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Physical inactivity in relation to self-rated eyesight: cross-sectional analysis from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing

Lee Smith,¹ Matthew A Timmis,¹ Shahina Pardhan,² Keziah Latham,³ James Johnstone,¹ Mark Hamer⁴

INTRODUCTION

Physical activity may be defined as any bodily movement caused by contraction of skeletal muscle that requires energy expenditure.¹ In older adults (≥50 years), regular participation in physical activity has been found to be associated with reduced risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD), diabetes and certain cancers, as well as prevention of falls and greater independence.²⁻⁷ In light of this knowledge, physical activity guidelines for older adults have been developed. It is recommended that older adults achieve at least 150 min of moderate-intensity activity over a week.⁸ Despite this, levels of physical activity in older adults are generally lower than the guidelines and there is an overall trend for decreasing levels of vigorous physical activity as adults age.⁹⁻¹⁰ Indeed, Smith et al.⁹ in a sample of 5022 older English adults (mean age 61 years), found that over a 10-year period there was an overall trend for increasing levels of inactivity and a reduction in vigorous activity.

Correlates of physical activity behaviour in adults and older adults are well documented and low levels of physical activity is known to be a greater problem among persons with disabilities.¹¹ One particular disability that may present itself as a key barrier to physical activity in older adults is reduced visual function. In light of this, physical activity levels in large general population cohorts are of interest.

METHODS: Analyses of data from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. Participants provided information on self-rated eyesight (categorised as: excellent/very good/good/fair/poor) and their own physical activity levels (categorised as: inactive/moderate only at least 1/week, vigorous at least 1/week). Associations between self-rated eyesight and physical activity levels were examined using logistic regression.

RESULTS: A total of 6634 participants (mean age 65.0±9.2 years) were included in the analyses. In adjusted logistic regression models, those with fair–poor and good eyesight were significantly more likely to be inactive than those who reported excellent eyesight (OR 2.07, 95% CI 1.58 to 2.72; OR 1.59, 1.27 to 1.99, respectively). Associations between self-rated eyesight and physical activity levels were examined using logistic regression.

Conclusion: In this sample of older English adults, those with self-rated fair–poor vision were over twice as likely to be physically inactive than those who reported having excellent vision. When consistent data have emerged, interventions to increase physical activity in those who have poor eyesight are needed.
eyesight.\textsuperscript{12} This is of particular concern as approximately two million people in the UK are living with sight loss (defined here as partial sight or blindness in the better seeing eye) and the prevalence of sight loss is on the rise,\textsuperscript{13} likely owing to the ageing UK population.\textsuperscript{14} Those who are visually impaired have a high risk of CVD mortality\textsuperscript{15} and a key determinant of CVD is low levels of physical activity. A large body of literature exists on the association between visual impairment and physical activity levels, but only in children and adolescents.\textsuperscript{12} In a sample of 987 Canadian youth, the percentage of children and adolescents with chronic medical conditions and hearing impairments who were active (47% and 53%, respectively) were significantly higher than children and adolescents with physical disorders (26%) or visual impairment (27%).\textsuperscript{16} This is of concern as research suggests that childhood activity behaviours track into adulthood.\textsuperscript{17−19} For example, in a study of 6458 children, those who participated in sports at 10 years of age were significantly more likely to participate in physical activity at age 42 (RR 1.10; 95% CI 1.01 to 1.19).\textsuperscript{18} Children and adolescents with physical disorder and visual impairment may be an at-risk group of lifelong physical inactivity.

It has been suggested that in the visually impaired there is a lack of access to recreational and athletic programmes, and help or encouragement in developing suitable and safe physical recreation skills and habits.\textsuperscript{12} Moreover, this population experiences activity limitations in walking, and environmental barriers such as transport and lack of accessible exercise equipment can hamper a person’s ability to be physically active.\textsuperscript{12} Other research in this population has focused on sociodemographic correlates of physical activity.\textsuperscript{21} To the best of our knowledge, no research has been carried out on the association between eyesight and physical activity levels in a large-scale general population-based cohort study of older English adults. Visual impairment is more common in older age groups,\textsuperscript{15} such that older adults may have experienced normal eyesight throughout their adulthood but suffer deterioration with age, possibly resulting in less confidence and/or ability to undertake certain activities. We hypothesise that poor self-perceived eyesight will be associated with low levels of physical activity.

