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Abstract

Plasma heat shock protein 70 (HSP70) concentrations rise during heat stress, which can independently induce cytokine production. Upper body exercise normally results in modest body temperature elevations. The aim of this study was to investigate the impacts of additional clothing on the body temperature, cytokine and HSP70 responses during this exercise modality. Thirteen male individuals performed 45-min constant load arm cranking at 63% maximum aerobic power (62±7%\(\bar{VO}_2\)peak) in either a non-permeable whole body suit (intervention, INT) or shorts and T-shirt (control, CON). Exercise resulted in a significant increase of IL-6 and IL-1ra plasma concentrations (\(P<0.001\)), with no difference between conditions (\(P>0.19\)). The increase in HSP70 from pre to post was only significant for INT (0.12±0.11ng·mL\(^{-1}\), \(P<0.01\); vs 0.04±0.18 ng·mL\(^{-1}\), \(P=0.77\)). Immediately following exercise, T\(_{core}\) was elevated by 0.46±0.29 (INT) and 0.37±0.23\(^\circ\)C (CON), respectively (\(P<0.01\)), with no difference between conditions (\(P=0.16\)). The rise in mean T\(_{skin}\) (2.88±0.50 and 0.30±0.89\(^\circ\)C, respectively) and maximum heat storage (3.24±1.08 and 1.20±1.04J·g\(^{-1}\), respectively) were higher during INT (\(P<0.01\)). Despite large differences in heat storage between conditions, the HSP70 elevations during INT, even though significant, were very modest. Possibly, the T\(_{core}\) elevations were too low to induce a more pronounced HSP70 response to ultimately affect cytokine production.
1 Introduction

Several chronic diseases such as cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes and some cancers are associated with chronic low grade inflammation, which manifests as elevated resting concentration of a range of pro-inflammatory markers. Regular exercise has the potential to reduce the resting concentration of these markers, which may lower the disease risk and therefore represent a non-pharmacological disease prevention approach. It has been suggested that this may be partly a result of the repeated creation of an acute anti-inflammatory environment in the recovery period after each bout of exercise (Gleeson et al., 2011). This anti-inflammatory environment may be partly created by acute secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-6 (IL-6) that can independently up-regulate anti-inflammatory markers such as IL-10 or IL-1ra (Steensberg, Fischer, Keller, Moller & Pedersen, 2003).

An acute cytokine response, similar to the one found following exercise, has also been reported following temperature increases in non-exercising conditions: this response has been found both in isolated muscle ex vivo (Welc, Judge & Clanton, 2013), but also when passively immersing humans in hot water (Leicht et al., 2015; Laing et al., 2008). Increased circulating cytokines in hot conditions may be a result of an increased secretion by immune cells that can be found in higher numbers in the circulation (Starkie, Hargreaves, Rolland & Febbraio, 2005) and may change their secretory behaviour. Heat can also induce the formation of heat shock factors within cells, which contribute to the transcription of IL-6 protein (Welc & Clanton, 2013). Finally, a range of conditions associated with stress, including exercise or heat exposure, are linked to elevated plasma concentrations of the 70 kDa family of heat shock proteins (HSP70/HSP72) (Whitham &
Fortes, 2008). With respect to the inflammatory response, it is important to note that HSP70 can independently induce the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Tsan & Gao, 2004; Wallin et al., 2002).

Populations restricted to upper body exercise include wheelchair users or those with lower limb injuries. Such populations are at a higher risk to develop chronic disease associated with chronic low grade inflammation (Myers, Lee & Kiratli, 2007). This may be a result of a smaller physical capacity, a smaller active muscle mass that may affect cytokine production and reduced access to and therefore participation in sports. Furthermore, temperature effects on the inflammatory response are likely to be markedly reduced as the core temperature ($T_{core}$) increase during upper body exercise is substantially lower when compared with lower body exercise of the same relative intensity (Gass & Gass, 1998). Whilst upper body exercise is a modality that can induce an acute cytokine response at room temperature (Leicht, Paulson, Goosey-Tolfrey & Bishop, 2016; Paulson, Goosey-Tolfrey, Leicht & Bishop, 2015), enhancing this response in these at risk populations may help to optimise individual exercise sessions with regards to the inflammatory profile. A promising method to enhance the cytokine response may be the addition of heat stress to exercise, which has been shown to be an effective method during lower body exercise (Laing et al., 2008; Starkie et al., 2005; Brenner et al., 1999). Whether similar effects can be observed in the upper body exercise modality with a reduced heat production remains to be elucidated.

