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Alginate-lavender nanofibers with antibacterial and anti-inflammatory activity to effectively promote burn healing

Hadi Hajiali \(^{a,b}\), Maria Summa \(^c\), Debora Russo \(^c\), Andrea Armirotti \(^c\), Virgilio Brunetti \(^d\), Rosalia Bertorelli \(^c\), Athanassia Athanassiou \(^{a,c}\), Elisa Mele \(^{a,e}\)

One of the current challenges in wound care is the development of multifunctional dressings that can both protect the wound from external agents and promote the regeneration of the new tissue. Here, we show the combined use of two naturally derived compounds, sodium alginate and lavender essential oil, for the production of bioactive nanofibrous dressings by electrospinning, and their efficacy for the treatment of skin burns induced by midrange ultraviolet radiation (UVB). We demonstrate that the engineered dressings reduce the risk of microbial infection of the burn, since they stop the growth of \textit{Staphylococcus aureus}. Furthermore, they are able to control and reduce the inflammatory response that is induced in human foreskin fibroblasts by lipopolysaccharides, and in rodents by UVB exposure. In particular, we report a remarkable reduction of pro-inflammatory cytokines when fibroblasts or animals are treated with the alginate-based nanofibers. The down-regulation of cytokines production and the absence of erythema on the skin of the treated animals confirm that the here described dressings are promising as advanced biomedical devices for burn management.

1. Introduction

An ideal biomedical device for wound care should promote the complete regeneration of the injured tissue, effectively restore its biological activity and aesthetic aspect, while reducing inflammation and preventing microbial invasion.\(^2\) Efforts for achieving this goal are leading to the replacement of traditional passive products with advanced ones.\(^3\) Among these, alginate-based dressings are attractive for their capability to release bioactive compounds and to maintain a moist environment around the wound, promoting tissue granulation and re-epithelialization.\(^4\) Typically they are available in form of freeze-dried foams or non-woven microfibers, though great research interest is nowadays devoted towards nanofibrous matrices. In particular, nanofibers produced by electrostatic spinning have high potentiality in the wound healing field because their porosity promotes nutrient transport and gas permeation, their morphological organization mimics the native tissue, and their mechanical properties can be engineered.\(^5\) The intrinsic high surface area of nanofibers is also attractive for the delivery of drugs and active agents.\(^2,12\)

Alginate structures cross-linked with Calcium ions (Ca\(^{2+}\)) are mainly used for the treatment of highly exuding wounds and burns.\(^5\) For instance, the haemostatic activity of dry Ca-alginate felts has been utilized for minimizing blood loss after the excision of deep burns to hands.\(^5\) It has been also demonstrated that films of this polysaccharide and chitosan in combination with laser therapy help the epithelization and vascularization of dermal burns.\(^5\) Furthermore, since burn wounds are highly exposed to the risk of microbial colonization that possibly determines local and systemic infections and delays the healing process,\(^15\) alginate dressings containing silver\(^19,20\) and other antimicrobial compounds\(^21\) have been developed. Despite the benefits offered by Ca-alginate based biomedical devices, studies in literature have pointed out that the release of Ca\(^{2+}\) ions from the dressing to the wound site stimulates the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as Interleukin-6 (IL-6), Interleukin-1β (IL-1β), tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), and the chemotactic cytokine IL-8.\(^2,18\) The occurrence of this phenomenon, even if it is advantageous for other clinical situations, is discouraged in burn management, where the local reduction of the inflammation response is advocated.\(^24,25\) IL-6, IL-1β, and TNF-α are, in fact, the most important cytokines involved in the inflammation phase of burn-induced skin damages.\(^26\) They are also detected after the acute skin exposure to midrange ultraviolet radiation (UVB), such as after sunburn.\(^27\)
A plant extract that is traditionally used for its anti-inflammatory and calming activity in case of minor burns and insect bites is Lavender essential oil (LO). This oil is derived from the flowers of *Lavandula angustifolia* through steam distillation and exhibits a variety of therapeutic effects, it reduces anxiety, acts as antioxidant, possesses anticancer and anti-mutagenic properties, relieves pain, and it is suitable for the management of central nervous system disorders. LO is composed by linalool and linalyl acetate, and by more than hundred other compounds. It has been demonstrated that its main components (linalool and linalyl acetate) are responsible for the anti-inflammatory activity. Moreover, LO possesses antibacterial and antifungal properties, pointing out its efficacy against *Staphylococcus aureus* that is the bacterium mostly responsible for burn wound infections. Electrospun nanofibers of Polyacrylonitrile (PAN) containing lavender oil have been recently developed for antibacterial and drug delivery applications. Although both inorganic and organic antiseptic agents have shown a certain degree of success for various medical applications, the use of all-natural medicinal extracts, such as LO, can further boost pharmaceutical applications and improve patient recovery and quality of life. Here we show that dressings constituted by electrospun nanofibers of sodium alginate containing lavender essential oil are effective for the treatment of UVB-induced skin injuries. *In-vitro* studies revealed that these entirely natural systems were highly biocompatible and able to inhibit the proliferation of *S. aureus*. Together with antibacterial activity, the produced alginate-based nanofibers expressed a remarkable anti-inflammatory efficacy that was demonstrated *in-vitro* on lipopolysaccharide-stimulated human foreskin fibroblasts, and *in-vivo* on rodent model of UVB burns. In particular, a significant decrement of the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines was observed for both cells and animals. Interestingly, no marks of erythema were detected on the skin of the injured animals that were treated with the electrospun dressings, indicating that the treatment promptly stopped the inflammatory response. Differently from other topical preparations for the management of burn wounds, the here described biomedical devices perform dual functions (antibacterial and anti-inflammatory) and, thus, have potentialities to fill the void of multifunctional dressings that the market is still facing.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials and cells

