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ABSTRACT
The motivation for this paper is to consider the effect of rear end geometry on rear soiling using a representative generic SUV body. In particular, the effect of varying the top slant angle is considered using both experiment and Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD). Previous work has shown that slant angle has a significant effect on wake shape and drag and the work here extends this to investigate the effect on rear soiling. It is hoped that this work can provide an insight into the likely effect of such geometry changes on the soiling of similarly shaped road vehicles. To increase the generality of results, and to allow comparison with previously obtained aerodynamic data, a 25% scale generic SUV model is used in the Loughborough University Large Wind Tunnel. UV doped water is sprayed from a position located at the bottom of the left rear tyre to simulate the creation of spray from this tyre. Having a single source of contamination simplifies the configuration of both experimental tests and simulations. It also improves analysis by allowing the soiling pattern from only one wheel to be seen in isolation. In order to provide further insight into the flowfield and its interaction with the spray, CFD simulations are also performed at the same scale. A Detached Eddy Simulation approach is used, specifically the Spalart-Allmaras formulation of the IDDES CFD model. Lagrangian particle tracking is used to model the dispersed phase. This CFD methodology has been found to give good agreement for soiling pattern with experiment for baseline cases.

INTRODUCTION
The management of surface contamination, or soiling, of vehicles is important to all vehicle manufacturers. Three sources of contamination are generally described [1]. Primary sources are contamination directly resulting from rain; third-party contamination refers to contaminants resulting from other vehicles and self-soiling refers to contaminants resulting from spray generated by the vehicle itself. The work reported here is focused on the latter phenomenon that is particularly relevant for vehicles with blunt rear geometries, such as off-road vehicles, Sports Utility Vehicles (SUVs) and estates (Station wagons) [2]. Self-soiling is particularly important for these vehicles because the blunt rear geometry causes the formation of strong large-scale recirculating vortices that draw the spray towards the base [3]. As a result, base contamination generates various issues for the driver and passengers including reduced visibility (for both the driver and any camera systems), a reduction in perceived quality and the potential for soiling to be transported to skin and clothing.

As the rear soiling is closely linked to the structure of the wake the issue of rear surface contamination is best addressed concurrently with aerodynamic drag. For example, for commercial vehicles a reduction in overall vehicle drag can also lead to a reduction in spray [4, 5]. Therefore, the ability to predict the self-soiling phenomenon early in a vehicle development programme would provide a useful insight and allow designers and aerodynamicists the opportunity to resolve soiling issues alongside the more usual areas of concern such as drag reduction, aero-acoustics and cross-wind stability.

Much of the published work has understandably focused on specific vehicles. For example, a very comprehensive study into the prediction of surface contamination for a detailed SUV has been reported by Gaylard and Duncan [3], as well as Gaylard et al. [6, 7]. Conversely, simplified bodies that lack details and styling of specific vehicles, are commonly used to study automotive aerodynamics. These bodies allow the investigation of the relevant flow features in well characterised and repeatable environments with geometries that can be employed by different research groups. The work reported here applies this same practice by considering surface contamination in controlled wind-tunnel conditions with a simplified vehicle body (Generic SUV) and conducting the same experiments numerically. This will improve the generality of any conclusions.

Whereas much published work [7, 8, 9, 10] has focused on the final outcome with regard to the surface contamination, or on comparing CFD simulations with experimental work, the work presented here studies the variation of base contamination with changing roof slant angle. Roof slant angle variations were selected for the study because
they are commonly employed as a drag reduction method [11]. The work reported contains a thorough description of the experimental and numerical methods and analysis of the results.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY

Facilities
Testing was performed in the Loughborough University Wind Tunnel, Figure 1, full details of which can be found in [12]. The operating velocity in the test section was 40 m.s\(^{-1}\). The freestream turbulence intensity in the middle of an empty test section is approximately 0.2 % and flow uniformity ±0.4 %. The pressure data presented in this work has been corrected to account for blockage effects using a continuity based correction method shown in Equation (1) [13].

\[
C_{p-corrected} = \frac{C_p + 2 \frac{MA}{TA}}{1 + 2 \frac{MA}{TA}}
\]

(1)

In addition, force coefficients have been calculated using the corrected value for velocity, estimated using a continuity correction seen in Equation (2) [14]. In the equations, TA and MA correspond to the wind tunnel cross section area and the model frontal area, respectively. \(C_p\) and \(C_{p-corrected}\) are the recorded surface pressure coefficient and the corrected surface pressure coefficient, respectively. Finally, \(v\) and \(v_{corrected}\) are the recorded reference velocity and the corrected reference velocity respectively. These pressure and force correction procedures have been also applied to CFD data.

\[
v_{corrected} = \frac{v \cdot TA}{TA - MA}
\]

(2)

Droplet Characterisation
To ensure simulations were matched to experiments the droplet characteristics have been measured in a separate Phase Doppler Anemometry (PDA) experiment. This allows the simulation to more accurately replicate the experiment than in previous work [9].

