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Abstract

ZrO$_2$ nano-particles were incorporated into electro-deposited PtAl coatings in an attempt to enhance their performance by exploiting the effect of reactive element oxides. PtAl coatings with and without ZrO$_2$ particles were deposited onto three commercially available Ni-based superalloys: Mar-M247, Mar-M246 and Inconel 718. After aluminising and annealing, thermal cycling oxidation tests were carried out to evaluate the influence of ZrO$_2$ addition and substrate composition. Cross-sectional SEM images were obtained to characterise the coatings after deposition, after heat treatment and after 200 thermal cycles. The addition of ZrO$_2$ particles to PtAl coatings on Mar-M246 and Inconel 718 appeared to increase the growth of thermally grown oxide and reduce its rumpling. However, such effects were not observed for the addition of ZrO$_2$ particles to the PtAl coatings on Mar-M247. The analysis of the coatings on different substrates revealed and elucidated the interactions between Hf, Al and ZrO$_2$,
providing better understanding of reactions of ZrO₂ and the influence of the substrate on bond coat behaviour.
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1. Introduction

Platinum modified aluminide (PtAl) coating is widely used as a bond coat in thermal barrier coating (TBC) systems to fulfil high temperature requirements for turbine engine components [1,2]. The addition of Pt can improve the oxidation resistance and stability of aluminide coatings [3,4]. Thermally grown oxide (TGO) formed in service can cause degradation of PtAl coatings via Al depletion [5]. Rumpling of bond coats caused by martensitic transformation of β-NiAl phase due to Al depletion can result in top coat delamination and TBC failure [6–8]. Hence, rumpling resistance is one of the important factors relating to improving thermal cyclic lifetime of thermal barrier coatings with PtAl coatings.

Reactive elements have been reported to enhance TGO adhesion and reduce the TGO growth rate [9–13]. According to dynamic segregation theory, reactive elements can form oxides at the TGO/bond coat interface, and these oxide pegs increase the bonding strength of TGO [14]. In addition, the oxides of reactive elements have been proposed to slow down the outward diffusion of Al and change the TGO growth mechanism to predominantly inward diffusion of oxygen, which can further reduce the TGO growth rate [14–16]. As one of the reactive elements, Zr demonstrated its great ability to improve oxidation resistance and enhance TGO adhesion to aluminide coatings [16–18]. However, the investigation of Zr was mostly limited to alloys rather than coatings [16,19]. To date, only limited studies have reported the effects of Zr in PtAl coatings [20,21], and it should be noted that the methods used for introducing Zr are either costly or under development [20,22].

In theory, the oxides of reactive elements are also expected to achieve similar improvements as those due to pure reactive elements [14]. However, the mechanisms of the Reactive
Element Effects (REEs) via reactive element oxides have not been clearly established. The present work investigated the REEs by adding ZrO$_2$ nanoparticles into PtAl coatings in order to improve thermal cycling performance. Incorporation of ZrO$_2$ particles in Pt coating (Pt-ZrO$_2$) was achieved by co-electrodeposition [23]. ZrO$_2$ modified PtAl coatings (ZrO$_2$-PtAl) were evaluated using thermal cyclic oxidation tests. The evolution of ZrO$_2$ particles and their role in TGO growth were also discussed.

2. Experimental Procedure

2.1 Substrate Preparation

Mar-M-247 (Advanced Alloy Services Ltd.) was used as the main set of substrates, while Mar-M-246 (Select Alloys & Materials Ltd.) was employed to verify the influence of Hf on ZrO$_2$ particles. In addition, Inconel 718 (Goodfellow) substrates were also prepared as reference substrates because this alloy contains neither Hf nor Zr. Chemical compositions of the substrates are shown in Tab. I. The substrates were machined into round pellets 15 mm in diameter and 2 mm thick. Grit blasting was carried out before coating preparation using 220 grit white alumina.

