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Abstract. This paper investigates the interrelated price online inventory problems in which decisions as to when and how much to replenish must be made in an online fashion to meet some demand even without concrete knowledge of future prices. The objective of the decision maker is to minimize the total cost with the demands met. Two different types of demand are considered carefully, which are linearly related demand to price and exponentially related demand to price. In this paper, the prices are online with only the price range variation known in advance, which are interrelated with the preceding price. Two models of price correlations are investigated. Namely an exponential model and a logarithmic model. The corresponding algorithms of the problems are developed and the competitive ratio of the algorithms are also derived by the solutions of linear programming.
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1 Introduction

In recent years, online problem and competitive algorithm theory have received increasing attention. With the Economic Order Quantity (EOQ) model proposed by Wilson [1] in 1934, the inventory theory has gradually developed. In the classical inventory problems, prices are generally assumed to be a constant or follow a probability distribution. Serel [2] studied the optimal ordering and pricing problem based on the interrelated demand and price in the rapid response system. Banerjee and Sharma [3] studied the inventory model with seasonal demand in two potentially replaceable market. Sana [4] put the EOQ model generalized to the case of perishable products with sensitive demand to price. Lin and Ho [5] studied the optimal ordering and pricing problem of the joint inventory model with sensitive demand to price based on the quantity discount. Kalymon [6] studies the problem with price dependency on previous prices where also demand

* This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (11201428, 11471286).
** Corresponding author: zist001@163.com
*** Corresponding author: d.zhou2@lboro.ac.uk

The price online inventory problem [14] is challenging where the decision maker, or a retailer, must decide when and how much to purchase without knowing future prices. The price online inventory problem can be seen as an extension of the time series search problem and the financial one-way trading problem [15-19], in which a decision maker wants to purchase \( L \) units of product through a sequence of \( n \) sellers \( v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \) arriving online, and he needs to decide the fraction to purchase from each \( v_i \) at the then-prevailing market price \( p_i \). His objective is to minimize the cost. It is easy to solve the off-line version of the problem; if the decision maker knows all the future prices, he can simply wait for the lowest price and then purchase all his product at that price.

Specifically, in our price online inventory problem, there is a buyer who has \( L \) units of product to be purchased, and there is a sequence of sellers \( v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \) arriving. When a seller \( v_i \) arrives, the unit price \( p_i \) is revealed and the buyer needs to decide the amount \( x_i \) of product to be purchased to \( v_i \) at price \( p_i \), and the objective is to minimize \( \sum p_i x_i \) subject to \( \sum x_i \leq L \). This optimization problem is challenging because: (1) the buyer has no control of the prices, which fluctuates with time, and (2) the future prices are uninformative, i.e., when \( v_i \) arrives, any price \( p_j \) where \( j > i \) is unknown, and (3) he needs to decide the amount of product to be purchased to a seller \( v_i \) as soon as \( v_i \) arrives.

Larsen and Wohlk [14] considered a real-time version of the inventory problem with continuous deterministic demand and involved the fixed order cost, the inventory cost, obtained algorithmic upper and lower bounds of the competitive ratio whereas the gap grows with the complexity of the modes. The inventory problem considered in [20] is a demand online inventory problem where the decision maker only knows the upper bound and lower bound of the daily demand and decides how many products should be prepared everyday. Ma and Pan [21] considered the online inventory problem with the assumption that the decision maker has the knowledge of the same upper and lower bounds of all prices.

We apply the competitive ratio to evaluate the performance of algorithm. An arbitrary online algorithm \( ALG \) is referred to as \( r \)-competitive, if for an arbitrary input price instance \( I \) has \( ALG(I) \leq r \cdot OPT(I) \), where \( ALG(I) \) denotes
the cost of the online algorithm $ALG$, and $OPT(I)$ is the cost of the optimal
off-line algorithm $OPT$. The competitive ratio of $ALG$ algorithm is defined as
the minimum $r$, which satisfies the above inequality.

