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ABSTRACT

Torsional vibrations transmitted from the engine to the drivetrain system induce a plethora of noise, vibration and harshness (NVH) concerns, such as transmission gear rattle and clutch in-cycle vibration, to name but a few. The main elements of these oscillations are variations in the inertial imbalance and the constituents of combustion power torque, collectively referred to as engine order vibration. To attenuate the effect of these transmitted vibrations and their oscillatory effects in the drive train system, a host of palliative measures are employed in practice, such as clutch pre-dampers, slipping discs, dual mass flywheel and others, all of which operate effectively over a narrow band of frequencies and have various unintended repercussions. These include increased powertrain inertia, installation package space and cost. This paper presents a numerical study of the use of multiple Nonlinear Energy Sinks (NES) as a means of attenuating the torsional oscillations for an extended frequency range and under transient vehicle manoeuvres. Frequency–Energy Plots (FEP) are used to obtain the nonlinear absorber parameters for multiple NES coupled in parallel to the clutch disc of a typical drivetrain configuration. The results obtained show significant reduction in the oscillations of the transmission input shaft, effective over a broad range of response frequencies. It is also noted that the targeted reduction of the acceleration amplitude of the input shaft requires significantly lower NES inertia, compared with the existing palliative measures.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Attenuation of torsional oscillations in automotive powertrains has recently received increased attention owing to a plethora of noise, vibration and harshness (NVH) concerns. These include clutch in-cycle vibration referred to in industry as “whoop” [1–3] and transmission rattle [4–7]. The underlying cause of these phenomena is the transmitted engine order harmonics [8] to the clutch and transmission systems, which are exacerbated through the modern high output power-to-light weight ratio concept, driven by the key objective of fuel efficiency. Light weight components are subjected to flexible structural dynamics, exacerbated by increased power torque fluctuations as the result of enhanced power, particularly with diesel engines. Furthermore, downsized engines with fewer cylinders and lower operating speeds exhibit increased torsional oscillations produced by discrete torque pulses through combustion process and cyclic inertial variation [9,10]. Other new technologies such as stop–start or cylinder deactivation, also developed for improved fuel efficiency and reduced emissions, cause transient intermittency which also leads to the generation of drivetrain vibration [11].

To mitigate the emergent NVH concerns, various palliative measures have been developed, including tuned vibration absorbers such as clutch pre-dampers, the Dual Mass Flywheel (DMF) [12,13] and DMF with Centrifugal Pendulum Vibration Absorbers (CPVA) [14,15]. Numerous studies have reported on the working principle of DMF and its design, including studies of DMF with: radial springs [16], Magneto-Rheological (MR) dampers and arc helix springs [17]. These are primarily meant to mitigate transmission gear rattle. For clutch in-cycle vibration, a Diehl-fix which is essentially a lumped mass-damper is attached to the clutch lever of a mechanical-type clutch [18]. These palliative measures are...
between the NNMs of the nonlinear absorber and the normal modes an alternative approach, allowing simultaneous resonant interactions coupled with an essentially nonlinear attachment. The study presented activation of Nonlinear Normal Modes (NNMs) to describe the interac-tions, which are responsible for energy transfer. Vakakis et al. [27] studied the impulsively excited. It was concluded that 1:1 stable sub-harmonic orbits are responsible for energy transfer. Vakakis et al. [27] studied the implementation of NES to stabilise drill-string systems. The study mainly focused on friction-induced vibrations, which is similar to another clutch NVH phenomenon, referred to as take-up judder [37–39] caused by stick–slip friction of the lining material during clutch engagement. Viguie et al. [36] observed that the NES is able to eliminate limit cycle instabilities. Therefore, their findings can apply to problems such as clutch take-up judder. There are potential applications for NES in tackling a variety of vehicular powertrain NVH issues, which as yet remains untapped.

Recently, Haris et al. [40] showed that nonlinear vibration absorbers can be effective in attenuating torsional vibrations of vehicular driveline system over a broader range of frequencies. However, it was also shown that the efficiency of the NES is highly dependent on the amplitude and frequency of the applied input under various engine transient manoeuvres. Thus, a single NES may not be sufficient to act across the whole spectrum of excitation frequencies.