**METHODS**

The English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA) is an on-going cohort study containing a nationally representative sample of the English population living in households. The cohort consists of men and women born on or before 29 February 1952.\textsuperscript{22} For the purpose of the present analysis, data collected during wave 4 (2008–2010) were used. Participants gave full written informed consent to participate in the study and ethical approval was obtained from the London Multicentre Research Ethics Committee.

**Exposure: self-rated eyesight**

To assess self-rated eyesight, participants were asked ‘Is your eyesight (using glasses or corrective lenses; if you use them) excellent/very good/good/fair–poor?’. Based on response options, the participants were then categorised into one of four groups (excellent/very good/fair–poor). Participants were also asked ‘How good is your eyesight for seeing things at a distance, like recognising a friend across the street’ and ‘How good is your eyesight for seeing things up close, like reading ordinary newspaper print’. Response options (excellent/very good/good/fair–poor) were categorised as above.

**Outcome: physical activity**

Participants were asked how often they took part in vigorous, moderate and low-intensity physical activity, as previously described.\textsuperscript{2} Response options were: more than once a week, once a week, one to three times a month, hardly ever/never. Based on response options, the participants were then categorised into one of three groups (inactive, moderate only at least 1/week, vigorous at least 1/week). This measure has been shown to have convergent validity in grading a plethora of psychosocial, physical and biochemical risk factors.\textsuperscript{23–25}

**Covariates**

Age and sex were self-reported. Trained interviewers asked questions on smoking (current, previous or non-smoker), alcohol intake (daily, at least once a week, monthly, rarely, never), depressive symptoms (using the eight-item Centre for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale), history of CVD (angina, heart attack, stroke, hypertension), history of diabetes and history of eye disease (glaucoma, diabetic eye disease, macular degeneration, cataract). Disabilities were assessed based on participant’s responses to interviewers’ questions on perceived difficulties in six basic activities of daily living (ADLs), such as difficulty dressing, and seven instrumental ADLs (IADLs), such as preparing a hot meal. Participants with difficulties in one or more activities were considered to have some degree of disability. Research nurses measured participants’ body weight using Tanita electronic scales, participants were measured without shoes and in light clothing. Height was measured using a stadiometer with the Frankfort plane in the horizontal position. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated using the standard formula (weight (kg)/height\textsuperscript{2} (m)).

**Analysis**

Characteristics of the study population were summarised using descriptive statistics. Associations between self-rated eyesight and self-reported physical activity were examined using logistic regression models,
adjusted for prespecified covariates based on existing literature. Statistical significance was set at $p=0.05$. All analyses were conducted in SPSS V.21.

RESULTS
The initial sample comprised 10 603 participants, although exclusion of participants with missing data resulted in a final analytical sample of 6 634 participants (mean age 65.0±9.2 years). Participants excluded were slightly older (65.4 vs 65.0 years, $p=0.04$) and more likely to report poor–fair eyesight (15.2% vs 10.6%, $p=0.001$) and limitations in ADLs/IADLs (33.1% vs 22.8%, $p=0.001$) than the analytical sample.

A total of 16.2%, 35.6%, 37.7% and 10.6% of the sample rated their eyesight as excellent, very good, good and fair–poor, respectively. Those with fair–poor self-rated eyesight were older (mean 67.8 years) and more likely to be female (59.6%) with the highest prevalence of obesity ($BMI\geq 30$ kg/m²; 35.3%), smoking (18.3%), depressive symptoms (Centre for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale score ≥4, 23.6%), disabilities (46.3%), history of CVD (44.7%), history of diabetes (11.1%) and history of eye disease, but reported less frequent alcohol intake (table 1).

Compared with other self-rated eyesight groups, the group ‘excellent eyesight’ contained the greatest proportion of participants reporting participation in moderate and vigorous activities and the smallest proportion of inactive participants. The group ‘fair–poor eyesight’ contained the greatest proportion of inactive participants and the smallest proportion of those vigorously active (table 1).

