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ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: The effect of exercise intensity and sex on postprandial risk factors for cardiovascular disease in adolescents is unknown. We examined the effect of a single bout of work-matched high-intensity interval exercise (HIIE) and moderate intensity exercise (MIE) on postprandial triacylglycerol (TAG) and systolic blood pressure (SBP) in adolescents. METHOD: Twenty adolescents (10 male, 14.3 ± 0.3 y) completed three 1-day trials: 1) rest (CON); 2) 8 x 1 min cycling at 90% peak power with 75 s recovery (HIIE); 3) cycling at 90% of the gas exchange threshold (MIE), one hour before consuming a high fat milkshake (1.50 g fat and 80 kJ·kg⁻¹). Postprandial TAG, SBP and fat oxidation were assessed over four hours. RESULTS: Compared to CON, the incremental area under the curve for TAG (IAUC-TAG) was not significantly lowered in HIIE (P=0.22, effect size (ES)=0.24) or MIE (P=0.65, ES=0.04) for boys. For girls, HIIE and MIE lowered IAUC-TAG by 34% (P=0.02, ES=0.58) and 38% (P=0.09, ES=0.73) respectively, with no difference between HIIE and MIE (P=0.74, ES=0.14). Changes in TAG were not related to energy expenditure during exercise or postprandial fat oxidation. Postprandial SBP (total-AUC pooled for both sexes) was lower in HIIE compared to CON (P=0.01, ES=0.68) and MIE (P=0.02, ES=0.60), with no difference between MIE and CON (P=0.45, ES=0.14). CONCLUSION: A single bout of HIIE and MIE, performed one hour before a HFM, can meaningfully attenuate IAUC-TAG in girls but not boys. Additionally, HIIE, but not MIE, may lower postprandial SBP in normotensive adolescents.
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ABBREVIATIONS

95% CI = 95% confidence intervals
CON = Control
CVD = Cardiovascular disease
EE = Energy expenditure
ES = Effect size
HFM = High fat meal
HIIE = High-intensity interval exercise
HR = Heart rate
IAUC = Incremental area under the curve versus time
MIE = Moderate-intensity exercise
PPH = Postprandial hypertension
PPL = Postprandial lipaemia
RMR = resting metabolic rate
SBP = systolic blood pressure
TAG = triacylglycerol
TAUC = Total area under the curve versus time
$\dot{V}O_2$ = Oxygen consumption
INTRODUCTION
Postprandial lipaemia (PPL) has been implicated in the progression of atherosclerosis (Zilversmit 1979), and is an independent predictor of cardiovascular disease (CVD) in adults (Nordestgaard et al. 2007). Although the clinical significance of atherosclerosis is not apparent until later life, the atherosclerotic process has its origins in childhood (Stary 1989) and the progression of which is associated with CVD risk factors in childhood and adulthood (Berenson et al. 1998). Systolic blood pressure (SBP) during adolescence is also associated with future CVD risk (Berenson et al. 1998), and postprandial hypertension (PPH) has been purported as a novel atherosclerotic risk factor in adults (Uetani et al. 2012). Considering that up to two thirds of the day may be spent in the postprandial state, interventions that attenuate PPL and PPH in young people may offer primary prevention against the development of atherosclerosis.

It is known that 60 min of intermittent or continuous exercise at a moderate to vigorous intensity (50-75% \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{peak} \)) can reduce PPL by ~ 20-30% in male and female adolescents (Tolfrey et al. 2012; Tolfrey et al. 2008; Tolfrey et al. 2013). Furthermore, 30 min of moderate exercise (<1 MJ) was similarly effective at reducing PPL as 60 min in 13 year old boys (Tolfrey et al. 2012), indicating that even a small volume of exercise may have a beneficial effect on PPL. However, the same authors failed to observe a meaningful reduction in PPL after 30 min of moderate exercise in 10-14 year old girls (Tolfrey et al. 2013). Currently, the optimal exercise interventions to modulate PPL in adolescent boys and girls are currently unknown, and we are not aware of a single study that has investigated the effect of exercise on PPH in young people.

Recent evidence has identified that low volume, high-intensity interval exercise (HIIE) can lower PPL in healthy young adults (Freese et al. 2011), and may be more effective than moderate intensity exercise (MIE) (Trombold et al. 2013). Furthermore, an increase in postprandial resting fat oxidation was related to the beneficial effects of HIIE on PPL (Trombold et al. 2013). It has recently been demonstrated that HIIE can lower PPL in 12-13 y old boys (Thackray et al. 2013), but this study did not compare the efficacy of HIIE to a bout of isoenergetic MIE to isolate the effect of exercise intensity. As many children fail to achieve the recommended 60 min of daily moderate intensity physical activity (Riddoch et al.
2007), it is important to identify whether low volume HIIE offers either similar or superior benefits to postprandial health outcomes compared to traditional MIE.

