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ABSTRACT

HAMER, M., E. STAMATAKIS, and A. STEPTOE. Effects of Substituting Sedentary Time with Physical Activity on Metabolic Risk. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc., Vol. 46, No. 10, pp. 1946–1950, 2014. Purpose: The detrimental effects of sedentary time on health may act by replacing time spent in physical activities. The aim of this study was to examine cross-sectional associations between objectively assessed sedentary and physical activity domains and cardiometabolic risk factors using a novel isotemporal substitution paradigm. Methods: Participants were 445 healthy men and women (mean age, 66 ± 6 yr), without history or objective signs of cardiovascular disease, drawn from the Whitehall II epidemiological cohort. Physical activity was objectively measured using accelerometers (ActiGraph GT3X) worn around the waist during waking hours for 4–7 consecutive days. We examined the effects of replacing sedentary time with light activity or moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) on a range of risk factors (HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, HbA1c, and body mass index) using an isotemporal substitution paradigm. Results: In partition models, where the time in each of the intensity categories was held constant, only MVPA remained associated with risk factors. In isotemporal substitution models that held total (wear) time constant, replacing 10-min sedentary time with an equal amount of MVPA was associated with favorable effects in all risk factors, including HbA1c (B = −0.023; 95% confidence interval (CI), −0.043 to −0.002), BMI (B = −0.39; 95% CI, −0.54 to −0.24), HDL cholesterol (B = 0.037; 95% CI, 0.021–0.054), and triglycerides (B = −0.035; 95% CI, −0.061 to −0.009). Conclusions: The associations between sedentary behavior and cardiometabolic risk may be dependent on the types of activities that are displaced by sedentary time. Key Words: SEDENTARY, PHYSICAL ACTIVITY, ACCELEROMETRY, BIOMARKERS, CARDIOMETABOLIC

Sedentary behavior, when self-reported, has been consistently associated with risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) in population cohort studies (6,8,21,27).

In general population studies, there is some cross-sectional evidence to suggest detrimental linear associations between objectively assessed sedentary time and various cardiometabolic risk factors (7,15–18), although the literature remains equivocal (11,23,25,28). The discrepancy in findings is possibly due to the use of different motion sensors that use various methods to define sedentary activity and also varying statistical approaches to analyze data. For example, various studies have considered sedentary bouts and breaks from sitting as predictors of metabolic health (1,7,26) whereas others have considered total sedentary time as a continuous variable (7,11,15–18,23,25,28). The detrimental effects of sedentary time may act by replacing time spent in physical activities, although few studies have explored this hypothesis. Further work is therefore required in the field of sedentary behavior to better understand time reallocation of different activities. Understanding time reallocation effects is crucial to better inform interventions that aim to reduce sedentary behaviors. Given the barriers to physical activity, it would be desirable if individuals could gain benefits from incorporating...
Regression coefficients were adjusted for age, sex, smoking, employment grade, and current statin use.

Recently, the isotemporal substitution model, which was originally developed from nutritional epidemiology, has been applied to physical activity research (24). This paradigm is designed to simultaneously model the specific activity being performed and the specific activity being displaced in an equal time exchange fashion. The technique is particularly suited to data collected objectively from movement sensors because these devices capture all activities over a finite period of wear and their output can cover the entire intensity spectrum of physical activity.

We have previously reported associations between objectively assessed sedentary time and body mass index (BMI) (12), although these associations were not independent of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA). Thus, the aim of this study was to use the isotemporal substitution method to explore associations with a wider range of cardiometabolic risk markers when replacing sedentary time with light activity or MVPA in a sample of older adults.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Participants. A sample of participants was drawn from the Whitehall II epidemiological cohort, as previously described (12), to conduct a cross-sectional study. The criteria for entry into the study included no history or objective signs of CHD and no previous diagnosis or treatment for hypertension, inflammatory diseases, or allergies. This information was confirmed by a telephone interview and verified from clinical data collected from the previous seven phases of the main Whitehall II study. Volunteers were of white European origin, age 56–79 yr. Selection was stratified by grade of employment (current or most recent) to include participants from higher and lower socioeconomic status. Participants were prohibited from using any antihistamine or anti-inflammatory medication 7 d before testing and were rescheduled if they reported colds or other infections on the day of testing. Participants gave a full informed consent to participate in the study, and ethical approval was obtained from the University College London Hospitals committee on the ethics of human research.

Physical activity assessment. Participants wore an accelerometer (ActiGraph GT3X; ActiGraph Corp., Pensacola, FL) mounted at the hip, which records movement on the vertical and horizontal axes, during waking hours for seven consecutive days. Participants removed the device for sleeping and water-based activities. The accelerometer provides a measure of the frequency, intensity, and duration of physical activity and allows classification of activity levels as sedentary, light, moderate, and vigorous. The raw accelerometry data were processed using a specialist software (MAHUffe, Cambridge, United Kingdom) to produce a series of standardized variables.