2.2 Preparation of ZrO$_2$-modified PtAl Coatings

ZrO$_2$ particles (TOSOH, ≤200 nm) were mixed with commercial Q salt [(NH$_4$)$_4$Pt(HPO$_4$)$_2$, 5 g/l; Johnson Matthey] with an ultrasonic homogeniser. The concentration of ZrO$_2$ particles was 20 g/l. General Q salt plating parameters [24] were used to deposit Pt-ZrO$_2$ coatings. The temperature was set to 93°C, pH was adjusted to 10.5 and the current density was 5 mA/cm$^2$. Magnetic stirring was utilised to maintain particle dispersion in the solution. The electroplating process had a duration of 40 min. Then, the samples produced were oven-dried,
before undergoing diffusion heat treatment at 1100°C for 1 hour in a vacuum furnace (10^{-6} mbar). The aluminising process was then carried out: the samples were placed above an aluminising powder mixture (73 wt.% Al₂O₃, 15% wt.% Al and 2 wt.% NH₄Cl) and heat treated at 1140°C for 1 hour in a 5%H₂/95%Ar atmosphere. Finally, a post heat treatment was performed in a vacuum furnace (10^{-6} mbar) at 1100°C for 1 hour. Conventional Pt-modified aluminide (PtAl) coatings without additions of ZrO₂ nano-particles were also prepared following the same procedure to act as a benchmark.

In addition, pure Al powder and ZrO₂ particles were mixed and pressed into 20 mm diameter pellets to verify reactions between Al and ZrO₂. Two different heat treatments were applied to investigate the reactions under the different environments which had been used to prepare PtAl coatings: (1) pellets of 1.5 g Al and 1.5 g ZrO₂ were heat treated at 1100°C in vacuum (10^{-6} mbar); (2) pellets of 1.5 g Al and 0.5 g ZrO₂ were heat treated at the same temperature in a controlled environment (5%H₂/95%Ar). The high Al loading was deliberately chosen in order to study the effect of Al content on the reaction products.

2.3 Thermal Cyclic Oxidation

Thermal cyclic oxidation testing was performed with a rapid high temperature furnace (CM Inc. 1608 BL). Each thermal cycle included 10 min of heating up to 1100°C, a 1-hour dwell time at 1100°C and forced air cooling for 20 min. Coatings on Mar-M247 and Mar-M246 were tested for 50, 100 and 200 cycles, and those on Inconel 718 were tested for 50 and 200 cycles.

2.4 Characterisation

Samples were sectioned by an ATM Brilliant 220 cutting machine with a Buehler AcuThin
Abrasive Cut-off wheel. The cutting speed was set to 0.005 m/s in order to minimise damage to cross-sections of the coating. Conductive resin was used to mount the sectioned samples followed by grinding using P240, P400, P800 and P1200 sandpapers followed by polishing with diamond paste down to a particle size of 0.02 μm. A Philips XL 30 FEG-ESEM system was used to image the coatings and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX, INCA, Oxford Instruments) was employed to analyse composition of the coatings. A Siemens D500 XRD operated at 25 mA and 40 kV was utilised to study phases of the Al-ZrO₂ mixture after heat treatment. Cross sections of the ZrO₂-PtAl electroplating layer on Mar-M-247 after oxidation were prepared for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis using a focused ion beam microscope (FEI Nova Nanolab 600). Microstructure characterization of the cross-sections and composition mapping were performed using a FEI Tecnai F20 G2 S-Twin field emission gun (FEG) TEM. The TEM was operated at 200kV and equipped with an Oxford Instruments X-Max 80 mm² TLE detector for energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy. Scanning TEM bright field images of the layer were also collected simultaneously with an electron probe diameter ~1 nm convergence semi-angle 10 mrad.