Sodium Alginate (SA), Polyethylene Oxide (PEO, *M*<sub>w</sub>=600,000 g/mol), Pluronic F127, Dimethylformamide (DMF), Bacteriiological agar, and Lysogeny broth (LB), Lipopolysaccharides (LPS) from *Escherichia coli* (serotype 026:B6), and dexamethasone (DXM) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM), fetal bovine serum (FBS), L-Glutamine and Penicillin-Streptomycin were from Euroclone (Milan, Italy). Lavender oil (LO) was obtained from Maitreya-Natura (Italy). Staphylococcus aureus bacteria and human foreskin fibroblasts (HFF-1) were from ATCC®.

2.2 Preparation of the alginate-based nanofibers by electrospinning

Solutions for electrospinning were prepared by separately dissolving SA and PEO powders in distilled water at concentration of 4% w/v. The SA and PEO solutions were mixed at a volume ratio of 8:2, and 5% v/v of DMF and 1.5% w/v of Pluronic F127 were added and stirred overnight at room temperature. SA-PEO solution containing 5% v/v of Lavender oil was stirred in order to emulsify the oil in the water phase, before being electrospin. For the electrospinning process, a syringe with a stainless-steel 23-gauge needle was filled with the final solution (PEO, SA-PEO or SA-PEO/LO) and connected to a syringe pump (NE-1000, New Era Pump Systems, Inc.) working at a constant flow rate of 0.5 mL/hour. The needle was clamped to the positive electrode of a high-voltage power supply (EH40R2.5, Glassman High Voltage, Inc.) generating 25 kV, and the ground electrode was connected to an aluminum collector (air gap distance of 20 cm).

2.3 Characterization of the nanofibrous dressings

**Morphological investigations**

The morphology and size distribution of the electrospun nanofibers were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Prior to imaging, the fibrous mats were covered with 5 nm of gold, deposited by ion sputtering. The diameter distribution of the fibers was determined by processing the SEM images by ImageJ program.

**Chemical analysis**

The chemical analysis of the electrospun mats (SA-PEO and SA-PEO/LO) and of the pure essential oil was carried out by Raman spectroscopy, using a Horiba Jobin-Yvon µRaman operating with a He-Ne laser source. The wavelength of the laser radiation was 632.8 nm and the objective used was a 50× with a slit aperture of about 200 μm.

**Wetting studies**

In order to evaluate the wettability of the nanofibers, the water contact angle (WCA) was measured by a video-based optical contact angle measuring instrument DataPhysics OCA 20 (Germany). Water droplets of a volume of 10 μl were gently placed on the surfaces of the samples and the measurements were conducted on three different sample areas and averaged for each sample.

