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**Summary**

Streamflow time series often contain gaps of varying length and location. However, the influence of these gaps on trend detection is poorly understood and cannot be estimated a priori in trend-detection studies. We simulated the effects of varying gap size (1, 2, 5, and 10 years) and location (one quarter, one third, and half of the way) on the detection rate of significant monotonic trends in annual maxima and peaks-over-threshold, based on the most commonly-used trend tests in time series of varying length (from 15 to 150 years) and trend magnitude ($\beta_1$). Results show that, in comparison with the complete time series, the loss in trend detection rate tends to grow with (i) increasing gap size, (ii) increasing gap distance from the middle of the time series, (iii) decreasing $\beta_1$ slope, and (iv) decreasing time series length. Based on these findings, we provide objective recommendations and cautionary remarks for maximal gap allowance in trend detection in extreme streamflow time series.
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1. Introduction

Data gaps are pervasive in hydrological networks globally. In the continental USA, records of mean daily and peak annual streamflow are remarkably long (those exceeding ten complete years are 45 and 49 years long on average, respectively) but data gaps are frequent and sometimes lengthy (36%/47% of these records have at least one gap, lasting 10.6/10.0 years on average, respectively; Figure 1). In the UK, similarly, 22% of flow records were less than 95% complete in 2008 (Marsh and Hannaford 2008). Missing values are a common feature of river flow archives (e.g., Stahl et al. 2010, Hannah et al 2011, Whitfield et al. 2012) and are of notable concern, as they may hinder the calculation of summary statistics (Hannaford 2004) and affect statistical trend detection (Helsel and Hirsch 1992).

Gaps in streamflow records arise from a variety of causes. Short-term gaps (single to multiple measurements) may occur as a result of ice effects, backwater, equipment damage during large flood events (Kundzewicz and Robson 2004), or malfunctioning of the recording system (e.g., Carter and Davidian 1968, Rantz 1982). Long-term discontinuities in data collection, in contrast, tend to arise from changes in gauging site establishment (e.g., Juracek and Fitzpatrick 2009), under-funding (e.g., Lins et al. 2010), or station destruction (resulting from major floods or vandalism). The location of the resulting gaps may vary within the streamflow distribution, often clustering at the extremes (i.e. minima and maxima) of the flow range (e.g., Hannaford 2004, Marsh 2002, Gustard and Demuth 2009) when flows are too low or too high to be accurately recorded with the existing equipment.

Various methods have been suggested for dealing with missing streamflow data, depending on the size of the gap. At the sub-annual scale, gaps are typically infilled when it is preferable to add synthetic data than leave a gap in the record (e.g., Lamb et al. 2003). The choice of the most appropriate infilling method depends on the type of site, streamflow variability, gap size, record length, conditions when the gap occurred (rising, falling, or peaking flow), metadata, available tools, and knowledge of the person correcting the data (Gustard and Demuth 2009). For single-value gaps, the local average is preferable to the sample mean (Pappas et al. 2014). For gaps of less than one day, interpolation is preferred (Archer 2007), and for gaps of less than one month, records are often compared with those of neighbor ‘donor’ gauging stations with similar discharge (Hannaford and Buys 2012), although differences in mean and variance may occur unless they are corrected for (e.g. Grygier et al. 1989). The equi-percentile method is also used for gaps exceeding seven days (Hannaford 2004, Lavers et al. 2010), and has been shown to perform well in comparison with 14 other different infilling methods (Harvey et al. 2012). Sometimes mixed methods are used, e.g., combining linear regression with a streamflow model (Sanderson et al. 2012), or more complex statistical approaches in the case of very large gaps (Gyau-Baokye and Schultz 1994). Overall, it is recognized that these infilling approaches are more reliable for short than for long gaps (e.g., Hirsch and Fischer 2014).
At the annual to decadal scale there are fewer recommendations in the existing literature. One technique is to divide the time series into three sections of equal length, and discard any section with less than 20% of the total coverage (Helsel and Hirsch 1992); however this method is infrequently used (e.g., Asarian and Walker 2016) and there is not a clear rationale for choosing those thresholds over others. Other approaches range from lax to strict. Some ignore the presence of gaps (e.g., Archer 2007, Tomkins 2014) when data availability is limited and a stringent filtering would do more harm than good (Slater 2016). Typically, it is considered that a one- or two-year gap in the middle of a flow record should not disqualify a station from an analysis (Helsel and Hirsch 1992). Others select a maximal gap threshold below which gaps are deemed acceptable, e.g., $x$ consecutive days (Zaidman et al 2002, Hannaford and Buys 2012), or a minimal coverage, e.g., 330 days (~90% completeness) per year (Mallakpour and Villarini 2015, Slater et al. 2015). It may also make sense to remove any sites where gaps exceed one (Petrow and Merwade 2009) to five years (Guo et al. 2014), or to require a percentage of completeness over a period of several decades (Adam and Lettenmaier 2008). Last, the most strict approach consists in prohibiting gaps entirely (e.g., Baker et al. 2004, Pinter et al. 2008, Villarini et al. 2009a), but this approach typically eliminates many sites, especially in regions such as Africa, Asia, and South America (Kundzewicz et al. 2005) where there are data continuity issues arising from hydrological reasons, funding, and institutional capacity.