The majority of studies presented in the literature in the subject area of Targeted Energy Transfer are concerned with the incorporation of nonlinear vibration absorbers (NES) to reduce vibrations in trans-lational systems, with the exception of a few studies only analyzing the performance of rotational systems equipped with NES. To the best knowledge of the authors no previous attempt has been made on examining the potential of incorporating (multiple parallel) rotational NES(s) to attenuate torsional vibrations in automotive drivelines. Thus, the implementation of multiple parallel NES to attenuate the broad spectrum of encountered torsional NVH phenomena is the subject of the current study. The driveline system considered is a Front Wheel Drive (FWD) transaxle configuration, powered by a three-cylinder engine. A reduced order drivetrain model is developed and validated both in temporal and spectral domains against measured response of the vehicle under test conditions. Then, the model is modified to incorporate multiple parallel NES. Significant vibration attenuation at the dominant Engine Orders (EO) is noted.

2. The drivetrain model

A transaxle FWD powertrain system with a 3-cylinder engine with a Solid Mass Flywheel (SMF) is considered in the current study. It incorporates a 5-speed manual transmission and a clutch equipped with a clutch pre-damper. A two-degree-of-freedom linear model is used to represent the drivetrain system, comprising the clutch assembly, the transmission, differential and the axle half-shafts (Fig. 1).

The clutch assembly has the inertia \( J_1 \), coupled to the transmission input shaft with the inertia \( J_3 \). The engine is not included in the model, but the measured oscillatory motion of the flywheel is used as an input of the linear system. McFarland et al. [30] conducted experimental studies of nonlinear energy pumping occurring at a single fast frequency. Kerschen et al. [31] performed studies on linear systems coupled with grounded and ungrounded nonlinear attachments to understand the dynamics of the individual absorbers and their effectiveness. It was highlighted that for both attachments the energy pumping is governed through 1:1 resonance captures. However, the grounded attachments do have limitations in application in certain fields due to the required stiffness and weight.

There have also been studies with regard to the use of different types of stiffness nonlinearity (e.g. non-smooth and non-polynomial [32,33]). Similarly, studies have been conducted on primary systems, coupled to multiple nonlinear attachments, where it was reported that the efficiency of energy transfer is substantially improved when compared with single attachments [34,35]. The above-mentioned studies were conducted on translational systems subject to transient excitations, where it was demonstrated that NES can engage in the suppression of broad band vibration responses. A dearth of studies exists concerning applications of rotational NES which are necessary to attenuate powertrain NVH concerns which are largely of torsional oscillatory nature, such as gear rattle. In this regard, Viguie et al. [36] examined the implementation of NES to stabilise drill-string systems. The study mainly focused on friction-induced vibrations, which is similar to another clutch NVH phenomenon, referred to as take-up judder [37–39].
to the clutch assembly, denoted by \( \theta_F \) and \( \theta_T \). The effect of the other drivetrain components connected to the transmission input shaft \( J_3 \) is represented by the resisting torque \( T_{Res} \). The equations of motion become:

\[
\begin{align*}
J_2 \ddot{\theta}_2 + [C] \dot{\theta}_2 + \begin{bmatrix} k_2 + k_1 & -k_2 \\ -k_2 & k_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_2 \\ \theta_3 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} k_1 \theta_F + c_1 \theta_F \\ -T_{Res} \end{bmatrix} \\
\end{align*}
\]

where, \( \theta_2 \) and \( \theta_3 \) are the angular displacements of the clutch and the transmission input shaft, respectively. \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) represent the torsional rigidity, connecting the engine to the clutch and the latter to the transmission. \( k_1 \theta_F + c_1 \theta_F \) is the input torque applied to the clutch assembly, which is a function of the flywheel motion. Table 1 lists a typical range of parametric values.

The resisting torque \( T_{Res} \) [41] is modelled as a function of the tyre rolling resistance \( T_R \), and the aerodynamic drag torque \( T_A \) as:

\[
\begin{align*}
T_R &= (f_0 + f_3 V^2) F_s r_w \\
T_A &= \frac{1}{2}\rho S C_d V^2 r_w \\
T_{Res} &= T_R + T_A
\end{align*}
\]

where:
- \( F_s \) is the tyre normal load; \( f_0 \) is the coefficient of rolling resistance; \( f_3 \) is the speed-dependent coefficient of rolling resistance; \( r_w \) is the tyre radius; \( \rho \) is the density of air; \( S \) is the vehicle frontal area; \( C_d \) is the aerodynamic drag coefficient and \( V \) is the vehicle longitudinal velocity.