To improve Larsen and Wohlk’ work for a real-time inventory problem [14],
we focus on two main facts of one inventory system, the price and the demand.
The impacts of price, the price-related patterns and the relevant algorithms are
discussed. In the Chinese stock market, the stock prices of today are known to
be bounded in the interval from 90% to 110% of yesterday’s closing price. So
we modify the assumptions and assume that the variation range of each price
is interrelated with its preceding price. In the inventory system there are some
certain demands for the items, since there are some retailers and customers. The
demand is negative correlated to the price because customers are more willing
to purchase cheaper products. The problems considered in this paper become
more practical than the problems in [14,21]. Two relationships and prices of
demands considered, linear relation and exponential relation[23-25]. For every
kind of demand, two types of price interrelation, exponential and logarithmic
interrelations are considered, respectively[18-19].

2 Problem Statement

This paper considers an inventory problem in which the decision maker, a retail-
er, should decide when and how much to purchase every day without knowing
future prices during the purchasing process. $U$ is the storage capacity, which
must be reached when the purchasing process is over. Additionally, the initial
inventory is zero. The objective of the decision maker is to minimize the total
cost with the demands met. In order to generalise the model, we consider dif-
ferent price variation ranges. That is, the price has its own variation range and
the range is variable.

Let $n$ denote the number of purchasing days. Denote by $D_i$ and $p_i$ the demand
and the price of the $i$th day. Let $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ denote the parameters of price
variation ranges. We make some basic observation on the values of $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$. If
$1 \leq \theta_1$ or $\theta_2 \leq 1$, implying that the price sequence is monotonously increasing
or decreasing respectively, the optimal solution can be obtained by selecting the
first or last price for the problems, respectively. So we just focus on the case
where $0 < \theta_1 \leq 1 \leq \theta_2$. Let $p$ denote the initial price, where $p_1 \in [\theta_1 p, \theta_2 p]$.
As in the Chinese stock market, the stock prices follow the exponential model
with $\theta_1 = 0.9$ and $\theta_2 = 1.1$. In the currency trading, the logarithmic-growth
model was considered by Zhang, Zhang and Xu[19]. The following two price
interrelation models are considered.

- The exponential model[18]: $p_i \in [\theta_1 p_{i-1}, \theta_2 p_{i-1}], 2 \leq i \leq n$.
- The logarithmic model[19]: $p_i \in [\theta_1 p_1 \ln i, \theta_2 p_1 \ln i], 2 \leq i \leq n$. 
3 The Competitive Analysis for Linearly Related Demand

For the inventory problem, the demand is assumed to have a negative linear relation with price. Without loss of generality, we assume $D_i = a_i - b_i p_i$ as in the reference [23].

3.1 The Competitive Analysis of the Exponential Model

Now a linear programming problem with variables $\{r, s_1, s_2, ..., s_n\}$ as follows is investigated, where the second and third constraint conditions are transformed by the range of the total purchase quantity at the end of the $j_{th}$ day ($j = 1, 2, ..., n$) and the relationship between the demand and price and the price correlation in the exponential model. The assumption of exponential model is $p_i \in [\theta_1 p_{i-1}, \theta_2 p_{i-1}]$ for every $2 \leq i \leq n$, and there exists one positive $p \in [\theta_1 p, \theta_2 p]$ with $\theta_1 \leq 1 \leq \theta_2$. The linear programming problem is given.

$$\min \quad r$$

s.t. $H_i(s_1, s_2, ..., s_n) \leq r, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n$

$$U + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i - b_i \theta_2^i p) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i \leq U + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i - b_i \theta_1^i p) \quad (I)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j} (a_i - b_i \theta_2^i p) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j} s_i \leq U + \sum_{i=1}^{j} (a_i - b_i \theta_1^i p) \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n - 1$$

$$s_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n$$

where $H_i(s_1, s_2, ..., s_n) = \left(\frac{s_1 + s_2 + \ldots + s_{i-1} + s_i + s_{i+1} \theta_2 + \ldots + s_n \theta_2^{n-i}}{U + \sum_{j=1}^{i} (a_j - b_j \theta_2^j p)}\right)$.

Lemma 1. The solution to the linear programming problem $(I)$ exists.