Surprisingly, no data are available regarding the influence of an acute bout of exercise on PPL in adolescents when exercise is performed immediately before a HFM. Reductions in PPL are possible in adult males when exercise is performed 60 min before the test meal (Katsanos et al. 2004), however this acute response may be sex dependent (Henderson et al. 2010). Recent evidence in youth suggests that exercise performed during the postprandial period does not influence PPL (Sisson et al. 2013), but it is currently unknown whether exercise performed on the same day prior to the test meal can modulate PPL in young people.

The primary aim of this study was to identify the influence of exercise intensity (work-matched MIE vs. HIIE) performed one hour before a HFM on postprandial plasma [TAG] and SBP in adolescent boys and girls. The secondary aim was to investigate whether changes in PPL were related to changes in resting fat oxidation during the postprandial period.

METHOD

Participants

Twenty 13 to 14-year-old adolescents (10 girls) volunteered to take part in this study. Participant assent and parental consent were obtained before participation in the project, which was approved by the institutional ethics committee. Participants showed no contraindications to exercise and were not using any medication or substance known to influence carbohydrate or fat metabolism.

Body mass, seated height and stature were measured to the nearest 0.1 kg and 0.1 cm respectively. Percentage body fat was estimated using triceps and subscapular skinfold thickness according to Slaughter et al. (Slaughter et al. 1988) and pubertal status was determined by a self-assessment of secondary sexual characteristics using adapted drawing of the five Tanner stages of public hair development (Morris 1980).

Experimental protocol
This study required four visits to the laboratory, separated by approximately 1 week, and incorporated a within measures design. All exercise tests were performed using an electronically braked cycle ergometer (Lode Excalibur Sport, Groningen, the Netherlands).

**Visit 1: $\dot{V}O_2$ max and gas exchange threshold determination**

Participants were habituated to exercise on the cycle ergometer before completing a combined ramp and supramaximal test to exhaustion to establish $\dot{V}O_2$ max (Barker et al. 2011). Pulmonary $\dot{V}O_2$ was monitored throughout (Cortex Metalyzer III B, Leipzig, Germany) and the gas exchange threshold (GET) was identified as the disproportionate increase in carbon dioxide production ($\dot{V}CO_2$) relative to $\dot{V}O_2$ and an increase in expired ventilation ($VE$/ $\dot{V}O_2$) with no increase in $VE$/ $\dot{V}CO_2$. $\dot{V}O_2$ max was determined as the highest 10 second average in $\dot{V}O_2$ elicited either during the ramp test or supramaximal bout.

**Visits 2-4: Exercise and postprandial measures**

An overview of the study protocol is illustrated in Figure 1. Following a ~12 h overnight fast, participants arrived at the laboratory at 07:45 and rested for 10 min before providing a fasting capillary blood sample for plasma [TAG] and [glucose]. Blood pressure was determined as the mean of three measures using an automated inflation cuff (Dinamap Carescape V100, GE Healthcare, USA). Participants were seated with their backs supported and feet on the ground for 10 min before the assessment of blood pressure, and remained silent throughout the measures. Resting metabolic rate (RMR) was assessed at 08:15 via indirect calorimetry (Cortex Metalyzer II, Leipzig, Germany) for 15 min in order to determine total energy expenditure (EE) and substrate oxidation (fat and carbohydrate) following each trial. RMR was identified as the average $\dot{V}O_2$ after the removal of errant $\dot{V}O_2$ values lying more than 4 standard deviations (SD) from the local mean. Between 08:30 and 08:45 participants consumed a standard breakfast cereal with 125 mL semi skimmed milk (2.5 g fat, 31 g carbohydrates, 6 g protein, 732 kJ energy intake).

At 09:45, 1 h after breakfast, participants completed on separate days and in a randomised order: 1) 23 min of HIIE; 2) ~ 30 min of continuous moderate intensity cycling at 90% GET (MIE); or 3) rested in the laboratory for 30 min. The HIIE bout consisted of a 3 min warm up at 20 W, followed by 8 x 1 min intervals at 90% of the peak power determined from the ramp test to exhaustion, interspersed with 75 s of recovery at 20 W, before a 2 min cool down at 20
W. The duration of the MIE trial was calculated to match the total external work performed during the HIIE bout for each participant. Heart rate (HR), $\dot{V}O_2$ and $\dot{V}CO_2$ were monitored throughout both trials. For both MIE and HIIE the participants provided a rating of perceived exertion (RPE) using the 1-10 Pictorial Children’s Effort Rating Table (Yelling M. 2002) in the final 10 s of exercise, and then completed the 16-point Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale (PACES) (Motl et al. 2001) on completion of the exercise. After their final exercise trial, each participant was asked to identify which exercise bout they preferred. Exercise was completed by 10:15.