The damping matrix \([C]\) is determined as [42]:

\[
[C] = [J][\Phi][\Phi]^T[J]
\]

where, \( \Phi \) is the modal matrix obtained through solution of the generalised eigenvalue problem and \( Z \) is the diagonal modal damping ratio matrix:

\[
Z = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \zeta_1 \omega_1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \zeta_2 \omega_2 \end{bmatrix}
\]

where, \( \zeta \) is the damping ratio of the “ith” mode, corresponding to modal natural frequency \( \omega_i \).

Solution of Eqs. (1)–(6) provides the drivetrain response. The predictions of the model are compared with experimental measurements obtained from the test vehicle. The experimental data is acquired through use of sensors mounted onto the flywheel and the transmission input shaft, measuring their angular velocities. Variable sampling rate was used, as the sensors were programmed to register the passage of the reflective surface patches (at each revolution) mounted on both the flywheel and the transmission input shaft. The test manoeuvre corresponds to the 1st gear engaged at 100% throttle input over the entire engine operating range with the total test duration of 5s.

The modal damping ratios are optimised so that the model response is bounded and in good agreement with the experimental data. Table 2 lists the tuned modal damping ratios and the corresponding natural frequencies. The resultant damping ratios are high due to the fact that the vehicle driveline considered is equipped with torsional dampers.

Model validation is performed in both spectral and temporal domains. Spectral analysis is carried out, using Morlet-based Continuous Wavelet Transform (CWT) in order to capture the transient frequency content at the various EO contributions. The CWT plots highlight the presence of several EO harmonics with the 1.5 EO being the most significant for a 3-cylinder 4-stroke engine with no cylinder-to-cylinder or cycle-to-cycle combustion variations [8]. This is because combustion takes place three times over two successive crankshaft revolutions. The CWT plots in Fig. 2 show a comparison between the experimentally measured and numerically predicted responses of the transmission input shaft velocity. Good agreement is noted. The response in the time domain presented in Fig. 3 also demonstrates good correlation between the experimental and the numerical results, with the insets to the figure showing the corresponding CWT plots at different engine speeds.

The validation exercise is performed for different manoeuvres. An example of the time domain response of the transmission input shaft with the 3rd gear engaged at 25% throttle is shown in Fig. 4, where again good correlation is observed between the numerical and experimental results.

3. Drivetrain model equipped with a single NES

The drivetrain model is then modified to incorporate a single NES mounted in parallel to the clutch friction disc, as shown in Fig. 5. The NES has a cubic restoring force coefficient and is classified as an ungrounded attachment. As the studied application is an automotive drivetrain, where the rigid body motion of the system cannot be physically eliminated, the grounded attachment is not a realisable option. A manoeuvre in 1st gear at 25% throttle is used to analyse the performance of the NES through the entire engine speed range. This manoeuvre is chosen because of its lower input power content (thus, lower energy content to engage the NES with the primary system) compared with those at higher throttle levels. The matrix formulation describing the drivetrain dynamics with an attached NES becomes:

\[
\begin{align*}
J_2 & \ddot{\theta}_2 + \begin{bmatrix} \theta_2 \\ \theta_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} k_2 + k_1 & -k_2 \\ -k_2 & k_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_2 \\ \theta_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 \theta_F + c_1 \theta_F \\ -T_{Res} \end{bmatrix} \\
\end{align*}
\]

where:
- \( J_2 \), \( k_2 \), \( k_3 \), \( c_2 \) and \( c_3 \) are the inertia, nonlinear stiffness and angular coefficients of the NES.
- \( \theta_F \) and \( \theta_T \) are the angular displacements of the clutch and the transmission input shaft, respectively.
- \( k_1 \) and \( c_1 \) represent the torsional rigidity, connecting the engine to the clutch and the latter to the transmission.
- \( T_R \) and \( T_A \) are the resisting torque and the aerodynamic drag torque, respectively.
- \( T_{Res} \) is the resisting torque applied to the clutch assembly.

To evaluate the effectiveness of the NES, the performance of the system with active NES is compared with that of the system with a locked NES. The latter is essentially a system, where the NES inertia is simply added to the inertia of the clutch disc. The reason is that the addition of some inertia to the system is expected to lead to vibration attenuation to a certain extent anyway. This approach has been widely followed in the relevant TET literature [35,43,44].