The aim of this study was to investigate the impacts of heat stress on the temperature, cytokine and HSP70 responses during upper body exercise. We hypothesized that
exercise alone will result in acute elevations of cytokines and HSP70, but that this response would be magnified with additional heat stress.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Participants

Thirteen recreationally trained male individuals (age: 24 ± 2 years; body mass: 83.7 ± 9.7 kg; height: 181 ± 8 cm) volunteered to participate in this study, which was approved by the University’s Ethics committee, conforming to the requirements stipulated in the Declaration of Helsinki. They were moderately trained (sporting activity: 4.0 ± 1.6 h·week⁻¹), with an arm exercise \( \dot{V}O_\text{2peak} \) of 2.7 ± 0.6 L·min⁻¹ and 32.9 ± 8.5 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, respectively. Their peak heart rate during this modality was 181 ± 7 b·min⁻¹.

2.2 Experimental design

Participants visited the laboratory on three occasions for one preliminary and two main trials. In the preliminary trial, body mass and height were determined (seca 285, seca GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) followed by determination of peak oxygen consumption (\( \dot{V}O_\text{2peak} \)) during arm exercise using an arm crank ergometer (Angio, Lode, Groningen, Holland). Participants performed a graded exercise test to exhaustion, with an initial power output of 35 W; every three minutes power output was increased by 15 W until exhaustion. Maximum power output (\( P_{\text{max}} \)) was defined as the work rate achieved during the final minute of the test. If the final stage was not fully completed, \( P_{\text{max}} \) was set prorata according to the fraction of time of the completed 3-min stage. Exercise was performed in a sitting position, the centre of the crank at shoulder level with arms slightly flexed at maximum reach. Settings were noted and used for all main trials. Oxygen
uptake was determined in each stage using the Douglas bag technique with a Servomex 1440 (Servomex Ltd, Crowborough, UK), the highest value was defined as \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{peak} \).

Main trials consisted of 45 min of steady state arm cranking exercise at 65\% \( P_{\text{max}} \) for the first 30 min and at 60\% \( P_{\text{max}} \) for the final 15 min. The control (CON) trial was performed in normal sportswear (shorts and T-shirt) with a fan directed at the participant throughout the exercise. In the intervention (INT) trial an additional full body suit made of non-permeable Bri-Nylon fabric including hood was worn during the exercise, leaving only the feet, hands and face exposed; no fan was used in this condition, and in the recovery the same sportswear as for CON was worn.

Main trials were performed in a randomised order after a 24 h food standardisation period without caffeine and with no exercise allowed 24 h before the experiments. To account for diurnal variations of \( T_{\text{core}} \), exercise tests were performed at midday (start: 11:00-13:40) for all participants and at the same time of day for each individual participant.

Upon arrival to the laboratory, participants were fitted with a rectal thermometer (YSI 400 series, OH, USA) and skin thermistors (DS1922L-F5 thermochrons, Homechip Ltd, Milton Keynes, UK) at 10 locations using strips of water-permeable surgical tape (3M Transpore, Loughborough, UK) according to the site-modified Colin and Houdas formula (Choi, Miki, Sagawa & Shiraki, 1997). Trials were performed at room temperature (INT 20.6 ± 0.5 °C, CON 20.7 ± 0.5 °C) and a relative humidity of 34.8 ± 6.1\% (INT) and 34.8 ± 5.1 (CON), respectively. Resting temperature data were obtained following 5 min of seated rest wearing shorts and a T-shirt. A five-minute warm-up in shorts and T-shirt was then performed at 50\% of the start power output before each condition. During exercise, oxygen uptake was determined in 7.5 min intervals, and heart rate continuously.
monitored (RS400, POLAR, Kempele, Finland) monitor. Participants indicated their rating of perceived exertion (RPE) on a scale ranging from 6 to 20 (Borg, 1982) and their exercise comfort from -5 to +5 (Hardy & Rejeski, 1989). Participants indicated their thermal perception from “very cold” (0) to “very hot” (20) (Lee, Stone, Wakabayashi & Tochihara, 2010) and their thermal comfort from “maximally uncomfortable” (0) to “maximally comfortable” (20) (Nakamura et al., 2008) on a visual analogue scale every 7.5 min. Water during exercise was given ad libitum, food and other drinks than water were not allowed during the main trials.