Proof. It only needs to prove that there exists $\{r', s'_1, s'_2, ..., s'_n\}$ such that

$$H_i(s'_1, s'_2, ..., s'_n) \leq r', \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n \quad (1)$$

$$U + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i - b_i \theta_2^i p) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} s'_i \leq U + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i - b_i \theta_1^i p), \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j} (a_i - b_i \theta_2^i p) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j} s'_i \leq U + \sum_{i=1}^{j} (a_i - b_i \theta_1^i p) \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n - 1 \quad (3)$$

$$s'_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n. \quad (4)$$
We construct it as follows. Let \( s'_i = U + a_1 - b_1 \theta_1 p \) and \( s'_i = a_i - b_i \theta_1^i p \) for every \( 2 \leq i \leq n \). It is obvious that \( s'_i \geq 0 \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} s'_i = U + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i - b_i \theta_1^i p) \).

And \( \sum_{i=1}^{j} s'_i = U + \sum_{i=1}^{j} (a_i - b_i \theta_1^i p) \) holds for \( 1 \leq j \leq n - 1 \). With the assumption \( \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 \), \( \{ s'_1, s'_2, ..., s'_n \} = \{ U + a_1 - b_1 \theta_1 p, a_2 - b_2 \theta_1^2 p, ..., a_n - b_n \theta_1^n p \} \) satisfies the inequalities (2), (3) and (4). In addition, for any \( i = 1, 2, ..., n \), we get

\[
H_i(s'_1, s'_2, ..., s'_n) = \frac{U + a_1 - b_1 \theta_1 p + \theta_1^i p\theta_1^{i-1} + a_i - b_i \theta_1^i p + (a_{i+1} - b_{i+1} \theta_1^{i+1} p) \theta_2 + \ldots + (a_n - b_n \theta_1^n p) \theta_2^{n-i}}{U + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_j - b_j \theta_2^j p)}.
\]

Because \( \theta_1, \theta_2, U, n, p, a_i (1 \leq i \leq n) \) and \( b_i (1 \leq i \leq n) \) are all known parameters, the values of \( H_i(s'_1, s'_2, ..., s'_n) \) can be calculated for all \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). Let \( r' = \max \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} H_i(U + a_1 - b_1 \theta_1 p, a_2 - b_2 \theta_1^2 p, ..., a_n - b_n \theta_1^n p) \) be the solution. From the above analysis, there exists \( \{ r', s'_1, s'_2, ..., s'_n \} = \{ \max H_i(U + a_1 - b_1 \theta_1 p, a_2 - b_2 \theta_1^2 p, ..., a_n - b_n \theta_1^n p), U + a_1 - b_1 \theta_1 p, a_2 - b_2 \theta_1^2 p, ..., a_n - b_n \theta_1^n p \} \) satisfying the inequalities (1), (2), (3) and (4). Thus, the solution of the above linear programming problem (I) exists.

The online algorithm of this model is designed according to the solution to the linear programming problem (I), denoted by SLP1.

**Algorithm SLP1**

- **Step 1**: Solve the linear programming problem (I), and let \( \{ r^*, s^*_1, s^*_2, ..., s^*_n \} \) be the solution.
- **Step 2**: Define \( s^*_i \) to be the quantity of units for purchasing at period \( i \) for every \( 1 \leq i \leq n \).

**Theorem 1.** The competitive ratio of SLP1 algorithm is \( r^* \).

**Proof.** Let \( \sigma = p_1, p_2, ..., p_n \) be an arbitrary price sequence. Without loss of generality, we assume that the lowest price in \( \sigma \) is \( p_i \). Obviously, the optimal solution \( OPT(\sigma) \geq (U + \sum_{j=1}^{i} D_j) p_i \) and \( SLP_1(\sigma) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} s^*_j p_j}{(U + \sum_{j=1}^{i} D_j) p_i} \) hold.

For \( p_j \in [\theta_1^j p_{j-1}, \theta_2^j p_{j-1}] \) when \( 2 \leq j \leq n \), then \( p_j \leq \frac{p_j}{\theta_1^{j-1}} \) for \( j = 1, 2, ..., i \), and \( p_j \leq \theta_2^{i-j} p_i \) for \( j = i + 1, i + 2, ..., n \). From \( \frac{SLP_1(\sigma)}{OPT(\sigma)} \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} s^*_j p_j}{(U + \sum_{j=1}^{i} D_j) p_i} \), one can
get