Little is known about the effects of annual gaps on trend detection, and so the choice of maximal gap allowance in trend analyses is seldom fully justified (e.g., Mallakpour and Villarini 2015, Slater et al. 2015). The aim of this work, therefore, is to provide a preliminary framework to better understand the effects of gaps on the detection of monotonic trends in time series of streamflow maxima. We investigate the influence of gap location on trend detection, for gaps of varying length and location in the record, varying trend magnitude, and record length. We do not investigate the influence of autocorrelation and/or short and long term persistence, although these would be valuable questions for further analyses. Based on our results, we provide objective recommendations for maximal gap allowance in trend detection, with a number of caveats.

2. Methods

2.1. Data

For all stream gauges in the continental USA, we downloaded peak annual streamflow data from the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) National Water Information System (NWIS) at http://nwis.waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/peak, and mean daily streamflow data using the package ‘dataRetrieval’ (Hirsch and De Cicco 2015) in the open-source software R. We computed the number and average length of gaps (i.e., years with less than 365 daily values, or no annual peak value) at each site that had at least ten complete years of data (Figure 1).
Across the continental USA, we find that no regions are entirely gap-free, and that 13% (daily) and 17% (annual peak) of sites have at least one gap that equals or exceeds ten years. For the simulation we keep only the historical flow records with at least 30 complete years of daily data (4,525 sites with daily streamflow, and 7,575 sites with annual peak streamflow) to obtain a range of plausible trend magnitudes.

2.2. Simulation Setup

For both the peak-over threshold (POT) and Generalized Extreme Value (GEV) simulations described below we follow a similar procedure, generating synthetic streamflow time series, and conducting sensitivity analyses with these data (see Figure S1 for a flow chart).

**POT**

At the 4,525 retained sites with daily data we use a POT approach to compute the number of separate flood events in each year above a threshold. We set this threshold as the streamflow that is exceeded twice per year on average over the entire record. Separate events are selected using an inhibition window of 5 days + log(A) (e.g., Lang et al. 1999), where A is the contributing drainage area in logarithmically-transformed mi². Poisson regression is used (because the data are discrete and bounded at zero, see e.g., Dobson 2008) to estimate the temporal trends in annual counts, as:

\[
\lambda = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 \times \text{year})
\]

The β₀ and β₁ parameters are retained for all 4,525 sites. For the simulation, we choose β₁,sim parameters based on the observed distribution of β₁ (i.e., measured from the 4,525 sites; 0 and ± 0.001, 0.005, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05, 0.1) to encompass both small and large observed slopes. The idea is to investigate how the accuracy of trend detection would vary in the case of small versus large underlying trends (unknown β₁ slopes) in the data. The β₀,sim parameter is set (fixed) as the median of the observed distribution at the 4,525 sites for consistency throughout the simulation (β₀,sim=0.687). To avoid any dependence of the parameters on a specific time-period, we set a common start year equal to 1. Gaps are located one quarter, one third, and half of the way into the time series.

To generate a synthetic Poisson distribution for a sequence of 150 continuous years, we compute the rate parameter λ for every year (\(\lambda_i\)) ranging from 1 to 150, following equation 1. We generate 50,000 streamflow values for every year, using \(\lambda_i\) inside the function rpois(n=50000, \(\lambda_i\)) in R (R Core Team, 2015). From the 150-year time series, we subset streamflow time series of varying length, ranging from 15 to 150 years, with a time step of 5 years. In other words, for every time series of length \(n\), we subsample \(n\) streamflow values (one from the 50,000 for every year).