Thickness and roughness of the thermally grown oxide (TGO) layer was determined by analysing cross-sectional images using Matlab software (2016a, The MathWorks Inc.). The procedure is shown in Fig. 1. An original image (Fig. 1a) of the TGO layer was first processed into a binary image with an image tool (GIMP 2.8). By setting the threshold value to 10, the TGO layer could be selected based on its colour. The area of the selected TGO layer was filled with white colour while other parts of the image were set to black using an automated process in Matlab (Fig. 1b). The perimeter of the TGO layer was identified by Matlab as shown in Fig. 1c. The location and number of pixels in the TGO layer and its perimeter were
determined in order to calculate its area and length. The latter was calculated by simply dividing the length of the perimeter (after subtraction of short edges) by 2. The effective TGO thickness was determined by dividing the TGO area by the length of the TGO/substrate interface. For roughness calculation, only the top surface was used to assess the roughness profile of TGO. Since the locations of pixels in the perimeter were known, the average height of the TGO could be calculated and $R_p$ (the height of the highest peak in the profile) and $R_v$ (the depth of the deepest valley in the profile) then be determined (Fig. 1d). $R_t$, which is the sum of $R_p$ and $R_v$ ($R_t = R_p + R_v$), was utilised to evaluate the rumpling resistance of the prepared coatings.

3. Results

3.1 Microstructures of Pt-ZrO$_2$ modified Aluminide Coatings

Prior to heat treatment and aluminising, the microstructure of the as-deposited Pt-ZrO$_2$ coating was characterised. Backscattered electron (BSE) images of cross-sections of pure Pt coatings and the Pt-ZrO$_2$ coating on Mar-M-247 are shown in Fig. 2. An enlarged image in Fig. 2 (b) shows the incorporated ZrO$_2$ nano-particles with dimensions of 50-100 nm. With the same electroplating time, Pt-ZrO$_2$ electroplating yielded a slightly thicker coating than was obtained for the pure Pt coating, which may be as a result of dispersed ZrO$_2$ nano-particles enhancing the nucleation of Pt deposition.

After vacuum diffusion heat treatment, aluminising and post heat treatment in vacuum, resultant microstructures of the coating were analysed (Fig. 3). The most surprising phenomenon was that the ZrO$_2$ particles were no longer visible, as was also confirmed by EDX analysis, indicating some kind of ‘dissolution’ of particles during the heat treatment.
stages. Both PtAl and ZrO$_2$-PtAl coatings had similar microstructures on Mar-M-247 and Mar-M-246, and the EDX results confirmed that $\beta$-(Ni,Pt)Al phase was formed predominately in the coating region. Refractory elements, such as W and Mo, were detected in the inter-diffusion zone (IDZ). This is attributed to previously noted effect of the inward diffusion of Al reducing the solubility of refractory elements in the Ni-base substrate [15]. The EDX analysis revealed the presence of Cr precipitates in both coatings on Inconel 718, attributed to the high concentration of Cr. The reduced IDZ on this substrate may be due to the low concentration of refractory elements.

3.2 Thermal Cyclic Oxidation

TGO thickness changes in the PtAl and ZrO$_2$-PtAl coatings during the thermal cyclic oxidation test are summarised in Fig. 4 and Tab. II. There is an indication that TGO growth in the Mar-M-247 samples was slowed by the addition of ZrO$_2$. However, increased TGO growth rates were observed in the ZrO$_2$ modified coatings on both Mar-M-246 and Inconel 718. The decrease of TGO thickness in the ZrO$_2$ modified coating on Inconel 718 was due to TGO spallation.

Surface roughness profiles of TGO in PtAl and ZrO$_2$-PtAl coatings after thermal cycling are shown in Fig. 5. An increase of TGO roughness was found for both coatings on Mar-M-247 after 200 cycles, with little difference between the two coating types. On Mar-M-246, the TGO roughness increased in the unmodified PtAl; however, it remained unchanged between 50 and 200 cycles for the ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating. The rumpling of PtAl coating was significantly retarded after 50 thermal cycles by ZrO$_2$ addition on Inconel 718. The surface morphology of PtAl coating on Inconel 718 revealed a clear rumpling after 50 cycles, as shown in Fig. 5b and
Fig. 5d. However, the rumpling of ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating was not obvious after 50 cycles, as observed in Fig. 5c and Fig. 5e. There was no significant change in TGO roughness for either coating between 50 and 200 cycles, which may be due to the spallation of TGO after 200 cycles.