One hour after the completion of the rest/exercise condition, post exercise plasma [TAG], [glucose] and blood pressure were assessed. Participants then consumed a milkshake of 3 parts Cornish ice cream and 1 part double cream between 11:15 and 11:30, which provided ~1.50 g of fat (70% total energy), 1.20 g carbohydrate (25%) and 0.21 g of protein (5%) per kilogram of body mass (80 kJ·kg$^{-1}$) in accordance with previous postprandial investigations in this population (Tolfrey et al. 2012; Tolfrey et al. 2013). Plasma [TAG], [glucose], blood pressure, RMR and substrate oxidation were assessed at hourly intervals during the 4 h postprandial period. A 4 h postprandial observational period was employed as this has been shown to provide a valid estimate of the PPL response compared to an 8 h observational period (Weiss et al. 2008). No other food was consumed during the postprandial period, although water was available ad libitum and subsequently replicated for each trial. Participants remained in the laboratory and inactive throughout the postprandial period, by reading, completing homework, watching DVDs or playing computer games.

**Blood analyses**

For each blood sample, ~600 µL of capillary blood was collected into lithium-heparin coated ([TAG]) and heparin-fluoride coated ([glucose]) Microvette CB 300 tubes (Sarstedt Ltd, Leicester, UK) and centrifuged immediately at 13,000 g for 15 min. Plasma was then removed and either stored at -80°C for 1 month for [TAG] analysis, or analysed immediately for [glucose] (YSI 2300 Stat Plus Glucose and L-Lactate Analyzer, YSI Inc., Yellow Springs, USA). Plasma [TAG] was quantified in duplicate by enzymatic, colorimetric methods using an assay kit according to the manufacturer’s guidelines (Cayman Chemical Company, MI, USA). The within-batch coefficients of variation for plasma [TAG] and [glucose] were 2.9 and 1.0% respectively. Haematocrit and haemoglobin values were
determined from the fasted and final capillary blood samples in order to calculate plasma volume. Changes in plasma volume were small across each trial (-2.6 to 1.7%).

**Calculation of RMR and substrate oxidation**

Total EE and the contributions of fat and carbohydrate oxidation to MIE were estimated using the mean exercise $\dot{V}O_2$ and respiratory exchange ratio (RER) for each 15 min measurement period (Frayn 1983). Protein oxidation was assumed to be negligible, and an RER >1 during exercise was taken to represent 100% carbohydrate oxidation.

**Standardisation of diet and exercise**

With parental supervision, participants were asked to complete a food diary during the 48 h period immediately preceding each laboratory visit. Participants were asked to replicate their diet prior to each laboratory visit and were verbally reminded of this requirement. The food diaries were subsequently assessed for total energy and macronutrient intake (CompEat Pro, Nutrition Systems, UK). Participants were also asked to avoid strenuous exercise during this period.

**Statistical analyses**

Area under the curve (AUC) analyses were performed using the trapezium rule (GraphPad Prism, GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA) to describe the changes in plasma [TAG], [glucose], SBP, RMR and fat oxidation over the 4 h period following the HFM. Both total (TAUC) and incremental (IAUC) analyses were performed to characterise the magnitude of the response and the changes over time respectively. It has previously been shown that changes in TAUC-TAG are largely attributable to differences in baseline [TAG] after exercise (Kolifa et al. 2004), and that IAUC-TAG more accurately describes the [TAG] response after a test meal (Carstensen et al. 2003). Consequently, the IAUC analysis was used to account for changes in baseline plasma [TAG] across the experimental conditions prior to the HFM and adopted as our primary outcome measure which is in line with previous studies (Petitt et al. 2003; Trombold et al. 2013). All AUC analyses were calculated using the time point immediately before the HFM (baseline).

Descriptive statistics were calculated using SPSS (version 19.0, Chicago, USA) and presented as mean ± SD. Mean differences in descriptive statistics between boys and girls
were analysed using independent samples $t$ tests. The mean differences in the physiological and perceptual responses of the boys and girls during HIIE and MIE were analysed using paired samples $t$ tests. Analysis of fasting TAG and glucose, and AUC analyses for TAG, glucose, fat oxidation and SBP were performed using a mixed model ANOVA with trial (CON, MIE, HIIE) and sex (male, female) as the main effects. Normality of distribution was checked using the Shapiro-Wilk test, and data were log transformed if this assumption was violated. Homogeneity of variance was determined using Mauchly’s test of sphericity and the degrees of freedom were adjusted using the Greenhouse-Geisser correction if required. To facilitate comparison with recent studies examining exercise and PPL in adolescents (Thackray et al. 2013; Tolfrey et al. 2013) pairwise comparisons between means were interpreted using the $P$ value, 95% confidence intervals (CI) and standardised effect sizes ($ES$) (Cohen 1988; Hopkins et al. 2009). The null hypothesis was rejected at an alpha level of 0.05, and an $ES$ of 0.20 was considered to be a small change between means, with 0.50 and 0.80 interpreted as a moderate and large change respectively (Cohen 1988). Relationships between changes in AUC outcomes for TAG and potentially mechanistically important variables (e.g. postprandial resting fat oxidation) were explored using Pearson’s correlation coefficients and their associated 95% CI.