**Mechanical tests**

The mechanical properties of the electrospun nanofibers were analyzed using a uniaxial testing machine (Instron 3365 dual column) under a cross-head speed of 5 mm/minute and gauge length of 25 mm. From the stress–strain curves, tensile strength and elongation at break were calculated.
2.4 Study of the release of Lavender oil components

Agar gel circular slices were used to investigate the release of the lavender oil from the SA-PEO/LO nanofibers. The nanofibrous mats (disk with a diameter of 6 mm) were placed in contact with the surface of the agar gel and the release was studied after 3, 6, 12, 24 and 48 hours at 1 cm distance from the centre of the mats. Five samples per time points were analyzed. SA-PEO nanofibers were used as reference samples for the same time points. Each sample was extracted with 0.1 ml of acetonitrile (ACN), sonicated for 10 minutes, and then centrifuged for 15 minutes at 7000 x g. The supernatant was transferred into 0.25ml glass vials for analysis. The samples were analyzed by high-resolution liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) using an Acquity UPLC system coupled to a Synapt G2 qTOF mass spectrometer (Waters Inc, Milford MA, USA). All the chemicals used were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Milano, Italy). Analyte separation was carried out on a T3 reversed phase column (2.1mm×100mm, Waters) operated at a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min. Eluents were: A) water with 0.1% of formic acid, and B) ACN with 0.1% of formic acid. Analytes were separated using a linear gradient of eluent B in A (15 to 100% in 8 minutes) and detected in ESI+ mode. Compound identification was based on: matching the accurate mass and retention time with authentic lavender oil previously analyzed on the same system; matching the accurate masses, guessed brute formulas and tandem mass data of the compounds with the METLIN database. Three major lavender oil compounds were tracked in the release experiment: Linalool (C10H18O, detected as [M+Na]+ adduct at 331.26 m/z), Caryophillene (C15H24, detected as [M+H]+ adduct at 215.19 m/z) and Caryophillene oxide (C15H26O, detected as [M+H2O+H]+ adduct at 203.18 m/z). The quantification of the detected species was obtained from the corresponding LC-MS chromatographic peak area.

2.5 Antibacterial tests

The antibacterial activity of the produced SA-PEO/LO nanofibers was tested against S. aureus. First, fibrous mats with a weight of 20 mg were sterilized by UV irradiation inside a biohazard hood. For the antibacterial assays, the initial 108 S. aureus cells/ml were diluted to 105 cells/ml, and 100 µl of this solution were spread onto freshly prepared LB medium agar plates. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 2 hours to allow a proper evaporation of the residual liquid medium. Then, the electrospun mats were positioned on the top of the solidified medium. After 24 h of incubation, photos of the plates were taken, and the inhibitory effect of LO was analyzed. SA-PEO mats without LO were used as control samples.

2.6 In-vitro biocompatibility and anti-inflammatory studies

Cytotoxicity assay

HFF-1 were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mmol/l L-glutamine, 100 IU/ml penicillin and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin, in a humidified incubator at 37 °C with 5% CO2.

Extraction medium from SA-PEO and SA-PEO/LO nanofibers was prepared adapting the procedure describing in ISO10993-5 standard test. Briefly, the nanofibers were sterilized under UV light for 30 min. Then, the samples were immersed in the culture medium (6 cm² of electrospun mat in 1 ml of medium) for 24 h at 37 °C. HFF-1 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 8×103 cells per well in 100 µl of medium. After 24 h of culture, the medium was replaced with the extraction one, and the cells were incubated for further 24 h. The viability of the cells, cultured with the fresh medium (control) and the extraction one, was determined measuring ATP levels by CellTiter-Glo assay (Promega Corporation Madison, WI, USA) according to manufacturer’s protocol. This bioluminescence-based assay relies on the luciferase/luciferin reaction. The luminescence was recorded by Envision 2104 Multilabel Plate Reader (Perkin Elmer).