Venous blood samples were collected into K$_3$EDTA containers from a superficial arm vein with participants in a supine position before, immediately after, and at 2 h after exercise. Haemoglobin concentration was determined in duplicate using the cyanmethaemoglobin method using a spectrophotometer (CECIL CE1011, Cecil Instruments Ltd., Cambridge, UK). Haematocrit was determined using a microlitre centrifuge (Mikro20, Andreas Hettich GmbH, Tuttlingen, Germany). Plasma volume changes were estimated from haemoglobin and haematocrit (Dill & Costill, 1974) and plasma concentration of all analytes corrected for changes in plasma volume.

Following centrifugation (5 min at 3000 rpm and 4°C) plasma was stored at -20 °C until analysis. The following analytes were determined in duplicate by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using a microplate reader (Varioskan Flash, ThermoScientific, Waltham, USA): IL-6 (high sensitivity), IL-1ra (R&D systems, Minneapolis, US), and HSP70 (Amp’d HSP70 High Sensitivity, Enzo Life Sciences, Farmingdale, US). The within assay co-efficients of variation for the analyses based on evaluation of the standards were: IL-6 9.6 ± 7.2%, IL-1ra 6.9 ± 4.9%, HSP70 5.2 ± 3.3%.
2.3 Data processing and statistical analyses

Skin temperature ($T_{\text{skin}}$) was recorded every 5 s throughout exercise and a 30 min recovery period and averaged every 7.5 min for each thermistor location independently. Mean $T_{\text{sk}}$ was calculated according to the modified Colin and Houdas formula (Choi et al., 1997). Heat storage was determined as:

$$\text{Heat storage (J·g}^{-1}) = (0.8 \cdot \Delta T_{\text{core}} + 0.2 \cdot \Delta T_{\text{skin}}) \cdot c_b$$

where $c_b$ is the specific heat of body tissue ($3.49 \text{ J·g}^{-1} \cdot \text{°C}^{-1}$) and $\Delta T_{\text{core}}$ and $\Delta T_{\text{skin}}$ indicate the changes in $T_{\text{core}}$ and $T_{\text{sk}}$ from rest to the respective time point (Havenith, Luttikholt & Vrijkotte, 1995). Sweat production was calculated subtracting the body mass measured after recovery from body mass before exercise, accounting for fluid intake during this period.

The SPSS 22.0 statistical package (SPSS Inc., Chicago IL, USA) was used for all statistical analyses. Means and standard deviations were computed for all variables, and normality was checked with the Shapiro Wilk test. Non-normal data were converted using logarithmic transformations to achieve normality. A repeated measures two-way (exercise modality, time) analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted on normally distributed blood derived and temperature variables. Huynh-Feldt corrections were applied when sphericity was violated and Sidak adjustments applied for any post-hoc comparisons. The plasma marker changes from pre to post were additionally analysed using one sample t-tests against a test value of Zero for both exercise conditions separately, and effect sizes (ES) were calculated for these analyses (Cohen’s $d = \frac{t \text{ test statistic}}{\sqrt{N}}$). Temperature data were further analysed between conditions using paired sample t-tests. Physiological exercise descriptors were analysed using a one-way
(exercise modality) repeated measures ANOVA or the non-parametric equivalents for non-normal and RPE data; subjective responses were analysed for the last 7.5 min of exercise. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals (CI) were calculated for differences between conditions and/or time points; Bonferroni corrections were applied for any multiple comparisons. Statistical significance was accepted at $P < 0.05$. Post hoc power calculations performed with GPower 3.1 indicated that the statistical power for the pre to post HSP70 response was 0.97 for INT.