The PDA setup can be seen in Figure 4 with equipment as described in [16,17]. Traverses at 2, 3, 7, 10, 20 and 30 mm from the nozzle outlet face were conducted on the half-cone in a no-flow (air) arrangement. These tests identified droplet diameter (with a resolution of approximately 0.18 microns) and two velocity components for every droplet over a 5 s test at points typically 1-2 mm separation.

Model
A quarter scale generic SUV Model, Figure 2, was used for this work. The model is constructed of a machinable model board and gives a blockage ratio of 5% in the 2.5 m\(^2\) working section. The model has large leading edge radii to prevent separation and sharp edges at the rear. The geometry is representative of a typical SUV with configurable elements including ride heights, roof tapers, diffusers and roughness strips. More information can be found in [15]. For this study the diffuser is fixed at 10 degrees, no roughness strips are present and three taper angles are investigated; 0, 10 and 20 degrees. The ride height is fixed at 65 mm. SUVs have large bases and these are prone to contamination effects. As such, this model is highly suited to such a parametric study.

Figure 1. The Loughborough University Wind Tunnel

Figure 2. Dimensions (in mm) of the generic SUV model

Figure 3 shows the side profile of the model with the three tested roof tapers colour coded: grey 0 degree, blue 10 degree and pink 20 degree. The 10 degree diffuser is also shown in blue.

Figure 3. Roof taper geometries

Figure 4. PDA experimental setup
Seeding Setup
A schematic of the seeding setup used in the wind tunnel can be seen in Figure 5. A single nozzle, installed 86 mm behind the rear near-side wheel center and directed 45 degrees downstream was used. This location was chosen to reasonably represent the typical source [7] of self-soiling and ensure that sufficient particles of fluid were entrained in the body and wheel wakes. Using a single source, rather than one located behind each rear wheel ensures that a clear analysis of the source and outcome can be achieved. A pair of solenoid valves controlled electronically allow for known, fixed duration of spray injection ensuring repeatable spray characteristics that can be provided as input to a numerical model. This setup also ensured a sharp start and end to injection, reducing experimental variation.

A mixture of de-ionised water and UV dye (Uvitex at 0.03 % concentration) was used as the contaminant with an UV lamp as the illumination source. Following every test the light source and a DSLR camera were placed in the tunnel and an image captured. A testing pressure of 110 bar resulted in a mass flow rate of approximately 3.5 g.s⁻¹.

Measurements
The model was run in the wind tunnel for sufficient time (~30 s) to settle prior to the spraying and every injection was 12 s in duration. This time was selected to be short enough to ensure no rivulet formation occurred in any configuration and long enough to account for any very low frequency wake structures that may impact soiling. Rivulet formation, while of interest in the broader Exterior Water Management (EWM) field, ensures the location of the droplet impact is lost and relocates the mass of fluid to the lower regions of the base. Captured images are stored in RAW format to ensure image processing is consistent across tests. Combined with an appropriate calibration the Beer-Lambert Law (Equation 3) can be used to calculate a depth of fluid from an image intensity.

\[ g_x = \left[A(1 - e^{Bx}) + C\right]^D \]

The calibration is achieved by placing a calibration vessel similar to that used in [9,18] in the tunnel next to the model at the end of every test so that it is captured in the image. Figure 6 shows the variation of intensity with depth across the calibration vessel. It can be seen that with the illumination, exposure and dye concentration used that the relationship is reasonably linear, i.e. intensity is directly proportional to depth.

Figure 6. Typical Beer-Lambert curve fit

CFD CONFIGURATION

Mathematical Models
Computational simulations were performed using OpenFOAM®, an open source CFD tool. The continuous phase (air) was computed using the Improved Delayed DES (IDDES) formulation of the Spalart-Allmaras Detached Eddy Model. The DES formulation [19] and its recent modification, delayed DES (DDES) [20], use RANS to compute the whole or at least the major part of the attached boundary layer, while an LES approach is used in regions with separated flow. The recent modification of the DDES model, Improved DDES (IDDES), has an enhanced capability to identify which mode (RANS or LES) it should be operating in near the wall [21]. In addition, it allows some of the boundary layer to be resolved by LES, resulting in a quicker transition to resolved turbulence and hence increased resolved turbulence activity near the wall [21].

