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ABSTRACT

Due to the squeeze on public expenditure, the funding cuts imposed on the police provide a great impetus to find an efficient incident response sequence with limited resources. This is especially the case for police response systems which exhibit the characteristics of time-varying volume of demand. In this paper, we investigate two types of priority queues in the patrol service system. Both the incident arrival rate and the scheduled staff level change with time. For such a system, there is no analytical model available to give close-form performance, so simulation is used for the study. Although dynamic priority queues which enable more flexibility in setting the sequence of service requests are widely applied in many service systems, such as the NHS service system, the simulation model results show that in police patrol service systems static priority queue performs better.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Performance measures of police patrol service systems typically focus on response times, especially for incidents which need to be responded to immediately. Unlike manufacturing or transportation systems with well scheduled demand, police emergency service providers always face time-dependent service requests. Patrol officers must be ready to respond to an assigned incident of which the time and place cannot be known in advance. Management of staff allocation is usually based on a reasonable demand prediction using historical records so moderate understaffed periods may occur in practice.

In police patrol service systems, call handlers in front desks divide reported incidents into four grades based on their urgency. Only two grades of incidents, emergency incidents with threat to life and priority incidents with necessary officer attendance, require immediate response. The common target in the UK is to attend over 85% of emergency incidents within 15 minutes and reaching over 80% of priority incidents in 60 minutes (Leicestershire Police, 2013). With a lack of staff, police patrol service systems may fail to meet service targets, so there is a trade-off between satisfying emergency response targets and satisfying priority response targets. Similar to call centre systems, police patrol service systems can be modelled as a
queueing system. A good performance in responding to emergency incidents, with an acceptable priority incident response speed, is preferable for selecting a queue rule since emergency incidents have more serious consequences if they fail to be responded to in time. It cannot be assumed that such targets can be met when incidents are served on a first come first serve basis. Two types of queues can be used to model the police response system. Static priority queue, which serves priority incidents only when there is no incident response speed, is preferable for selecting a queue rule since emergency incidents have more serious consequences if they fail to be responded to in time. It cannot be assumed that such targets can be met when incidents are served on a first come first serve basis. Two types of queues can be used to model the police response system. Static priority queue, which serves priority incidents only when there is no emergency incidents presented, may reduce the speed in responding to priority incidents. Dynamic priority queues, including accumulating priority queues and threshold priority queues, that provide extra flexibility in service sequence have been already investigated in some service systems, such as the NHS. The work presented in this paper investigates the service quality in police patrol service systems with alternate moderate under-staffed and over-staffed periods via simulation. Extending previous work on priority queue systems with multi-grade arrivals, both arrival rate and staff level vary over time in this simulation model. According to the simulation results, static priority queues perform better than dynamic priority queues in police patrol service systems when service targets are hard to be achieved due to lack of staff.

The remainder of the paper is arranged as follows. The next section reviews the existing work on priority queues. Section 3 compares two different dynamic priority queues using simulation. First come first serve queues and static priority queues are discussed as special cases of dynamic priority queues. The last section gives conclusions and discusses the future research direction.

2 EXISTING MODELS OF PRIORITY QUEUES

Traditional static priority queues are analysed under the assumption that requests for service have fixed non-pre-emptive priorities. In such situations, no service resource will be allocated to requests for service from lower urgency grades while there are some high urgent grade requests present. This priority queueing sequence does make sense in some situations such as computer systems and telecommunication systems (Choi and Chang, 1999). However, in some situations like medical emergency service systems and police patrol service systems, requests for service from different urgency grades have different service targets and absolute priority for service does not exist (Henderson and Mason, 2004; Metropolitan Police, 2013). High urgency grade requests for service expect to receive a faster service than low urgency grade requests. Several dynamic priority queues have been proposed to provide more flexibility in response sequences. Among all the priority queues, accumulating priority queues and threshold priority queues attract the most interest.

Accumulating priority queue was used for a steady state queue by Kleinrock (1964). Upon arrival, queueing index of service start accumulating linearly over time. The higher the urgency grade, the greater the accumulation rate of queueing index function. Requests for service from low urgency grades, which experiences a long waiting time, will eventually be able to receive services even if some more urgent requests exist. Then Kleinrock and Finkelstein (1967) extended this method in which queueing index increases in proportion to some arbitrary power of waiting time. Another variant has been applied by Hay et al. (2006) in which initial queueing index also depends on urgency grades of requests for service. Recently Stanford et al. (2014) and Sharif et al. (2014) have derived waiting time distributions for linear accumulated priority queues for single server systems and multi-server systems in steady state.