We use Poisson regression to estimate β₀ and β₁ in the simulated series. If β₁ has the same sign as β₁,sim and is significant at the 5% level, then we consider the detection as a “hit.” If \(\hat{\beta}_1\) does not have
the same sign as $\beta_{1,\text{sim}}$ or is not significant, then it is a “miss.” We then remove $x$ (e.g., 5) years of data from the same time series, at location $m$ (e.g., one-third of the way in), and re-compute $\hat{\beta}_1$ and its statistical significance. As before, the trend detection can be either a hit or a miss. This procedure is iterated 50,000 times, storing the result (hit or a miss) for both the complete time series and the ‘gapped’ time series. We then compute the detection rate for both the complete and ‘gapped’ time series as the fraction of hits out of 50,000 iterations (Figure S2 indicates the trend detection rate for the complete POT time series). The effect of the gap on trend detection is then measured as the percentage difference between detection rates for the incomplete time series with respect to the complete series.

**GEV**

To examine the impact of gaps on trend detection in annual maxima, we use the GEV distribution (e.g., Coles et al. 2001). The GEV distribution is dependent upon the location parameter $\mu$ (which governs the magnitude), the scale parameter $\sigma$ (the variability), and the shape parameter $\xi$ (the heaviness of the tail). To obtain realistic parameters for the simulation, we fit a GEV distribution with a linear trend in the location parameter $\mu$ (with parameters $\beta_0$, $\beta_1$) and constant $\sigma$ and $\xi$ using the R package ‘ismev’ (Heffernan and Stephenson 2014) for every site with at least 30 complete years of peak data. We estimate and retain the $\beta_0$, $\beta_1$, $\sigma$, and $\xi$ parameters for all 7,575 of those sites.

For the simulation, our approach is the same as with the daily data, but adapted to the GEV distribution. The $\beta_{0,\text{sim}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{sim}}$ parameters for the simulation are kept constant, using the median of the observed $\beta_0$ (equal to 2527.35) and $\sigma$ (equal to 1467.61) distributions at the 7,575 sites. The $\beta_{1,\text{sim}}$ parameters are based on the observed distribution of $\beta_1$s ($0$ and $\pm 1$, $2.5$, $5$, $10$, $25$, $50$, $100$, $150$, $200$) to encompass both small and large observed slopes. We also explore the sensitivity of the results to different values of $\xi_{\text{sim}}$ falling in the domain of attraction of the Weibull, Gumbel or Fréchet distribution ($\xi_{\text{sim}}$ equal to $-1$, $0$, or $+1$).

To produce a synthetic GEV streamflow distribution for a sequence of 150 continuous years, we begin by computing a $\mu$ rate parameter for every year ($\mu_i$, from 1 to 150), as:

$$\mu = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times \text{year}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

We then generate 50,000 synthetic streamflow values for every year using the GEV distribution in the software $R$, as $\text{rgev}(n=50000$, $\xi_{\text{sim}}$, $\mu_i$, $\sigma_{\text{sim}}$), with the fExtremes package (Wuertz et al. 2013). From these computed annual streamflow values, we subset streamflow time series of varying length, ranging from 15 years to 150 years, with a time step of 5 years, as before. Any negative values are replaced by zero, as strictly positive streamflow values are desired for the simulation.

For every time series, we compute the value of the Mann Kendall tau and associated p-value (Mann 1945, Kendall 1975), using the R package Kendall (McLeod 2011). If the sign of the tau is the same
that of \( \beta_{1,\text{sim}} \) and is significant at the 5% level, then we consider the detection as a “hit.” If the tau does not have the same sign as the \( \beta_{1,\text{sim}} \) or is not significant, then it is a “miss.” As before, we then remove \( x \) (e.g., 5) years of data from the time series, at location \( m \) (e.g. one-third of the way in), and recompute tau and the associated p-value. The trend detection can be either a hit or a miss. This procedure is iterated 50,000 times, storing the result (hit or a miss) for the complete and the truncated time series. At the end of the iterations, we compute the detection rate for both the complete and the truncated time series as the fraction of hits out of 50,000 iterations (Figures S3-S5 indicate the trend detection rate for the complete GEV time series, with varying \( \xi_{\text{sim}} \)). The effect of the gap on trend detection is then measured as the percentage difference between detection rates for the incomplete time series with respect to the complete series.

