Design and analysis of PID and Fuzzy-PID controller for voltage control of DC microgrid
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Abstract— DC microgrids are desired to provide the electricity for the remote areas which are far from the main grid. The microgrid creates the open horizontal environment to interconnect the distributed generation especially photovoltaic (PV). The stochastic nature of the PV output power introduces the large fluctuations of the power and voltage in the microgrid and forced to introduce the controller for voltage stability. There are many control strategies to control the voltage of a DC microgrid in the literature. In this paper the proportional-integral-derivative (PID) and fuzzy logic PID (FL-PID) controller has been designed and compared in terms of performance. Performance measures like maximum overshoot and settling time of FL-PID compared with the PID proved that the former is better controller. The controllers are designed and simulated in the MATLAB programming environment. The controllers has been tested for the real time data obtained from Pecan Street Project, University of Texas at Austin USA.

Index Terms— DC microgrids, controller, PID, fuzzy PID, distributed generation, distributed load, linear, nonlinear.

I. INTRODUCTION

The interest in the DC distribution system has increased during last few years. The concept of microgrid is well presented in literature [1-4], in which different types of microgrid units such as AC, hybrid (AC and DC) have been discussed. The advanced low voltage direct current (LVDC) distribution system is going to be used for the residential and commercial purposes [5-7]. However, during the comparison of AC and DC distribution system there is always a question raised about the maintenance and control of the specified grid voltage. There is a need to control voltage for power balance in the bus. Some studies have been carried out in the area of voltage control of the DC microgrid [8]. However most of them are related to the droop concept to regulate the grid voltage [9-10]. In a DC system, the grid voltage is affected when there is a change in demand and/or in generation. Therefore there is a need of good control mechanism to control the voltage of DC microgrid.

Few controlling schemes for the line currents compensation in the DC bus using the conventional controllers are given in literature [11-14]. Generally PI controllers are used to control the voltage of DC bus [15]. These controllers require accurate mathematical models. Due to functional and structure simplicity PID controllers are used most in industrial applications [16]. For first and second order systems, the PID controller gives good performance by tuning the parameters but for higher order systems the tuning become difficult. The performances of the PI and PID controllers is affected by the operating conditions of any system i.e. their performance can be degrades by the parameter variations, nonlinearity and load and generation changes [16]. On the other hand the fuzzy logic controller (FLC) has shown advantages over the conventional controllers. Fuzzy control requires the expert knowledge, experience and decision making.

Over recent years FLC are used for various applications due to their less complicated mathematics and effectiveness to input changes [17-20]. The fuzzy controllers are knowledge based (which is acquired by the engineer to control the plant) not model based as conventional controllers. The FLC creates a nonlinear relation between the system input and output. These controllers can be easily tuned to get the desired performance of the system with few mathematical complications. The conventional PID controller is based on the input error, integral of error and derivative of the error. Combining this with fuzzy logic the three parameters can be controlled by knowledge-based experience. An observer can observe the particular rate of change in error with fuzzy base PID controller without undergoing into a mathematical model.

A dynamic action is taken over the time because the differentiation and integration changes with time and depends on the past history. For providing the extra flexibility with past and future time some fuzzy-logic-based PID have been described [21-24]. In this system, there is a change in the power consumption from public utility (PU) due to variations in demand and PV power. This change leads to create fluctuations in the voltage of the DC microgrid. So there is a
requirement of a control action to keep the voltage of DC microgrid at the reference voltage value i.e. 124 volt. In this paper, a voltage controller based on PID and fuzzy PID has been designed for DC microgrid. The fuzzy PID controller takes advantage of PID experiences and Fuzzy knowledge. The PID and FL-PID controllers are also compared based on the performance parameters.

II. SYSTEM CONFIGURATION DC MICROGRID

The layout of the voltage control system for the DC microgrid with distributed generation and load is shown in Fig. 1. The microgrid consists of four homes with PV captive power plant and PU. There is a PV plant of 5 kW, 4.5 kW, 4.75 kW and 6.5 kW installed in home-1, home-2, home-3 and home-4 respectively. The maximum demands of home-1, home-2, home-3 and home-4 are 10.32 kW, 10.88 kW, 10.13 kW and 6.49 kW respectively. The demand and PV power profile of four homes for a typical day is shown in Fig. 2.

