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Abstract:

The Pacific Island region is geographically and culturally diverse, with a significant range of communication infrastructures and challenges. Access and use of mobile phones has risen exponentially over the past five years. According to ITU statistics, around 60 percent of Pacific Islanders had access to a mobile in 2012, compared to just 10 percent in 2006. In many Pacific countries mobile phones are, therefore, emerging as a key element of the local communication systems, and are being built into disaster management and communication plans. For these plans to be effective, however, we argue that access to, and affordability of, technologies represent only one dimension of what needs to be considered in effective disaster communication plans. They also need to consider appropriate technologies, local communicative ecologies, systems for the ownership and maintenance of infrastructures, and local knowledge and belief systems. With a focus on mobile and other telecommunications technologies, this paper provides an overview of disaster communication systems and infrastructures, practices and challenges in the region.

Introduction

Mobile phones are often the first electronic communication technology to be accessible to remote or previously unconnected populations, facilitating a ‘multiplicity of relationships in areas that used to be relatively isolated’ (Tenhunen 2008:516). Mobiles have become integrated into livelihood strategies and economic activity (Donner 2009; 2010; Jenson 2007; Wallis 2013), ‘social logistics’ (Tenhunen 2008) and the maintenance of relationships within and across national boundaries (Horst 2006). In times of natural and human-made disasters, they have also been viewed as holding potential for circulating and crowdsourcing information (Heinzelman & Waters 2010; Roche et al 2013), providing status reports (Bengtsson et al 2011),
moving money ([Mas & Moraczynski 2009](#)) and documenting and ‘witnessing’ violence through videos and cameraphones ([Reading 2009](#)). Yet, the ways in which these technological affordances (often viewed as technological ‘solutions’) and the specifics of how this happens in particular places varies according to local social and cultural conditions as well as communication practices and cultural contexts. As some of the broader literature on mobile media and communication demonstrates, we need to pay attention to the different ways in which mobiles are appropriated and used in local contexts ([Ling & Horst 2011](#); [Sey 2011](#); [de Souza e Silva et al 2011](#); [Wallis 2013](#)). Mobiles can contribute to disaster communication and other social challenges, but they also need to be understood and utilised through local cultural and meaning systems ([Horst & Miller 2006](#); [Horst & Taylor 2014](#); [Pertierra 2002](#); [Wallis 2011](#)).

In this paper we argue that local communicative ecologies need to be understood for the effective development of disaster communication plans and approaches. To make our case, we draw upon the findings from the Pacific Media Assistance Scheme (PACMAS)1 baseline study, a study of the state of media and communication across 14 countries in the Pacific region ([Tacchi et al. 2013](#)). The baseline was commissioned by ABC International Development and undertaken by a team of researchers from RMIT University (Australia), Unitec (New Zealand) and University of Goroka (Papua New Guinea) over ten months in 2012 and 2013. Data was collected according to a set of themes defined by PACMAS, through desk-based research, interviews with 212 ‘stakeholders’ from across the region, and 25 interviews with members of a Panel of Expertise. We sent researchers to all 14 countries for between 2 and 7 days to conduct the stakeholder interviews. ‘Stakeholder’ interviews were conducted with the following groups of people:

- TVET (Technical and Vocational Education and Training) coordinators and providers
- Broadcast/communications technicians in government, telecommunications companies and broadcast (especially radio) organisations and media/communication technicians
- Government representatives involved in the planning and management of disasters and crises
- Media managers and professionals in state, private, community, mainstream media
- Communication for Development (C4D) & ICT and development initiatives
- Climate change scientists, government ministers or representatives responsible for climate change
- NGO/CSOs that have climate change as part of their remit, NGO/CSOs that focus on youth and have climate change as part of their remit
- Health department officers/ministers; public health professionals/researchers
- National media association representatives
The Panel of Expertise was made up of 28 people from across the region. Panel members were asked to complete a short questionnaire and verification document via email or phone. The verification document focused upon legislation and the media and communication environment in each country. This provided a mechanism for checking on whether data sourced through desk based research was up to date and accurate.