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{SLP_1(\sigma)}{OPT(\sigma)} & \leq \frac{\frac{s^*_1}{\theta_1}p_1 + \frac{s^*_2}{\theta_1}p_1 + \ldots + \frac{s^*_{i-1}}{\theta_1}p_i + s^*_i p_i + s^*_{i+1} \theta_2 p_i + \ldots + s^*_n \theta_2^{n-i} p_i}{(U + \sum_{j=1}^{n} D_j)p_i} \\
& \leq \frac{\frac{s^*_1}{\theta_1} + \frac{s^*_2}{\theta_1} + \ldots + \frac{s^*_{i-1}}{\theta_1} + s^*_i + s^*_{i+1} \theta_2 + \ldots + s^*_n \theta_2^{n-i}}{U + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_j - b_j \theta_2^j p)} \\
& = H_i(s^*_1, s^*_2, \ldots, s^*_n)
\end{align*}
\]

Combining the optimal solution to the linear programming problem \((I)\), the above inequality can be re-written in the following way.

\[
\frac{SLP_1(\sigma)}{OPT(\sigma)} \leq H_i(s^*_1, s^*_2, \ldots, s^*_n) \leq r^*, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n,
\]

where \(r^*\) is the minimum one satisfying the above inequality. Hence, \(r^*\) is the competitive ratio of the algorithm \(SLP_1\).

### 3.2 The Competitive Analysis of the Logarithmic Model

The assumption of logarithmic model is \(p_i \in [\theta_1 p_1 \ln i, \theta_2 p_1 \ln i]\) for \(2 \leq i \leq n\), and there exists one positive \(p\) satisfying \(p_1 \in [\theta_1 p, \theta_2 p]\) with \(\theta_1 \leq \theta_2\).

Let

\[
K_1(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n) = \frac{s_1 + s_2 \theta_2 \ln 2 + \ldots + s_n \theta_2 \ln n}{U + a_1 - b_1 \theta_2 p + \sum_{j=2}^{n} (a_j - b_j \theta_2^j p \ln j)}, \quad (5)
\]

\[
K_i(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n) = \frac{s_1 + s_2 \theta_2 \ln 2 + \ldots + s_n \theta_2 \ln n}{U + a_1 - b_1 \theta_2 p + \sum_{j=2}^{n} (a_j - b_j \theta_2^j p \ln j) \theta_1 \ln i}, \quad i = 2, 3, \ldots, n.
\]

Before giving the competitive ratio, a linear programming problem with variables \(\{r, s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n\}\) is considered, in which the constraint conditions 2 to 4 are transformed by the range of the total purchase quantity at the end of the \(j_{th}\)
Let \( \sigma \) denote an arbitrary price sequence. Without loss of generality, we assume that the lowest price in \( \sigma \) is \( p_1 \). For \( i = 1, OPT(\sigma) \geq \left( U + a_1 - b_1 \theta_2 p + \sum_{j=2}^{n} (a_j - b_j \theta_j^2 p \ln j) \right) p_1 \) and \( SLP_2(\sigma) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \hat{s}_j p_j \) hold. With the assumption of \( p_j = [\theta p_j \ln j, \theta p_j \ln j] \) for \( 2 \leq j \leq n, p_j \leq \theta p_2 \ln j \) holds for every \( j = 2, 3, ..., n \). Then

\[
\frac{SLP_2(\sigma)}{OPT(\sigma)} \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \hat{s}_j p_j}{\left( U + a_1 - b_1 \theta_2 p + \sum_{j=2}^{n} (a_j - b_j \theta_j^2 p \ln j) \right) p_1} \\
\leq \frac{s_1 p_1 + \hat{s}_2 \theta_2 \ln 2 p_1 + ... + \hat{s}_n \theta_2 \ln n p_1}{\left( U + a_1 - b_1 \theta_2 p + \sum_{j=2}^{n} (a_j - b_j \theta_j^2 p \ln j) \right) p_1} \\
= \frac{\hat{s}_1 + \hat{s}_2 \theta_2 \ln 2 + ... + \hat{s}_n \theta_2 \ln n}{U + a_1 - b_1 \theta_2 p + \sum_{j=2}^{n} (a_j - b_j \theta_j^2 p \ln j)} \leq K(\hat{s}_1, \hat{s}_2, ..., \hat{s}_n)
\]
For $2 \leq i \leq n$, we have $OPT(\sigma) \geq \left( U + a_1 - b_1 \theta_2 p + \sum_{j=2}^{n} (a_j - b_j \theta_2^2 p \ln j) \right) p_i$

