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Abstract
Ultrasonic sound waves, ultraviolet-A irradiation and a combination of these two techniques were applied to a non-catalytic aqueous system containing 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (TCP) to study the effectiveness of sonolytic, photolytic and sonophotolytic oxidation processes in the degradation of TCP. The operating parameters for the horn-type sonicator and the UV-A lamp were kept constant along with the solution temperature but the TCP concentration was varied from 30 to 90 ppm. A first-order kinetic rate model was used to study the synergistic effect of the sonophotodegradation process. It was found that at a lower TCP concentration of 30 ppm, sonophotodegradation exhibited a synergistic effect but at a TCP concentration of 70 ppm and higher, sonophotodegradation resulted in an antagonistic effect. The synergistic effect was explained in terms of an increase in the $\cdot$OH radical formation by the combined process complemented by the photolysis of H$_2$O$_2$ formed by sonolysis. In contrast, the antagonistic effect was explained in terms of the combined effect of viscosity increase...
resulting in the reduction of the cavitation efficiency and degradation rate, and by considering the dynamics of bubble growth and implosion.
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1. Introduction

Sonophotochemistry provides an interesting opportunity and an alternative method for treating wastewater contamination. The sonication of an aqueous solution results in the formation, growth and collapse of cavities, thus producing hot spots that generate radical species (e.g., hydroxyl radicals). The simultaneous application of sonolysis and ultraviolet irradiation to an aqueous solution results in the formation of additional radical species, which degrade dissolved organic pollutants. Chlorophenols are a group of recalcitrant compounds that are mainly used as herbicides, wood preservatives, fungicides, insecticides, antiseptics, defoliants and glue preservatives. Due to these industrial uses, chlorophenols have been detected in industrial wastewaters and in effluents from the pharmaceutical, pesticide, paint, solvent and wood industries. There are 18 derivatives in this chlorophenol group. One particular derivative, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (TCP), is commonly detected in wastewater and effluent streams emerging from paper and pulp factories. The target pollutant studied in this paper is 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (TCP). A TCP molecule contains a chlorine atom on each of the second, fourth and sixth carbon positions of the phenolic ring [Czaplicka, 2004]. The polar nature of this group of compounds is inversely proportional to the number of chlorine substitutions on the phenolic ring. The solubility of TCP in water is 0.434 g/L [Czaplicka, 2004] but it dissolves very slowly
usually taking almost two days to dissolve 0.1 g of TCP in 1 L of water at room
temperature.
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Generally the presence of TCP in the environment is due to anthropogenic
sources. This compound is generated from common human activities such as waste
disposal during the incineration process of municipal waste. It is also the byproduct
in common water treatment methods, such as the disinfection of water with chlorine.
Due to its toxicity, the use of TCP has been banned, however, several biocidal
chemical compounds or fungicides still employ the use of TCP in its manufacturing
process. TCP has mutagenic and carcinogenic effect in humans. This compound can
enter the human body as it is readily absorbed by human skin and the gastro-intestinal
tract. It will seriously damage the nervous system resulting in respiratory problems
such as chronic bronchitis, coughing and pulmonary defects [2,4,6-trichlorophenol,
UC Berkeley; Gao and Wang, 2007; 2,4,6-trichlorophenol, USEPA; Chaliha and
Bhattacharyya, 2008; Radhika and Palanivelu, 2006]. The xenobiotic characteristics
of TCP and its toxicity, makes it resistant to biodegradation. Wastewater
contaminated with TCP has to be treated before being discharged into rivers and lakes
to prevent its bioaccumulation in the food chain. The position of the chlorine atoms in
relation to the hydroxyl group in the TCP molecule and the high stability of the C-Cl
bond, makes it potent and recalcitrant in the biological environment [Tzou et al.,
2008]. There are three categories of conventional technologies used to treat
wastewater contaminated with chlorophenols. These are physical treatments, such as
hyperfiltration, solvent extraction reverse osmosis, activated carbon adsorption
[Joseph et al., 2007; Joseph et al., 2009a] and biosorption [Sharain-Liew et al., 2011;
Sharain-Liew et al., (in press DOI:......)], chemical treatments, such as hypercritical oxidation, chemical degradation, chemical oxidation, high-pressure impulsive discharge, incineration, wet oxidation, and low temperature plasma, and biological treatments, such as aerobic/anaerobic methods activated sludge and membrane separation techniques. These treatment methods do not sufficiently address the removal of TCP from wastewater because physical treatment is merely a phase transfer process, which does not destroy the pollutant, while chemical treatment can be very expensive and results in secondary reactions, producing other types of contaminants. As TCP is not biodegradable, the biological treatment process is also not a viable option [Wang et al., 2000; Abburi, 2003; Jung et al., 2001; Aksu and Yenar, 2001]. Over the last decade, advanced oxidation processes are fast becoming an interesting option for the treatment of recalcitrant pollutant by enabling the degradation of the pollutant molecule \textit{in situ} [Joseph et al., 2009b; Li Puma et al., 2008].