Cytokine expression measurements

HFF-1 cells were seeded at a density of 1.2×104 cells per cm² and cultured for 24 h. Then, the culture medium was removed and three different types of culture dishes were prepared: dishes containing fresh medium (control), or extraction medium from PEO, SA-PEO or SA-PEO/LO nanofibers. For each set of dishes, half of them were treated with 1µg/ml LPS to induce inflammatory response. Culture medium with LPS and 1 µM of dexamethasone (a corticosteroid known to decrease inflammation) was used as a positive control in this procedure. After 6 h, supernatants were collected and analyzed using ELISA to quantify the levels of IL-6 and IL-8 released into the medium, while cells were harvested and total RNA was extracted in order to quantify human IL-6 and IL-8 expression by qRT-PCR.

qRT-PCR cytokine mRNA quantification

Total RNA of 1 µg was reverse transcribed into first-strand cDNA by using SuperScript® VILO™ cDNA Synthesis Kit (Life Technologies, USA) in a final volume of 20 µl. HPRT-1 was used as the reference housekeeping gene in RT-PCR assays. Amplification of cytokines target genes and HPRT-1 was conducted with 50 ng of cDNA in 20 µl of the reaction mixture by gene-specific primers using fluorogenic probes (TaqMan) and TaqMan® Universal PCR Master Mix, No AmpErase® UNG (Applied Biosystems). TaqMan primer/probes sets, spanning exon-exon junctions, for human IL-6 (Hs00985639_m1), IL-8 (Hs00174103_m1) and the housekeeping gene HPRT-1 (Hs02800695_m1) were used in PCR reactions. They were run in 96-well format on ViIA™ 7 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) using universal cycling conditions (95 °C, 10 min; 95 °C, 15 s; and 60 °C, 1 min for 40 cycles). Finally, cycle threshold (CT) values were determined by ViIA™ 7 software v1.2.2.

2.7 In-vivo studies on UVB-induced skin inflammation

Animal preparation

Male C57BL/6j mice, 8 weeks old (Charles River, Calco, Italy), were used for in-vivo studies. Animals were group-housed in
ventilated cages and had free access to food and water. They were maintained under a 12-hour light/dark cycle (lights on at 8:00 am) at a controlled temperature of (21±1°C) and relative humidity of (55±10%). All experiments were carried out in accordance with the guidelines established by the European Communities Council Directive (Directive 2010/63/EU of 22 September 2010) and approved by the National Council on Animal Care of the Italian Ministry of Health. All efforts were made to minimize animal suffering and to use the minimal number of animals required to produce reliable results.

**UVB exposure model**

Animals were anesthetized with a mixture of ketamine (10%) and xylazine (5%) administered in a single intraperitoneal injection. The dorsal skin was shaved, and mice were covered and oriented in order to have only the desired portion of skin (an area of approximately 1.5 cm²) exposed to a narrowband UVB light source that consisted of TL01 fluorescent tubes (Philips, UK, λmax=312 nm) producing an even field of irradiation. The amount of UVB irradiation to which animals were exposed was calculated by using a calibrated meter (IL1400A with SEL240/UVB-1/TD filter, ABLE Instruments & Controls Ltd, UK) at a distance of 15 cm from the tubes (equivalent to the mice distance). A maximal dose of 500 mJ/cm² was used for all experiments. After UVB irradiation, the exposed area was immediately covered with the SA-PEO or SA-PEO/LO nanofibers, or a commercial alginate product used as standard of care (3MTM TegadermTM Alginate). Then, the mice returned to their cages. Sham mice followed the same procedures without being exposed to UVB radiation.

**Cytokine expression measurements**

Mice were sacrificed at different time points, and skin samples from the UVB-exposed and non-exposed skin were removed and stored at -80 °C until processing. Each sample was homogenized, subsequently centrifuged and the supernatant isolated and stored at -80 °C. The expression of cytokines (IL-6, IL-1β and TNF-α) was measured using ELISA quantikine kit (R&D system), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The cytokine concentration was normalized against the total protein content for a given sample, as measured using the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA).

