\mu s. \]

(4)

The external current is injected through the metallic leads, as shown in Fig. 1, using the boundary conditions of \( \psi = 0 \) and \( \nabla\varphi = \pm j \), with \( j \) being the uniformly applied current density. In all equations, the length is expressed in units of the superconducting coherence length \( \xi \) and the vector potential is scaled to \( \Phi_0/(2\pi \xi) \), where \( \Phi_0 \) is the magnetic flux quantum. Time is scaled to units of \( t_{GL} = 4\pi \lambda^2/c^2 \rho_n \) (\( \rho_n \) is the normal-state resistivity and \( \lambda \) is the magnetic field penetration depth), the electrostatic potential to \( V_0 = c\Phi_0/8\pi^2\lambda^2\xi \), and the current density is measured in \( j_0 = c\Phi_0/8\pi^2\lambda^2\xi \). The parameters \( u \) and \( \mu \) are taken as \( u = 5.79 \) [41] and \( \mu = 1 \). Using \( \xi(0) = 10 \text{ nm} \), \( \lambda(0) = 200 \text{ nm} \), and \( \rho_n = 18.7 \mu\Omega \text{ cm} \), which are typical for Nb thin films [42], we obtain \( t_0 \approx 2.69 \text{ ps} \) and \( V_0 = 0.12 \text{ mV} \) close to the critical temperature. We neglected demagnetization effects, which is valid for extreme type-II superconductors. The coupled Eqs. (1)-(3) are solved self-consistently following the numerical procedure of Ref. [40].

Note that we have neglected heating effects in our analysis assuming that the sample is in good contact with the substrate. Thermal fluctuations can also be disregarded in conventional low-\( T_c \) superconductors (see, e.g., Ref. [43]), thus we do not
expect thermally activated V-Av pairs in artificial Josephson junctions made of low-\(T_c\) materials at temperatures below 0.8\(T_c\) (for high-\(T_c\) superconductors, this threshold temperature is lowered, likely to below 0.5\(T_c\)). We also expect no qualitative changes in our findings if the lateral dimensions of the sample are smaller than the thermal length.

III. BEHAVIOR UNDER APPLIED dc CURRENT AND MAGNETIC FIELD

As a typical example we consider a sample with lateral dimensions \(L = 100\xi\), \(w = 20\xi\), \(d = 5\xi\), and \(s = 0.4\xi\) and a hole of size \(R = 1.6\xi\). In such a setup, the Abrikosov vortex can be inserted using different experimental techniques (e.g., by field cooling, by passing a large current through the system [44], or by using laser or electron beams [45]) and is trapped by the hole. It is already well known that a small perpendicular magnetic field can strongly affect both the static and the dynamic properties of the Josephson junction, due to the penetration of vortices (also known as pancake vortices in the case of very thin layers) [44–53]. Their effect is strongly reduced by introducing columnar defects [47], which align the Abrikosov vortices perpendicularly to the junction, thus diminishing the phase and magnetic field variations across the junction. Expecting no phase difference across the junction, we first study the effect of the vortex trapped inside the hole on the critical parameters of the system and show the obtained current-voltage \((I-V)\) characteristics in Fig. 2. We observe a noticeable decrease of the critical current of the system (labeled \(j_c\), indicating current for which resistance arises) when the Abrikosov vortex is present (compare black/solid and red/open dots in Fig. 2), despite the fact that no misalignment of the vortex is allowed due to the pinning and no phase difference is imposed on the junction by this straight vortex. In addition, the critical current shows a strong dependence on the polarity of the applied out-of-plane magnetic field (see data plotted with green squares and blue triangles in Fig. 2), which is not found in the absence of the trapped vortex. Namely, \(j_c\) increases for positive direction of the magnetic field, when the screening Meissner currents compensate the circulating currents of the trapped vortex. Strong reduction of \(j_c\) is observed for negative polarity of the field (see also inset of Fig. 3) where Meissners currents superpose on the supercurrent of the vortex. Note that the presence of the empty hole or the local suppression of superconductivity near the hole cannot explain these findings, because in either case \(j_c\) should not depend on the field polarity. Moreover, similar columnar defects are known to have a negligible effect on the properties of the stacked Josephson junctions [47].