**RESULTS**

Baseline participant characteristics are presented in Table 1. Girls and boys were matched for age and body mass, but boys were taller, had a lower percentage of body fat and higher $\dot{V}O_2$ max compared to girls. The sexual maturation status for boys and girls was as follows; Tanner stage 2, $n=2$ and $n=0$; Tanner stage 3, $n=0$ and $n=2$; Tanner stage, 4 $n=6$ and $n=5$; Tanner stage 5, $n=2$ and $n=3$. No differences in energy intake (main effect for trial, $P=0.98$; main effect for sex, $P=0.17$; trial by sex interaction, $P=0.99$) or individual macronutrient contributions (main effects for trials all $P>0.70$; main effects for sex all $P>0.71$; trial by sex interaction, $P>0.58$) were apparent for boys or girls during the 48 h preceding each laboratory visit (data not reported).

**Exercise conditions**

Table 2 presents the physiological and perceptual data from the exercise trials. Despite no differences in work done, HIIE elicited a higher $\dot{V}O_2$, heart rate and RPE compared to MIE for both boys and girls. The highest $\dot{V}O_2$ achieved during the HIIE condition equated to $93 \pm$
5% and 96 ± 4% \( V\text{O}_2 \text{max} \) for boys and girls respectively. Average length of the MIE trial was 27.1 ± 3.5 min. Enjoyment, as measured using PACES was higher for HIIE for boys and girls, and seven boys and seven girls indicated that they preferred the HIIE exercise bout.

**Plasma [TAG]**

There were no differences in fasted plasma [TAG] between trials for boys or girls (main effect for trial, \( P=0.86 \); main effect for sex, \( P=0.59 \); trial by sex interaction, \( P=0.70 \)). Changes in plasma [TAG] during the postprandial period are illustrated in Figure 2 and the AUC analyses are described in Table 3. No differences were apparent in TAUC-TAG (main effect for trial, \( P=0.50 \); main effect for sex, \( P=0.82 \); trial by sex interaction, \( P=0.47 \)). However, there was a significant trial by sex interaction for the IAUC-TAG (\( P=0.02 \)). For boys, IAUC-TAG was not significantly different in HIIE compared with CON (\( P=0.22 \), 95% CI -0.14 to 0.56, \( ES=0.24 \)) or MIE (\( P=0.34 \), 95% CI -0.19 to 0.54, \( ES=0.20 \)), or for MIE compared with CON (\( P=0.65 \), 95% CI -0.30 to 0.37, \( ES=0.04 \)). For girls, IAUC-TAG was 34% lower after MIE compared with CON (\( P=0.02 \), 95% CI -1.43 to -0.17, \( ES=0.58 \)) and a strong trend for a 38% reduction in IAUC-TAG was observed after HIIE compared to CON (\( P=0.09 \), 95% CI -2.13 to 0.31, \( ES=0.73 \)). There was no difference between HIIE and MIE for IAUC-TAG (\( P=0.74 \), 95% CI -0.77 to 0.57, \( ES=0.14 \)). There were no differences in IAUC-TAG between boys and girls for CON (\( P=0.52 \), 95% CI -0.91 to 1.69, \( ES=0.29 \)) or MIE (\( P=0.28 \), 95% CI -1.28 to 0.40, \( ES=0.50 \)), however IAUC-TAG was lower in girls for HIIE (\( P=0.03 \), 95% CI -1.36 to -0.08, \( ES=1.10 \)). Pearson’s correlations did not reveal any relationships between percentage body fat, EE or mean \( V\text{O}_2 \) and IAUC-TAG for boys or girls (all \( r<0.2, P>0.05 \)).