The data is obtained from the Peacan Street Project, University of Texas at Austin USA. The data is measured voltage, PV power and demands of home which is recorded at every 15 minute for a typical day. The demand and the PV power varies with respect to the time. The PU is connected to the DC microgrid via AC-DC converter to balance the power in unbalance condition and absence of the PV power. The microgrid demand, PV power and power consumed from the PU with respect to the time are shown in Fig. 3. The demand and the PV generation are varying with respect to the time while the consumption from the PU depends on difference between the demand and the power generation by PV plants and varies with time.

The variation in the demand and PV power is the primary cause of variation in the microgrid voltage. The microgrid voltage varied with respect to the power fluctuations [25]. As the demand increases the microgrid voltage decreases below the reference voltage. The fluctuations in the microgrid voltage forced the introduction of the controller to keep the microgrid voltage appropriately near the desired voltage and to make any required corrections as quickly as possible. The desired voltage is selected to be 124 volt. The real-time microgrid voltage is measured by a voltage sensor as shown in Fig.1.

III. DESIGN OF PID CONTROLLER

The performance of the proportional integral derivative (PID) controller can be analyzed by three factors: the propositional gain ($K_p$), integral gain ($K_i$) and derivative gain ($K_d$). The unit feedback closed loop control system for voltage control of DC microgrid with PID controller is shown in Fig. 4. The error signal ($e$) signal is the difference of the measured voltage ($V_o$) and desired voltage ($V_d$) and amplified...
by the controller. The controller output makes the necessary changes to the PWM signal to reduce the error by changing the PU power sharing via AC-DC converter with DC microgrid. The magnitude and polarity of the resulting error signal would be directly related to the difference between $V_o$ and $V_d$ of the microgrid.

$$V_d(s) \rightarrow e \arrow{PID Controller} \arrow{u(s)} \rightarrow V_g(s) \rightarrow V_d(s)$$

Figure 4. Layout of voltage control system with PID controller for DC microgrid

The output of the PID controller can be expressed as

$$u(s) = K_p e(s) + K_i \frac{1}{s} e(s) + K_d s e(s) \tag{1}$$

and the transfer function can be expressed as:

$$G(s) = \frac{u(s)}{e(s)} = K_p + K_i \frac{1}{s} + K_d s \tag{2}$$

Where $e(s) = |V_d - V_g|$ or $e(s) = |V_a - V_g|$ \tag{3}

The $K_p$ will reduce the rise time, but it will never eliminate the steady-state error. The $K_i$ will eliminate the steady-state error, but it may make the transient response worse. The $K_d$ will have the effect of increasing the stability of the system, reducing the overshoot, and improving the transient response.

IV. DESIGN OF FUZZY LOGIC-PID CONTROLLER

The variables of any system can be represented as the members of a set in the fuzzy logic set theory. The fuzzy system can break up in to three sets as shown in Fig. 5. The fuzzy controller having fuzzy and PID block. The fuzzy block is divided up into three blocks the first block is the fuzzification: converts the crisp input to the linguistic variable. The fuzzification is a knowledge-based task. The input variables are to be mapped on fuzzy sets. The next block is the inference is a rule-based task created by experts’ knowledge. Defuzzification converts the linguistic variable back to a crisp value. In the Fig. 5, $V_o$ is the output voltage, $u_f$ is the output obtained from the FL-PID which is input to the plant and $e$ is the error between $V_o$ and $V_d$. The linguistic change can be made by taking the input variables in three terms. The linguistic variables defined here related to the time-varying fuzzy-controller inputs. As in Fig. 6, there are two inputs: “DC grid voltage error” described as $e(t)$. “Integral of DC grid voltage error” described as $\int e(t) dt$. Suppose the DC voltage can be expressed as linguistic variables as seven fuzzy subsets NB (Negative Big), NM (Negative Medium), NS (Negative Small), ZE (Zero), PB seven fuzzy NB (Negative Large), NM (Negative Medium), NS (Negative Small), Z (Zero), PS (Positive Small), PM (Positive Medium) and PB (Positive Big). The number values are to be chosen as the linguistic variables as -3 for NB, -2 for NM, -1 for NS, 0 for Z, 1 for PS, 2 for PM, and 3 for PB. In this simple way, the numeric values are just for representation and for the designing of the fuzzy controller, these values do not represent the true values of the DC voltage. Based on the linguistic variables there is a need to create a “rule base” which is based on the knowledge of the conditions for controlling the DC microgrid voltage. Here the PID parameters are adjusted for the best control of DC microgrid voltage by using the feedback obtained from the voltage error itself.

$$V_d(s) \rightarrow e \arrow{Fuzzy PID Controller} \arrow{u(s)} \rightarrow V_g(s) \rightarrow V_d(s)$$

Figure 5. Layout of voltage control system with fuzzy-PID controller for DC microgrid
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Membership functions used for the input and output variables are shown in Fig. 7. Membership function obtained for input variable error ($e$) and change in error ($\dot{e}$) is shown in Fig. 7 and for output variables $K_p$, $K_i$, and $K_d$ are shown in Fig. 8.