In this paper, we investigated the degradation of TCP by sonolytic, photolytic and sequential sonophotolytic oxidation processes with particular emphasis on the effect of solution concentration and its effect on the synergy factor between sonolysis and photolysis.

2. Experimental Section

2.1 Procedure

Fig. 1 shows the schematic diagram of the constructed sonophotoreactor system. The sonoreactor consisted of a 1 L jacketed glass vessel. This experimental
set-up was described in Joseph et al., 2011. The temperature of the TCP solution in the jacketed vessel was kept at 30 °C using a temperature controller (Thermo Haake K10). The ultrasonic sound waves were generated using a 20 kHz, 750 W direct-immersion horn sonicator (Sonics and Materials 750 W VibraCell™). With a horn tip surface area of 4.91 cm², this sonicator was capable of radiating solutions with volumes of between 500 mL and 1000 mL. The photoreactor was of annular geometry (internal diameter of outer wall = XX mm) and was irradiated by a 8 W UV BLB (Sylvania) lamp located in the center of the annulus. The lamp emitted UV-A radiation in the range from 300 to 400 nm with a peak wavelength at 365 nm. A quartz lamp sheath (external diameter of inner wall = xx mm) was used to contain and protect the UV lamp from being damaged by the TCP solution. The lamp was switched on for 20 minutes before the start of all the experiments to equilibrate the operating temperature of the lamp. The effective radiating surface area of the UV lamp was 144.5 cm² after both ends of the UV lamp were covered with Teflon tape. This was done to prevent interference from the unstable UV flux from these regions. The measurement of the UV-A intensity from the encased UV-A lamp was conducted using a radiometer (Solar Light, model PMA2100) with a UV-A detector (Solar Light, model PMA2110). Both, the acoustic intensity of the sonicator and the UV-A intensity was presented in our previous study [Joseph et al., 2011]. With the sonophotoreactor set-up as shown in Fig. 1, a constant stream of TCP solution was moved within the sonophotoreactor by a peristaltic pump in a recirculation loop formation at a fixed flow rate of 500 mL/min. The TCP solution was sampled at a predetermined time and its residual concentration was determined using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Varian Cary 50 conc) at a detection wavelength of 295 nm
[Michizoe et al., 2004; Shih et al., 1990]. The sonophotoreactor was set up in this manner for the following reasons:

a) To enable the quantification of the effects of sonoreaction and photoreaction.

b) Ease of experimental setup, assembly and cleaning.

c) To decouple the effects of acoustic intensity and UV irradiation to avoid the combination of these two processes into one and to study the effect of each process on the degradation of TCP. Additionally, as the TCP solution (total volume of 500 ml) passed through the sonoreactor and photoreactor, at alternating minutes due to the selected flow rate of 500 ml/min, the generated radical and oxidizing species would complement each degradation schemes (i.e., sonolysis, photolysis or sonophotolysis). Previous researchers have successfully alternated the irradiation of UV and ultrasonic sound waves to generate hydrogen gas and H₂O₂ from seawater [Harada, 2001]. The entire sonophotoreactor rig was covered using black-colored polyethylene bags to prevent interference from ambient light and the exposure of personnel to UV-A radiation.