In adjusted logistic regression models, those with fair–poor and good eyesight were significantly more likely to be inactive than those who reported excellent eyesight (OR 2.07, 95% CI 1.58 to 2.72; OR 1.59, 1.27 to 1.99, respectively; table 2). Similar findings were found for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Descriptive characteristics of the sample (n=6 634)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Excellent (n=1078)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean±SD</td>
<td>63.2±8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (% men)</td>
<td>534 (49.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td>120 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate at least 1/week</td>
<td>547 (50.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vigorous at least 1/week</td>
<td>411 (38.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity ($BMI\geq 30$ kg/m²), n (%)</td>
<td>313 (29.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker, n (%)</td>
<td>115 (10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol intake, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5 times a week</td>
<td>297 (27.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–4 times a week</td>
<td>452 (41.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>177 (16.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rarely/never</td>
<td>152 (14.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive symptoms (CES-D score ≥4), n (%)</td>
<td>82 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabilities* (at least one), n (%)</td>
<td>160 (14.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of CVD†, n (%)</td>
<td>282 (26.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of diabetes, n (%)</td>
<td>70 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of eye diseases, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaucoma</td>
<td>15 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic eye disease</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macular degeneration</td>
<td>3 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataract</td>
<td>60 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Recorded from ADLs/IADLs.
†Includes angina, coronary heart disease, stroke and hypertension.
ADLs, activities of daily living; BMI, body mass index; CES-D, Centre for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale; CVD, cardiovascular disease; IADLs, instrumental ADLs.
the variable ‘recognition of friends across street’ and ‘reading ordinary newspaper’ (Table 2). There was no association between self-reported eye diseases and physical inactivity (OR=0.88, 95% CI 0.72 to 1.07, p=0.18).

**DISCUSSION**

This is the first study to investigate the association between self-rated vision and physical activity behaviour in a large general population sample of older adults in the UK. Participants who reported having fair–poor eyesight were more than twice as likely to be inactive than those reporting excellent eyesight. Many of the covariates used in this analysis (ie, depressive symptoms, ADLs/IADLs, BMI, CVD, diabetes) have been related to physical activity in our previously published work from ELSA. Thus, the present findings are remarkable in that associations with eyesight remained robust after accounting for these important confounders. These findings support previous work in children and adolescents and recent data from Sport England that states that only 9.8% of visually impaired people are active once a week compared with approximately 30% of those who are not visually impaired.

**Low levels of physical activity in those reporting fair–poor eyesight is of concern as this population may be at an increased risk of non-communicable disease, such as higher risk of cancer and also have associated risk factors such as higher smoking rates, independent of physical activity. Moreover, those who are visually impaired often report having a low quality of life. For example, one study concluded that visual impairment has a substantial impact on the quality of life compared with other chronic conditions, reducing quality of life more than diabetes, coronary syndrome and hearing impairments. Higher levels of physical activity are consistently associated with higher quality of life.**

Data from the present study must be interpreted with caution. Measures of eyesight and physical activity were self-reported and thus may have introduced bias. For example, participants may have reported higher levels of physical activity and better vision than would be measured objectively owing to fear of being judged, however such bias is likely to be systematic. The present analysis is cross-sectional in design, therefore the causal direction of the observed association cannot be inferred. It is unclear whether poor eyesight causes physical inactivity or if physical inactivity causes poor eyesight. It is likely to be a combination of both. Participants with poor eyesight may lack confidence to undertake physical activity particularly of a vigorous nature and that they may feel unsafe/insecure during this activity. On the other hand, lack of physical activity may increase the likelihood of other metabolic diseases such as diabetes which in turn may lead to severe sight-threatening issues if not controlled adequately. Regular exercise has been shown to increase antioxidant enzyme activity and increase resistance to oxidative stress which is thought to be one of the key components in the pathogenesis of age-related macular degeneration. Studies have also shown a protective association between physical activity and age-related macular degeneration but others have not. Animal studies provide some evidence to support that physical activity may aid in the reduction of eye disease. One study using mice demonstrated that aerobic exercise is neuroprotective for retinal degeneration. Prospective and controlled intervention studies investigating the relationship between self-rated eyesight and physical activity, in human samples, are now needed.

In the present study, self-reported eye disease per se was not associated with self-reported physical activity but self-rated eyesight was. The reason for this difference is yet to be explored. We encourage future research in this area. Participants excluded from our analysis contained a higher proportion reporting poorer eyesight and limitations in ADLs/IADLs, thus our results may be conservative. We cannot discount residual confounding that may have explained the associations between eyesight and physical activity although we attempted to control for a wide range of clinical and behavioural covariates.
CONCLUSIONS

In this sample of older English adults those with self-rated fair–poor vision were over twice as likely to be physically inactive than those who reported having excellent vision. When consistent data has emerged, interventions to increase physical activity in those who have poor eyesight are needed. Interventions may wish to overcome common exercise barriers in this population such as transport and lack of accessible exercise equipment.
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