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Abstract—One of the main challenges in future power systems is the enormous integration of generation units using high power converters as interface, it decouples new power sources from the AC power grid, incapacitating a natural frequency response. This situation decreases the total system inertia affecting the ability of power system to overcome system frequency's disturbances. This paper evaluates two inertial controller for full-rated power converter wind turbines, Type 4: (A) releasing “hidden” inertia controller and (B) fast power reserve emulation. Simulations over a test system are used for a preliminary evaluation of the mentioned inertial controllers. The main contribution of this paper is to demonstrate the main differences between system frequency response (SFR) obtained by each inertial controller and how important is to match wind turbine and system characteristic to improve the SFR.

Index Terms—Frequency controller, frequency stability, power system, protection scheme, wind turbine generator.

I. INTRODUCTION

Future energy systems will look completely different to the power systems on nowadays [1], [2]. High and low power converters will be massively deployed almost everywhere into the electric network [3], [4] and for very different use: (i) high power interfaces of the renewable energy produced by highly variable generators [5], [6], [7], (ii) interface of several technologies for energy storage, each one with very different time constants, and (iii) interconnecting several synchronized power systems, creating an Pan-European transmission network which facilitate the massive integration of large-scale renewable energy sources and the balancing and transportation of electricity markets. The high/low power converters typically tend to decouple energy sources from the pre-existent AC power systems [4], [2]. During a system frequency disturbance (SFD) the generation/demand power balance is lost, the system frequency will change at a rate initially determined by the total system inertia ($H_s$). However, future power systems will increase the installed power capacity (MVA) but the effective system inertial response will stay the same nowadays [8]. The result is deeper frequency excursions of system disturbances.

II. FREQUENCY CONTROL IN WIND TURBINES

Frequency control in power systems is usually formed of primary and secondary control. Future power system will require an active participation of wind power generation on the primary and secondary frequency control. Although generators electronically controller and/or electronically connected to the grid do not provide FR, this capability can be obtained by adding a supplementary control to the power converters [1]. Several control schemes can be drawn to enable the wind power generation to provide FR, it can be divided into three-level hierarchy [1]: (i) wind turbine controller –local control, (ii) wind farm controller, (iii) power system level controller. Local control at wind turbine level is used to provide primary frequency control and other additional auxiliary services then wind farm level controller allows coordination between the
central and local control in order to achieve the desired generation for the system. Power system level controllers are used for secondary frequency control; it provides better system frequency behavior by the coordination between the AGC and the wind farms. Wind turbine level controllers are local controllers added to the VSWT subsystems in order to enable transiently support the frequency. They can enable the primary frequency control by two strategies [19]: (i) Inertial Controller and (ii) Governor controller. In this paper, the main concern is related to the inertia controller, it can be created in several approaches, there are two basic approaches: (A) Releasing “Hidden Inertia” and (B) Fast Power Reserve Emulation. Those controllers are described in details in the next subsections.

A. Releasing “Hidden Inertia”

Modern WTGs use power electronics converters to enable variable speed operation in order to capture wind energy over a wide range of speeds. However, power converter isolates the rotational speed from the system frequency so WTG based on back-to-back AC/DC/AC converters offer no natural response to system frequency [20], [14].

The WT industry has created several controllers for modern WTG’s in order to provide inertial response (and governor response on some cases) for large frequency deviation for, short-duration, releasing hidden inertia. There are several names for this sort of controllers: Artificial, Emulated, Simulated, or Synthetic Inertial. Examples of synthetic inertia controlled commercially available for WTG are [21]: General Electric WindINERTIA™ [22], ENERCON® Inertia Emulation [23].

The objective of the synthetic inertia control is “to extract the stored inertial energy from the moving part on WTGs” [24]. There are several versions of synthetic inertia controllers; however they can be classified in two main approaches: (a) Releasing “hidden” inertia and (b) Reserve capacity in pitch. In this paper the hidden inertia approach is considered and it is named synthetic inertia from here on.

![Synthetic Inertia Controller](image)

Figure 1. Representative block diagram of Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) controller and Releasing Hidden Inertia (shadowed) [1], [21], [19].

**Synthetic inertia concept** allows a controller to take the kinetic energy from a WT rotating mass. This controller is well-explained in several publications [15], [12]. Its control loop increases the electric power output during the initial stages of a significant downward frequency event. The active power (inertial power, \(\Delta P\)) of the control is achieved by:

\[
\Delta P = 2H_{syn} \cdot f_{sys} \frac{df_{sys}}{dt}
\]

where \(H_{syn}\) express the synthetic inertia (sec) and \(f_{sys}\) system frequency (p.u). Implementation of synthetic inertia controller is depicted on Figure 1.