2.2 Effect of TCP concentration

To study the effects of the solution concentration in the presence of ultrasonic sound waves (sonolysis), ultraviolet-A irradiation (photolysis) and the sequential combination of both (sonophotolysis), the following experiments were conducted. The solution temperature was fixed at the required temperature of 30 °C by a temperature controller. The entire sonication was performed in continuous-wave mode (CW) at 50 % amplitude, and the UV-A lamp was calibrated to produce an intensity of 6 mW/cm². The TCP concentration, however, was varied among 30, 50,
70 and 90 ppm. Experiments using sonolysis, photolysis and sonophotolysis were performed using 500 mL solution of TCP which was irradiated for 5 hours with sampling performed every hour. The total sampling volume collected was 4 mL (4% of the total solution volume). All experiments were repeated in triplicate with the average value used in the graphs. The sonolysis reactor was protected from external light entering the reactor. The synergism between the photolysis, sonolysis and sonophotolysis processes was determined using first-order rate constants, according to Equation 1, which has been modified from our previous study [Li Puma et al., 2008]:

\[
Synergy (S) = \frac{k_{\text{sonophotolysis}} - (k_{\text{sonolysis}} + k_{\text{photolysis}})}{k_{\text{sonophotolysis}}} \quad \text{eq 1}
\]

Insert Fig. 1 here

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Sonolysis, photolysis and sonophotolysis degradation of TCP

Figs. 2 – 5 show the photolysis, sonolysis and sonophotolysis degradation of TCP at various concentrations, ranging from 30 to 90 ppm. All of the degradation processes show a reducing trend in the concentration of TCP. The degradation effect of sonolysis, photolysis and sonophotolysis can easily be visualized by the UV-spectroscopy scans on the samples collected at regular intervals [Joseph et al., 2011]. As TCP is degraded, intermediates are generated due to the attack of the radical species on the TCP molecule. The UV-Vis absorbance spectra shown in Figs. 6 - 9
clearly illustrate this effect. At a 1 nm/s scanning rate the UV-vis spectra revealed a change in absorbance during the oxidation process. For sonolysis alone, the maximum absorption wavelength of TCP changed from 295 nm to 285 nm (Fig. 7) during irradiation along with the spectrum profile. For photolysis alone, it changed from 295 nm to 290 nm (Fig. 8) along with the spectrum profile, and for sonophotolysis it changed from 295 nm to 285 nm (Fig. 9) along with the spectrum profile. These results indicate that the TCP was being transformed into intermediates during these oxidation processes. Previously, researchers have used absorption and emission spectra by various instruments including UV-Vis Spectrophotometer to show the effectiveness of their employed sono-/photo-degradation processes [Zainal et al., 2007; Yazdan et al., 2013; Song et al., 2013; Avila Orozco et al., 2013].

Insert Fig. 2-5 here

Insert Fig. 6-9 here

The degradation of TCP by sonolysis is due to the oxidation of the TCP molecule by hydroxyl radicals generated during the sonication (sonolysis or sonodecomposition of water) process, according to Equations 2 – 5 [Joseph et al., 2009b; Pollet, 2010; Liang et al., 2007]:

\[
\begin{align*}
H_2O + \text{sono} & \rightarrow H^+ + \cdot OH \quad \text{eq2} \\
H^+ + O_2 & \rightarrow \cdot OH \quad \text{eq3} \\
\cdot HO_2 + \cdot HO_2 & \rightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2 \quad \text{eq4} \\
\cdot OH + \cdot OH & \rightarrow H_2O_2 \quad \text{eq5}
\end{align*}
\]

\text{sono}) denotes sonication
Light from sonoluminescence occurring during bubble implosion also contributed to water scission to generate free radicals that actively attack the TCP molecules as this light has a broad wavelength range below 375 nm [Shimizu et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2006]. The proposed sonolysis degradation scheme is described in Fig. 10.