and $SLP_2(\sigma) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \hat{s}_j p_j$. By the assumptions of this model, $p_i \geq \theta_1 p_1 \ln i$ holds for $i = 2, 3, ..., n$ and $p_j \leq \theta_2 p_1 \ln j$ holds for $j = 2, 3, ..., n$. Then

$$\frac{SLP_2(\sigma)}{OPT(\sigma)} \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \hat{s}_j p_j}{\left( U + a_1 - b_1 \theta_2 p + \sum_{j=2}^{n} (a_j - b_j \theta_2^2 p \ln j) \right) p_i} \leq \hat{s}_1 p_1 + \hat{s}_2 \theta_2 \ln 2 p_1 + ... + \hat{s}_n \theta_2 \ln np_1$$

$$= \frac{\hat{s}_1 p_1 + \hat{s}_2 \theta_2 \ln 2 + ... + \hat{s}_n \theta_2 \ln n}{\left( U + a_1 - b_1 \theta_2 p + \sum_{j=2}^{n} (a_j - b_j \theta_2^2 p \ln j) \right) \theta_1 p_1 \ln i} = \frac{\hat{s}_1 + \hat{s}_2 \theta_2 \ln 2 + ... + \hat{s}_n \theta_2 \ln n}{\left( U + a_1 - b_1 \theta_2 p + \sum_{j=2}^{n} (a_j - b_j \theta_2^2 p \ln j) \right) \theta_1 \ln i} = K_i(\hat{s}_1, \hat{s}_2, ..., \hat{s}_n)$$

Combining the above two cases, we obtain

$$\frac{SLP_2(\sigma)}{OPT(\sigma)} \leq K_i(\hat{s}_1, \hat{s}_2, ..., \hat{s}_n) \leq \hat{r}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n,$$

where $\hat{r}$ is the minimum one satisfying the above inequality. Hence, $\hat{r}$ is the competitive ratio of the algorithm $SLP_2$.

4 The Competitive Analysis for Exponentially Related Demand

In this inventory problem, the demand is assumed to have a negative exponential relation with price. Without loss of generality, we assume $D_i = a_i \exp(-b_i p_i)$ from the references [23-25].

4.1 The Competitive Analysis of the Exponential Model

Firstly, a linear programming problem with variables $\{r, s_1, s_2, ..., s_n\}$ as following is investigated, in which the second and third constraint conditions are transformed by the range of the total purchase quantity at the end of the $j_{th}$
Theorem 3. The competitive ratio of

\( \min r \)

\[ \text{s.t. } M_i(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n) \leq r \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \]

\[ U + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \exp(-b_i \theta_1 p) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i \leq U + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \exp(-b_i \theta_1 p) \quad (III) \]

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{j} a_i \exp(-b_i \theta_2 p) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j} s_i \leq U + \sum_{i=1}^{j} a_i \exp(-b_i \theta_1 p) \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 \]

\[ s_i \geq 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \]

where \( M_i(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n) = \frac{s_{i+1} + s_{i+2} + \ldots + s_i + \theta_2 + \ldots + s_1 \theta_2^{n-i}}{U + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \exp(-b_j \theta_2 p)} \).

Lemma 3. The solution to the linear programming problem (III) exists.

The online algorithm of this model can be designed according to the solution to the linear programming problem (III), and denoted by \( SLP_3 \).

Algorithm \( SLP_3 \)

- **Step 1**: Solve the linear programming problem (III), and let \( \{ r^*, s_1^*, s_2^*, \ldots, s_n^* \} \) be the solution.
- **Step 2**: Define \( s_i^* \) to be the quantity of units for purchasing at period \( i \) for every \( 1 \leq i \leq n \).

Theorem 3. The competitive ratio of \( SLP_3 \) algorithm is \( r^* \).