Fig. 6 reveals the microstructures of the PtAl and ZrO$_2$-PtAl coatings after 200 oxidation cycles at 1100$^\circ$C. The EDX analysis indicated that hafnium rich oxides were formed inside the TGO and the PtAl coating region in both coatings on Mar-M-247, shown in Fig. 6 (a) and (b). Most of the hafnium rich oxides observed in the ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating contained Zr; this was rarely found in the PtAl coating. The EDX analysis also indicated the presence of $\gamma'$ phase (Ni$_3$Al) in both coatings. On Mar-M-246, a discontinuous TGO was found in the PtAl coating (Fig. 6c) and a spinel phase was formed on the top of TGO in the ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating (Fig. 6d). The transformation from $\beta$ to $\gamma$ phase was completed in both coatings. The EDX analysis of the particles in the electroplating layer of ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating on Mar-M-246 indicated them to be Al$_2$O$_3$ (Fig. 6d). Similar particles were also found in the ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating on Inconel 718 (Fig. 6f) but were not observed in the ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating on Mar-M-247 or any of the unmodified PtAl coatings. EDX also detected the presence of Zr in the bright phases within the TGO layer of the ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating on Inconel 718.

Fig. 7 and Tab. III provide detailed microstructures and EDX results for the electroplated layers on Mar-M-247 and Mar-M-246. Hafnium rich precipitates were formed in the electroplating layer on Mar-M-247 and appeared to be associated with Al-rich regions. Moreover, in the ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating, Zr was detected in the hafnium rich precipitates (spectra 2 and 6). The latter were also found in similar locations within the PtAl coating; however, no
Zr was detected (Fig. 7c, spectra 8-10). For the Mar-M-246 substrate shown in Fig. 7 (d), no hafnium precipitate was formed in the electroplating layer, which is not surprising given that there was no Hf in Mar-M-246. Nevertheless, in the labelled location shown in Fig. 7 (d), a small amount of Zr was detected (spectrum 11). Because of the presence of Zr in both Mar-M-247 and Mar-M-246, it is difficult to verify whether the observed Zr came from the ZrO₂ particles or the substrate. However, Inconel 718 does not contain Zr, so Zr found in the TGO layer on Inconel 718 can be confirmed as coming from the ZrO₂ particles.

Fig. 8a shows a bright field scanning TEM image of a typical position in the ZrO₂-PtAl electroplating layer on Mar-M-247 after 200 thermal cycles, Figs. 8b-8e are the corresponding maps of Al, O, Hf and Zr. Figs. 8b and 8c confirm that the detected Al₂O₃ particle size was less than 200 nm, similar to the original size of the incorporated ZrO₂ particles. Fig. 8c indicates an area of lower oxygen concentration, as labelled. However, Fig. 8d reveals that Hf was slightly concentrated in the same locations as lower oxygen concentrations, surrounding the formed Al₂O₃. In addition, Al content was also relatively high in the Hf concentrated area. Because the indicated area was enriched with Al and Hf, it suggested formation of a HfAl solid solution around the Al₂O₃. However, because the Mar-M-247 substrate contains Zr, the source of the Zr seen in Fig. 8e could not be confirmed.

3.3 Reaction between Al and ZrO₂ Particles at 1100°C

Mixtures of Al and ZrO₂ particles were characterised by XRD after vacuum heat treatment at 1100°C as shown in Fig. 9 (Graph a). The Al₃Zr phase (JCPDS file no. 00-048-1385) was clearly identified, while Al₂O₃ (JCPDS file no. 00-046-1212) was also detected with significantly lower peaks. These XRD results confirmed that Al and ZrO₂ reacted during
vacuum heat treatment to form Al$_3$Zr. The XRD results for the heat treatment in the controlled environment (5%H$_2$/95%Ar) with a higher Al/ZrO$_2$ ratio again confirmed the formation of Al$_2$O$_3$ and Al$_3$Zr (Fig. 9 Graph b).

4. Discussion

4.1 Mechanism of reactions on ZrO$_2$ particles

An interesting phenomenon from the above investigation is the disappearance of the ZrO$_2$ particles after heat treatment. Therefore, possible reaction mechanisms of ZrO$_2$ particles are discussed to clarify the behaviour of ZrO$_2$ in aluminide coatings.