B. Fast Power Reserve Emulation

The fast-power reserve emulation controller is designed to provide a short term constant power, and it can provide frequency response for a short period of time [25], [26], [27]. The fast-power reserve \(P_{fres}\) is derived from a simple integration of kinetic energy stored in the wind turbine rotor:

\[
P_{fres} = \frac{1}{2} J_{sys} (\omega_{i}^{2} - \omega_{r}^{2})
\]

where \(J\) (\(t < t_{max}\)) is the last time of the fast-power reserve since the beginning of the frequency disturbance, \(\omega_{i}\) is the initial rotational speed and \(\omega_{r}\) is the rotor rotational speed corresponding to \(t\).

This controller acts on the reference rotational speed creating an artificial change on the rotational speed to allow release kinetic energy from the wind turbine rotor. The change on the rotational speed \(\omega_{ref}\) is obtained as:

\[
\omega_{ref} = \omega_{r} - \sqrt{\omega_{r}^{2} - \frac{2}{J_{sys}}} \frac{P_{fres}}{t}
\]

A general scheme for the fast-power reserve emulation controller is depicted on Figure 2. The fast power reserved provides FR for a short period and save time for other slower generators to participate in the frequency control.

![Fast-Power Reserve Emulation](image)

Figure 2. Representative block diagram of Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) controller and Fast Power Reserve Emulation (shadowed) [1].

III. SYSTEM MODELLING

This section presents system modelling used in this paper. A very simple test system is considered, as shown of Figure 3.

![Test System](image)

Figure 3. Test system: Representative transmission system including an equivalent wind turbine.

It consists of a large equivalent external grid \((G_{EQ})\) connected to a wind turbine (WT) using a multi-voltage level transmission system. For simplicity, this is a lossless transmission system and reactances of transformer and transmission system can be combined together (bus 3 and 4 disappear) and an equivalent reactance \((X_{EQ})\) used instead. The
next subsections presents details of the modelling of the different aspects relevant for SFR. The main grid is assumed to be characterized by a total inertia ($H_{net}$) equal to 40 s (on machine power base) and a 3% equivalent droop.

### A. Wind Turbine (WT) Model

Figure 4 depicts the general structure of a variable-speed wind turbine (VSWT) with a direct-drive (DD) permanent magnet synchronous generator (PMSG). This wind turbine uses a full-rated power converter in the form of back-to-back topology. The models used full-rated converter and their details are taken from [2, 19, 21]. Models parameters used are escalated to simulate an equivalent 5 MW wind turbine.

**Figure 4.** General structure of a variable-speed wind turbine (VSWT) with a direct drive synchronous generator with a full-rated power converter as interface to grid.

**Figure 5.** Representative block diagram of main elements, controller and signals using on the model of VSWT with a DD synchronous generator with a FRPC.

Figure 5 shows block diagram of the main components and controller considering on the modelling of VSWT with a DD synchronous generator with a FRPC as interface to grid. A time series can be used as input of wind turbine rotor model, for simplicity in this paper constant speed is assumed during the simulation time. The variable speed wind turbine rotor model consists of the classical polynomial relationship between wind speed and mechanical power. The model for the mechanical shaft consists of a simple a classical two mass representation. Maximum power point tracking controller is included in order to provide the speed control of the wind turbine and it is aimed to maximize its power production. Pitch angle controller is included in the model aiming to reduce of the power extracted from the wind at very high wind speed. As far as the generator side converter is concerned, two main control loops are present, namely: the active power/speed control loop and the voltage control loop. Such loops will provide the reference signals for the two inner current control loops. The grid side converter is composed of basically two outer control loops, regulating the voltage ($U_{dc}$) on the DC link and the reactive power ($Q_{net}$) delivered to the network. Again, such loops will provide the reference signals for the two inner current control loops. Details of control modelling are beyond the scope of this paper, however, further details can be found on [28], [29].

### IV. SIMULATION AND RESULTS

This section presents a comparison of two inertial controller enabling frequency control in wind turbines: (A) releasing “hidden” inertia controller and (B) fast power reserve emulatin. Simulations and results over a simple Test System, shown in Figure 3, are presented in this section. An equivalent synchronous generator (GS) and load are used as representative equivalent model of a traditional power system and a small transmission system is included considering two voltage levels. System model and wind turbine controllers (as described in section II and III) are implemented using Matlab/Simulink. In this paper, system disturbance consist of generated outage simulated by a sudden increase on active power demand. The system disturbance is inserted at $t_0 = 10.0$ s.