3 Results

Exercise resulted in a significant increase of IL-6 and IL-1ra plasma concentrations ($P < 0.001$), which did not differ between conditions ($P > 0.19$, Figure 1). The increase in HSP70 from pre to post was only significantly different from Zero for INT (INT: $P = 0.004$, CI = 0.05 – 0.18 ng·mL$^{-1}$, ES = 1.06; CON: $P = 0.77$, CI = -0.06 – 0.15 ng·mL$^{-1}$, ES = 0.25), whereas it was significantly different from Zero for both INT and CON for IL-6 (INT: $P < 0.001$, CI = 0.91 – 1.80 pg·mL$^{-1}$, ES = 1.84; CON: $P = 0.002$, CI = 0.62 – 1.85 pg·mL$^{-1}$, ES = 1.22; Figure 2).

Oxygen uptake (62 ± 6 vs 62 ± 7 %VO$_{2\text{peak}}$, $P = 0.51$, CI = -4 – 3 %VO$_{2\text{peak}}$) and respiratory exchange rate (1.06 ± 0.04 vs 1.05 ± 0.05, $P = 0.50$, CI = -0.02 – 0.04) did not differ between conditions. Mean exercise heart rate was significantly elevated in INT vs CON (143 ± 13 vs 134 ± 14 beats·min$^{-1}$, $P = 0.004$, CI = 4 – 15 beats·min$^{-1}$). Exercise significantly elevated $T_{\text{core}}$ in both conditions ($P < 0.001$), however, a significant time x condition interaction was found ($P = 0.006$), and the $T_{\text{core}}$ change was elevated...
throughout the trial ($P = 0.001$, CI = 0.07 – 0.23 °C·h$^{-1}$) (Figure 3). This resulted in a
significant difference in $T_{\text{core}}$ between conditions which became apparent in the recovery
period ($P = 0.03$, CI = 0.10 – 0.36 °C). The mean $T_{\text{skin}}$ (CI = 1.36 – 1.98 °C) and heat
storage (CI = 0.74 – 1.62 J·g$^{-1}$) were significantly elevated during INT ($P < 0.05$, Figure 3).

Sweat production was significantly elevated in INT (0.75 ± 0.28 vs 0.49 ± 0.19 L, $P <
0.001$, CI = 0.15 – 0.36 L) with no differences in water consumed between conditions
(INT: 0.67 ± 0.35 vs CON: 0.47 ± 0.34 L, $P = 0.09$, CI = -0.44 – 0.04 L). All perceptual
measures for effort and temperature were different between conditions (Table I).

****Table I near here****

4 Discussion

The main finding of this study was that whilst arm cranking exercise did induce acute
elevations of IL-6 and IL-1ra concentrations, the cytokine response was not magnified by
increasing heat stress. Exercising in a full body suit, however, induced a small but
significant increase of HSP70 plasma concentration, which was absent when exercising
in normal sportswear. The whole body suit only mildly affected the $T_{\text{core}}$ response, but
induced a ~3-fold rise in heat storage.

To our knowledge, this is the first study investigating the impact of heat stress on the
cytokine and HSP70 response in upper body exercise. Given the importance of
temperature elevations in this response (Welc et al., 2012), this exercise modality may
particularly benefit from interventions increasing heat stress, as in contrast to lower body
exercise, upper body exercise generates less metabolic heat and as such the increases in

$T_{\text{core}}$ for the same relative exercise intensity are lower for this modality (Gass & Gass,

1998). Lower body exercise comparable in relative intensity and duration as the present

study induces two to three times the increase in $T_{\text{core}}$ when performed in cool or

thermoneutral conditions (Gibson et al., 2014; Whitham, Laing, Jackson, Maassen &

Walsh, 2007; Niess et al., 2003). Previous studies support the hypothesis that exercise

which results in a higher $T_{\text{core}}$ magnifies the IL-6 response when compared to a control

condition. Some studies show trends (Niess et al., 2003), whilst for others the difference

is significant (Laing et al., 2008; Starkie et al., 2005; Brenner et al., 1999). However, it is

important to note that the difference in $T_{\text{core}}$ between conditions in these studies is more

pronounced when compared with the present study, and in the range of 1-2 $^\circ C$ following

exercise. Even though a significant difference between conditions in the $T_{\text{core}}$ change was

found in the present study, the resulting difference in $T_{\text{core}}$ was small (~0.2 $^\circ C$) and not

apparent until 30 min post exercise. It is therefore possible-likely that the minimal impact

of additional clothing on $T_{\text{core}}$ was insufficient to substantially modify the IL-6 and IL-1ra

response. Hence, it cannot be ruled out that more pronounced increases in $T_{\text{core}}$ would

have led to a greater increase in cytokines in the upper body exercise modality as

demonstrated previously for lower body exercise (Laing et al., 2008; Starkie et al., 2005;