4.1.1 Reactions between Al and ZrO$_2$

The importance of aluminium has been highlighted in Section 3.3, confirming that aluminium and ZrO$_2$ can react during the heat treatment. Still, the reaction between Al and ZrO$_2$ mainly depends on the environment, such as very low-pressure oxygen or vacuum [25]. When in a vacuum or low-pressure oxygen environment, the reaction between Al and ZrO$_2$ becomes thermal dynamically possible, as describe by Reaction (1) [25].

$$13\text{Al} + 3\text{ZrO}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{Al}_3\text{Zr}$$

The reaction was confirmed by the XRD results. In this situation, Al can be provided either by the substrate or aluminising process, and a higher Al content is desired for the reaction. However, the Al$_2$O$_3$ phase peaks are weak in the XRD result after vacuum heat treatment. The Al$_2$O$_3$ formed may be destabilised because of the very high vacuum level ($10^{-6}$ mbar). Though Al$_3$Zr was identified in the XRD results, it was not detected in the electroplating layer of the ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating. In this case, Zr$^{4+}$ is proposed as the reaction product rather than Al$_3$Zr [26].
In Tremouilles’s work, only Al$_2$O$_3$ was observed at the interface between Al and ZrO$_2$ [26]. Reaction (1) then can be written as follows [26]:

\[
4\text{Al}^{3+} + 3\text{ZrO}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{Zr}^{4+} \quad (2)
\]

The formed Zr$^{4+}$ may be free to diffuse into the substrate and ready for diffusion in the subsequent oxidation period.

4.1.2 Effects of Hf on Al$_2$O$_3$

Formation of hafnium rich precipitates at the interface between the PtAl coating and the inter-diffusion zone on Mar-M-247 has not been widely reported [27]. They have a different formation mechanism compared to hafnium oxides formed inside the TGO layer [9], in which Hf was considered to react because of high oxygen reactivity. Hf was reported to react with Al$_2$O$_3$ [28]; the reaction is shown below:

\[
7\text{Hf} + 2\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \rightarrow 4\text{HfAl} + 3\text{HfO}_2 \quad (3)
\]

The presence of HfO$_2$ is confirmed in the current experimental results for Mar-M-247, and evidence of the formation of HfAl was observed in Fig. 8b and 8d. Furthermore, the source of Al$_2$O$_3$ could be the embedded sandblasting alumina. It means that the hafnium rich precipitates formed in the PtAl coating may be a result of the interaction of hafnium with the sandblasting media. However, the reaction between Al and ZrO$_2$ can also provide Al$_2$O$_3$ for the reaction. When reactions (2) and (3) are combined, i.e. Al$_2$O$_3$ formed in reaction (2) participates in reaction (3), the resultant reaction can be written as:

\[
4\text{Al}^{3+} + 3\text{ZrO}_2 + 7\text{Hf} \rightarrow 4\text{HfAl} + 3\text{Zr}^{4+} + 3\text{HfO}_2 \quad (4)
\]
From reaction (4), it is suggested that the combination of reactions between Hf, Al and ZrO₂ can form hafnium oxide, HfAl and Zr⁴⁺. This is consistent with the Zr identified in the hafnium rich precipitates found in the ZrO₂-PtAl coating (spectrum 2) and the TEM characterisation shown in Fig. 8. Because of the direct reaction between Al₂O₃ and Hf, it can also form Hf-rich precipitates without Zr. In spectra 2 and 6, the Al contents are both much lower than expected. Decomposition of HfAl may occur after reaction (3). Al can dissolve in the substrate to form a solid solution with Ni because Hf forms a more stable oxide. Meanwhile, Zr⁴⁺ may partially dissolve in the coating substrate and also form oxides with Hf [16]. Even though the original Zr content in Mar-M-247 could affect the reactions of ZrO₂ particles, the results on Inconel 718 confirmed the reaction between Al and ZrO₂ particles and further diffusion of Zr in cyclic oxidation.