**Plasma [Glucose]**

No differences in fasted plasma [glucose] were apparent for boys or girls between trials (main effect for trial, \( P=0.76 \); main effect for sex, \( P=0.28 \); sex by trial interaction, \( P=0.72 \)). Changes in plasma [glucose] during the postprandial period are illustrated in Figure 2 and the AUC analyses are presented in Table 3. No differences were present between trials for boys or girls in TAUC-glucose (main effect of trial, \( P=0.22 \); main effect of sex, \( P=0.36 \); age by sex interaction, \( P=0.44 \)) or IAUC-glucose (main effect of trial, \( P=0.89 \); main effect of sex, \( P=0.56 \); age by sex interaction, \( P=0.90 \)).
RMR and fat oxidation
There was no effect of trial ($P=0.27$) or trial by sex interaction ($P=0.73$) on TAUC-RMR, but there was a main effect of sex, which was lower in girls ($P=0.04$, 95% CI -228 to -5, $ES=0.85$, data not presented). There was a main effect for trial on postprandial TAUC-Fat oxidation ($P<0.001$), but not sex ($P=0.55$) or a trial by sex interaction ($P=0.63$). Data were subsequently pooled for further analysis of the TAUC-Fat oxidation main effect ($n=20$) and are presented in Figure 3. TAUC-Fat oxidation increased in HIIE by 23% compared to CON ($P<0.001$, 95% CI 0.04 to 0.12, $ES=0.88$) and by 16% compared to MIE ($P=0.001$, 95% CI 0.03 to 0.09, $ES=0.66$). TAUC-Fat oxidation was not different in MIE compared to CON ($P=0.20$, 95% CI -0.01 to 0.06, $ES=0.28$). Changes in TAUC-TAG analyses during MIE and HIIE were not related to postprandial TAUC-fat oxidation (all $r < 0.2$).

Blood pressure and HR
Changes in TAUC-SBP and HR over time are presented in Figure 3. Compared to the initial fasting measure, SBP was attenuated following HIIE ($P=0.003$, 95% CI -8 to -2, $ES=0.72$) and MIE ($P=0.04$, 95% CI -5 to 0, $ES=0.33$).

There was a main effect for trial on the postprandial TAUC-SBP ($P=0.01$), but not sex ($P=0.17$) or a trial by sex interaction ($P=0.39$). Data were subsequently pooled for further analysis of the TAUC-SBP main effect ($n=20$) and are presented in Figure 3. Postprandial TAUC-SBP was 3% lower in HIIE compared to CON ($P=0.01$, 95% CI -19 to -3, $ES=0.68$) and 3% lower compared to MIE ($P=0.02$, 95% CI -15 to -2, $ES=0.60$). Postprandial TAUC-SBP was not different between MIE and CON ($P=0.45$, 95% CI -8 to 4, $ES=0.14$). Resting HR was elevated 1 h post exercise compared to pre exercise values in HIIE, but not MIE, for boys ($P=0.01$, 95% CI 3 to 14, $ES=1.13$) and girls ($P<0.001$, 95% CI 7 to 16, $ES=1.83$).

DISCUSSION
The novel findings of the present study are: 1) based on the IAUC-TAG analyses, both HIIE and MIE reduced PPL (moderate $ES$) in girls. In contrast, HIIE and MIE did not attenuate PPL in boys; 2) resting postprandial fat oxidation was increased after HIIE compared to CON (large $ES$) and MIE (moderate $ES$) for both boys and girls, but was not related to changes in PPL; 3) HIIE reduced postprandial SBP compared to CON and MIE (moderate $ES$) in boys and girls; and 4) PACES score was greater in HIE compared to MIE for boys and girls (moderate and large $ES$, respectively). These data, therefore, show for the first time that
exercise intensity and sex play an important role in modulating different postprandial health outcomes in adolescents when the test meal is consumed one hour after exercise cessation.

There is consistent evidence showing that performing 30-60 min of moderate to vigorous exercise (50-75% \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{peak} \)) ~ 12-16 h before a HFM can reduce PPL in healthy adolescents (Tolfrey et al. 2014). Furthermore, an acute bout of low volume HIIE running performed 15.5 h before a HFM can reduce TAUC-TAG (~11%, \( ES=0.50 \)) and IAUC-TAG (~15%, \( ES=0.39 \)) over a 6.5 h postprandial period in healthy 11-12 y old boys (Thackray et al. 2013). Given recent data in healthy adult men showing HIIE to be more effectual at attenuating PPL compared to an isoenergetic bout of MIE (Trombold et al. 2013), we reasoned HIIE would offer either a similar or superior attenuation of PPL in adolescents compared to a work-matched bout of MIE. In contrast, the present study indicates that reductions in TAUC-TAG are not apparent when a HFM is consumed 1 hour after an exercise bout. However, interpreting the TAUC-TAG has been criticised due to its dependency on changes in fasting plasma [TAG] (Kolifa et al. 2004). We therefore used the IAUC-TAG to quantify the effect of exercise on plasma [TAG] following the HFM as recommended (Carstensen et al. 2003), which is consistent with other PPL studies (Petitt et al. 2003; Trombold et al. 2013).