Threshold priority queues have been investigated by setting thresholds to upgrade requests for service from low urgency grades so that they can be served when high urgency grade requests are still waiting in queues. In the model of Ridley et al. (2003), low urgency grade requests have dynamic priorities where they become high urgency if their waiting time exceeds a given value. Knessl et al. (2003) proposed a dynamic priority queue system in which once there are more than a certain number of requests from low urgency grades waiting for service, the head of low urgency grade request queues will be either upgraded or abandoned. Gurvich and Whitt (2010) proposed a queue length ratio threshold where the next service will be allocated to service requests from the head of the queue with certain service urgency whose queue length exceeds a specified proportion of the total queue length. Later Perry and Whitt (2013) introduced a
six-dimensional fluid model to approximate queue performances of fixed staff levels with time varying arrivals.

Compared to traditional static priority queues, dynamic priority queues may perform better in aligning service accessibilities with service target of different urgent grades of requests for service when there are insufficient staff available (Sharif et al., 2014). In practice, police patrol service systems will experience some moderate understaffed periods due to staff unavailability. This paper is primarily concerned with system performances of different priority queues in the case of slight overload. The police forces prefer to select a priority queueing system which has a high tolerance for short periods of understaffing.

3 SIMULATION MODEL FOR MULTI-GRADE SYSTEM

A discrete event simulation model is developed to replicate police patrol service systems for a period of 24 hours by a non-preemptive queue with time dependent Poisson arrivals. Time-varying requests for service arrival rate of all incidents, $\lambda(t)$, is estimated hourly by historical records from the local police force. Figure 1 plots the estimated time-varying arrival rate.

![Figure 1 Time-Varying Arrival Rate per Hour](image)

There are two urgent grades which have different service targets as defined in real police systems. In this simulation model, service durations are the time spend on dealing with incidents, which are restricted to a common exponential distribution. The elapsed times for patrol officers to travel to the scene of incidents are also modelled by an exponential distribution. According to real patrol activity records, the simulation parameters are listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urgency Grade</th>
<th>Arrival Rate</th>
<th>Service Time</th>
<th>Travel Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Emergency</td>
<td>30% $\lambda(t)$ / hour</td>
<td>60 minutes</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Priority</td>
<td>70% $\lambda(t)$ / hour</td>
<td>on average</td>
<td>on average</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A working day for patrol activities start from 7am and finish at 7am. Although police patrol service systems run continuously from one day to the next, the demand for service around 7am in the morning is very low and the system around that time is almost empty. Therefore each day can be viewed as separated and each replication can simulate a 24-hour working day. The service quality is estimated hourly via simulation. The delay in response of the current hour is postponed to the next hour. Assume there are five predefined shifts for staff to take. Some overlaps of shifts exist to cover the rush hours of time-varying arrivals of requests for service. Thus, a working day is divided into several separated periods of different staff levels. With the help of integer programming, the staff level for each shift and each period in the simulation model is set as summarized in Table 2.
At time $t$, patrol officers have been dealing with existing incidents for a period of $\mu$, where incidents arrive in the system earlier than $t-\mu$. Thus the average number of busy patrol officers $W(t)$, as defined in Jennings et al. (1996), is:

$$W(t) = \int_0^t \{ \lambda (t-\mu) \cdot \text{Probability(service} \text{time} > \mu ) \} d\mu \quad (1)$$

Since the average number of busy staff does not take the service targets into consideration, it may slightly underestimate the required staff level but still is a reasonable guideline. Figure 2 compares the input staff level in this simulation model (Table 2) in the straight lines, with the average number of busy patrol officers calculated via Equation (1) in the curve line. It is obvious that the simulation system will experience moderate under-staffing around 10:00, 14:00, 18:00, 20:00 and midnight. The following simulation analysis will mainly focus on the system performance of these understaffed periods.

**Table 2 Input Staff Levels for Each Replication**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shift</td>
<td>from 07:00 to 11:00</td>
<td>from 11:00 to 15:00</td>
<td>from 15:00 to 19:00</td>
<td>from 19:00 to 23:00</td>
<td>from 23:00 to 03:00</td>
<td>from 03:00 to 07:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A (07:00-15:00)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (11:00-19:00)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (15:00-23:00)</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (19:00-03:00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E (23:00-07:00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Level</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The queueing algorithms to be considered are essentially the accumulating priority queues discussed in Stanford et al. (2014) and the threshold priority queues discussed in Gurvich and Whitt (2010). First come first serve queues and static priority queues are also discussed as special cases in both the queue systems. The successful response probabilities are estimated by performing multiple (1,000) independent replications of simulation.