For the coatings on the Mar-M-246 substrate, neither hafnium rich oxides nor precipitates were formed, but Fig. 7 (d) revealed a Zr-containing phase in the centre of the aluminium phase. In addition, some Al₂O₃ particles were found in the particle regions on Mar-M-246 and Inconel 718, indicating that Hf can consume Al₂O₃ particles from the reaction between Al and ZrO₂. Furthermore, hafnium-rich precipitates were commonly seen to have formed at the edges of Al₂O₃ particles.

4.1.3 Reaction mechanism of ZrO₂ nano-particles in PtAl coating

Fig. 10 proposes a possible reaction mechanism based on the results obtained. The ZrO₂ particles were first attacked by Al to form an outer ring of alumina. This alumina could act as a diffusion barrier to Al, slowing down the reaction between Al and ZrO₂; this could leave a central un-reacted ZrO₂ particle. Meanwhile, Zr⁴⁺ could dissolve into the substrate. When Hf
was present, it could react with the outer alumina to form hafnium oxide and HfAl. Once the alumina was consumed, the residual ZrO$_2$ could continue to react with Al. Because of the congener effect, Zr released from ZrO$_2$ particles could form oxides and precipitates together with hafnium. In addition, some Hf and Al from HfAl may have a chance to dissolve into the substrate. Al can initiate the reaction with ZrO$_2$ and release Zr$^{4+}$. The effects of Hf were mainly to react with alumina to release Zr from the ZrO$_2$ particles and form oxides or precipitates with Zr simultaneously. Other elements, such as Cr and W may affect the ZrO$_2$ particles, but their influence was limited based on TEM characterisation, which will be clarified in future work.

Hafnium is further expected to be useful if the incorporated ZrO$_2$ has a larger particle size. When the particle size is small (such as nano-particles), Al can complete the reaction with ZrO$_2$ as it was seen in Fig. 6 (d). When the particle is large enough (such as micro-particles), the unreacted ZrO$_2$ core is expected. In this situation, Hf can react with the Al$_2$O$_3$ shell formed and release Zr from ZrO$_2$. However, the dispersion of large particles in the electrolyte is not desirable for co-electrodeposition. In this investigation, Mar-M-247 had 1.5 wt. % Hf, which was thought to be enough to react with Al$_2$O$_3$. However, an incomplete reaction with Al$_2$O$_3$ can be foreseen if there was not enough Hf in the substrate, resulting in unreacted ZrO$_2$ particles. The sandblasting alumina is considered as harmful to oxidation resistance because it can consume Hf through the reaction between Hf and Al$_2$O$_3$.

The reaction mechanism of ZrO$_2$ particles can be used to further explain the dynamic segregation theory in the case of ZrO$_2$. In theory, the reactive elements can improve the oxidation resistance even in their oxide form [14]. In this study of ZrO$_2$ nano-particles, Zr was
finally released from ZrO\textsubscript{2} particles and participated in oxidation because of the reactions with Al. However, the ZrO\textsubscript{2} micro-particles were segregated at grain boundaries in a powder metallurgy fabricated ZrO\textsubscript{2} strengthened NiAl/Cr(Mo, Hf) (33Ni–33Al–31Cr–2.8Mo–0.2Hf at.%) composite alloy instead of reacting with Al [29]. This may suggest that large ZrO\textsubscript{2} particles may have different effects on the reaction between Al and ZrO\textsubscript{2}. Additional investigations should be carried out to study the behaviour of transition phases, such as Al\textsubscript{3}Zr and HfAl in the coating, in order to determine the diffusion mechanisms of Zr and Hf.