**2.8 Data analysis**

The amplification of the PCR product was expressed as the number of amplification cycles at which this particular product was first detected above the background (CT). The analysis of relative gene expression was performed by the comparative 2-ΔΔCT method. The average CT from three replicates was calculated for both target and HPRT-1 genes. The sample target quantity was corrected by the respective value of HPRT-1. The ΔCT was determined to normalize for amounts of RNA used in reverse transcription reactions. The data are presented as fold change (2-ΔΔCT ± S.E.M.). In animal studies, all data were presented as means ± S.E.M.. For ELISA determination, the value obtained from each mouse was calculated as pg/mg of protein. One-way ANOVA (for in vitro test) and Two way ANOVA (for in vivo test) were used to evaluate statistical significance, followed by Bonferroni’s post-hoc test. GraphPad Prism 5 was used for all statistical analysis (GraphPad Software Inc. San Diego, CA, USA). P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

---

**Figure 1.** SEM images at different magnification and corresponding diameter distribution for SA-PEO (A, B, C) and SA-PEO/LO (D, E, F) electrospun nanofibers, respectively.
3. Results and discussion

3.1 Physicochemical properties of the nanofibers

In this study, PEO and Pluronic F127 were used to overcome the poor electrospinnability of the aqueous alginate solutions. The use of the surfactant Pluronic F127 facilitated also the emulsification of the essential oil in the aqueous phase, allowing the preparation of stable SA-PEO/LO solutions. This positively affected the morphology of the electrospun nanofibers. From Figure 1, where SEM images at different magnification of SA-PEO (Fig. 1A and 1B) and SA-PEO/LO (Fig. 1D and 1E) nanofibers are shown, it is possible to observe that the electrospun mats consisted of well-defined and bead-free nanofibers. An average diameter of (91±21) nm and (93±22) nm was measured for SA-PEO (Fig. 1C) and SA-PEO/LO nanofibers (Fig. 1F), respectively. The image analysis revealed that the measured difference in diameter was not statistically significant (p>0.05), indicating that the addition of the essential oil had a negligible effect on the morphology of the nanofibrous mats. In both cases, 85% of the fibers population exhibited a diameter in the range of 50-125 nm, with a most representative percentage (48%) between 75-100 nm.

After production, the alginate-based nanofibers were chemically analyzed in order to demonstrate the presence of LO. Figure 2 shows the Raman spectra of SA-PEO, SA-PEO/LO nanofibers, and pure LO. In the spectrum of SA-PEO fibrous mat (green curve in Fig. 2), the bands around 810, 880, 960, 1100, and 1410 cm\(^{-1}\) are attributed to CC, CCH, CO, COC, and COO in the SA structure, respectively. The stretching of CO of PEO appears at ~1070 and ~1140 cm\(^{-1}\). The spectrum of pure LO (black curve in Fig. 2) is characterized by the typical absorption bands of linalool [C=C of RC=C(CH\(_3\))\(_2\) and RC=CH\(_2\), respectively], detected at 1640 and 1670 cm\(^{-1}\). Moreover, the bands at 1452, 1413 and 1378 cm\(^{-1}\) are attributed to CH\(_2\) and CH\(_3\); while, the peak at 1298 cm\(^{-1}\) is assigned to the =CH. The spectrum of the composite SA-PEO/LO fibers (violet curve in Fig. 2) shows the Raman peaks of all the constituent materials (SA, PEO, and LO), pointing out that the essential oil and particularly its active compounds were well incorporated into the fibers.

The wettability and the mechanical properties of the fibrous mats were investigated, because of their impact on the real use of the scaffolds for regenerative medicine. From contact angle measurements, we observed that both types of fibrous samples (SA-PEO and SA-PEO/LO) were highly hydrophilic, with an apparent WCA of (21±2)\(^\circ\) to (26±2)\(^\circ\), respectively. The contact angle was measured immediately after the positioning of the droplet on the sample surface, as the produced mats were able to absorb and uptake water. This property is useful for wound healing applications where hydrophilic or water soluble polymers are of interest for promoting the absorption of exudates and the delivery of water soluble drugs. The analysis of the mechanical properties of the SA-PEO and SA-PEO/LO nanofibers revealed that they were characterized by a tensile strength of (13±2) MPa and (9±1) MPa, and by an elongation at break of (2.8±0.4)% and (1.6±0.2)%, respectively. Hence, the nanofibrous mats are robust enough to be easily handled without breaking, and they are flexible enough to adapt to skin wounds.

![Figure 2](image.png)

**Figure 2.** Comparison between the Raman spectra of the SA-PEO mats (green curve), pristine lavender essential oil (black curve), and composite SA-PEO/LO mats (violet curve).