### 3.1 Accumulating Priority Queues

According to the work of Stanford et al. (2014), an emergency incident which arrives at time $t_0$ has a queueing index of $Q_i(t_0, t)$. Similarly, the queueing index for priority incidents are defined as $Q_A(t_0, t)$, where:

$$Q_i(t_0, t) = b_i \cdot (t-t_0), \quad i \in \{1(\text{emergency incidents}), 2(\text{priority incidents})\}$$

At the time when a patrol officer finishes the current work and becomes available, the incident which has the highest queueing index will then be attended by this officer. Figure 3 illustrates the operation of an accumulating priority queue.
Zhang, Jackson, Tako, and Liu

Figure 3 Example of an Accumulating Priority Queue

The upwards arrows in the lower portion of Figure 3 represent the arrival times of four incidents in this example, where incident 1 and incident 4 are emergency incidents; incident 2 and incident 3 are priority incidents. The accumulation rate for emergency incidents, \( b_1 \), is larger than the rate for priority incidents \( b_2 \). The downwards arrows in the lower portion of Figure 3 indicate the time points when there is a patrol officer available to attend an incident waiting for service. Whenever there is an available patrol officer, this patrol officer will attend the incident which accumulates the highest queueing index at this moment. For example, at the time that officer C is available, incident 3 and incident 4 are still waiting to be responded to. Although incident 4 arrived later than incident 3, officer C is assigned to attend incident 4 because incident 4 has a higher queueing index at this moment. Similar to the dispatch of officer C, officer A, B and D are assigned to attend incident 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

The accumulation rates, \( b_1 \) and \( b_2 \), do not directly influence the service sequence, but their ratio. Assume the accumulation rate for emergency incidents \( b_1 \) is 1, the priority incident accumulation rate \( b_2 \) should take values between 0 to 1 since more service resources are dispatched to deal with emergency incidents in practice. This accumulating priority algorithm is applied to simulate police patrol service systems with time varying arrivals. Figure 4 summaries the simulated police patrol service systems when taking different values of \( b_2/b_1 \).

Figure 4 Proportion of Emergency Incidents (a) and Priority Incidents (b) Responded within Targets
Using the Accumulating Priority Queue When $b_2/b_1 = 0.1, 0.5$ and $1.0$

Due to the staff unavailability at 10:00, 14:00 and 20:00, the simulation fails to meet the set targets (more than 85% emergency incidents are responded to within 15 minutes and 80% priority incidents are responded to within 60 minutes) no matter how the value of $b_2/b_1$ changes as shown in Figure 4. When $b_2/b_1 = 1.00$, as shown in the dot-dashed line, the accumulation rate for priority incidents is the same as the rate for emergency incidents, so this priority queue degenerates into a first come first serve queue. As the value of $b_2/b_1$ decreases, emergency incidents have more advantage in being responded to than priority incidents. Comparing $b_2/b_1 = 0.50$ in the dashed line to $b_2/b_1 = 0.10$ in the dotted line, a conclusion could be drawn that the value of $b_2/b_1$ does not have a big impact on the service for priority incidents, but the service for emergency incidents is largely improved when $b_2/b_1$ decreases. A paired-t test is performed to compare service quality change between emergency incidents and priority incidents. The data for the paired-t test are summarized in Table 3. With a t-statistic of 8.08 and the degree of freedom of 23, the p-value of this paired-t test is smaller than 0.01% which confirms the above conclusion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Respond to Emergency Incidents within 15 minutes</th>
<th>Respond to Priority Incidents within 60 minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>from $b_2 = 0.50$ to $b_2 = 0.10$</td>
<td>Absolute Difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07:00 - 08:00</td>
<td>97.81%</td>
<td>98.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:00 - 09:00</td>
<td>97.65%</td>
<td>98.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06:00 - 07:00</td>
<td>93.95%</td>
<td>94.50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2 Threshold Priority Queues

In queue length ratio based threshold priority queues, choices of service sequence are based on incident queue length ratio of different urgency grades. Incidents of certain urgency grade which exceeds its threshold queue length ratio the most will get the next service resource. Define the priority incident queue length ratio, $r$, as the ratio of number of waiting priority incidents to amount of total incidents waiting for service.

$$r = \frac{\text{amount of priority incidents waiting for service}}{\text{amount of total incidents waiting for service}} \in (0, 1]$$

In the simulation model of patrol police service system, there are only two urgency grades of incidents. The emergency incident queue length ratio can be denoted by $1-r$. Once the queue length ratio of priority incidents $r$ exceeds the threshold value $r_b$, the next available officer will attend the incident at the head of the priority queue; otherwise, this officer will be allocated to the incident at the head of the emergency queue. Figure 5 is a graphical illustration of this queue length ratio based threshold priority queue.
Since 70% of incidents require priority response and emergency incidents should at least have the same priority to access to services, only the queue length threshold ratio $r_0$ of the value no less than 0.70 will be discussed. Figure 6 presents the simulation results. The percentages of successful emergency responses within 15 minutes are shown in Fig 6a and the percentages of successful priority response within 60 minutes are shown in Fig 6b, where $r_0$ is set to 1.00, 0.90, 0.80 and 0.70 using a solid line, dotted line, dashed line and dot-dashed line, respectively.