The Pacific region has a rich and complex media and communication environment. Radio, and in some areas television, are important platforms for communication across vast distances and audiences, while newer information and communication technologies have entered the media and communications landscape. Populations across the 14 countries included in the baseline study range from 1,538 to over 7 million. The demographic and geographic differences are extreme; for example Nauru is one island of 21 square kilometres, while Kiribati consists of 33 low-lying coral atoll islands, across 3.5 million square kilometres of ocean. The fastest growing new technology in the region is the mobile phone. According to ITU statistics, in 2012 around 60 percent of Pacific Islanders had access to a mobile, compared to just 10 percent in 2006. Mobile phones are used in a variety of ways including voice communication and SMS (text), accessing the Internet and social media (Anderson 2013; Handman 2013; Lipset 2013; Watson xxx). In a number of Pacific countries (e.g. Fiji, Papua New Guinea, Samoa and Tonga), mobiles are increasingly being used for banking, payments and the circulation of transnational remittances (Horst 2013). Mobile phones are also ‘converging’ with other media by providing access to camera phones, video and Bluetooth functions that enable people to share and transfer images and other files between mobile phones. However, despite the growth in mobile phones and internet access, and the convergence of broadcast and ICTs in the region, barriers to the use of and participation in local and regional media and communication spaces still remain.

In the first section of this paper we describe the communicative ecologies approach. We then explore the range of disaster communication plans across the region. In the concluding section we illustrate the importance and relevance of a communicative ecology approach to unpack and inform responses to diverse communication environments in disaster communication planning.

**Communicative ecologies**

Mobile phone networks may be the first electronic communication infrastructure to reach many populations across the world, but they are not entering into a communication vacuum. Communicative ecologies refer to the complex systems of communication, media and information flows in a community, or the communicative assemblages (Slater 2013). Taking a
communicative ecology approach means not assuming a hierarchy of ways of communicating, and being open to alternative ways of classifying communication and media platforms across different cultural locations. The approach prioritises local specificities of the ways in which information and communication flow between people and how this is understood. Here communication channels include not just electronic media channels but also through institutions (for example, kinship networks, various organisations and government departments), roads and buses, and any other communication channels, processes and practices that are significant in a particular context. Communicative ecologies are the everyday, complex networks of information and communication in individual and community lives. Our communication networks are complex and based on individual decisions and choices about how to use various media platforms, as well as broader issues of availability and access, and social and cultural barriers and opportunities. Understanding how information flows and how communication takes place is particularly important when it comes to understanding media and communication for development. All forms of communication and mediation are relevant to communicative ecologies, and this helps to ensure we consider and include new media infrastructures, platforms, devices and practices in our analysis, including broadband, social media and mobile phones as well as traditional and face to face communication protocols and practices.

For example, effective disaster planning and preparedness communication in Tuvalu requires an understanding of the cultural contexts, especially in relation to the local framing of climate change risks within Christian narratives. Although Tuvalu figures frequently in western news stories and documentaries in association with climate change, and despite the continual arrival of foreign journalists, the awareness of climate change and the increased risk of potentially devastating disasters among Tuvaluans is low and western information does not filter through to local people (Farbotko & Lazrus 2011). This is especially true for people living on the outer islands. One participant from the NGO sector in Tuvalu interviewed for the baseline study stated:

_You know, the world is more aware about Tuvalu and climate change than most people, like the local people here, you know... I mean, this sort of makes me worry too._ (Tuvalu respondent number 9, see Tacchi et al. 2013).

Christian narratives, and especially the story of Noah’s Ark, are deeply embedded in local public discourses on climate change and natural disasters, and influence the circulation of information in relation to increased risks associated with climate change (Paton & Fairbairn-Dunlop 2010,
see also Rika 2013), an observation echoed by many interviewees. The respondent quoted above explained the implications of the strong faith in divine protection from natural disasters among many Tuvaluans:

*I think last two years a lot of awareness on climate change and the impact, [but] people still ignore and they, you know, the Bible when Noah’s Ark, when God says, I’ll give you a sign that there will be no more flood, and that is in the back of their mind today. They always refer to the Bible.* (Tuvalu respondent number 9, see Tacchi et al 2013).

At other times, the information provided by the Churches complements awareness campaigns. Some churches are taking a proactive stance on this issue and play a leading role in climate change communication, which becomes particularly relevant in the context of the Christian narratives discussed above. Church representatives regularly visit communities on outer islands to present biblical and scientific information about climate change. One such person regularly writes a sermon in a monthly newsletter on climate change. More broadly, the Pacific Conference of Churches (PCC) has been working on advocacy and awareness programs on climate change through its network of church leaders, women and youth organisations (pacificconferenceofchurches.org). By contrast, the local mass media does not seem to have been a key part of climate change engagement so far.