In performing these simulations, we do not consider the effects of serial correlation (e.g., Yue et al. 2003, Pappas et al. 2014) or long-term persistence (e.g., Cohn and Lins 2005), and we assume that the nature of the trends is monotonic (as is assumed in all of the studies that use the Mann-Kendall test). However, we acknowledge that streamflow records may exhibit more complex patterns (e.g., Hall and Tajvidi 2000; Ramesh and Davison 2002, Villarini et al. 2009b) and that there is ongoing discussion about the limitations of a nonstationary description of hydrological processes (Montanari and Koutsoyiannis 2014; Koutsoyiannis and Montanari 2015; Read and Vogel 2015; Serinaldi and Kilsby 2015; Serinaldi 2015; Dimitriadis et al. 2016). One last issue that should also be taken into account is the period of record. In locations where streamflow time series are strongly influenced by interannual and interdecadal climatic variability, the absolute length of the time series or of the gaps may be less important than the start/end dates of the records (and by extension of any gaps) in relation to the behavior of oceanic and atmospheric drivers.

3. Results

The effect of gaps on trend detection rate varies considerably depending on the size of the gap (one, two, five or ten years), the location of the gap within the time series (one quarter, one third, or half of the way in), the length of the time series (from 15 to 150 years), and the magnitude of the \( \beta_1 \) slope (which differs between daily POT time series and annual GEV time series), for both the daily POT and the annual peak GEV time series (Figures 2 and 3). For both types of time series, we find that the larger the gap, the lower the detection rate of significant (i.e., non-zero) \( \beta_1 \) slopes in comparison with the detection rate for the complete time series. For gaps of just one or two years, the percentage difference in detection rate between incomplete and complete time series is generally less than 10%. However, a ten-year gap, in comparison with a one-year gap, will decrease the detection rate by roughly one order of magnitude or more (Figures 2 and 3).

Gaps that are located centrally within a time series (half of the way in) have a smaller impact on trend detection than gaps that are located towards the extremes (e.g., one quarter of the way in). The color
maps suggest that gaps located at the beginning/end of a time series would have an even greater effect on trend detection (Figures 2 and 3). Similarly, the further a change in mean or variance is located from the middle of a streamflow time series, the lower the power of statistical tests in detecting these changes (Mallakpour and Villarini 2016, Nayak and Villarini 2016).

Equally important is the length of the time series: long time series are less affected by gaps than short time series, i.e., gap size only matters proportionally to the length of the entire time series. Last, the magnitude of the β slope is important, so gaps have a lesser influence on time series characterized by strong trends (i.e., trends are better detected for larger β values).

The daily POT data exhibit an asymmetric pattern with lower trend detection rates for positive values of β (Figure 2) due to the nature of the Poisson regression model, which is bounded at zero to prevent negative values. The asymmetry suggests that Poisson regression is less sensitive to gaps in the presence of negative (vs. positive) trends in the extreme streamflow time series, and thus more successful in detecting decreases than increases in flood frequency.

The annual peak GEV time series exhibit much greater variability than POT (as is to be expected due to greater variability in the maxima), and no asymmetry between positive and negative values of β (Figure 3). Additionally, because of the variability in annual maxima, a stronger β slope is needed for significant trends to become detectable in comparison with the daily POT, and there is a much larger band of ‘indeterminate’ trends (mixed positive and negative values in Figure 3) for low values of β as one approaches zero.

The ξ parameter, which determines the heaviness of the tail of the GEV distribution, also affects the rate of trend detection, with lower detection rates for higher values of ξ. Results indicate that for ξsim=1 (i.e., a streamflow record following a Fréchet distribution) a slightly longer time series (e.g., by 10 years) is required to obtain a similar trend detection rate to ξsim=−1 (Weibull distribution), regardless of gap location or length (Figure 3). Thus, to avoid biases relating to the shape of the GEV distribution, longer time series may be preferable in analyzing time series of peak streamflow data, in contrast with the POTs.

The results of the simulation tests provide us with objective guidelines on the maximal gap length that can be selected to obtain a given level of confidence (80% or 90%) in trend detection rates (Figure 4). For example, to obtain an 80%-accurate detection rate in a 30-year daily POT time series at least 80% of the time, gaps must not exceed ten years if they are located one third or half of the way into the time series (Figure 4, top left panel), but five years if they are located one quarter of the way. If a 90%-accurate detection rate is preferred for the same 30-year time series, a two to ten year gap would be deemed acceptable depending on gap location (Figure 4, bottom left panel). The guidelines for the GEV time series are slightly more conservative, particularly for higher values of ξ, because of the
greater variability in annual maxima in comparison with daily POT. For a 90% accurate detection rate in the same 30-year time series and $\xi_{sim}=1$, a two to five year gap only would be deemed acceptable.