In this study the Navier-Stokes equations were solved using the PISO (Pressure Implicit with Splitting of Operators) algorithm. The maximum global Courant Friedrichs Lewy (CFL) number, defined as

\[ CFL = \frac{U \cdot \Delta t}{\Delta x} \]

was found to be of order 10, however the CFL number in the separated region was less than 1 to ensure that eddies are resolved in time and space. The dispersed phase (water particles) was computed using the Lagrangian approach [22]. The Lagrangian particle tracking approach allows simulations to be simplified by tracking groups of particles together as a numerical parcel. Although increasing the number of parcel streams (in the limit to match the number of
particles) improves accuracy by creating a larger ensemble of tracks, this also increases computational cost. For this reason, in this work a total of 17.5 million parcels were released, each representing a number of particles of a given size. The total liquid mass was equally split between parcels.

The Lagrangian particle tracking was carried out concurrently with the PISO algorithm, meaning that the dynamics of particles were calculated within the solver at each time step, enabling particles to respond to the unsteady turbulent flow. In this study particles were subjected to drag (Schiller-Naumann correlation, shown in Equation (7) [23]), gravity and shear lift (Saffman Mei lift [24]) forces, as shown in Equation (5).

\[ F = -\frac{\pi D^2}{8} \rho C_d |u_p - u| (u_p - u) 
+ 1.61 \mu_c D |u_p - u| \sqrt{Re_G} + m_p g \]  
\[ (5) \]

Where

\[ Re_G = \frac{D^2}{v_c} \frac{du}{dy} \]  
\[ (6) \]

And

\[ C_d = \begin{cases} 
24(1 + 0.15 Re^{0.687}) & Re \leq 1000 \\
0.44 & Re > 100C 
\end{cases} \]  
\[ (7) \]

\[ Re = \frac{\rho |u_p - u| D}{\mu} \]  
\[ (8) \]

A stochastic dispersion model (Gosman and Ioannides [25]) was used to model the influence of the unresolved turbulent content on the motion of particles. However the sub-grid turbulence in the wake was found to be small, typically less than 90%. Considering that a high mass loading is found in the injection area, the two-way momentum coupling (particle-fluid interaction) was taken into account through a semi-implicit scheme. Particle-particle interaction was ignored in this study.

**Computational Domain and Boundary Conditions**

The computational grids were generated using snappyHexMesh, a meshing algorithm available within the OpenFOAM® software suit. The meshes generated by this utility contain hexahedra and split-hexahedra cells. The OpenFOAM particle tracking makes use of a tetrahedral decomposition of the mesh and the use of snappyHexMesh ensures that meshes are fully compatible with this feature.
Spray Model

The data collected with the PDA system was used to initialise the spray model. Figure 9 shows the population of spherical droplets at each traverse captured by PDA. In CFD simulations the droplet size distribution measured at 10 mm away from the nozzle (see Figure 10) was used because the spray results show that the droplets’ distribution is fully developed at this distance, i.e. all droplet breakup and coalescence is finished. The total cone angle was identified to be 90 degrees, allowing approximately 98% of the measured data at the aforementioned axial position to be used, as shown in Figure 9. The data measured at traverse points outside the cone angle were ignored.

It can be seen from Figure 10 that the droplet size distribution at the center of the cone is significantly different from that at the edge of the cone. To account for this in the CFD, ten hollow cone injectors were used, assembled one inside another as seen in Figure 11, and positioned in the same location as in the experiments. In the figure, each colour corresponds to a new injector. Each injector was setup to generate a specific particle size profile as seen in Figure 10.

The PDA data for the droplets was acquired in a Stokes flow, in which the high initial momentum decays rapidly due to viscous forces. However, in the wind tunnel experiments the flow is far from stationary; so it was important to estimate an accurate initial momentum of the spray to be able to accurately model spray in the diffuser area. This was achieved by using the average speed of droplets measured 3 mm away from the nozzle as the initial speed of computational particles leaving the injectors; again with different
velocities for each injector. Figure 12 shows the injection velocity profile used in the simulations with colours matching those in Figure 10 and Figure 11. Figure 13 compares the simulated spray and the spray observed in experiments. It is evident that there is a good qualitative match in terms of the cone angle and spray momentum, with spray in both cases impacting the diffuser.

![Figure 13. Experimental spray vs. simulated spray](image)

In the multi-phase simulation, the spray was injected for the duration of 1 second. An extra one fifth of a second was given for the remaining spray particles to either escape the wake volume or accumulate on the base surface.