Mobile phones enter existing communicative ecologies and, in the process, alter them. They are appropriated and made meaningful by local communities within their local context, grounded in the realities of the everyday lives of individuals and groups (Tacchi, forthcoming; Tacchi, Kitner & Crawford 2012) and depending on availability, affordability and a wide range of access issues. The legislative and regulatory infrastructures, cost, as well as geography are also important. By comparing these across the 14 countries we can see that there are complex and diverse interrelationships between deregulation, policies, processes and practices. For example, Niue, which is unique among these countries for providing free public Wi-Fi, also has a lower mobile phone penetration rate.
### Table 1: Comparative media and communication environments in Melanesia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Television</th>
<th>Radio</th>
<th>Print</th>
<th>Telecoms</th>
<th>Population and geography</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td>4 commercial companies offering 20 channels 1 church network</td>
<td>2 government 10 commercial 2 church 2 community (one campus)</td>
<td>12 commercial 3 daily, 4 weekly, 4 monthly, 1 quarterly 1 student 2 community</td>
<td>Telecoms competitive environment. 84% mobile penetration, 28% access the internet (in 2011), rapidly growing due to mobile phone expansion</td>
<td>868,400 pop 332 volcanic islands, approximately 110 inhabited, over 18,274 sq km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG</td>
<td>1 commercial 1 public 1 commercial (satellite)</td>
<td>3 government 7 commercial 2 community 5 church</td>
<td>3 commercial 1 church</td>
<td>Telecoms competitive environment. 38% mobile phone penetration 2% access the internet</td>
<td>7,013,829 462 sq km, a group of islands including the eastern half of New Guinea island, mountainous interior (Highlands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solomon Islands</td>
<td>2 commercial 1 government (international) 1 church (international)</td>
<td>4 government 2 commercial 1 church 1 community</td>
<td>4 commercial</td>
<td>Telecoms competitive environment. 50% mobile phone penetration, 6% access the internet</td>
<td>538,000 27,986 sq km, 992 islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanuatu</td>
<td>1 government 2 foreign government 2 church (international)</td>
<td>2 government 1 commercial 1 community 1 church</td>
<td>3 commercial</td>
<td>Telecoms competitive environment. 76% mobile phone penetration, 8% internet access</td>
<td>245,600 pop 12,189 sq km, 83 Islands (65 inhabited)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Comparative media and communication environments in Polynesia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Television</th>
<th>Radio</th>
<th>Print</th>
<th>Telecoms</th>
<th>Population and geography</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Cook Islands | 2 commercial 7 community on outer islands | 4 commercial, only one with almost national coverage 1 community | 2 commercial newspapers 1 daily & 1 weekly | Telecoms monopoly, private company. 66% mobile penetration. Internet 'expensive and slow'
1 | 20,000 pop 15 islands, 12 inhabited, over 236 sq km, mix of low-lying coral atoll islands, and hilly volcanic rises |
| Niue      | 1 government | 1 government 1 hobby | 1 commercial, every 3 weeks | Telecoms government monopoly, but free public wifi. 38% mobile phone penetration, 83% access the internet | 1,538 pop Island of 260 sq km |
| Samoa     | 2 commercial 1 church 1 commercial (foreign-owned) | 1 government 5 commercial 4 church 1 community | 2 government 3 commercial 3 commercial (foreign-owned) 1 community | Telecoms competitive environment. 91% mobile penetration, 7% access the internet | 183,900 pop 2,831 sq. km, includes 2 main islands, several smaller uninhabited islets; a narrow coastal plain with rugged volcanoes in the interior |
| Tonga     | 1 government 1 commercial 2 church | 1 government 5 commercial 1 church | 4 commercial 3 church | Telecoms competitive environment. 53% mobile penetration, 12% access the internet | 104,500 pop. 260 sq. km, archipelago consisting of 176 islands, 26 of which are inhabited |
| Tuvalu    | No service | 1 government | None | Telecoms government monopoly. 20% mobile phone penetration, 40% access the internet | 9,847 pop 9 islands, land area 26 sq km |
Table 3: Comparative media and communication environments in Micronesia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Television</th>
<th>Radio</th>
<th>Print</th>
<th>Telecoms</th>
<th>Population and geography</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FSM</td>
<td>4 commercial one in each state</td>
<td>4 government 2 commercial 1 church 1 community</td>
<td>1 community bi-weekly</td>
<td>Telecoms monopoly, public corporation. 25% mobile penetration, 20% access the internet</td>
<td>107,008 pop 607 islands, combined land area of 702 sq km, spread over 2,600,000 sq km of ocean, made up of 4 federated states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiribati</td>
<td>1 government currently not operating</td>
<td>2 government 1 commercial</td>
<td>1 government 2 commercial 1 church all weekly or less frequent</td>
<td>Telecoms monopoly, government owned. 14% mobile penetration, 10% access the internet</td>
<td>103,000 pop 33 low-lying coral atoll islands, 21 inhabited, land area of 811 sq km, across 3,500,000 sq km of ocean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall Islands</td>
<td>2 commercial with limited coverage 1 US armed forces</td>
<td>1 government 1 commercial 1 US armed forces</td>
<td>1 commercial, weekly</td>
<td>Telecom monopoly, private owned, government controlled. 7% mobile penetration, 3.5% access the internet</td>
<td>54,800 pop 29 coral atoll islands, 24 inhabited, land area 181 sq km, spread over 1,210,000 sq km of ocean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauru</td>
<td>1 government</td>
<td>1 government</td>
<td>1 government, monthly</td>
<td>Telecoms competitive environment. 65% mobile penetration, 6% access the internet</td>
<td>10,300 pop 21 sq km, phosphate rock island.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palau</td>
<td>1 part government owned 2 commercial</td>
<td>1 government 3 commercial 2 church</td>
<td>1 government, irregular 3 commercial, two published irregularly and one twice weekly</td>
<td>Telecoms near government monopoly. 80% mobile phone penetration, 6% access the internet</td>
<td>26,610 pop &gt; 300 islands, 8 inhabited. Mountainous and low, coral islands. Land area 458 sq km</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As the media and communication environment becomes more complex, questions remain about the appropriateness of ICTs for specific purposes, such as disaster communication. For example, there is uncertainty in some places about the ability of broadcasters and technicians to keep up-to-date with the latest equipment and software and the consequences of integrating ICTs like mobile phones into communication plans, compared with ‘tried and tested’ technologies such as broadcast radio. Studies of media in the Pacific – especially in large countries such as Papua New Guinea – from only five years ago considered access to new digital infrastructure less relevant than access to traditional media, especially radio (Duffield, Watson and Hayes 2008). More recent studies find ICTs are becoming more relevant, and indeed are under-utilised for development despite presenting significant potential (Cave 2012). In places such as PNG, where radio has been a dominant and effective platform, recent studies suggest that more households now have access to mobiles than to radio (Intermedia Europe 2012). In fact, our research, and the research of others, suggests that people are increasingly using their mobile devices to access radio programmes (Cave 2012). Intermedia Europe found that in PNG, mobiles are amongst the most common ways to access the Internet, with Facebook one of the most accessed sites (Intermedia Europe 2012).
Communicative ecologies, and the place of mobile phones within these, may differ from person to person and across generations too. For example, Marion Muliaumaseali‘i, a PhD researcher in Samoa, used the communicative ecologies approach to reflect on the generational difference in seeking information, and the perceived trustworthiness of different information sources (Muliaumaseali‘i 2014). She describes how she heard about a tsunami warning on Facebook from a friend who worked for the Samoan government. She ‘googled’ the earthquake and found that a tsunami watch warning had been issued. She then gained more information from her taxi driver who felt the quake and was listening to the state radio station, 2AP, as it was broadcasting about the warning in Samoan. She contrasts this with her grandmother and aunt’s preferred communication platforms. The aunt, in her late 50s, and the grandmother, in her 90s, ‘would only believe that the warning was cancelled once it was announced on the radio’, even though this information was already available online.