Figure 7. Membership function for FL-PID inputs error and change in error

Membership functions obtained for input variable error ($e$) and change in error ($\dot{e}$) is shown in Fig. 7 and for output variables $K_p$, $K_i$, and $K_d$ are shown in Fig. 8.
V. SIMULATION RESULTS

As we have two inputs and seven linguistic values so there are \((7)^2 = 49\) rules for adjusting the every PID parameter. The rules for representing the output variables \(K_p\), \(K_i\), and \(K_d\) are shown in tables I-III respectively.

As the assess the control of the voltage of the microgrid system, the system shown in Fig. 1 is modeled. The real time simulations for the controllers are done in the MATLAB m-file environment. The real-time simulation is structured to provide results that would be expected to be obtained from the hardware version of the system. The performance of the voltage controllers are tested for the complete typical day with varying load conditions. Results obtained from both the controllers viz. PID controller and FL-PID controller are shown in the following analysis. The PID controller response to control the voltage of DC microgrid with the fluctuations in microgrid real power due to the change in demand and PV power is shown in Fig. 9. The controlled voltage approaches its desired value 124 volt (shown with dotted line the plot) and its measured value (which is continuous line in the plot) with the help of PID controller (the response is shown with
dashed line in the plot). In this case also the data is taken for every 30 min of a typical day.

The FL-PID controller response for controlling the voltage of DC microgrid with the fluctuations in microgrid real power due to the change in demand and PV power is shown in Fig. 11. The controlled voltage approaches to its desired value 124 volt (shown with dotted line the plot) and its measured value (which is continuous line in the plot) with the help of FL-PID controller (the response is shown with dashed line in the plot).

![Figure 11. DC microgrid voltage response with FL-PID Controller](image)

The FL-PID response is shown with dashed line in the plot. The controlled voltage approaches to its desired value 124 volt (shown with dotted line the plot) and its measured value (which is continuous line in the plot) with the help of FL-PID controller (the response is shown with dashed line in the plot).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controller Type</th>
<th>Rise time (Sec)</th>
<th>Settling time (Sec)</th>
<th>Overshoot (%)</th>
<th>Peak time (Sec)</th>
<th>Steady state error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PID</td>
<td>0.0148</td>
<td>1.6091</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.9231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL-PID</td>
<td>0.0264</td>
<td>1.3609</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.2325</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 12. FL-PID controller response to voltage control of the DC microgrid](image)

Figure 12. FL-PID controller response to voltage control of the DC microgrid

The time response parameters of PID and FL-PID controller are shown in Table IV. The rise time for the FL-PID is higher than PID. The steady state error for FL-PID controller is small as compared to PID controller. It means the FL-PID is better than PID. The overshoot is case of FL-PID is very less. In case of PID the peak voltage around 162 volts i.e. around 38 volts more than the desired level however in case of FL-PID this value is nearly 140 volts i.e. 16 volts more than the desired level voltage. So the percentage overshoot is very less in case of FL-PID controller in comparison to the PID controller. The overshoot is lower for FL-PID than PID which proved that the FL-PID is more stable than the PID and leaves the small voltage fluctuations on the microgrid. While the small settling time of FL-PID makes it faster than the PID. Further peak time is smaller for FL-PID with respect to the PID controller. It means the response of FL-PID controller response is reached at maximum overshoot faster than the response PID controller.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The DC microgrids are promising approach to provide the electricity for the areas far from the main grid. The DC bus voltage stability is an issue and challenge in DC microgrid for normal operation. In this paper, PID and fuzzy logic PID controller has been designed for maintaining the DC bus voltage. Overall analysis of PID and FL-PID controller proved that the FL-PID is superior and better for voltage control of the DC microgrid studied. The fluctuation in the DC microgrid voltage with the fluctuations in microgrid power due to variation in demand and PV power of homes has been explained with the help of simulation for a typical day. The paper has demonstrated the potential of fuzzy control over other conventional control.
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