In adolescent boys we observed no significant changes in IAUC-TAG following HIIE and MIE. In addition, the \( ES \) for this finding was either small (HIIE) or trivial (MIE), suggesting no meaningful effect on the IAUC-TAG outcome for boys. This result is surprising given the well documented beneficial effect of MIE and HIIE exercise on plasma [TAG] following a HFM in adolescent boys (\( ES \) range from 0.39 to 1.40) (Thackray et al. 2013; Tolfrey et al. 2008). A possible explanation for this lack of effect in boys in the present study could reside in the adoption of a single day protocol, as PPL may be attenuated to a greater degree when exercise is performed 12 h compared to 1 h after exercise in adult males (Zhang et al. 1998), possibly due to a delayed increase in activity of lipoprotein lipase (LPL) (Seip and Semenkovich 1998). Furthermore, it has been shown that 135 min of light walking during a 3 h postprandial period does not attenuate PPL in adolescents (Sisson et al. 2013). The EE of exercise may be important in modulating the lipaemic response (Gill et al. 2002) and may explain our findings in adolescent boys. The current study induced a lower EE (~ 650 kJ) than previous investigations in adolescent boys (~ 1-2.5 MJ (Tolfrey et al. 2012; Tolfrey et al. 2008), and adult studies which reported a reduction in PPL using a similar 1 day protocol to
that adopted in the present study (4.6 MJ (Katsanos et al. 2004)). Interestingly, our EE was similar to that used by Pfeiffer et al. (630 kJ), who failed to observe a reduction in PPL in healthy young men (Pfeiffer et al. 2006), and may indicate that the EE in the present study was insufficient to reduce PPL in boys. The EE explanation, however, cannot account for the work of Thackray et al. (2013) who found low volume HIIE running exercise to attenuate PPL in 11-12 y old boys over a 2 day protocol. This may suggest that the delayed increase in LPL activity is a key determinant of the attenuation in PPL after HIIE, and may account for our findings in adolescent boys.

A novel finding of the present study was that the effect of exercise on PPL was dependent on sex with both MIE and HIIE eliciting moderate reductions (34% and 38% respectively) in IAUC-TAG in the adolescent girls only. We did not observe any sex differences in PPL for CON, suggesting that this sexual dimorphism may be mechanistically linked to the exercise bout performed 1 h before the HFM. Our data are consistent with the work of Henderson et al. (2010) who found that exercise at 45% and 65% $\dot{V}O_2$ peak reduced plasma [TAG] 3 h post exercise in young healthy women but not men. While our study cannot offer any insight into the mechanistic basis of this sex difference in PPL as no meaningful relationship was observed for EE, exercise intensity, RMR or substrate utilisation during or after MIE and HIIE exercise, previous adult studies have suggested an important role for body fat distribution (Couillard et al. 1999), the rate of [TAG] uptake by muscle (Horton et al. 2002), and/or hepatic very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) output (Mittendorfer et al. 2003) and metabolism (Magkos et al. 2007).

We found no meaningful difference between work-matched MIE and HIE to attenuate PPL in adolescent girls. Thus, exercise intensity per se does not appear to determine the magnitude of the reduction in PPL in this population. This finding is not consistent with the recent work of Trombold et al. (2013) who found HIIE (repeated bouts of exercise at ~90% $\dot{V}O_2$ max) to be more effectual at reducing the IAUC-TAG compared to 60 min of moderate intensity exercise (~50% $\dot{V}O_2$ max) in healthy men using a 2 day protocol. However, a direct comparison between studies is limited due to the confounding effect of sex (Henderson et al. 2010), and the probable disparity in mechanisms underlying the postprandial response after exercise between a 1- and 2-day protocol (Zhang et al. 1998).
Recent evidence in adults implicates postprandial hypertension as a novel risk factor for atherosclerosis (Uetani et al. 2012). In the present study the HFM promoted a transient increase (~4 mmHg) in SBP in the CON trial in both boys and girls, which may be indicative of the endothelial dysfunction and arterial stiffness that has been reported following a HFM (Vogel et al. 1997). Importantly, a significant reduction in postprandial SBP was present after HIIE compared to both CON ($ES=0.68$) and MIE ($ES=0.60$), highlighting for the first time, the role that HIIE can play in modulating postprandial SBP even in normotensive youth. A protective effect afforded by exercise on endothelial function after a HFM has been demonstrated in adolescents (Sedgwick et al. 2012), suggesting that the exercise performed in the current study may have preserved endothelial function. In addition, we observed an increase in resting HR after both exercise trials, suggesting the reduction in SBP may be related to a fall in peripheral vascular resistance via an attenuated sympathetic drive. While it cannot be ruled out that the effect of exercise on SBP in the current study was related, in part, to the hypotensive response observed after exercise cessation, adult data indicates that postprandial SBP remains lower the day after exercise (Miyashita et al. 2008).