3.2 Release of LO components from the nanofibers

The release profile of linalool from SA-PEO/LO nanofibers was investigated by high-resolution LC-MS/MS at different time points (3, 6, 12, 24, and 48 hours), as shown in Figure 3. Together with linalool, caryophyllene and caryophyllene oxide, which are other characteristic components of lavender oil, were also analysed (Fig. S1). Agar gel was used as model system, in order to simulate a wet contact surface and to reproduce the same environment of the antibacterial tests (as in the following paragraph). We observed that linalool (Fig. 3) and the other components (Fig. S1) were efficiently released from the nanofibers, reaching a maximum after 6 hours. Then, the concentration decreases, as a consequence of the diffusion of the LO inside the agar gel. After 12 hours, the linalool concentration was comparable with that one at 3 hours, indicating that the nanofibrous mats still contained the essential oil. Even after 24 and 48 hours the amount of linalool released was appreciable. Thus, the produced alginate-based nanofibers were active for more than 2 days, and able to release the antibacterial and anti-inflammatory agent.
3.3 Antibacterial activity of the nanofibers

Among the different types of microorganisms, *Staphylococcus aureus* is the most common bacterium that colonizes burn wounds in the first 48 hours after injury. Therefore, we selected *S. aureus* as model system to prove the antibacterial activity of the electrospun nanofibers in solid microbial cultures. From the analysis of SA-PEO mats (Figure 4A), we observed that they were readily colonized by *S. aureus*, and ineffective to block the bacteria growth. On the contrary, SA-PEO/LO nanofibers (Figure 4B) inhibited the proliferation of the microorganisms, and inhibition zones with an average diameter of (21.7±1.6) mm were visible in the LB medium agar plates. The obtained results are in agreement with a previous study of Edwards-Jones et al., where the antibacterial activity of pure LO against *S. aureus* has been demonstrated by detecting inhibition zones of approximately 20 mm in diameter. The main components of LO, linalool and linalyl acetate, are responsible for the antibacterial properties of this essential oil with linalool being more effective than linalyl acetate. Furthermore, LO contains terpinen-4-ol that, like linalool, exhibits antimicrobial activity. Therefore, the various components of LO play an important role in making SA-PEO/LO effective in preventing infections, particularly in case of burn wounds.

3.4 In-vitro biocompatibility assay

Cytotoxicity assessment was performed in-vitro on HFF-1 cells in order to investigate the biocompatibility of SA-PEO nanofibers with and without LO. In Figure 5, the cell viability, measured as ATP content, is reported after 24 hours of exposure to nanofibers’ extraction medium. It can be observed that 94% and 91% of the HFF-1 cells remained viable when incubated with SA-PEO and SA-PEO/LO extraction medium, respectively. No statistically significant differences (p > 0.05) were observed in the cell survival in comparison with the control, indicating no toxicity of SA-PEO and SA-PEO/LO fibrous samples. Therefore, the in-vitro results demonstrated that SA-PEO/LO nanofibers were biocompatible without adverse reactions in human cell proliferation, in agreement with a previous study of Prashar et al.

3.5 In-vitro anti-inflammatory properties of the nanofibers

In-vitro anti-inflammatory tests were conducted by stimulating the production of cytokines in HFF-1 cells with LPS. Then, the cell cultures were treated with DXM (positive control) or extraction media obtained from PEO, SA-PEO and SA-PEO/LO nanofibers, and the induction (relative mRNA levels) and release (protein expression levels) of two pro-inflammatory cytokines mainly involved in the inflammatory wound process, human IL-6 and human IL-8 were investigated (Figure 6, S2 and Table 1). As expected, we observed a reduction of 93% (Figure 6A) and 81% (Figure 6B) of the mRNA levels of IL-6 and IL-8 for cells treated with DXM, respectively. In fact, DXM is conventionally used as anti-inflammatory drug. If pure PEO nanofibers had no effect in reducing mRNA levels for both cytokines (Fig. S2), the analysis of the electrospun samples revealed that SA-PEO nanofibers, on the other hand, possessed anti-inflammatory activity. Indeed, they effectively reduced the mRNA levels of about 50% for both IL-6 and IL-8.
This effect was accentuated by the addition of lavender oil. In fact, HFF-1 cells incubated with SA-PEO/LO extraction medium presented a reduction of 75% and 68% of IL-6 and IL-8 mRNA expression (p<0.001), respectively. Furthermore, as shown in Figures 6C, 6D and Table 1, a reduction of the release of IL-6 and IL-8 was also observed in the cell culture supernatants after LPS stimulation and treatment with the alginate-based extraction media.