### RESULTS

#### Aerodynamic Results

For each SUV configuration an initial aerodynamic (single-phase) simulation was run to establish the flow field. One second was found to be enough for the flow to settle down, after which the injection of particles, as well as the averaging of aerodynamic forces, was initiated. Table 2 shows drag and lift data for all three cases obtained in experiments and CFD. Figure 14 presents the time averaged pressure distribution on the base of the SUV model for the three configurations. It should be emphasised that the experimental single-phase data had been collected as part of a separate study [27].

Table 2. Comparison of force coefficients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Roof Taper 20</th>
<th>Roof Taper 10</th>
<th>Roof Taper 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EXP</td>
<td>CFD</td>
<td>EXP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>0.391</td>
<td>0.383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.477</td>
<td>0.3106</td>
<td>0.312</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CFD results obtained for SUV configurations with 10 and 0 degree roof tapers match well with the experimental results in terms of base pressure distribution, suggesting that the wake structure is also captured. However, CFD predicts weaker suction in the model base area, leading to a slight mismatch in the base pressure values. This is consistent with the CFD prediction of drag, which is higher in the experiments. Nevertheless, the error in the prediction of drag is small and is below 3 % for all three configurations.

![Figure 14. Base pressures; Experimental top, numerical bottom. Decreasing taper left to right.](image)

Computational results obtained for the SUV configuration with the 20 degree roof taper, although considered satisfactory for this study, do not match experimental results as well as for the other configurations. Low pressure regions, consistent with vortices, are seen in the lower corners but the magnitude of the pressure is too high. This suggests the presence of flow features is correctly predicted if not the exact details. The mismatch may be due to an inaccurate prediction of flow separation from the roof taper. This may be attributed to the use of near-wall models to predict the development of boundary layer around the model (i.e. the first cell was in the log-law region). To improve the accuracy, the first few cells at the wall could be reduced so that the first cell is within the viscous sublayer. However it should be noted that predicting the precise location of flow separation is challenging for any turbulence modelling approach.

It can be seen that CFD under-predicts lift for all three configurations. This has been also reported in [26], who studied a different configuration of the very same model and suggested that one of the possible reasons is the failure to accurately model interaction of the boundary layer with the underbody of the model. This is because the ground clearance is small and hence the boundary layer may have a significant influence on the underbody pressure, thus affecting lift. Overall the agreement between CFD and experimental aerodynamic data is considered strong enough to use the CFD flow field to aid understanding of the experimental soiling results.

#### Soiling Results

Figure 15 shows base contamination for three SUV configurations obtained experimentally and numerically. In the figure base surfaces are coloured by local soiling intensity. This allows for qualitative comparison of the most contaminated rear-surface areas between three configurations. Additionally, typical comparisons between experimental and numeric results can be made.

The experimental results show greater dispersion of contaminant on the base. There may be some noise due to the near linear Beer-Lambert curve fit, but the dispersion is a manifestation of the stochastic nature of base soiling, especially given the duration of the tests. Further, it would be reasonable to assume that experimental results would show more dispersed particles across the base than
CFD due to the numerical approach that uses parcels that contain a number of individual particles, rather than the particles themselves. Even so, the patterns of contamination are sufficiently visible.

As can be seen in Figure 15 the numeric results are matched well to the experimental ones. Both the location and dispersion trends are apparent. The apparent lower resolution in the CFD results are due to integrating the contamination on the base using a coarsened grid. As the Lagrangian approach tracks parcels rather than individual particles and every parcel can contain large numbers of particles; all of which would have a different trajectory from that of the parcel. Therefore, integrating deposition over larger areas is used to counterbalance the reduced refinement of spray and hence get more uniform patterns of contamination.

It can be seen that as the taper angle increases the soiling becomes confined to a smaller region. Or conversely as taper angle is reduced the contamination has more dispersion in the larger wake. This results in greater distribution across the base.

At the 20 degree taper the soiling is focused on the mid-upper side on the same side as the spray source, whereas with decreasing taper angle the soiling is more diffuse and central. These effects are consistent with the smaller wake observed in the CFD results with the 20 degree taper angle, as seen in Figure 16 and Figure 17. The high downwash generated by the 20 degree roof taper pushes the core of the wake closer to the ground where the spray is injected. As a result, droplets have less time to disperse as they are drawn earlier into the wake. In addition, the separation at the centre near the trailing edge of the taper creates a horseshoe shaped wake, seen in Figure 16 and Figure 18. This has the effect of pushing the contamination to the side of the base. Figure 18 also shows a strong recirculating vortex generated by the interaction between flow on the slant and from the sides (the rear pillar), which also influences base contamination. It is believed that this is a source of difference between CFD and experiments. It can be observed in Figure 15 that CFD predicts a high intensity peak located closer to the edge of the base than that in the experiment. The reason for this may be due to an inaccurate prediction of the rear pillar vortex.