Despite the growth in mobile phones and internet access, and the convergence of broadcast and ICTs, barriers to the use of and participation in local, national and transnational media persists. In the baseline research we found that the internet in Tuvalu (provided by the government) was often down for days at a time and, when available, had serious connection problems. The quality and availability of mobile phone signals varies across the countries, and within countries, so that in some places people have to walk to ‘bush phone booths’, a term to describe a location where there is reception (Intermedia Europe 2012). The geography of media access impacts on the diversity of media sources in specific locations in the Pacific. In PNG levels of accessibility are mainly associated with geography rather than demographics such as age, gender and education (Intermedia Europe 2012). Geographic regions can be described in terms of whether they are ‘media-rich’ or ‘media dark’ (Intermedia Europe 2012). In PNG, media dark areas are predominantly located in the islands. TV and newspapers are mainly accessed in urban areas, so that radio and word of mouth remain a significant source of information, especially via family members and friends who travel between provinces in PNG. This situation of differential access is repeated in different ways in other Pacific countries, such as Tuvalu, Marshall Islands, Palau, Kiribati where isolation means that outer islands receive only government radio, or sometimes no mass media at all. Face-to-face communication remains highly valued across all Pacific countries.
Comparison of Disaster Communication Plans and Systems

A range of media and communication platforms are now being used to provide access to early warning systems before a disaster. Tables 4, 5 and 6 below compare the existence of policies and plans in each country (arranged by region), and the types of communication platforms used.