FIG. 2. (Color online) Time-averaged voltage vs applied current density \((I-V)\) characteristics of the sample without (solid circles) and with (open symbols) an Abrikosov vortex trapped in the hole of radius \(R = 1.6\xi\). The length of the sample is \(L = 100\xi\), the thickness of the superconducting layers is \(d = 5\xi\), and the thickness of the normal layer is \(s = 0.4\xi\). The out-of-plane magnetic field \(H\) is applied either in the positive (open squares) or the negative (open triangles) direction. The inset shows the field dependence of the critical current \(j_c\).

FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Voltage vs time characteristics \([V(t)]\) of the sample with dimensions \(L = 100\xi\), \(w = 20\xi\), \(d = 5\xi\), \(s = 0.4\xi\), and \(R = 1.6\xi\), in the presence of a trapped Abrikosov vortex, for \(j > j_c\) (fixed at \(j = 0.067j_c\)), and three values of the magnetic field \(H\). Voltage is measured in \(V_0 = c\Phi_0/8\pi^2\lambda^2\), current density in \(j_0 = c\Phi_0/8\pi^2\lambda^2\), and time in \(t_c = 4\pi\lambda^2/c^2\rho_n\) (\(\rho_n\) is the normal-state resistivity). (b) Characteristic crossing time of a Josephson fluxon over half the length of the sample, \(\delta t\), as a function of \(H\). (c) Period of the voltage oscillations \(\Delta t\) as a function of \(H\). Panels 1, 2a, and 3 show isosurface plots of the Cooper-pair density (isovalue is 10% of \(|\psi|_{\text{max}}^2\)) for \(H = 0\) at times indicated on the \(V(t)\) curve. Panel 2b shows the contour plot of the phase of the order parameter at the surface of the sample at time indicated by point 2. White circles indicate the position of the vortices and arrows indicate the direction of motion of Josephson V-Av pairs.
past [44–53]. In the present study, we focus on the nucleation and evolution of the resistive regime (i.e., at applied currents in the vicinity and above $j_c$). Figure 3(a) shows the time evolution of the output voltage for an applied current just above $j_c$ and no applied magnetic field, together with isosurface plots of the Cooper-pair density which reveal that dissipation arises from the periodic nucleation, motion, and annihilation of V-Av pairs (see panels 1–3). The pairs nucleate in the middle of the sample, where the Abrikosov vortex is pinned (see panel 1), resulting in a peak in the measured voltage (point 1). Fluxons are driven apart towards the sample edges by the applied current (panel 2a), where they leave the sample (panel 3) with a corresponding local maximum in the $V(t)$ curve (point 3). Panel 2b shows contour plots of the phase of the order parameter at the outer surfaces of the sample, where the positions of the moving Josephson vortices and the pinned Abrikosov vortex are indicated by white circles. Note that such vortex-antivortex mechanism is very similar to a vortex shedding effect under external driving in superfluids (e.g., in BECs, see Ref. [54]). Note also that in the absence of the Abrikosov vortex the Josephson fluxons nucleate at the edges, travel through the junction, and annihilate in the middle of the sample [30,40].

Next we investigate the effect of the applied out-of-plane magnetic field $H$ on the dynamics of the Josephson V-Av pairs. The voltage-time characteristics of the system for two nonzero values of $H$ are plotted in Fig. 3(a) as red/dashed and blue/dotted curves. As in the absence of field (solid black curve), $V(t)$ shows periodic oscillations with two peaks in each period, corresponding to periodic nucleation and expulsion of V-Av pairs. Surprisingly, the characteristic time of the system $\delta t$, determined by the "lifetime" of the pairs (i.e., time for a vortex/antivortex to leave the sample upon nucleation), depends very weakly on the magnetic field strength. We find a less than 7% decrease of $\delta t$ for the considered range of magnetic field [see Fig. 3(b)]. However, the period of voltage oscillations decreases dramatically with increasing magnetic field, as shown in Fig. 3(c), indicating that the nucleation rate of V-Av pairs sharply increases. For the considered value of the current and the applied magnetic field varied in the range $H/H_c = 0.0025$, the frequency of the voltage oscillations was in the range $\nu = 1.24 \times 10^{-3} - 8.73 \times 10^{-2}$ Hz. For a sample made of thin Nb films [42] the frequency of the oscillations was estimated to be in the $\nu = 0.45$–3.25 GHz range, i.e., easily tunable by an order of magnitude.