The matrix formulation for the locked NES becomes:

\[
\begin{align*}
J_2 + J_N & \ddot{\theta}_2 + \begin{bmatrix} \theta_2 \\ \theta_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} k_2 + k_1 & -k_2 \\ -k_2 & k_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_2 \\ \theta_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 \theta_F + c_1 \theta_F \\ -T_{Res} \end{bmatrix} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Table 2

| Tuned modal damping ratios and natural frequencies. |
|---------------------------|------------------|
| Damping ratio | Natural frequency (Hz) |
| \( \zeta_1 = 0.8 \) | \( \omega_1 = 60 \) |
| \( \zeta_2 = 0.5 \) | \( \omega_2 = 800 \) |
The damping matrix $[C_N]$ in Eq. (7) is formed by adding the corresponding NES damping coefficient to the damping matrix $[C]$ of the locked NES model using the approach in [42], thus:

$$
[C_N] = \begin{bmatrix}
    c_{(1,1)} & c_{(1,2)} & -c_N \\
    c_{(2,1)} & c_{(2,2)} & 0 \\
    -c_N & 0 & c_N
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(9)

where, $c_N$ is the damping coefficient of the NES and $c_{(i,j)}$ is the damping coefficient of the linear drivetrain model with a locked NES.

The NES performance is evaluated through comparison of the spectral contribution at 1.5 EO to the overall acceleration amplitude of the transmission input shaft for both the locked and the active NES systems. The reason for selecting this metric as the main performance index is that the 1.5 EO is the fundamental firing order of the 3-cylinder engine.
Normal Mode (LNMs) and uses a predictor step between two NNMs at different energy levels. Then, the corrector step is used to refine the predictions in order to obtain the actual solution at a specific energy level. In order to compute the NNMs, the algorithm requires input parameters defining the nature of the oscillatory system, such as the linear stiffness, inertia/mass, restoring force coefficients and the order of the nonlinearity (e.g. cubic). Additionally, if the initial conditions are known a priori, then these can be provided as an input to the algorithm. Usually, this is the initial displacement of the system corresponding to an equilibrium condition (zero velocity).

The NNMs of the system depicted in Fig. 6 are computed and shown in Fig. 7. This plot comprises three backbone curves, superimposed on the wavelet of the drivetrain model equipped with an NES. The backbone curves are the FEPs of the single degree of freedom grounded NES, whereas the wavelet represents the total energy of the drivetrain model with an NES attachment for a manoeuvre in 1st gear at 25% throttle, computed using:

\[ E_{TOT} = \sum K_E + \sum P_E \]  

where, \( K_E \) is the kinetic energy and \( P_E \) the potential energy of the drivetrain model equipped with an NES:

\[ \sum K_E = \frac{1}{2} J \dot{\theta}_i^2 \]  
\[ \sum P_E = \frac{1}{2} k_i \dot{\theta}_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_N \dot{\theta}_N^2 \]

where \( i = (\text{clutch}), 3(\text{transmission input shaft}), N(\text{NES}) \).

Each backbone curve represents the NNMs of the NES with a given inertia and restoring force coefficient. As the frequency increases with the energy level, the system exhibits hardening characteristics. The conclusion drawn from the FEP is that the NES would be expected to work effectively in the frequency regions, where the FEP curves cross the system energy (i.e. wavelet). Therefore, as it can be seen in Fig. 7, there is a possibility to target higher or lower frequency regions, depending on the parameters of NES design. In order to put this into context, an NES with parameters \( J_N = 7\% \) of the transmission input shaft inertia, \( k_N = 5 \times 10^5 \text{ Nm/rad}^3 \) and damping coefficient \( c_N = 0.001 \text{ Nms/rad} \) is chosen. The plot of the 1.5 EO acceleration amplitude response of the transmission input shaft with locked and active NES is shown in Fig. 8.

Fig. 8 shows that the NES with the above parameters attenuates the vibrations over a broad frequency range (80–140 Hz), which correlates with that predicted by the FEP in Fig. 7 (circled). The reason for the suppression of vibrations in this range is that the energy of the powertrain system (1st gear with 25% throttle) is within the operating threshold of the NES, thus the overlap of the NNMs with the wavelet content. It should be noted that the effectiveness of the NES depends on the input energy of the system. The frequency range here is only used as a criterion to assess the ability of NES to attenuate vibration, which by no means makes the NES a frequency-dependent absorber.