Figure 6. Anti-inflammatory effect of SA-PEO and SA-PEO/LO nanofibers, evaluated on HFF-1 cells stimulated with LPS. Histograms show the mean values of mRNA levels of (A) IL-6 and (B) IL-8, and the amount of (C) IL-6 and (D) IL-8 after ELISA evaluation. The first 4 bars in each panel are the control samples. Data are expressed as average ± S.E.M. (*** p<0.001 vs. LPS group; ° p<0.05, °°° p<0.001 vs. alginate group). The graphs are representative of three independent experiments, each performed in three technical replicates.

In particular, SA-PEO/LO nanofibers induced a statistically significant decrease (p<0.05) of IL-6 (66%) and IL-8 (49%) concentrations in comparison with SA-PEO samples (51% for IL-6, and 43% for IL-8).

It is known from the literature that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ions from Ca-alginate systems,\textsuperscript{22} and oligosaccharides derived from polysaccharides (β-glucan, xylloglucan, chitin, pectin, α-L-guluronate, and β-D-mannuronate) can stimulate human cells to produce cytokines.\textsuperscript{54, 55} Although guluronate and mannuronate oligomers are pro-inflammatory agents, polyguluronate and polymannuronate, which constitute sodium alginate, are not active in cytokine induction.\textsuperscript{54, 56} On the contrary, seaweeds that are rich in sodium alginate are traditionally used as remedy for inflammation-related symptoms, as shown also by Kang et al. for the seaweed Sargassum fulvellum.\textsuperscript{57}

Table 1: in-vitro anti-inflammatory effect of SA-PEO and SA-PEO/LO nanofibers. The percentages of reduction for mRNA expression and protein release detected in the supernatants (IL-6 and IL-8) are reported. Average percentage values ± S.E.M. of three independent experiments, each performed in three technical replicates, are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>IL-6 mRNA levels (%)</th>
<th>IL-6 Protein levels (%)</th>
<th>IL-8 mRNA levels (%)</th>
<th>IL-8 Protein levels (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DXM</td>
<td>93 ± 2</td>
<td>83 ± 1</td>
<td>81 ± 4</td>
<td>64 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-PEO</td>
<td>51 ± 4</td>
<td>51 ± 4</td>
<td>50 ± 4</td>
<td>43 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-PEO/LO</td>
<td>75 ± 4</td>
<td>66 ± 2</td>
<td>68 ± 5</td>
<td>49 ± 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Furthermore, the anti-inflammatory activity of lavender essential oil has been reported in several studies. It has been demonstrated that linalool and linalyl acetate, the inflammation through different pathways. Linalool significantly reduces the production of IL-6 both in-vitro and in-vivo through the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway that in turn regulates the expression of inflammatory enzymes and cytokines. In this way, linalool can inhibit the phospho-p38 MAPK, phospho-ERK, and phospho-JNK in LPStimulated cells. Moreover, the anti-inflammatory activity of linalool can be mainly due to the inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) activity that controls other inflammatory factors, such as nitric oxide (NO), prostaglandins (PG) and cyclooxygenase. Linalyl acetate shows, instead, strong lipoxigenase inhibitory effects that are mediated through the lipoxigenase pathway associated to the inflammation.