#### A. System Frequency Response

Time domain response of the main frequency response are plotted in Figure 6. When the frequency limit ($f_{activation}$) is exceeded, the frequency-support controller is activated providing inertial response, resulting in sudden increase on active power injection to the equivalent network and providing support on transiently re-establishing the power-balance and helping on frequency support. The wind turbine output power delivered to the grid increases when the system frequency exceeds its limit and the controller is activated ($f_{activation} = 49.9$ Hz). Controller (A) will shut down when frequency reaches a new steady state while controller (B) turns off after 5 seconds. The wind turbine has an initial active power production below rated power (0.55 p.u). During the inertial response, it is seen a sudden increase of the inertial power contribution provided by the WT equipped with hidden inertial controller ($P_{inertial, max} = 0.596$ p.u), however, the fast power reserve emulatin controller allows reaching a larger power contribution in a longer period ($P_{inertial, max} = 0.645$ p.u @ 5.33sec) –see Table I for more details. The quantity of power taken from kinetic energy –$Hw^2$, depends on the inertial controllers enabled on the wind turbine. The higher is the inertial power contribution, the higher is the decrease of kinetic energy during the frequency disturbance, and most important, the energy recovery after disturbance will require a longer time. Because kinetic energy from the wind turbine is used to supply more power to the grid, the rotational speed of the turbine and wind power are decreasing. After the inertial response wind turbine resumes normal operation at different time, depending on the inertial controller used: longer time is required by the fast power reserve emulatin controller.

The output power drops to a value that is lower than the initial one, because the turbine is not at its optimal speed
anymore. Then, power is needed to speed the turbine up to its optimal speed, which implies that only a part of the available aerodynamic power is transmitted to the grid. The drop in power also explains why the frequency curves are different from each other after.

Figure 6. Plots of the main variable of SFR during a sudden demand increase: Time domain plots.

![Figure 6](image)

**TABLE I. COMPARISON OF MAIN INDICATORS OF SFR BETWEEN INERTIAL CONTROLLERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Hidden inertia controller</th>
<th>Fast power reserve emulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Min. Frequency, $f_{\text{min}}$ [p.u]</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>0.975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Kinetic Energy Contribution [s]</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Power, $P_{\text{max}}$ [p.u]</td>
<td>0.5943</td>
<td>0.6512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time @ $P_{\text{max}}$ [sec]</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>5.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Sensitivity analysis of synthetic inertia controllers

This subsection presents a sensitivity analysis of frequency responses of each controller considering changes on their main parameters. **Case I:** Initially the value of the gain ($H_{\text{syn}} = 3.5, 7.01, 14.02$ and $28.04$ s) in the synthetic inertia controller is changed to assess the frequency response as shown on Figure 7. **Case II:** parameter of the fast power reserve emulation are changed considering, $(P_{\text{syn}}/H_{\text{syn}})t = 0.125, 0.25, 0.5$ and $0.75$ (see Figure 8).

It is clear that increasing the gain in both controller the inertial power contribution is increased during the system frequency response, however, it must be noticed two important aspects:

(i) hidden inertia controller allow a very fast active power contribution with a high $dP/dt$ and (ii) fast power reserve emulation controller provide a smoother response (lower $dP/dt$), but depending on the slope included in the controller $(P_{\text{syn}}/H_{\text{syn}})t$, the inertial power contribution could reach rated power (1.0 p.u as depicted on Figure 8). However, the main issue with the fast power reserve emulation controller is the deactivation time (here set to 5s), which interrupts the inertial power contribution and potentially initiate a second system frequency disturbance.

Figure 7. Plot of the main variable of SFR during a sudden demand increase: Case I: Hidden inertia controller.

![Figure 7](image)

Figure 8. Plot of the main variable of SFR during a sudden demand increase: Case II: Fast power reserve emulation.

![Figure 8](image)
V. CONCLUSION

This paper evaluated two inertial controller for full-rated power converter wind turbines, Type 4: (A) releasing “hidden” inertia controller and (B) fast power reserve emulation. Simulations over a test system are used for a preliminary evaluation of the mentioned inertial controllers. Time domain simulations and results demonstrate the difference on the system frequency response between those controllers.

The main contribution of this paper is to demonstrate the main differences between system SRF obtained by each inertial controller: (i) hidden inertia controller allow a very fast active power contribution with a high \( \frac{dP}{dt} \); this characteristic is specially desirable in low inertia systems (ii) fast power reserve emulation controller provide a smooth response (lower \( \frac{dP}{dt} \)), but depending on the slope included in the controller \( P_{inv}/H_{inv} \), the inertial power contribution could reach the WTG rated power. The performance of this controller can be especially desirable in high inertia system, where the controller will provide inertia power avoiding the action of under frequency protection schemes and allowing time to high inertia generators to actuate. However, the main issue with the fast power reserve emulation controller is the deactivation time, which potentially initiate a second system frequency disturbance.
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