Both HIIE and MIE increased postprandial fat oxidation despite no change in RMR in adolescent boys and girls, with HIIE being more effectual than MIE. This is of importance given the relationship between elevated resting fat oxidation and exercise-induced fat loss (Barwell et al. 2009). Similar changes in resting fat oxidation have been reported 24 h after a single bout of HIIE in overweight and obese men (Whyte et al. 2012), and it has been shown that ~2 MJ of exercise at an intensity corresponding to peak fat oxidation (~63% $\dot{\text{VO}}_2$ peak) increases postprandial fat oxidation in normal weight 12 y old girls on the subsequent day (Zakrzewski and Tolfrey 2012). Given the greater increase in postprandial fat oxidation following HIIE in the current study, exercise intensity appears to be an important mediator of this response and offers a low volume alternative to MIE.

The data presented in the current study should be viewed in the light of a number of methodological considerations. Firstly, given the inherent problems in calculating EE via indirect calorimetry during HIIE, we matched the exercise trials based on the mechanical work done. Consequently, substrate oxidation and EE were only determined during MIE in the present study. We also adopted indirect calorimetry to calculate changes in postprandial RMR and substrate oxidation after the exercise bouts, as disturbances to the bicarbonate pool...
have been reported to return to baseline 30 minutes after 6 minutes of high-intensity exercise (Stringer et al. 1992), which broadly corresponds with the HIIE stimulus in the present study. Secondly, our study design included a high carbohydrate breakfast which was not standardised to body mass and may have altered the postprandial response to the HFM (Pedersen et al. 1999). However, no differences in mean body mass were apparent between boys and girls indicating the caloric intake relative to size was equivalent across the groups. Thirdly, PPL in adolescents is known to be influenced by exercise performed up to 16 h before the HFM (Tolfrey et al. 2014). While we are not able to provide objective measurements of our participants’ physical activity, all participants were asked not to undertake formal exercise 48 h before each laboratory visit. Finally, the PACES scale has been validated for use with adolescent girls (Motl et al. 2001), but no study has addressed whether it is appropriate to use with adolescent boys.

CONCLUSIONS
We have shown that different postprandial health outcomes are dependent on exercise intensity and sex in healthy adolescents when exercise is performed 1 h before a HFM. Specifically, reductions in PPL were achieved after a single bout of MIE and HIE in girls but not boys, and not dependent on exercise intensity. In contrast, favourable changes in postprandial SBP and lipid oxidation are possible after HIIE, but not MIE, even in normotensive adolescents. Given that PPL is implicated in the atherosclerotic process (Zilversmit 1979), which starts in childhood (Stary 1989), and that SBP is associated with future CVD risk (Berenson et al. 1998), these findings may have clinical significance. Finally, given that HIIE was perceived to be more enjoyable than MIE, despite the greater physiological stress, our findings support the use of HIIE as an attractive, feasible and effective strategy to improve postprandial health outcomes in adolescents.
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**Table 1**: Participant characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Boys (n = 10)</th>
<th>Girls (n = 10)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>ES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>14.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>14.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>-0.4 to 0.2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>55.7 ± 10.5</td>
<td>56.1 ± 8.8</td>
<td>-8.7 to 9.6</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stature (m)</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.01 to 0.14</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>15 ± 2</td>
<td>25 ± 4</td>
<td>-14 to -8</td>
<td>3.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{V}O_2_{\text{max}}$ (L·min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>2.66 ± 0.56</td>
<td>1.89 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.36 to 1.18</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{V}O_2_{\text{max}}$ (mL·min$^{-1}$·kg$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>47.7 ± 4.0</td>
<td>34.1 ± 5.0</td>
<td>9.3 to 17.7</td>
<td>3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GET (L·min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.28</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.06 to 0.47</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GET (% $\dot{V}O_2_{\text{max}}$)</td>
<td>49 ± 7</td>
<td>57 ± 8</td>
<td>-14.5 to -1.3</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PHV, peak height velocity; HR, heart rate; $\dot{V}O_2$, oxygen uptake; GET, gas exchange threshold

Data presented as mean ± SD.