The degradation of TCP by photolysis was due to the effect of UV-A radiation of water, which causes very small amounts of hydroxyl radicals to be generated and oxidizes the TCP molecule (photo-oxidation) into intermediates according to Equations 6 and 7. The proposed photolysis degradation scheme is described in Fig. 11.

\[
2\text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{H}_3\text{O}^+ + \text{OH}^- \quad \text{(self-ionization of water or water dissociation)} \quad \text{eq6}
\]

\[
\text{OH}^- + h\nu \, (365 \text{ nm}) \rightarrow \text{OH} \quad \text{eq7}
\]

The UV-A lamp has a maximum wavelength peak of 365 nm. This corresponds to a total energy of 3.39 eV. As the lamp intensity is fixed at 6 mW/cm\(^2\) and the total radiating surface of the lamp is 144.5 cm\(^2\), the total UVA radiant flux is 0.867 W. Therefore, the calculated total energy output would be \(5.41 \times 10^{18}\) eV per second. In addition, the ejected electron is produced from the hydroxide ion that yields the hydroxyl radical. The electron originates from the 4\(^{th}\) electron in the 2p\(_x\) sub-orbital of the oxygen atom part of the hydroxide ion. The energy required to eject this electron from the oxygen atom is 13.6 eV, which is the first ionization energy of oxygen. This value is low due to the electron-electron repulsion. Five hundred milliliters of water consists of \(1.67 \times 10^{25}\) \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) molecules but as Equation 6 is in a
dynamic equilibrium, only $8.35 \times 10^{24}$ OH$^-$ molecules are available to be converted to \(\cdot\)OH at any given time. Therefore, $5.41 \times 10^{18}$ eV from the lamp can convert $3.97 \times 10^{17}$ of OH$^-$ to \(\cdot\)OH. At any given second, the 500 ml of water consist of $8.35 \times 10^{24}$ available OH$^-$, resulting in only $4.76 \times 10^{-6}$ % of the available OH$^-$ being converted into \(\cdot\)OH. Therefore, irradiation by UV-A alone produces a limited degradation of TCP.

In sonophotolysis, the solution was exposed to sequential continuous sonication and UV-A irradiation at alternating minutes, resulting in both treatment processes complementing each other in generating \(\cdot\)OH radicals by sono-/photo-oxidation of water molecules. Additionally, in the combined system, the generated H$_2$O$_2$ generated by sonolysis can be photolysed by UV-A to yield \(\cdot\)OH as shown in Equations 8-10. The proposed sonophotolysis degradation scheme is described in Fig. 12.

Insert figure 10 here
Insert figure 11 here
Insert figure 12 here

\textbf{Sonophotolysis (sonolysis + photolysis)}

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{hv} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{hv} \rightarrow 2\cdot\text{OH} \quad \text{eq9}
\]

\text{Sonophotolysis (sonolysis + photolysis)}

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{hv} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{hv} \rightarrow 2\cdot\text{OH} \quad \text{eq10}
\]
UV-A converts the formed \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) during sonication to form a reactive oxygen species (ROS) or \( \cdot \text{OH} \) radical. This additional formation of \( \cdot \text{OH} \) enhances the reaction rate, thus resulting in a synergetic effect [Joseph et al., 2009b; Stefan et al., 1996; Mahamuni et al., 2006]. These generated hydroxyl radicals caused the TCP to degrade faster to intermediates.

### 3.2 Effect of TCP concentration on the synergy factor

The effect of the TCP solution concentration on the synergism factor between sonolysis and photolysis estimated from Equation 1 was evaluated. Following this equation, if “S” is greater than 0, the effect is classified as *synergistic*. If “S” is equal to 0, the effect is classified as *additive*, and if “S” is less than 0, the effect is classified as *antagonistic*. Table 1 shows the dependence of “S” from the initial concentration of TCP.

From Table 1, it can be clearly observed that it was easier to achieve a synergistic effect at lower solution concentrations than at higher solution concentrations. As the TCP concentration increases, the synergism changes to additive at 50 ppm and antagonistic at 70 ppm and above. Plotting the synergy % against the TCP solution concentration clearly shows the existence of a non-linear relationship effect between the solution concentration and the sonolysis, photolysis and sonophotolysis effects, as shown in Fig. 13.