3.6 In-vivo application of the alginate-based dressings

Redness and erythema, due to the vasodilation of the cutaneous blood vessels, are typical cutaneous manifestations of the response of the skin to UVB-induced inflammation, and they are characterized by the up-regulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines, together with the release of neuropeptides, histamine, prostaglandins, serotonin and oxygen radicals. Erythema is a multifactorial and complicated reaction in which NO, PG, and cytokines play important roles. In our study, the exposure of the animal skin to UVB irradiation produced evident erythema with signs of mild burn that lead to visible skin lesions and scar formation in 48-96 hours (Figure 7A). Redness became apparent after 12-24 hours from the UVB-induced inflammation, but none of the animals developed blisters. We observed a more evident skin injury after 48 hours from the exposure. The inflammation response was analyzed by detecting the cutaneous levels of cytokines (IL-6, IL-1β, TNF-α) at different time-points (from 6 to 96 hours) after the acute UVB exposure (photographs of the animals at time point 0 are shown in Fig. S3). A significant increment of the produced cytokines was observed for the animals exposed to UVB light in comparison with control animals (not UVB-exposed). For the latter, the levels of IL-6 and IL-1β were extremely low, and TNF-α level was almost below the detection limit. For animals with an untreated burn wound, the time-course of cytokines expression revealed that IL-6, IL-1β and TNF-α gradually increased after 24 hours from the injury, exhibiting a maximum at 48 hours (Table S1-S3), as shown in Figure 7B, 7C and 7D. After 96 hours, all the cytokine levels significantly decreased but they were still quite high. Our data correlate very well with human skin, where UVB irradiation alters the immune function and migration of Langerhans cells and dermal dendritic cells and these cells produce high levels of TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-8.

On the contrary, when the burns were treated with the SA-PEO or SA-PEO/LO nanofibrous dressings, no evident burn marks were visible on the skin of the animals already after 24 hours from the injury, and the erythema completely disappeared within 48 hours (Figure 7A). The photo-damage recovery was confirmed by the down-regulation of the three cytokines (Figure 7B, 7C, 7D, and Table S1-S3). Specifically, after 24 hours the levels of IL-6, IL-1β, and TNF-α were up to 4, 10, and 7 times lower than for the UVB-irradiated group of animals (not treated with the dressing), respectively. The anti-inflammatory effect of the nanofibers was even more evident after 48 hours, when the levels of IL-6, IL-1β, and TNF-α for the treated animals were up to 7, 24, and 19 times lower than those for the untreated group, respectively. After 96 hours, cytokine levels returned to the control values. The in-vivo results on cytokine profile after UVB irradiation were consistent with the in-vitro data. Remarkably, SA-PEO nanofibers played a fundamental role in the suppression of cytokines production and their anti-inflammatory activity was successfully combined with that of the lavender essential oil. The absence of erythema for the animal groups treated with the alginate-based nanofibers demonstrated that UVB-induced inflammation reaction was controlled and well prevented, therefore, the electrospun dressings were proven appropriate for the management of burn wounds.

The UVB burn in-vivo model was tested also on a commercially available alginate-based dressing (Tegaderm™) and the obtained results are shown in Tables S1-S3. We noticed that the inhibitory effect of the electrospun nanofibers on cytokine production was higher than that one of Tegaderm™ after the first 6 hours from the UVB exposure; the results were comparable for the other time points. One of the other advantages of the electrospun nanofibers with respect to Tegaderm is their high conformability to the wound site. They are, in fact, able to adapt to the injured tissue, offering protection against thermal and mechanical stresses. Moreover, they worked as solid support for the delivery of LO to the burn.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we demonstrated that nanofibrous dressings of sodium alginate and lavender essential oil not only possessed antibacterial activity against S. aureus but they also effectively inhibited the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines both in-vitro and in-vivo. This resulted in a fast recovery of animals exposed to UVB irradiation, without the appearance of erythema on their injured skin. We can state that in the produced electrospun dressings both the selected materials had an active effect in promoting the healing of the burn. The strong anti-inflammatory action of sodium alginate was evident in all the conducted investigations. On the other hand, lavender oil expressed a high antimicrobial effectiveness and also acted to control the induced inflammation. Further studies are needed to better clarify the potentiality of these dressings on deep burns and other types of wounds and skin damages. However, we believe that the properties of the here proposed natural dressings are attractive for the future generations of wound care systems.
Figure 7. (A) Representative photographs of the skin of UVB exposed mice at three different time points (24 h, 48 h, and 96 h): untreated (no dressing), and treated with the alginate-based dressings without (SA-PEO) and with lavender oil (SA-PEO/LO). Time course of the effects of UVB induced inflammation on cytokine expression: (B) IL-6; (C) IL-1β; (D) TNF-α. Each bar is the average ± SD of five experiments (a group of five animals) after 24, 48 and 96 hours of injury. *** p < 0.001 vs. UVB irradiated group.
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