Table 4: Role of media in disaster response and preparedness in Melanesia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Relevant legislation</th>
<th>Main institutions &amp; organisations</th>
<th>Role of broadcast media</th>
<th>Role of telecom</th>
<th>Primary media platforms used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td>Disaster Management Act (1998), National Disaster Management plan (1995), municipal disaster management plans.</td>
<td>National Disaster Management Council (DISMAC), Red Cross, Public Works Department (PWD), Ministry of Health, Ministry of Agriculture, the Military, the Police, Fiji Meteorology Service.</td>
<td>Government broadcasters (Radio Fiji and FM96) are formally integrated into National Disaster Plans.</td>
<td>Formal agreements in place for the provision of free emergency calls (Telecom Fiji, Vodafone &amp; Digicel), and use of networks during disasters (Telecom Fiji &amp; Digicel).</td>
<td>Radio Fiji &amp; FM96 sirens (in Suva) official websites email TV social network sites SMS messages (Vodafone)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG</td>
<td>Disaster Management Act currently being reviewed. PNG Vision 2050, National Disaster Management Plan, National Disaster Mitigation Policy and Disaster Risk Reduction, and Disaster Management National Framework for Action 2005 – 2015.</td>
<td>National Disaster Centre, provincial disaster offices, military, National Weather Office, Geo Physical Observatory</td>
<td>Formal disaster plans involve the National Broadcasting Corporation (including its 19 provincial stations) to disseminate information.</td>
<td>Telecoms are formally integrated into disaster plans, however most disaster initiatives are based on informal agreements.</td>
<td>NBC Radio (including provincial stations), some use internet and mobile communications. HF radio (for emergency services).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solomon Islands</td>
<td>National Disaster Risk Management Plan 2010 for Disaster Management Arrangements and Disaster and Climate change Risk Reduction, (national plan in progress)</td>
<td>National Disaster Management Office, National Disaster Councils (national and provincial), Police, Red Cross, NGOs and civil society, private sector, Ministry for Energy and Mines, Meteorology Service.</td>
<td>In the National Disaster Risk Management Plan Broadcasters are considered ‘Critical Infrastructure Agencies’ and are therefore formally required to work within the plan. In the event of an emergency, SIBC hands control over to the NDMO.</td>
<td>Telekom is included in the national Disaster Risk Management Plan. NDMO may seek assistance from Telikom in emergencies.</td>
<td>Radio (SIBC), church bells or conch shells. HF Radio and email among disaster responders. Telekom is exploring opportunities for warnings via mobile phones.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanuatu</td>
<td>Disaster Risk Reduction (DDR) and Disaster Management (DM) National Action Plan (2006-2016)</td>
<td>Vanuatu Meteorology and Geo-Hazards Department (VMGD), National Advisory Board (NAB) on Climate Change and Disaster Risk Reduction, National Disaster Management Office (NDMO)</td>
<td>Formal agreement (MOU) signed between VBTC the NDMO &amp; VMGD outlining procedures for broadcasting of official emergency information. NDMO send emergency information updates to all radio stations, including VBTC.</td>
<td>Informal agreements are being developed between Meteorology and Digicel (among others). Telecom providers have internal plans.</td>
<td>Radio (VTBC), warning lights at Police Headquarters, satellite phones (in each village). Currently no agreement on using mass-SMS messages or other ICTs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 5: Role of media in disaster response and preparedness in Polynesia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Relevant legislation</th>
<th>Main institutions &amp; organisations</th>
<th>Role of broadcast media</th>
<th>Role of telecom</th>
<th>Primary media platforms used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cook Islands</td>
<td>National Disaster Management Act drafted in 2007 but not passed.</td>
<td>Emergency Management Agency, Police Commissioner, Media Office, Minister of Works, Red Cross</td>
<td>Currently no formal arrangement for emergency broadcasting; plan is reportedly in progress.</td>
<td>Currently no formal arrangement with Telecom for service provision during a disaster</td>
<td>Radio (especially Radio Cook Islands), Sirens (on Rarotonga only), HF radios, Satellite phones, Telecom has an emergency plan. Some capacity to send SMS messages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niue</td>
<td>National Disaster Plan (2010), Public Emergency Regulation (2004)</td>
<td>National Disaster Council, Chief of Police, Niue Meteorology Service</td>
<td>The government radio (BCN Radio) is formally integrated into the National Disaster Plan.</td>
<td>Niue Telecom is formally integrated into the National Disaster Plan.</td>