**Proof.** Let \( \sigma = p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n \) be an arbitrary price sequence. Without loss of generality, we assume that the lowest price in \( \sigma \) is \( p_i \). Obviously, \( OPT(\sigma) \geq (U + \sum_{j=1}^{n} D_j)p_i \) and \( SLP_3(\sigma) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j^* p_j \). For \( 2 \leq j \leq n \), \( p_j \in [\theta_1 p_{j-1}, \theta_2 p_{j-1}] \) holds, then we have \( p_j \leq \frac{p_j}{\theta_1 \theta_2} \) for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, i \) and \( p_j \leq \theta_2^{i-j} p_i \) for \( j = i + 1, i + 2, \ldots, n \). From \( \frac{SLP_3(\sigma)}{OPT(\sigma)} \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j^* p_j}{(U + \sum_{j=1}^{n} D_j)p_i} \), one can get

\[
\frac{SLP_3(\sigma)}{OPT(\sigma)} \leq \frac{s_1^* p_i + s_2^* \frac{p_i}{\theta_1} + \ldots + s_i^* \frac{p_i}{\theta_1^{i-1}} + s_{i+1}^* \theta_2 p_i + \ldots + s_n^* \theta_2^{n-i} p_i}{(U + \sum_{j=1}^{n} D_j)p_i}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{s_1^* \theta_1 + s_2^* \theta_1^{i-1} + \ldots + s_i^* \theta_1^{i-1} + s_{i+1}^* \theta_2 + \ldots + s_n^* \theta_2^{n-i}}{U + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \exp(-b_j \theta_2 p)}
\]

\[
= M_i(s_1^*, s_2^*, \ldots, s_n^*)
\]
Combining the optimal solution to the linear programming problem (III), the above inequality can be rewritten in the following.

\[
\frac{SLP_3(\sigma)}{OPT(\sigma)} \leq M_i(s_1^*, s_2^*, ..., s_n^*) \leq r^*, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n,
\]

where \( r^* \) is the minimum one satisfying the above inequality. Hence, \( r^* \) is the competitive ratio of the algorithm \( SLP_3 \).

4.2 The Competitive Analysis of the Logarithmic Model

Let

\[
Q_1(s_1, s_2, ..., s_n) = \frac{s_1 + s_2 \theta_2 \ln 2 + ... + s_n \theta_n \ln n}{U + a_1 \exp(-b_1 \theta_2 p) + \sum_{j=2}^n a_j \exp(-b_j \theta_j^2 p \ln j)}, \quad (16)
\]

\[
Q_i(s_1, s_2, ..., s_n) = \frac{s_1 + s_2 \theta_2 \ln 2 + ... + s_n \theta_n \ln n}{U + a_1 \exp(-b_1 \theta_2 p) + \sum_{j=2}^n a_j \exp(-b_j \theta_j^2 p \ln j)} \theta_1 \ln i, \quad i = 2, 3, ..., n. \quad (17)
\]

Before giving the competitive ratio, a linear programming problem with variables \( \{r, s_1, s_2, ..., s_n\} \) is considered, in which the constraint conditions are transformed by the range of the total purchase quantity at the end of the \( j \)th day \( (j = 1, 2, ..., n) \).

\[
\min \quad r
\]

s.t. \[
Q_i(s_1, s_2, ..., s_n) \leq r \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n
\]

\[
a_1 \exp(-b_1 \theta_2 p) \leq s_1 \leq U + a_1 \exp(-b_1 \theta_1 p)
\]

\[
a_1 \exp(-b_1 \theta_2 p) + \sum_{i=2}^j a_i \exp(-b_i \theta_i^2 p \ln i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^j s_i \quad j = 2, ..., n - 1
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^j s_i \leq U + a_1 \exp(-b_1 \theta_1 p) + \sum_{i=2}^j a_i \exp(-b_i \theta_i^2 p \ln i) \quad j = 2, ..., n - 1 \quad (IV)
\]

\[
U + a_1 \exp(-b_1 \theta_2 p) + \sum_{i=2}^n a_i \exp(-b_i \theta_i^2 p \ln i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n s_i
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^n s_i \leq U + a_1 \exp(-b_1 \theta_1 p) + \sum_{i=2}^n a_i \exp(-b_i \theta_i^2 p \ln i)
\]

\[
s_i \geq 0 \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n
\]

Lemma 4. The solution to the linear programming problem (IV) exists.

The online algorithm of this model can be designed according to the solution to the linear programming problem (IV), and is denoted by \( SLP_4 \).

Algorithm \( SLP_4 \)
- **Step 1:** Solve the linear programming problem (IV), and let \( \{ \bar{r}, \bar{s}_1, \bar{s}_2, \ldots, \bar{s}_n \} \) be the solution.