4.2 Effects of ZrO\textsubscript{2} particles on oxidation behaviours of PtAl coating

Once the oxidation started, Zr diffused to the surface to form oxides together with Hf. Like other oxygen reactive elements, Zr is reported to have a similar effect to Hf [20,30,31]. The result for the ZrO\textsubscript{2} modified PtAl coating shows that Zr did not reduce the growth rate of TGO, but it could significantly improve the rumpling resistance because the roughness of TGO in the ZrO\textsubscript{2}-PtAl coatings on Mar-M-246 and Inconel 718 exposed to thermal cycling remains stable from 50 cycles to 200 cycles. On the other hand, the ZrO\textsubscript{2}-PtAl coating on Mar-M-247 demonstrated a reduced growth rate of TGO compared to that for the Mar-M-246 substrate, which could be the influence of Hf. Even though the Hf content in Mar-M-247 was much higher than the optimal value (500 ppm) [32,33], the growth of the TGO layer in both coatings was still retarded in case of Mar-M-247. It is reported that Hf would improve the spallation resistance by forming oxide pegs at the interface between TGO and the bond coat [34]. Nevertheless, the rumpling resistance was decreased by Hf as the roughness of PtAl coating on Mar-M-247 was much higher than that on the Mar-M-246 substrate. Because the Hf content in Mar-M-247 was much higher than that in general single crystal Ni superalloys, massive internal oxides were formed, and this could be the reason for the increasing
roughness of the TGO.

It is also of interest to discuss the combined effects of Hf and Zr. Because Zr was detected in hafnium precipitates and the different features of ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating were found on different substrates, the hafnium rich precipitates could act as a reservoir of Zr and slowly release Zr to the surface to help improve oxidation resistance. Once the interfacial oxide pegs are formed, the individual effect of Hf or Zr could be optimised by forming joint oxides to further retard the outward diffusion of Al and reduce the growth rate of TGO [16]. However, because Zr from the added ZrO$_2$ particles was released by the reaction between Al and ZrO$_2$, the effects of Zr from pure Zr addition and ZrO$_2$ particles may be different. When pure Zr is incorporated by EB-PVD (electron beam physical vapour deposition) on a coating surface, the Zr-rich phase is formed after heat treatment and helps reduce the growth rate of TGO at early stages of oxidation [20]. However, Zr coming from ZrO$_2$ particles, needs to diffuse first to the coating surface because ZrO$_2$ particles are present inside the PtAl coating. A longer vacuum heat treatment of ZrO$_2$-containing coating may achieve similar effects to addition of pure Zr to the surface. Nevertheless, the incorporation of ZrO$_2$ nano-particles provides an alternative, possibly cost-effective method to achieve the advantages of Zr addition.

5. Conclusions

ZrO$_2$ nano-particles were successfully incorporated into electrodeposited PtAl coatings by co-electrodeposition. Performance of the ZrO$_2$-PtAl coatings was investigated through thermal cyclic oxidation on different substrates and the following conclusions can be drawn:

(i) The addition of the ZrO$_2$ nano-particles produces a minor improvement in the TGO growth on Mar-M-247. It also improves TGO rumpling resistance of the PtAl coating on
Inconel 718.

(ii) ZrO₂ particles disappeared during both vacuum (10⁻⁶ mbar) and controlled environment (5%H₂/95%Ar) heat treatment. The disappearance of the particles is because of the reaction with Al, proved with TEM characterisation. The reactions of the ZrO₂ particles were observed to vary with substrate composition, and the presence of Hf plays an important role in the reaction.

(iii) When Al was present without Hf, ZrO₂ particles disappeared through the reaction between Al, which could leave un-reacted ZrO₂ in the coating. In the presence of Hf, the reaction could further release Zr and form a reservoir of Zr to help improve oxidation resistance. Synergistic actions of Zr and Hf were found to be better in improving the oxidation resistance.

