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ABSTRACT
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geometrical properties of a 3D object that used Just Noticeable Difference (JND) for redundancy reduction [9]. A no-reference metric for asymmetric JPEG compression based on partitioning of a stereo image-pair in fixed-size blocks to characterise 3D artefacts was proposed in [10]. Binocular vision process was used as an alternative approach for developing a 3D perceptual metric in [4] by modelling binocular fusion. The requirement of a reliable and robust technique to evaluate human perceptual judgement is still an open research problem. The work described in this paper addresses this problem with an alternative solution to metric approaches using a cascade of statistical and analytical modelling techniques. In the next section, the proposed novel method to assess the quality of stereoscopic images is described.

3. PROPOSED METHOD

A model for stereoscopic quality assessment is proposed using physiological knowledge of the HVS. The binocular view, which results in generating binocular energy, is an indication of the quality of perception in the HVS [4]. According to the physiological studies of the HVS [5], there are mainly two types of cells in the visual cortex responsible for binocular vision. The simple cells are the first to receive the retinal information at the visual cortex. They are responsible for the local spatial frequency. Binocular simple cells work in pairs for left and right eyes, and are connected to binocular complex cells. The complex cells are responsible for the generation of binocular energy.

3.1 Analytical modelling of the primary visual cortex of the HVS

An analytical model, which can calculate required binocular energy as a set of complex cell outputs, is used. This analytical model consists of the individual models that are described below.

3.1.1 Sampling model
There are two main visual characteristics of the HVS modelled using sampling functions in [11] [12]: 1) image decomposition into perceptual channels from low frequencies to high frequencies as in the HVS, and 2) localising image elements in spatial and frequency spaces. Colour antagonism in the HVS is modelled using a colour space conversion to CIE L*a*b* according to [13]. Here, an image is represented with a single channel of luminance L* and two perpendicular channels of chrominance a* and b*. For better performance in latter stages of modelling, the resultant of a* and b* is considered as chrominance C* in the proposed model.

Initially, the stereoscopic (left and right) images are represented as complex wavelets. For this purpose, the Complex Wavelet Transformation (CWT) [12] is applied on the luminance (L*) component, which produces a pair of real and imaginary coefficients, and the Discrete Wavelet Transformation (DWT) [11] on the two chrominance components. The wavelet representation of the chrominance (C*) component is organised as the DWT (a*) as the real part and DWT (b*) as the imaginary part [4].

3.1.2 Simple cell model
The characteristics of simple cells are modelled from the coefficients obtained from the complex representation of the images. The Bandelet Transform (BT) is used to model binocular simple cells, as it provides a closely matching behaviour to that of a simple cell [11]. Sub bands are obtained (as shown in Figure 1) in sampling model with respective wavelet coefficients. BT splits up those sub bands in a quad tree of variable sizes called Dyadic Squares following the image geometry. An orientation is computed and assigned to each dyadic square depending on the wavelet coefficients. This dyadic square is characterised by its size, amplitude, and orientation as a simple cell [14].

3.1.3 Complex cell model
The complex cell model is responsible for calculation of the binocular energy. The complex cells inherit most of the properties of simple cells, such as being orientation and spatial frequency selective, but are invariant of the spatial phase [5]. A complex cell receives inputs from several simple cells that are of same orientation and spatial frequency selectivity for generating the binocular energy. However, due to spatial phase invariance, a particular complex cell could receive inputs from both excitatory and inhibitory responses of the simple cells. As a group, complex cells tend to be more heterogeneous than simple cells. Most common type of complex cells performs a summation-like operation on the responses of simple cells with similar orientation preference [15]. Recently, researchers have found evidence to suggest that complex cells perform a MAX-like operation on their inputs [16]. Furthermore, there are evidences of interactions between complex cells as proposed in the so-called recurrent excitation model. In such interactions, the output of one complex cell is modulated by the output of another complex cell.
The proposed model of the primary visual cortex is designed in a way to capture the features discussed above. A pair of dyadic squares (real and imaginary responses of the BT) from the left image and a pair from the right image are used in the binocular energy calculation. The real and imaginary parts of the luminance and chrominance are used to calculate the monocular amplitude $\rho_i(x)$ and monocular phase $\phi_i(x)$ of the $x$th dyadic square of the left and right images, as in (1) and (2)\,[4].

$$\rho_i(x) = |C_i(x)| = [\text{Re}(C_i(x))]^2 + [\text{Im}(C_i(x))]^2]^{1/2}$$\quad (1)

$$\phi_i(x) = \text{arg}(C_i(x)) = \tan^{-1}[\text{Im}(C_i(x))/\text{Re}(C_i(x))]\quad (2)$$

The output of a complex cell that performs a summation-like operation is the resultant of the excitatory and inhibitory responses of the simple cells as given in (3).

$$E_{\text{lum}}(x) = \rho_{i}^2(x) + \rho_{r}^2(x) + \rho_{i}(x)\rho_{r}(x)\cos(\phi_{i}(x) - \phi_{r}(x))$$\quad (3)

In (3), $l$ and $r$ represent left and right images, respectively, and $E_{\text{lum}}(x)$ is the binocular energy generated by a complex cell that performs a summation-like operation. Here $(\phi_{i}(x,y) - \phi_{r}(x,y))$ is the inter-ocular phase shift, which in turn relates to the horizontal disparity between the left and right views. Binocular suppression is applicable when left and right images have undergone asymmetric impairments, which causes the HVS to ignore the view of one eye and perceive through the other eye. This behaviour of binocular suppression can be modelled using the complex cells that perform MAX-like operation. The binocular energy output $E_{\text{bin}}(x,y)$ of a complex cell that performs a MAX-like operation is given as:

$$E_{\text{bin}}(x,y) = \max(\rho_{i}^2(x),\rho_{r}^2(x))$$\quad (4)

The proposed method also considers interactions between the complex cells that perform summation-like and MAX-like operations.