### Insert table 1 here

From Table 1, it can be clearly observed that it was easier to achieve a synergistic effect at lower solution concentrations than at higher solution concentrations. As the TCP concentration increases, the synergism changes to additive at 50 ppm and antagonistic at 70 ppm and above. Plotting the synergy % against the TCP solution concentration clearly shows the existence of a non-linear relationship effect between the solution concentration and the sonolysis, photolysis and sonophotolysis effects, as shown in Fig. 13.
Analyzing the rate constant \((k)\) in Fig. 14 clearly shows that the rate was inversely proportional to the concentration of the solution for all degradation schemes. Kavitha and Palanisamy [et al., 2011], working with Reactive Red 120, also discovered that the synergism was inversely proportional to the concentration of their selected pollutant. Researchers working with other pollutants and using ultraviolet and ultrasonic irradiation treatment also determined that the extent of degradation was inversely proportional to the solution’s initial concentration [Taghizadeh and Abdollahi et al., 2011; Mishra and Gogate et al., 2011].

However, the rate constant for the sonophotolysis scheme is clearly greater than the rate constant for sonolysis and photolysis individually, at any given concentration. A synergistic effect observed below 50 ppm is due to the acceleration of rate by additional \(\cdot\text{OH}\) radical formed during sonication and photoreaction, according to Equations 2-10. In contrast, the antagonistic effect was observed at TCP concentrations above 50 ppm requires a more complex explanation.

Sonolysis of water, the absorption of sound and the rate of hydroxyl radical species generated is a strong function of solution viscosity. As the TCP concentration increases, solution viscosity increases, resulting in the reduction of the cavitation efficiency and degradation rate. This is due to Stokes’s law dependence on viscosity, which results on a direct dependence of the energy absorbed as heat by the water on viscosity and on a less efficient rate of water splitting. Therefore, fewer \(\cdot\text{OH}\) radicals
were generated, giving an antagonistic effect [Taghizadeh and Mehrdad et al., 2003]. Such antagonistic effect observed at TCP concentrations higher than 50 ppm can also be favored by the dynamics of bubble growth and implosion. Since TCP is a hydrophobic weak acid with a low vapor pressure (0.008 mmHg at 25 °C) the partial pressure of TCP in the bubble containing primarily water increases resulting in a reduced total bubble vapor partial pressure and a later bubble implosion. As such, longer bubble growth time is required before implosion. This reduces ·OH radical formation. Furthermore, compounds with low vapor pressures tend to remain in the liquid bulk as opposed to undergoing thermal pyrolysis, unlike high vapor pressure compounds, in the bubble cavity. Therefore, the major reaction site is in the liquid phase where these compounds can be effectively destroyed, provided sufficient quantities of ·OH radicals are ejected into the liquid during cavity collapse. With less water vapor in the bubble cavity, fewer ·OH radicals are generated and ejected into the liquid medium [Liang et al., 2007; Alegria et al., 1989; Kotronarou et al., 1991; Drijvers et al., 1999]. Finally, as the TCP concentration increases, the average specific heat capacity, $C_p$, of the bubble decreases, because the $C_p$ of TCP is lower than that of water vapor. Therefore, during the bubble implosion, the implosion temperature is lowered producing a smaller quantity of ·OH radical compared to the sonolysis of pure water [Liang et al., 2007; Mahamuni et al., 2006].

Regarding the rate of photolysis, since TCP does not absorb UV-A, we may assume that, at steady state, the number of ·OH radicals produced in the reactor remains constant since the UV intensity (i.e., 6 mW/cm²) is kept constant. Then the attack on the TCP should decrease as the concentration of TCP increases since the generated ·OH radicals would also react with a greater amount of intermediate products thus reducing the TCP degradation rate.
When both the sonolysis and photolysis are combined to produce a sonophotolysis degradation effect, the explanations given above (i.e., those for sonolysis and photolysis) are compounded, resulting in an antagonistic effect as the TCP concentration increases.