<td>Radio Sunshine (BCN), police cars travel to villages, Plans for sirens, Niue Telecom is exploring options for mass messages via mobile phones, Response stakeholders use email, phones, paper notes and HF radio communication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoa</td>
<td>National Disaster Management Act 2007, National Disaster Management Plan (2011-2016)</td>
<td>Disaster Monitoring Office, Disaster Advisory Committee, Police, Samoa Meteorology Division, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment</td>
<td>Media organisations are included in the National Disaster Plan, and formal plans describe the government AM radio station, ZAP, as the primary media outlet in emergencies.</td>
<td>The National Emergency Telecommunications Plan involves Digicel and BlueSky Samoa.</td>
<td>2AP (government AM radio broadcaster), network of sirens and bells, Digicel can send mass-SMS messages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuvalu</td>
<td>National Disaster Plan (1997, revised 2011), Disaster Management Act (revised 2007)</td>
<td>National Disaster Management Committee, Disaster Task Force, Tuvalu Meteorology Service</td>
<td>No official emergency broadcasting plan. Disaster Task Force responsible for information dissemination via Radio Tuvalu</td>
<td>ICTs are not integrated into national plans. Telecom provider has internal plans.</td>
<td>Radio (Tuvalu Radio), police cars with sirens and loudspeakers, satellite phones (for communication to outer islands).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6: Role of media in disaster response and preparedness in Micronesia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Relevant legislation</th>
<th>Main institutions &amp; organisations</th>
<th>Role of broadcast media</th>
<th>Role of telecom</th>
<th>Primary media platforms used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FSM</td>
<td>Disaster Preparedness Plan review currently being approved.</td>
<td>Department of Public Safety, FSMTC, Public Utilities Corporation, Public Transport Agency, local government, Red Cross, International Organisation for Migration (IOM), Salvation Army, and private businesses, National Weather Service Office.</td>
<td>Local radio stations are included in the Disaster Preparedness plan; but lack of awareness of this among stakeholders. Currently no formal emergency broadcasting plans.</td>
<td>Currently no formal plans, but indications that ICT use in disaster response is on the agenda.</td>
<td>Police announcements using loud hailers &amp; PA systems, warning sirens (in Pohnpei only) HF radios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiribati</td>
<td>National Disaster Act 1993 (was never implemented). National Disaster Management Plan endorsed in 2012.</td>
<td>Disaster Risk Management Office (DRM), National Disaster Management Committee (NDMC), Office of the President, Kiribati Meteorological Service (within the Office of the President)</td>
<td>Currently no formal agreements are in place; informal plans are for the NDMC to make all announcements via Radio Kiribati</td>
<td>An agreement is being developed with Telecom.</td>
<td>Radio Kiribati, police cars &amp; loud speakers, police VHF radios. Currently no mass-SMS systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauru</td>
<td>Disaster Risk Management Act 2008</td>
<td>National Disaster Risk Management Office (NDRMO), Emergency Operations Centre, Police Commissioner, President</td>
<td>The Disaster Risk Management Act states that TV and Radio will be used for emergency broadcasting but there are currently no formal emergency broadcasting plans</td>
<td>No formal agreements; ongoing discussions</td>
<td>Police and Digicel have satellite phones. NDRMO is considering other warning options (sirens or PA system). Digicel can disseminate SMS warnings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As Tables 4, 5, and 6 illustrate, some countries have highly developed and comprehensive plans integrating a range of communication infrastructures and platforms. For example, Fiji and
Samoa have some of the most comprehensive activities. Fiji, which has a national team that coordinates the response to and information dissemination on the impacts of climate change and disaster preparedness, has a disaster communication plan that includes the use of: telephone, internet, warning sirens, short wave equipment, human resources and key individuals, cars with speakers, word of mouth and NGOs, to inform the public. They also embrace traditional knowledge and warning systems (i.e. observations of natural phenomena). Radio, TV, newspapers and telephone facilities, both landline and mobile, combine to cover all areas. During the 2011 floods, radio broadcasters and others used social network sites to access and disseminate information. In some countries, such as Samoa, Papua New Guinea and Tonga, emergency broadcasters are experimenting with sending out SMS messages to mobile phone owners; however, there remain a few difficulties given the limited number of messages that can be sent at one time (200 in Tonga). There were also reports in Papua New Guinea that early warnings were sometimes confused with spam messages and people failed to take any action when receiving SMS warnings.