There is no significant difference in responding to priority incidents when taking different values of the threshold queue ratio $r_0$. The best performance is obtained when the ratio $r_0$ is 1.00, which corresponds to static priority queue. Comparing these four lines, the simulation results indicate that with an increase in the value of the queue length threshold ratio $r_0$, the effect of the under-staffing in responding to emergency incidents decreases. The service target for emergency incidents is achieved when $r_0 = 1.00$ and the percentage of successful responded to emergency incidents is around 85% when $r_0 = 0.90$ regardless of the occurrence of under-staffing periods at 14:00, 18:00 and 21:00. Paired-t tests with all the p-values less than 0.01% confirm that emergency response service quality is more sensitive to the change of $r_0$. Table 5 summaries percentage changes of service quality as the data for the paired-t tests.
3.3 Discussion

In both the accumulating priority queues and the threshold priority queues, emergency responses are more sensitive than the priority responses to the parameter values. A possible explanation is that the service target for emergency incidents is stricter and more difficult to be achieved. Even when the accumulation rate is set to 0.1 in the accumulating priority queue, the service target for responding to emergency incidents fails to be met at about 10:00. The rest, 70% of total simulated incidents, are priority incidents which occupy the major part of the patrol service resource.

The best service for emergency incidents is obtained when performing static priority queue which is a special case of threshold priority queue with the queue length ratio threshold $r_0 = 1.00$. The accumulating priority queues with the accumulation rate ratio $b_2/b_1= 0.10$ approximates the behavior of static priority queues by assigning emergency incidents a large accumulation rate. A reasonable assertion can be made that with a large enough accumulation rate ratio $b_2/b_1$, the accumulating priority queue will eventually become a static priority queue. The best service for priority incidents is obtained when performing a accumulating priority queue with the accumulation rate ratio $b_2/b_1= 1.00$. It is exactly a first come first serve queue regardless of urgency grades, the simulated service system meets the priority incident service target with sacrificing the service quality of the emergency incidents.

Compared with emergency incidents, police service quality of priority responses is not sensitive to the parameter values, static priority queues which always give priority to respond to emergency incidents is preferable for police patrol operations. No matter how the values of parameters change, the service targets for incidents in both the urgent grades cannot be met at the same time because of a lack of staff. More staff should be added into the system for a better service.

4 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper, we compare two types of priority queues, the accumulating priority queue and the threshold priority queue, for real time deployment of patrol officers in police patrol service system. Although dynamic priority queues seem to perform better in aligning service resources to service targets for a multi-priority system, traditional static priority queue still provides an efficient way to set the service sequence when the service target from the higher urgency grade is hard to achieve in moderate under-staffed periods.

All results are subject to change depending on the simulation input parameters, such as the patrol officer service rates, the travel time and the number of staff on each shift. An obvious extension of current simulation models is that the required service resource may vary with the nature of incidents. For example, a road related incident may require two patrol officers to attend at the same time but to attend a serious street fighting incident may require more patrol officers to work together. Due to differences in patrol policies, in some police area the graphical factors may also influence the service targets. According to Nottinghamshire Police HQ(2015), the service target for emergency incidents is 20 minutes in rural area and 15 minutes in urban area. Since most police cars are equipped with GPS in the UK, with the GPS

---

Table 5 Average Percentage Service Quality Change for Paired-t Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$r_0$ from to</th>
<th>0.70</th>
<th>0.80</th>
<th>0.90</th>
<th>1.00</th>
<th>0.70</th>
<th>0.80</th>
<th>0.90</th>
<th>1.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10.75%</td>
<td>30.10%</td>
<td>46.06%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.79%</td>
<td>4.17%</td>
<td>5.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>9.24%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16.52%</td>
<td>30.31%</td>
<td>1.85%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.54%</td>
<td>3.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>20.49%</td>
<td>13.07%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11.13%</td>
<td>4.47%</td>
<td>2.64%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>27.43%</td>
<td>20.92%</td>
<td>9.59%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.76%</td>
<td>3.84%</td>
<td>1.48%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
information of available patrol officers patrol activity dispatchers in the police force is able to contact the most efficient police officer to attend incidents and an improvement in service could be expected. Combined with integer programming models, the simulation will be able to indicate more effective staffing levels.
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Comment 2: There are a few typos in the paper need correcting.
Response: The paper has been checked to improve the spelling.
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