All participants included in the present analysis recorded a minimum wear time of 10 h·d⁻¹ for 4–7 d (62 participants (18% of sample) wore the ActiGraph for 4–6 d, and the remainder, for the full 7 d). The first and last days of data were excluded from the analysis, and nonwear time was defined as intervals of at least 60 consecutive minutes of 0 cpm. We used cutoff points previously used in studies among older adults (14) to calculate daily times in each activity intensity band, as follows: sedentary (<1.5 METs), 0–199 cpm; light (1.5–3 METs), 200–1998 cpm; MVPA (>3 METs), ≥1999 cpm. All physical activity variables were converted to time (min) per valid day.

Clinical variables. Participants attended a clinic visit before being fitted with an ActiGraph. Height and weight were recorded in light clothing for the calculation of BMI. Fasting blood samples were taken for analysis of total and HDL cholesterol (HDL-C) and triglycerides, which were measured within 72 h in a serum stored at 4°C using enzymatic calorimetric methods. Glucose homeostasis was assessed from glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) concentration assayed using boronate affinity chromatography, a combination of boronate affinity and liquid chromatography.

Covariates. Participants reported current smoking levels and statin use, and civil service work grade was recorded as a marker of socioeconomic status.

Statistical analysis. All analyses were cross-sectional. Linear regression was used to examine associations between 10-min time units of sedentary activity, light activity, and MVPA and metabolic risk factors. We chose to use 10-min blocks because this is the minimum bout of time in which activities should be accrued to meet the current physical activity guidelines. Models were adjusted for age, sex, smoking, statin use, and employment grade. We ran three types of models. 1) The first are single-factor models that represent the association of each intensity category (sedentary and light activity and MVPA) with cardiometabolic risk factors without mutual adjustment for other categories of activity. 2) Partition models represent the estimated effects of time spent in each intensity category, holding the time in each of the other categories constant but without holding total time constant. Thus, all activity categories were entered simultaneously into the model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Sedentary, $\beta$ (95% CI)</th>
<th>Light activity, $\beta$ (95% CI)</th>
<th>MVPA, $\beta$ (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>0.002 (−0.005 to 0.009)</td>
<td>0.001 (−0.007 to 0.009)</td>
<td>−0.022 (−0.043 to 0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partition</td>
<td>0.002 (−0.006 to 0.010)</td>
<td>0.003 (−0.006 to 0.013)</td>
<td>−0.021 (−0.042 to 0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotemporal substitution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace sedentary</td>
<td>Dropped</td>
<td>0.001 (0.006 to −0.009)</td>
<td>−0.023 (−0.043 to 0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace light activity</td>
<td>−0.001 (−0.009 to 0.006)</td>
<td>Dropped</td>
<td>−0.024 (−0.047 to 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace MVPA</td>
<td>0.023 (0.002 to 0.043)</td>
<td>0.024 (0.001 to 0.047)</td>
<td>Dropped</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regression coefficients were adjusted for age, sex, smoking, employment grade, and current statin use.
Regression coefficients were adjusted for age, sex, smoking, employment grade, and current statin use.

without adjustment for total wear time. 3) Coefficients for the isotemporal substitution models represent the estimated effects of substituting a specified intensity category for the category dropped while holding total (wear) time constant. Thus, in isotemporal substitution models that examined the effect of replacing sedentary time with MVPA, the model included light activity, MVPA, total wear time, and other covariates whereas a model examining the effect of replacing MVPA with sedentary time included sedentary time, light activity, total wear time, and other covariates. These methods are described in detail elsewhere (2,24). Isotemporal substitution models assume linear relations between dependent and independent variables that were established before running any models. All analyses were conducted using SPSS version 21.

### RESULTS

The analyses contained 445 participants (female, 49.6%; mean age, 66 ± 6 yr; range, 57–79 yr). The characteristics of the sample have been described elsewhere (12). Overall, the sample was in good health, with normal levels of HDL-C (1.73 ± 0.49 mmol·L⁻¹), triglycerides (1.38 ± 0.70 mmol·L⁻¹), glycated hemoglobin (5.70% ± 0.54%), and BMI (25.9 ± 4.1 kg·m⁻²). Only 5.4% were current smokers, and 22.0% was medicated with statins. Participants wore the ActiGraph for 889 ± 68 min·d⁻¹ on average, and 72.3%, 23.5%, and 4.2% of wear time were spent in sedentary and light activity and MVPA categories, respectively. There were low-to-moderate correlations between the different activity categories (see Table, Supplemental Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/MSS/A383, Pearson correlations between different activity categories).