**In conclusion: Locating Disaster communication in local communicative ecologies**

Locating disaster management plans within the local communicative ecology does not privilege high-tech over low-tech or vice versa. For example, Samoa has one of the strongest disaster preparedness policies and coordinating bodies in the region, and its disaster plans interweave older communication practices with new approaches and technologies. The early warning system includes a network of sirens in town areas and a refinement of an SMS system, together with networks of village bells, where successive villages ring their bells to warn the next village, who passes the warning on by ringing their bells. In addition to technical solutions, Samoans utilise traditional knowledge of signs of changing weather patterns (*Lefale 2009*). In many cases villages go through a separate additional process of identifying the risks and developing plans which supplement the national disaster plans and preparations.

Importantly, face-to-face communication remains the most effective warning system and has been acknowledged and incorporated into disaster planning. Often police are the primary communicators and they are often provided satellite phones and radios so they can access the most up-to-date information. For example, in Kiribati the police drive around all of the islands (including outer islands) to inform people about impending emergencies, and in Niue police make a point of meeting with the village councils who, in turn, go around the village to inform people of the alert and advise them to listen to the radio. They also check to make sure people
have hot water and an emergency kit, and remind them to close their shutters. In the Solomon Islands the police circulate warnings. In other places church bells or conch shells are used. While radio and television are the primary emergency systems in Palau, in the past the governor has also phoned households via landlines and sent people to relay the message to every household. A number of countries continue experimenting with ways to combine face-to-face meetings with mediated forms of awareness information strategies.

An area often neglected in disaster management and climate change communication is language. The use of highly technical terms without translating them into local languages can cause confusion. Information fatigue was observed in some countries like Kiribati and Palau and at least some of this can be attributed to the challenges of translating issues of climate change and disaster planning into locally relevant stories.

Geography remains a key challenge for technicians and others involved in the design and implementation of successful emergency broadcast systems. The vast majority of island nations in the region are geographically dispersed and separated by water or dense forest and vegetation. For example, the government in the Federated States of Micronesia recently purchased a siren warning that is activated by a text message (SMS). Currently the system is only operational in Pohnpei, but they envision that this system will eventually be quite useful for the atoll islands where the siren will be heard across the community; in the past vast distances have hindered effective early warning systems.

In countries such as the Cook Islands, radio and satellite phones are key technologies for reaching the populations in the outer islands. For example, every island has a satellite phone to be used in emergencies and telecommunications companies prepare their staff with High Frequency radios and satellite phones. However, radio alone continues to have shortcomings. In the Cook Islands the radio station that reaches the outer islands transmits on a limited basis and does not broadcast 24/7. Similar coverage gaps can be observed in Palau, the Marshall Islands and the Solomon Islands.

It is important to integrate mobile phones and other ICTs into disaster plans and policies across the Pacific in order to remain relevant in the dynamic and shifting communication environments. To be effective, however, these technologies need to be understood in local contexts. Communicative ecologies provides a useful conceptual framework for this, and, as this paper demonstrates, can help to identify important nuances in affordability, access, trustworthiness, generational and gendered differences, geography, and the influences of cultural and meaning structures and local knowledge in preparing and responding to disasters.
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