Brenner et al., 1999). Evidence from non-exercising studies, either based on incubation

experiments (Welc et al., 2012) or passive hyperthermia in animals (Welc et al., 2012) or

humans (Leicht et al., 2015), supports the concept of the independent up-regulation of IL-

6 protein by temperature only. However, the approach of the present study to use a whole

body suit to increase heat stress must be reconsidered in the context of increasing $T_{\text{core}}$.

Even though it represents a practical method that could be applied more easily on a

population level than water immersion based procedures or exercise at high temperatures
and/or humidity, it does not appear to be effective in substantially modifying the $T_{\text{core}}$ response to exercise. Despite being less practical, the combined effect of hot water immersion and exercise would certainly result in higher $T_{\text{core}}$ elevations, as shown for passive hot water immersion (Leicht et al., 2015; Whitham et al., 2007). Alternatively, as our results imply a linear increase of $T_{\text{core}}$ up to 45 min of exercise (notably during INT), a greater increase in $T_{\text{core}}$ from resting conditions is likely to be achieved by extending the exercise duration. Altering exercise protocols in these ways may help to affect the cytokine response during upper body exercise.

Whilst the cytokine response was not different between conditions, differences in the plasma HSP70 response were found in the present study. Apart from its intracellular chaperoning function, HSP70 in the extracellular environment has a cytokine function, and can therefore affect the release of other cytokines such as IL-6 (Tsan & Gao, 2004). HSP70 is released from a multitude of human cells such as the hepatosplanchnic tissue, immune and epithelial cells, or the brain (Whitham & Fortes, 2008; Yamada, Amorim, Moseley & Schneider, 2008), all these cells hence contribute to the level of circulating HSP70 concentrations. Many of these cells are located centrally; it could hence be hypothesized that central elevations of temperature have a more pronounced effect than surface temperature elevations. However, we propose that the difference in HSP70 elevations is associated with the difference in heat storage between conditions. This measure takes into consideration changes in both core and surface temperature to estimate the average temperature elevation across the body; it is therefore possible that the pronounced difference in heat storage between conditions had an effect on the increase in HSP70 immediately after exercise. The present data hence suggest that heat storage may be the more appropriate parameter to predict elevations in HSP70 than $T_{\text{core}}$.
elevations in isolation, as $T_{core}$ was not different between conditions between the pre and post measurement period where the higher rise in HSP70 was found for INT. The authors are aware that heat storage as calculated in the present study is a very rough approximation of the average heat gain within the body, as it has been shown that the two-compartment model using only $T_{core}$ and $T_{skin}$ underestimates heat storage when compared with three-compartment models, which take muscle temperature into account (Jay et al., 2007). It is therefore likely that the actual heat storage in the present study was higher than the calculated values, due to higher than average temperatures in the muscle compartment – an important factor to consider should the present data be directly compared to studies using the three compartment model.

Alpha-adrenergic receptor stimulation has been shown to increase intracellular HSP70 expression in a variety of tissues such as brown adipose tissue, myocardium, and immune cells (Whitham & Fortes, 2008). Animal studies further indicate that alpha adrenergic receptor mediated signalling pathways are involved in the increase of extracellular HSP70 (Johnson et al., 2005). Even though not directly measured in the current study, heat stress is associated with increases in sympathetic activity (Starkie et al., 2005; Rhind et al., 1999), which has been previously suggested to be a mechanism modulating immune responses (Rhind et al., 1999). The differences in heart rate or ratings of perceived exertion between conditions indicate that INT was the more stressful condition that could be associated with increased sympathetic activity, which would further explain the difference in HSP70 elevations found between conditions.