The total binocular energy for the luminance component $E_{\text{Lum}}$ is calculated as a weighted sum of all the complex cell outputs as in (5).

$$E_{\text{Lum}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i E_{l_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i E_{m_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_i E_{l_{i+1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} d_i E_{m_{i+1}}$$\quad (5)

In (5) $a_i, b_i, c_i$ and $d_i$ are corresponding weights for energy outputs of complex cells $E_{l_i}, E_{m_i}$ and also for interactions of similarly operational energy outputs of two complex cells as shown in Figure 2. Similar to (5), the total binocular energy for the chrominance component $E_{\text{Chr}}$ is calculated. As given in (6), the total binocular energy $E$ is the addition of both the luminance energy and chrominance energy.

$$E = E_{\text{Lum}} + E_{\text{Chr}}$$\quad (6)

3.1.4 Statistical training of the analytical model

To estimate the coefficients of the analytical model presented in the previous section, the model is trained utilising two publicly available stereoscopic image databases. Stereoscopic image pairs used from the first database\,[6] consist of 8 different scenes with each scene having 27 stereoscopic images consisting of 3 distortion types (JPEG 2000, JPEG, and Gaussian Blur) and 9 profiles on each distortion. These profiles have 3 symmetrically impaired image pairs and 6 asymmetrically impaired image pairs. In the second database\,[1], there were 5 scenes with each scene having 15 stereoscopic images consisting of the 3 distortion types and 5 symmetric profiles on each distortion type.

The total of 291 stereoscopic stimuli from the two databases is divided into two sets, one for training and the other for testing the analytical model. The training set was defined with 222 stimuli, whereas the remaining 69 stimuli were used as the testing set. Finally, the coefficients described in (5) were calculated using the stepwise linear regression modeling function in Mat Lab® R2013. The final solution yielded in 25 terms including 13 interaction terms. However, due to the space limitations, we have not provided the final coefficients and related terms in the paper.

![Figure 3. The correlation plots between the objective and subjective scores, for the training and testing sets](image-url)
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Performance of the proposed method is compared with a number of state-of-the-art metrics, based on several performance criteria as outlined in [18]. Specifically, the following parameters are measured after logistic transformation of the objective scores on to the scale of subjective scores, as shown in Figure 3: the prediction consistency by Spearman’s Rank Order Correlation (SROCC) and prediction accuracy by Absolute Error (AAE) and Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE). The proposed metric is compared against four different stereoscopic image quality metrics that are proposed recently, which are SSIM Ddl metric [1], the average SSIM of the left and right views (SSIM Avg) [2], the No Reference stereoscopic Image Metric (NRIM) [10], and the Binocular Energy Quality Metric (BEQM) [4]. The performance comparison results are provided in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1 Performance measures for the training set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>PCC</th>
<th>SROCC</th>
<th>AAE</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BEQM [4]</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>46.351</td>
<td>0.472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSIM Ddl [1]</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>47.084</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSIM Avg [2]</td>
<td>0.644</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>46.986</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRIM [10]</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>29.727</td>
<td>3.419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>0.961</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>3.822</td>
<td>4.194</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Performance measures for the testing set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>PCC</th>
<th>SROCC</th>
<th>AAE</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BEQM [4]</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>48.77</td>
<td>0.4179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSIM Ddl [1]</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>0.718</td>
<td>49.53</td>
<td>0.1116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSIM Avg [2]</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>49.45</td>
<td>0.1107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRIM [10]</td>
<td>0.703</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td>32.56</td>
<td>2.4300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>0.920</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>6.0984</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results presented in Tables 1 and 2, as well as the correlation plots in Figure 3, clearly illustrate that the proposed metric significantly outperforms all the considered other metrics in every aspect of performance comparison. Furthermore, the results illustrate that the proposed analytical model of the primary visual cortex is quite suitable for the purpose of stereoscopic quality assessment. The closest contender to the proposed method is the BEQM, which inspired the current work, and which also consider a binocular energy model. The improvements of the presented work over the BEQM can be attributed to three major novelties introduced in the proposed analytical model. Firstly, in BEQM, all the simple cells are treated in a similar way without considering different spatial orientations and frequencies, whereas in the proposed model, different weights are assigned based on individual contributions. Secondly, the model in BEQM does not consider complex cells with a MAX operation, and thus fails to predict the quality of asymmetrically processed stereoscopic stimuli. Finally, the proposed method incorporates interaction between complex cells, which has been ignored in BEQM.

On the limitations of the presented work, it should be noted that the proposed method did not perform well on stimuli that were impaired with white noise. Thus, such stimuli have not been considered in this study. To overcome this limitation, an algorithm is required to identify the type of noise, and treat the stimuli accordingly.

5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This paper proposed a cellular model of the primary visual cortex of the HVS to predict the subjective quality of impaired stereoscopic images. Specifically, the complex cells of the primary visual cortex have been modelled as consistent with the physiological literature, where they have been modelled as orientation and spatial frequency selective cells that respond to perform summation and MAX operation on simple cell responses. Furthermore, interactions between complex cells have also been considered. The binocular energy has been calculated as a weighted sum of different complex cell outputs, thus treating different complex cell types asymmetrically to produce an accurate prediction of the stereoscopic image quality. The proposed model has been trained using two publicly available stereoscopic image databases. The experimental results of the proposed metric have illustrated a correlation of 0.92 with subjective results. Further improvements are sought to improve the prediction performance of the proposed metric by conforming to further studies on physiology of the HVS, while also making it suitable to measure the quality of impaired stereoscopic videos.
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