For the 10 degree taper the contamination area is more central and diffuse. This can be explained by studying Figure 17, which shows the presence of a recirculating vortex at the top of the base. The droplets, however, are carried by the bottom vortex that spans up to about the middle of the base. It can be also seen in Figure 16 that the spray is being brought into the wake near the end of the wake. Due to the longer wake size there is a more time for the particles to disperse in the flow compared to the 20 degree taper. Figure 18 also shows the presence of a rear pillar vortex, however it is not as strong as in the case of 20 degree taper. It is likely that the pillar vortex again has an effect on base contamination, though not as strong, as shown by the reduced biasing of the contamination to the side of the base. In addition to the high contamination near the middle of the base, it also predicts strong particle impingement in the area close to the left top corner of the base.

For the 0 degree taper the contamination is more central again and closer to the roof. Figure 17 indicates that there is minimal downwash, with the bottom vortex spanning across the whole height of the base. The upwash from the diffuser is still evident, pushing the spray towards the top of the base. The roof taper angle of 0 degrees also results in a longer wake than has been observed in previous cases. Since the majority of spray does not have enough momentum
to penetrate the shear layer of the wake, and enter from the end of the wake, particles are carried further away from the base and hence disperse more.

Figure 17. Projected Streamlines on XZ planes from numerical tests. Decreasing taper angle clockwise from top-left. Streamlines at y=0, 90 and 173 mm (time averaged)

Figure 18. Projected Streamlines on YZ plane at X=0.1 m from the base; Numerical tests. Decreasing taper angle clockwise from top-left.

Figure 19 shows the integrated numerical contamination evolution over time. The injection begins at 0 s, with the first contamination event occurring around 0.05 s later. The injection stops at 1 s, resulting in contamination for another 0.2 s. Figure 19 clearly suggests the presence of multiple dynamics within the soiling process. For both the 0 degree and 20 degree taper there are higher frequency events superimposed on a low frequency process. The 10 degree taper is much more linear, but with some higher frequency components still visible. This clearly shows that quantitative comparisons of soiling rates between experimental and numerical tests cannot be reasonably justified without ensuring sufficient time for one complete low frequency cycle to occur.

Figure 19. Numerical evolution of contamination

CONCLUSIONS

This paper presents a parametric study that looks into the effect of rear-end geometry on rear-surface contamination. Three configurations of the generic SUV model have been considered. Experiments and CFD were used to analyse the influence of roof tapers on the base contamination.

PDA measurements of a spray injector were performed to characterise the spray droplet size and velocity distributions used in the experimental soiling tests. To ensure comparability the measured characteristics were used as input to the numerical simulations.

Soiling experiments in the wind tunnel were conducted for the three SUV configurations and image processing techniques used to extract the qualitative information of the base contamination. This allowed for the comprehensive analysis of data, as well as providing validation of CFD simulations.

Computational work used the IDDES formulation of the Spalart-Allmaras Detached Eddy Model together with the Lagrangian particle tracking model. Although the base pressures are predicted to be slightly larger than those obtained in experiments, the overall pressure distribution is matched well, leading to credible qualitative agreement for base contamination. It is realised that computations require running longer than 1 s to obtain a better averaged patterns of contamination and yield quantitative data such as contamination rates.
The results show that as the taper angle increases then the location of the contamination is shifted outwards and down from the roof. Further, it becomes less dispersed. The numerical results suggest that there are multiple dynamics in the contamination process that have not previously been identified; every configuration possess a minimum test time required to sufficiently capture all the dynamic processes.

The CFD agrees reasonably well with experiments, with a number of possible reasons for discrepancies; this includes the accurate representation of the wake structure, particularly the rear pillar vortices for the 20 degree taper. It is possible a lack of droplet break-up, evaporation and droplet interaction models may play an important role and are important avenues for future work. The simulations predict location well enough to be used in positioning key elements such as cameras and number plate on the vehicle base.

Further work requires consideration of the low frequency dynamics in the wake to ensure that all feasible events are captured and considered. In turn, this will allow for direct comparison between experimental and numeric contamination rates.

Experimental results can be improved by increasing the fluorescence resolution; an UV lamp of greater power, or an increased dye concentration are minimised.
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