The present HSP70 data are in line with studies that document a magnified HSP70 response when performing exercise during additional heat stress (Ogura et al., 2008;
Whitham et al., 2007). Even though factors other than temperature, such as psychological stress, trauma, or infection, can increase HSP70 plasma concentrations (Asea, 2006), temperature clamping or increased heat stress during exercise demonstrates the crucial role of cell temperature on HSP70 plasma concentration (Ogura et al., 2008; Whitham et al., 2007). This is also demonstrated by the proportional increase in extracellular HSP70 concentration with increasing temperature in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (Lancaster & Febbraio, 2005). The importance of temperature on the HSP response is further reflected by the positive relationships that have previously been found between exercise duration and plasma HSP70 concentration and exercise intensity and plasma HSP70 concentration (Fehrenbach, Niess, Voelker, Northoff & Mooren, 2005).

Whilst some interventions have failed to document an increase in plasma HSP70 concentrations following exercise combined with moderate heat stress (Gibson et al., 2014), a number of studies summarised by Yamada, Amorim, Moseley & Schneider (2008) have shown HSP70 elevations following moderate to exhaustive exercise. It is important to note that the sensitivity of the procedures to quantify HSP70 may affect any conclusions. In the current study, the HSP70 resting concentration was in the range of 0.7 ng·mL⁻¹, and the HSP70 concentration change from pre to post during INT in the range of 0.1 ng·mL⁻¹ (a 16% increase from resting). Biochemical methods to detect protein concentrations with a sensitivity of down to 1 ng·mL⁻¹ would therefore not be able to detect the true resting concentration or indeed any small increase from resting. This may partly explain why Gibson et al. (2014) only detected HSP70 changes when Tcore changes were in excess of 2 ºC. However, it is important to note that the extent of the HSP change of the current study (16%) is a very modest increase when compared to existing studies investigating lower body exercise where increases of 200-1600% have been documented.
(Yamada et al., 2008). In analogy to our interpretation of the cytokine response, it is possible that more pronounced T\textsubscript{core} elevations could have led to more pronounced increases in HSP70. This, in turn, may then directly affect the cytokine response as a result of the mechanistic role of extracellular HSP in the process of cytokine secretion (Tsan & Gao, 2004; Wallin et al., 2002).

5 Conclusion

Despite large differences in heat storage between conditions, the elevations in HSP70 during INT, even though significant, were very modest. The arm cranking protocol resulted in a pronounced elevation of IL-6 and IL-1ra, but this response was not affected by increasing heat stress. Possibly, the T\textsubscript{core} elevations were too low to induce a more pronounced HSP70 response that could ultimately affect cytokine production. If increasing the cytokine response through additional heat stress during upper body exercise is the aim, alternative methods to elevate T\textsubscript{core} should be explored, as further increasing heat stress using additional clothing may be perceived as too uncomfortable to be of practical value.
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Figure captions

**Figure 1** – Interleukin-6 (IL-6), IL-1ra and HSP70 response to arm exercise with (INT) and without (CON) additional clothing. Significantly different from *pre or **post (P<0.01).

**Figure 2** – Increases in IL-6 and HSP70 from pre to post. *Significantly different from Zero (P<0.05).

**Figure 3** – Core temperature, core temperature change, mean skin temperature, and heat storage. *Significantly different between conditions (P<0.05).

Table captions

**Table I** – Perceptions of effort, feeling and temperature.
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Figure 1 - Interleukin-6 (IL-6), HSP70 and IL-1ra response to arm exercise with (INT) and without (CON) additional clothing. Significantly different from *pre or **post (P<0.01).

Figure 1
202x518mm (300 x 300 DPI)
Figure 2 – Increases in IL-6 and HSP70 from pre to post. *Significantly different from Zero (P<0.05).
Figure 3 – Core temperature, core temperature change, mean skin temperature, and heat storage.

*Significantly different between conditions (P<0.05).
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Table I – Perceptions of effort, feeling and temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>RPE peripheral</th>
<th>RPE central</th>
<th>RPE overall</th>
<th>Exercise comfort</th>
<th>Thermal sensation</th>
<th>Thermal comfort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>15 (13, 17)</td>
<td>12 (12, 14)</td>
<td>14 (12, 16)</td>
<td>-1 (-3, 1)</td>
<td>12 (10, 15)</td>
<td>8 (5, 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>18* (15, 19)</td>
<td>16* (14, 17)</td>
<td>17* (14, 18)</td>
<td>-4* (-5, -3)</td>
<td>19* (17, 20)</td>
<td>1* (0, 3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RPE, rating of perceived exertion. *Significant difference between conditions, at P<0.01.