95% CI = 95% confidence interval for the true difference

ES = effect size
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MIE</th>
<th>HIIE</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>ES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Boys</strong>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HR (b·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>122 ± 9</td>
<td>152 ± 9</td>
<td>21 to 39</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HR (% HR_max)</td>
<td>61 ± 4</td>
<td>76 ± 3</td>
<td>10 to 19</td>
<td>4.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean $\bar{VO}_2$ (L·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.19</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.21 to 0.45</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean $\bar{VO}_2$ (% $\bar{VO}_2$ max)</td>
<td>45 ± 6</td>
<td>58 ± 5</td>
<td>9 to 17</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RER</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.15 to 0.20</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPE</td>
<td>3 ± 1</td>
<td>6 ± 2</td>
<td>2 to 5</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACES</td>
<td>59 ± 9</td>
<td>64 ± 8</td>
<td>-3 to 12</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work performed (kJ)</td>
<td>134 ± 21</td>
<td>134 ± 21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Expenditure (kJ)</td>
<td>656 ± 113</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Girls†</strong>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HR (b·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>133 ± 12</td>
<td>155 ± 7</td>
<td>15 to 29</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HR (% HR_max)</td>
<td>68 ± 6</td>
<td>78 ± 3</td>
<td>8 to 15</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean $\bar{VO}_2$ (L·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.18 to 0.32</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean $\bar{VO}_2$ (% $\bar{VO}_2$ max)</td>
<td>53 ± 6</td>
<td>66 ± 5</td>
<td>10 to 16</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RER</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.08 to 0.17</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPE</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
<td>7 ± 1</td>
<td>3 to 5</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACES</td>
<td>58 ± 5</td>
<td>64 ± 5</td>
<td>1 to 12</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work performed (kJ)</td>
<td>106 ± 12</td>
<td>106 ± 12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Expenditure (kJ)</td>
<td>545 ± 68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR, heart rate; $\bar{VO}_2$, oxygen uptake; MIE, moderate-intensity exercise trial; HIIE, high-intensity exercise trial; 95% CI = 95% confidence interval for the true difference; *ES* = effect size

Data presented as mean ± SD for MIE and HIIE

* $n = 10$ apart from mean HR where $n = 9$ due to data loss
† $n = 10$ apart from mean HR where $n = 8$ due to data loss
### Table 3: Postprandial plasma [TAG] and [glucose]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th></th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>MIE</td>
<td>HIIE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAUC-TAG (mmol·L⁻¹·4 h)</td>
<td>3.53 ± 1.54</td>
<td>3.36 ± 1.16</td>
<td>3.46 ± 1.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAUC-TAG (mmol·L⁻¹·4 h)</td>
<td>1.98 ± 0.83</td>
<td>2.01 ± 0.80</td>
<td>2.18 ± 0.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAUC-glucose (mmol·L⁻¹·4 h)</td>
<td>20.59 ± 1.00</td>
<td>20.98 ± 1.29</td>
<td>20.39 ± 1.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAUC-glucose (mmol·L⁻¹·4 h)</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.92</td>
<td>0.91 ± 1.80</td>
<td>0.92 ± 1.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAUC-TAG (mmol·L⁻¹·4 h)</td>
<td>3.89 ± 2.46</td>
<td>3.35 ± 1.46</td>
<td>2.99 ± 0.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAUC-TAG (mmol·L⁻¹·4 h)</td>
<td>2.37 ± 1.71</td>
<td>1.57 ± 0.97 †</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.36 †</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAUC-glucose (mmol·L⁻¹·4 h)</td>
<td>20.46 ± 0.80</td>
<td>20.23 ± 1.12</td>
<td>20.02 ± 1.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAUC-glucose (mmol·L⁻¹·4 h)</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.76</td>
<td>0.67 ± 1.29</td>
<td>0.46 ± 3.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TAUC, total area under the curve; IAUC, incremental area under the curve; [TAG], plasma triacylglycerol; MIE, moderate-intensity exercise trial; HIIE, high-intensity interval exercise trial.

* = *P*<0.05 for MIE vs CON.
† = *P*<0.05 for HIIE boys vs girls.
Figure 1 Protocol schematic. 1 = rest; 2 = moderate-intensity exercise; 3 = high-intensity interval exercise. Arrows represent capillary blood samples for plasma [TAG] and glucose; grey boxes represent the assessment of resting metabolic rate and blood pressure; HFM = high fat meal.
Figure 2 Mean postprandial plasma triacylglycerol ([TAG]) and [glucose] for the control (○), moderate-(▲) and high-(■) intensity exercise conditions for boys (A, C) and girls (B, D). Error bars are omitted for clarity. The high fat meal (HFM) is represented by the black rectangle.
Figure 3 Mean total area under the curves for postprandial fat oxidation (A) and systolic blood pressure vs time (4 hours; B), and heart rate (C) collapsed for the boys and girls (n=20). CON, control trial (○); MIE, moderate-intensity exercise trial (▲); HIIE, high-intensity interval exercise trial (■). ** = P<0.001 for HIIE vs CON; * = P<0.05 for HIIE vs CON; # = P<0.001 for HIIE vs MIE; # = P<0.05 for HIIE vs MIE. The high fat meal (HFM) is represented by the black rectangle. Error bars describe the standard deviation.