4. Conclusions

Sonolysis, photolysis and sonophotolysis were successfully employed to study the degradation effect of TCP. The sonophotolysis oxidation of TCP demonstrated a degradation rate that is higher than that observed for sonolysis or photolysis administered individually, while the first-order kinetics rate constant indicated that the synergistic effect of sonophotolysis oxidation of 2,4,6-trichlorophenol was concentration-dependent. Sonolysis resulted in the degradation of TCP in water, primarily through its oxidation, which was initiated by an \textsuperscript{1}OH radical attack. The formation of \textsuperscript{1}OH and other radical species (\textsuperscript{1}O_{2}^-) from water scission under the extreme pressure and temperature conditions created by the bubble cavity implosion did most of the destruction to the TCP molecule, while direct pyrolysis resulting from the heat from the implosion represented a negligible reaction path, due to the low volatility of the TCP compound. Under photolysis degradation, the principal source of \textsuperscript{1}OH radicals (from the limited water scission reactions) was responsible for the destruction of the TCP compounds. In sonophotolysis, these degradation schemes complemented each other by enhancing the formation of hydroxyl radicals and other reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the destruction of the TCP compound. Synergism from sonophotolysis was greatly influenced by solution concentration.
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**Table 1.** Synergistic effect of sonolysis, photolysis and sonophotolysis at different concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc.</th>
<th>Degradation Process</th>
<th>Rate Constant (hr⁻¹)</th>
<th>( R^2 )</th>
<th>Synergy (%)</th>
<th>Synergistic effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 ppm</td>
<td>Sonolysis</td>
<td>0.1139</td>
<td>0.9738</td>
<td>(+)19.37</td>
<td>Synergistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Photolysis</td>
<td>0.0754</td>
<td>0.9827</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sonophotolysis</td>
<td>0.2348</td>
<td>0.9573</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 ppm</td>
<td>Sonolysis</td>
<td>0.0603</td>
<td>0.9764</td>
<td>(+)1.92</td>
<td>Almost Additive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Photolysis</td>
<td>0.0416</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sonophotolysis</td>
<td>0.1039</td>
<td>0.9946</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 ppm</td>
<td>Sonolysis</td>
<td>0.0428</td>
<td>0.9841</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Photolysis</td>
<td>0.0295</td>
<td>0.9927</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sonophotolysis</td>
<td>0.0687</td>
<td>0.9980</td>
<td>(-)5.24</td>
<td>Antagonistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 ppm</td>
<td>Sonolysis</td>
<td>0.0322</td>
<td>0.9851</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Photolysis</td>
<td>0.0221</td>
<td>0.9927</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sonophotolysis</td>
<td>0.0506</td>
<td>0.9986</td>
<td>(-)7.31</td>
<td>Antagonistic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Fig. 1.** Schematic of a combined batch-annular sonophotoreactor [18].

**Fig. 2.** Photolysis, sonolysis and sonophotolysis of TCP at 30 ppm concentration.
Fig. 3. Photolysis, sonolysis and sonophotolysis of TCP at 50 ppm concentration.

Fig. 4. Photolysis, sonolysis and sonophotolysis of TCP at 70 ppm concentration.
Fig. 5. Photolysis, sonolysis and sonophotolysis of TCP at 90 ppm concentration.

Fig. 6. Typical UV-Vis spectroscopic curve of a 2,4,6-trichlorophenol aqueous solution, with concentrations ranging from 5 – 50 ppm.
Fig. 7. The scanning profile of the residual TCP concentration ($C_i = 50$ ppm) after sonolysis degradation at a fixed 50% amplitude, CW mode at solution temperature of 30 $^\circ$C. $C_i$ = initial concentration, C1-C5 = samples extracted at time = 1$^{st}$ hr. to time = 5$^{th}$ hr.

Fig. 8. The scanning profile of the residual TCP concentration ($C_i = 50$ ppm) after photolysis degradation at a solution temperature of 30 $^\circ$C. $C_i$ = initial concentration, C1-C5 = samples extracted at time = 1$^{st}$ hr. to time = 5$^{th}$ hr.
**Fig. 9.** The scanning profile of the residual TCP concentration ($C_i = 50$ ppm) after sonophotolysis degradation at a solution temperature of $30^\circ$C. $C_i =$ initial concentration, $C_1$-$C_5 =$ samples extracted at time = 1$^{\text{st}}$ hr. to time = 5$^{\text{th}}$ hr.

**Fig. 10.** Degradation scheme of sonolysis.
Fig. 11. Degradation scheme of photolysis.

Fig. 12. Degradation scheme of sonophotolysis.
Fig. 13. Relationship between synergistic, additive and antagonistic effects with the solution at varying concentrations.

Fig. 14. Effect of concentration on the degradation rate constant of TCP.