Tables 1–4 present coefficients for the various models. In single models, sedentary activity was adversely associated with BMI and HDL-C; light activity was favorably associated with HDL-C; whereas MVPA was favorably associated with all risk factors. In the partition models, where the time in each of the intensity categories was held constant, only MVPA remained favorably associated with all cardiometabolic risk factors. Lastly, in isotemporal substitution models that held total time constant, replacing sedentary time with MVPA was associated with favorable effects in all metabolic risk factors, including HbA1c (B = −0.023; 95% confidence interval (CI), −0.043 to −0.002), BMI (B = −0.39; 95% CI, −0.54 to −0.24), HDL-C (B = 0.037; 95% CI, 0.021–0.054), and triglycerides (B = −0.035; 95% CI, −0.061 to −0.009). Replacing light activity with MVPA was also associated with favorable effects in all metabolic risk factors, including HbA1c (B = −0.024; 95% CI, −0.047 to −0.001), BMI (B = −0.39; 95% CI, −0.55 to −0.22), HDL-C (B = 0.032; 95% CI, 0.014–0.050), and triglycerides (B = −0.031; 95% CI, −0.060 to −0.002).

### DISCUSSION

The evidence linking sedentary behavior with CVD remains equivocal, which might be explained by numerous factors. The first is the use of different motion sensors that use different methods to define sedentary activity and introduce various degrees of measurement error. Second, various statistical approaches have been used to analyze the data and few have considered time reallocation effects. We have previously shown that objectively assessed sedentary time was not associated with a range of risk factors after adjusting for MVPA (12,28), although the present findings suggest that the association of sedentary and active time with cardiometabolic risk can be better conceptualized by using the isotemporal substitution model. The substitution model controls for the confounding effect of total discretionary time (wear time), so that the observed associations between different activities and metabolic risk factors are independent of one another and also of total discretionary time.

Our results demonstrate that replacing sedentary time with an equal amount of MVPA was associated with a more favorable cardiometabolic profile. The conceptualization of sedentary behavior as an “independent” risk factor for cardiometabolic outcomes has been questioned because

---

**TABLE 3.** Single, partition, and isotemporal substitution models examining the relation between 10-min changes in time spent in sedentary, light, and moderate- to vigorous-intensity activity and HDL-C (n = 445).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Sedentary, $B$ (95% CI)</th>
<th>Light activity, $B$ (95% CI)</th>
<th>MVPA, $B$ (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>$-0.010 (-0.016 to -0.004)$</td>
<td>$0.006 (0.00 to 0.013)$</td>
<td>$0.038 (0.022 to 0.055)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partition</td>
<td>$0.00 (-0.006 to 0.006)$</td>
<td>$0.005 (-0.003 to 0.013)$</td>
<td>$0.037 (0.020 to 0.054)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotemporal substitution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace sedentary</td>
<td>Dropped</td>
<td>$0.005 (-0.003 to 0.001)$</td>
<td>$0.037 (0.021 to 0.054)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace light activity</td>
<td>$-0.005 (-0.010 to 0.000)$</td>
<td>Dropped</td>
<td>$0.032 (0.014 to 0.050)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace MVPA</td>
<td>$-0.037 (-0.054 to -0.021)$</td>
<td>$-0.032 (-0.055 to -0.014)$</td>
<td>Dropped</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regression coefficients were adjusted for age, sex, smoking, employment grade, and current statin use.
Regression coefficients were adjusted for age, sex, smoking, employment grade, and current statin use.

limited plausible biological mechanisms have been identified to date (20). Most epidemiological data (5,6,21,27) demonstrate that MVPA modifies the association between sedentary behavior and CVD, albeit they are deemed statistically independent of one another. That is, the absolute risk of CVD is lower in physically active participants that risk high amounts of sedentary time compared with that in their inactive counterparts. Thus, the effects of sedentary behavior may be largely driven through displacing time in other activities that require movement.

Some studies have demonstrated associations between light-intensity activity and metabolic risk factors (3,4,13,18), which has generated the hypothesis that short breaks in sedentary time may have beneficial effects. Nevertheless, associations between interruptions of sedentary time and metabolic outcomes seem to be substantially weaker than those with sedentary time (7). We did not demonstrate beneficial effects of replacing sedentary time with light-intensity activity, nor was there any substantial evidence to suggest any effects of light activity in single models. In contrast, replacing sedentary time of 30 min light activity in single models. In contrast, replacing sedentary time with light-intensity activity, nor was there any substantial evidence to suggest any effects of light activity in single models. In contrast, replacing sedentary time with light-intensity activity, nor was there any substantial evidence to suggest any effects of light activity in single models. In contrast, replacing sedentary time with light-intensity activity, nor was there any substantial evidence to suggest any effects of light activity in single models. In contrast, replacing sedentary time with light-intensity activity, nor was there any substantial evidence to suggest any effects of light activity in single models. In contrast, replacing sedentary time with light-intensity activity, nor was there any substantial evidence to suggest any effects of light activity in single models. In contrast, replacing sedentary time with light-intensity activity, nor was there any substantial evidence to suggest any effects of light activity in single models. In contrast, replacing sedentary time with light-intensity activity, nor was there any substantial evidence to suggest any effects of light activity in single models. In contrast, replacing sedentary time with light-intensity activity, nor was there any substantial evidence to suggest any effects of light activity in single models. In contrast, replacing sedentary time with light-intensity activity, nor was there any substantial evidence to suggest any effects of light activity in single models. In contrast, replacing sedentary time with light-intensity activity, nor was there any substantial evidence to suggest any effects of light activity in single models.