- **Step 2:** Define \( \bar{s}_i \) to be the quantity of units for purchasing at period \( i \) for every \( 1 \leq i \leq n \).

**Theorem 4.** The competitive ratio of SLP\(_4\) algorithm is \( \bar{r} \).

*Proof.* Let \( \sigma \) denote an arbitrary price sequence. Without loss of generality, we assume that the lowest price in \( \sigma \) is \( p_i \). For \( i = 1 \),

\[
OPT(\sigma) \geq \left( U + a_1 \exp(-b_1 \theta_2 p) + \sum_{j=2}^{n} a_j \exp(-b_j \theta_2^3 \ln j) \right) p_1
\]

and \( SLP_4(\sigma) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{s}_j p_j \) hold. For \( 2 \leq j \leq n \), \( p_j \in [\theta_1 p_1 \ln j, \theta_2 p_1 \ln j] \), then \( p_j \leq \theta_2 p_1 \ln j \) holds for every \( j = 2, 3, \ldots, n \).

\[
\frac{SLP_4(\sigma)}{OPT(\sigma)} \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{s}_j p_j}{\left( U + a_1 \exp(-b_1 \theta_2 p) + \sum_{j=2}^{n} a_j \exp(-b_j \theta_2^3 \ln j) \right) p_1} \leq \frac{\bar{s}_1 p_1 + \bar{s}_2 \theta_2 \ln 2 p_1 + \ldots + \bar{s}_n \theta_2 \ln np_1}{\left( U + a_1 \exp(-b_1 \theta_2 p) + \sum_{j=2}^{n} a_j \exp(-b_j \theta_2^3 \ln j) \right) p_1} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{s}_j p_j}{\left( U + a_1 \exp(-b_1 \theta_2 p) + \sum_{j=2}^{n} a_j \exp(-b_j \theta_2^3 \ln j) \right) p_1} = Q_1(\bar{s}_1, \bar{s}_2, \ldots, \bar{s}_n)
\]

For \( 2 \leq i \leq n \), \( OPT(\sigma) \geq \left( U + a_1 \exp(-b_1 \theta_2 p) + \sum_{j=2}^{n} a_j \exp(-b_j \theta_2^3 \ln j) \right) p_i \) and \( SLP_4(\sigma) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{s}_j p_j \) hold. By the assumptions of this model, we get \( p_i \geq \ldots \)
\[ \theta_1 p_1 \ln i \text{ and } p_j \leq \theta_2 p_1 \ln j \text{ hold for } i = 2, 3, ..., n \text{ and } j = 2, 3, ..., n. \text{ Then} \]

\[
\frac{SLP_4(\sigma)}{OPT(\sigma)} \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{s}_j p_j}{(U + a_1 \exp(-b_1 \theta_2 p) + \sum_{j=2}^{n} a_j \exp(-b_j \theta_2^2 p \ln j)) p_i} \leq \frac{\bar{s}_1 p_1 + \bar{s}_2 \theta_2 \ln 2 p_1 + ... + \bar{s}_n \theta_2 \ln np_1}{(U + a_1 \exp(-b_1 \theta_2 p) + \sum_{j=2}^{n} a_j \exp(-b_j \theta_2^2 p \ln j)) \theta_1 p_1 \ln i} = \theta_1 \ln i \]

\[
= Q_i(\bar{s}_1, \bar{s}_2, ..., \bar{s}_n)
\]

Combining the above two cases, one can obtain

\[
\frac{SLP_4(\sigma)}{OPT(\sigma)} \leq Q_i(\bar{s}_1, \bar{s}_2, ..., \bar{s}_n) \leq \bar{r}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n,
\]

where \( \bar{r} \) is the minimum one satisfying the above inequality. Hence, \( \bar{r} \) is the competitive ratio of the algorithm \( SLP_4 \).

5 Conclusions

This paper investigates two models for the interrelated price online inventory problem with two kinds of demand. The corresponding algorithms are designed and the competitive ratios are derived for the exponential and the logarithmic model with the daily demand, respectively. In the future, it is interesting to consider the problem where both the price and demand are online. It is also challenging to investigate the online inventory problem where the price information is updated in real time.
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