We provide these results with two notable caveats. (1) Here we cannot provide detection rates greater than 90% because the variability in the simulation leaves some gaps in the data (e.g., see GEV $\xi_{sim}=0$, 90% detection, Figure 4). Generally, if a trend test is applied to a time series with substantial gaps, the likelihood of obtaining an accurate trend test result is significantly reduced and performing the trend test may not be recommended. (2) Our results provide guidelines for characterizing trends over broad spatial regions where only limited data are available. At any individual site, however, gaps may have a major impact, particularly in regions with strong interdecadal climatic variability, or when gaps are located at the beginning/end of a time series. It is thus generally advisable to use complete time series if one wishes to fully understand streamflow variability and potential non-stationarity. Therefore, we recommend great caution in using these guidelines.

4. Conclusions

Here, we address the lack of rigorous procedures for estimating the influence of annual to decadal gaps on trend detection by providing objective estimates of the maximum gap size that should be allowed in time series of varying length (15-150 years) to obtain an 80% or 90% accurate detection rate of significant trends. However, this work remains a preliminary investigation, and any further simulations using the same framework could take into account the effects of non-linearities and autocorrelation in the data (because short- or long-term persistence in the record can affect trend detection due to the clustering of extremes) or the effects of non-independent residuals and/or changing variance (i.e. where the residuals are non-identically distributed around the mean). Additionally, the effects of gaps on trend detection could be investigated in rivers of varying catchment size and flow variability, or in the lower tail of the streamflow distribution, where gaps also tend to cluster. Here, because we focus on the upper and most variable part of the flow distribution, our recommendations may serve as a conservative guideline for trend detection in most types of streamflow time series.
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Figure legends

**Figure 1. Gap diagnostic.** Number of gaps (top row) and average duration of gaps (bottom row) in U.S. Geological Survey complete (i.e., 365 values per year for the daily streamflow, or one value per year for the peak data) continental streamflow time series with a minimum record of at least ten years.

**Figure 2. Difference in trend detection rate for mean daily streamflow POT trends between incomplete and complete time series,** of varying length (x-axis), β₁,сим magnitude (y-axis), gap size (rows), and gap location (columns). Colors indicate the percentage difference in trend detection between the incomplete and the complete time series (after versus before gap removal), ranging from dark blue (difference greater than -10%) to red (difference greater than +1%), through zero (no difference).

**Figure 3. Difference in trend detection rate for annual peak GEV trends between incomplete and complete time series,** of varying length (x-axis), β₁,сим magnitude (y-axis), gap size (rows), gap location (columns), and ξ,сим (panels). Colors indicate the percentage difference in trend detection between the incomplete and the complete time series (after versus before gap removal), ranging from dark blue (difference greater than -10%) to red (difference greater than +1%), through zero (no difference).

**Figure 4. Recommendations for acceptable gap length in mean daily streamflow POT and annual peak GEV time series** depending on time series length, gap location, and for different values of ξ,сим. Color indicates the location of the gap in the time series, ranging from middle (dark blue) to quarter of the way in (light blue). The represented gap location shows the most restrictive requirement; gaps located in the middle of the time series are the least influential for trend detection.

**Supporting Information contains Figures S1-S5**
Figure 1

International Journal of Climatology - For peer review only

Daily (POT)

Annual peak (GEV)

Number of gaps (incomplete years)
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- >5

Average gap duration (years)
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 10
- 20
- >20

Number of stations
Number of gaps
Number of stations
Number of gaps

0 5 10 15
0 5 10 15
0 25 50 75 100
0 25 50 75 100

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/joc
Difference in detection rate between incomplete and complete time series:

- $\leq -10\%$
- $-10$ to $-1\%$
- $-1$ to $-0.1\%$
- $-0.1$ to $-0.01\%$
- $-0.01$ to $0.01\%$
- $0.01$ to $0.1\%$
- $0.1$ to $1\%$
- $>1\%$

**Figure 3**

$\text{GEV } \xi_{\text{sim}} = -1$

$\text{GEV } \xi_{\text{sim}} = 0$

$\text{GEV } \xi_{\text{sim}} = 1$
Figure 4

80% detection

90% detection

Gap location in time series
- Middle
- Third
- Quarter

Time series length (years)

Gap length (years)