**IV. TUNABILITY AND RESONANCE IN ac MAGNETIC FIELD**

In this section, we show that nucleation of Josephson V-Av pairs in our system can indeed mimic the photon generation in optical systems, in the sense that the nucleation can be induced and controlled by oscillating boundary conditions, in analogy to the DCE effect due to moving mirrors. We apply an oscillating magnetic field $H = -H_{dc} + H_{ac} \sin(2\pi \nu t)$, where both dc and ac components of the field are much smaller than the penetration field of Abrikosov vortices in the system, and consider smaller applied current then the critical one for that applied field ($j < j_c$). In other words, V-Av pairs will not be generated, neither by field $H_{dc}$ nor current $j_c$, nor their combination—instead, they will appear only under the influence of the ac field. To characterize the nucleation process of the V-Av pairs, we plotted in Fig. 4(a) the pair-creation rate, i.e., the number of pairs detected at the edges of the sample per unit time, $n$, as a function of the frequency $\nu$ of the ac field. We observe a clear resonance maximum in the $n(\nu)$ curve (see point 1) when one V-Av pair nucleates per single period of the field oscillations [see Fig. 4(b)]. This is one of the classical parametric resonance conditions (see, e.g., Ref. [55]) of vortex-antivortex generation, which can be viewed as related to the DCE—where, in contrast to the discussed here classical DCE analog, the vacuum fluctuations of the electromagnetic field in an optical cavity are exponentially amplified. The value of $n$ decreases with further increasing $\nu$, and no pairs are nucleated at larger frequencies, as also predicted for the other model systems for the DCE (see, e.g., Ref. [18]). The number $n$ also decreases with decreasing $\nu$ away from the resonance peak. However, at very low frequencies we observe additional peaks in the $n(\nu)$ curve [see inset of Fig. 4(a)]. Analysis of the voltage curves shows that [see Figs. 4(c) and 4(d)] for these frequencies the number of V-Av pairs nucleated per single period of field increases by one. Note that in this low-frequency range the system responds only to the largest value of the negative field (i.e., adiabatic response), whereas in the parametric resonance case out-of-phase oscillations of the voltage signal are observed [compare Figs. 4(b) and 4(c)].

Next, we consider the case where generation of V-Av pairs takes place even without varying the boundary conditions (which goes beyond the DCE analogy, though it can be considered as a classical analog of a "superradiance vacuum state" [20]). Figure 5(a) shows the $n(\nu)$ curve of the system for the applied current $j = 1.01j_c$ and for the same values of the magnetic field as in Fig. 4. For this value of the current we have preformed V-Av pairs, and in the presence of the ac
In summary, we have shown how the Josephson V-Av pairs are generated in layered superconducting systems by applied electric current and how their nucleation and dynamics are affected by dc out-of-plane magnetic field and pinned Abrikosov vortices. More importantly, we have shown that nucleation of the pairs can be triggered and broadly controlled by the ac magnetic field, which is in fact a neat realization of parametric amplification, resembling the phenomena seen in the dynamic Casimir effect in optical systems. Here the ac magnetic field provides a time-dependent boundary condition for the generation of V-Av pairs, which mimic in behavior the photons created in vibrating optical cavities. We predicted a clear resonance effect between the frequency of generated pairs and the frequency of the ac field. Even though the parametric amplification of generated V-Av pairs is essentially a classical effect, which somewhat restricts a proposed analogy with the dynamic Casimir effect and its known quantum analogs [18–24], this could be considered as an advantage since the proposed phenomenon can be more easily realized in experiment and still elucidate several intriguing properties.
of the DCE. We also reported unusual properties which are reminiscent of the “superradiant” state, when pairs exist in the system due to applied current even before applying the ac field. Finally we showed how the spectrum of flux-flow induced radiation is affected by the frequency of the ac field. These findings may have important implications for the design of tunable high-frequency electromagnetic radiation sources. Our analysis revealed controllability of the frequency of Josephson oscillations with over 80% by changing just the magnetic field, whereas the maximum 40% tunability has been achieved to date (by changing bias current and bath temperature, see Ref. [56]).
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