(iv) Because of the reactions with Al and Hf, Zr was finally released from ZrO₂ particles and helped to improve the rumpling resistance of the PtAl coating during thermal cyclic oxidation. The reaction mechanism of ZrO₂ nano-particles provides a deeper understanding of the reactions of ZrO₂ and the influence of substrate on bond coat compositions.
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### Tab. I Chemical compositions of Mar-M-247, Mar-M-246 and Inconel 718.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements (wt. %)</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>Ta</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Zr</th>
<th>Hf</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mar-M-247</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Bal.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-M-246</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Bal.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconel 718</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Tab. II** TGO thicknesses of PtAl and ZrO$_2$-PtAl coatings on different substrates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TGO Thickness (µm)</th>
<th>PtAl Mar-M-247</th>
<th>ZrO$_2$-PtAl Mar-M-247</th>
<th>PtAl Mar-M-246</th>
<th>ZrO$_2$-PtAl Mar-M-246</th>
<th>PtAl Inconel 718</th>
<th>ZrO$_2$-PtAl Inconel 718</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 cycles</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.29 ± 0.04</td>
<td>3.71 ± 0.18</td>
<td>3.21 ± 0.13</td>
<td>5.28 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 cycles</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.04</td>
<td>2.95 ± 0.03</td>
<td>4.81 ± 0.27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 cycles</td>
<td>2.63 ± 0.18</td>
<td>2.56 ± 0.08</td>
<td>3.63 ± 0.13</td>
<td>7.00 ± 0.46</td>
<td>3.57 ± 0.09</td>
<td>4.34 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tab. III EDX results for locations labelled in Fig. 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements (wt. %)</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Zr</th>
<th>Hf</th>
<th>Pt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum 1</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum 2</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum 3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum 4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum 5</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum 6</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum 7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum 8</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum 9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum 10</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum 11</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
List of figure captions

**Fig. 1** Processing cross-sectional images using Matlab analysis: (a) original image; (b) processed binary image of TGO; (c) calculated perimeter of TGO; (d) schematic of roughness calculation for TGO.

**Fig. 2** BSE images of electroplated coatings: (a) cross section of pure Pt coating on Mar-M-247; (b) cross section of Pt-ZrO$_2$ coating on Mar-M-247 with enlarged inset of ZrO$_2$ nano-particles.

**Fig. 3** BSE images of resultant microstructures of coatings on different substrates: (a) PtAl coating on Mar-M-247; (b) ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating on Mar-M-247; (c) PtAl coating on Mar-M-246; (d) ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating on Mar-M-246; (e) PtAl coating on Inconel 718; (f) ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating on Inconel 718.

**Fig. 4** Changes of TGO thickness of PtAl and ZrO$_2$-PtAl coatings on different substrates versus thermal cycles.

**Fig. 5** (a) Calculated surface roughness profile of PtAl and ZrO$_2$-PtAl coatings after thermal cycling, and the surface morphology of (b) the as-prepared PtAl coating; (c) the as-prepared ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating; (d) the PtAl coating after 50 thermal cycles; and (e) the ZrO$_2$-PtAl coatings after 50 thermal cycles on Inconel 718.

**Fig. 6** Microstructures of PtAl and ZrO$_2$-PtAl coatings on different substrates after 200 cycles at 1100°C: (a) PtAl coating on Mar-M-247; (b) ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating on Mar-M-247; (c) PtAl coating on Mar-M-246; (d) ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating on Mar-M-246; (e) PtAl coating on Inconel 718; (f) ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating on Inconel 718.
**Fig. 7** Microstructure of particle regions of ZrO$_2$-PtAl and PtAl coatings: (a) ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating on Mar-M-247; (b) ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating after 100 thermal cycles on Mar-M-247; (c) PtAl coating on Mar-M-247; (d) ZrO$_2$-PtAl coating after 200 thermal cycles on Mar-M-246.

**Fig. 8** STEM/EDX analysis of ZrO$_2$-PtAl layer: (a) Bright field image of particles formed in the electroplating layer (b) aluminium distribution, (c) oxygen distribution, (d) hafnium distribution and (e) zirconium distribution in this area.

**Fig. 9** XRD results for Al and ZrO$_2$: Graph a - powder mixture (1.5 g Al + 1.5 g ZrO$_2$) heat treated under vacuum; Graph b - powder mixture (1.5 g Al + 0.5 g ZrO$_2$) heat treated under controlled environment (5%H$_2$/95%Ar).

**Fig. 10** Reaction mechanism of ZrO$_2$ particles.
Figures

**Fig. 1**

(a) Perimeter of TGO
(b) TGO
(c) R_p
(d) R_v

**Fig. 2**

(a) ZrO_2 Particles
(b) ZrO_2 Particles
Fig. 3
Fig. 4
Fig. 5
Fig. 6
Fig. 7
Fig. 8