According to the FEPs in Fig. 7, if attenuation of vibration is required at lower frequencies, an NES with lower restoring force coefficient should be chosen. On the other hand, for attenuation at higher frequencies, an NES with higher restoring force coefficient would be required. It is important to note that the above configuration may not always be sufficient, as the NES effectiveness depends on the supplied input energy. Moreover, for NESs with given nonlinear stiffness and varying inertia, the frequency range where vibration attenuation is achieved would also vary. This behaviour is highlighted by the two higher frequency FEPs in Fig. 7. Finally, it is noteworthy that if the system presented in Fig. 6 was linear, the backbone curve of Fig. 7 would only comprise a horizontal line, corresponding to the natural frequency of the system (since it does not depend on the energy input).

The effect of lower restoring force coefficient on the NES performance is shown in Fig. 9. There is a noticeable difference in the system energy fluctuations (wavelet) coupled with an NES tuned at lower
frequencies (Fig. 9), when compared with a system coupled with an NES tuned at higher frequencies (Fig. 7). In the case of the latter, the system energy is localised in a continuous single frequency range (20–140 Hz). Conversely, Fig. 9 shows that the energy content of the wavelet is spread into three different frequency regions (20–80 Hz), (80 –120 Hz) and (120–150 Hz). In the first region (i.e. 20–80 Hz), the system energy is centralised around a particular energy level ($10^{-1}$). In this case the inclusion of the NES has led to a redistribution of the system energy in the higher frequency region (i.e. 80 –150 Hz), where there is a significant amount of energy available; $10^{-4}$ – $10^{-2}$. This phenomenon shows how an NES can induce energy redistribution in the primary system by transferring the oscillatory energy from low to high frequency regions, where it can be dissipated through structural damping [48]. The rubber-mass damper, known as the Diehl-fix, attached to the clutch-lever of mechanical clutches in some vehicles essentially acts in the same manner by shifting the energy level from the natural frequency of the lever to higher frequencies, but is tuned for the purpose unlike the NES [2,3].

To evaluate the FEP predictions at low frequencies, the acceleration response amplitude of the transmission input shaft equipped with locked and active NES are produced and shown in Fig. 10. The NES parameters for $J_N = 8\%$ of the transmission input shaft inertia, $k_N = 1 \times 10^4$ Nm/rad$^2$ and a damping coefficient of $c_N = 0.001$ Nms/rad are instructive. The frequency region: 60–80 Hz, where vibration attenuation is observed correlates well with the predictions of the FEP (the circled region in Fig. 9).

Hitherto, the NES parameters have been chosen using the FEPs. Generally, the weight/inertia of the absorber is crucial in the overall performance of the system and for automotive applications it should be kept as light and compact as possible. The range of the NES parameters are selected in order to attenuate vibrations at higher frequencies: the inertia range between 8-15% of the transmission input shaft inertia and the restoring force coefficient in the range: $k_N = 1 \times 10^4$ – $1 \times 10^7$ Nm/rad$^3$ are instructive. The NES damping coefficient is chosen as: $c_N = 0.001$ Nms/rad for as low an attenuation of the absorber’s response as possible.

Reduced transmission input shaft angular acceleration amplitudes below 200 rad/s$^2$ have been reported for engine speeds exceeding 800 rpm, but not demonstrated theoretically [49]. Thus, an initial benchmark for assessment of NES performance can be set at this limit for the oscillatory contribution at the dominant 1.5 EO for a 3-cylinder engine. Parametric simulations are carried out using the above mentioned range of parameters for $J_N$, $k_N$ and $c_N$ in the drivetrain model equipped with a single NES, attached to the clutch disc. A transient manoeuvre in 1st gear at 25% throttle is carried out. The data obtained is presented in the form of a contour plot, displaying the area where reduction of acceleration amplitude is achieved.
A second criterion to evaluate the NES performance is the Area of Effective Acceleration Amplitude Reduction (AEAAR), calculated using the trapezoidal rule to determine the areas under the acceleration amplitude curve during the transient manoeuvre for models with active and locked NES (Fig. 11). The difference between these areas provides the AEAAR highlighted by the shaded area in the figure, as:

\[
\text{Area} = \text{trapez} \left( F_L, A_L \right) - \text{trapez} \left( F_A, A_A \right) \tag{13}
\]

where:

- \( F_L \) = 1.5EO frequency of the locked system
- \( A_L \) = Acceleration amplitudes of the locked system
- \( F_A \) = 1.5EO frequency of the active system
- \( A_A \) = Acceleration amplitude of the active system

The simulation results are shown in the contour plot of Fig. 12, where the \( x \)-axis signifies variations of NES inertia and \( y \)-axis is the variation in the NES restoring force coefficient. The colour bar on the right-hand side of the plot signifies the AEAAR index. As expected, the higher the AEAAR index, the larger is the reduction in the acceleration amplitude. Fig. 12 shows that there is a range of NES restoring force coefficient and inertia which provides for effective NES performance. A randomly chosen point from the contour plot (indicated by the solid line circle) is initially selected and the corresponding acceleration amplitude plot is
shown in Fig. 13(a). The NES parameters corresponding to this plot are: \( J_N = 8\% \) of the transmission input shaft inertia, \( k_N = 9 \times 10^6 \text{ Nm/rad}^3 \) and \( c_N = 0.001 \text{ Nms/rad} \) which result in an AEAAR of 4000 rad/s\(^3\), representing jerk. The reduced acceleration amplitude \( da \) for a narrow increment of engine speed, represented by a frequency increment \( df \) is represented by the incremental reduction area: \( -dA df \). Therefore, for a frequency band, \( \Delta f \) the AEAAR becomes:

\[
\text{AEAAR} = -\int dA \int df = -\int dA d\Delta f = -\int \frac{dA}{dt} d\Delta f dt
\]

(14)

where, \( \dot{A} \) is the angular jerk in units of rad/s\(^3\).

As it can be seen from the acceleration amplitudes and the corresponding time history plot in Fig. 14, for these combination of parameters the NES does not provide any significant benefit, when compared with the locked NES response.

As low NES inertia is desired in automotive applications, with maximisation AEAAR as a measure of effective NES performance, the following set of NES characteristics is chosen for a study: \( J_N = 9\% \) of transmission input shaft inertia, \( k_N = 1.2 \times 10^6 \text{ Nm/rad}^3 \) and \( c_N = 0.001 \text{ Nms/rad} \). These characteristics correspond approximately to an AEAAR value of 12000 rad/s\(^3\) in the contour plot of Fig. 12 (dash-line circle). The 1.5 EO acceleration amplitudes for the transient manoeuvre generated by this NES are shown in Fig. 13(b), clearly indicating a significant vibration attenuation (at frequencies above 80 Hz) when compared with the previously examined case. From the presented results it can be concluded that an increase in AEAAR has a direct effect on the amplitude reduction at the 1.5 EO.

The time history of the transmission input shaft angular velocity for the NES exhibiting higher AEAAR is shown in Fig. 15. The frequency range where this NES configuration suppresses the oscillations at 1.5 EO is approximately 80–140 Hz, accounting for almost 50% of the frequency range in the drivetrain’s manoeuvre (with the engine speed ranging between 3300–5500 rpm). The reduction of the input shaft oscillations is initiated at approximately 3s, where a slight shift can be noted between the locked and active NES performances. In the inset to Fig. 15 around 7s, the angular velocity fluctuations for the system with an active NES are considerably reduced when compared with the system with a locked NES. This accounts for a significant reduction at the 1.5 EO amplitude contribution (Fig. 13(b)). A similar effect can be observed in the time history of the transmission input shaft angular velocity, where the rigid body mode is eliminated (Fig. 16). It is evident that the reduction in the amplitude of oscillations initiates after 3s (with a substantial reduction noted between 6 and 7s). Moreover, no negative effect was observed due to the NES action on EO multiples (e.g. 3.0, 4.5 EO) and transient manoeuvres/engaged gears/throttle levels. The 1.5 EO acceleration amplitudes depicting the effective performance of the NES for the 2nd gear engaged at 25% throttle transient manoeuvre are shown in Fig. 17.
An FEP plot is produced to interpret the presented results. This is shown in Fig. 18, including the backbone curve of the NNM motion of the NES with the superposition of the energy (wavelet) of the drivetrain model. It can be seen that the effectiveness of the NES is governed by
the applied input energy. Furthermore, the frequency range of the NES 1.5 EO acceleration amplitude reduction (Fig. 13(b)) matches well with the frequency range (80–140 Hz) in the FEP plot, where the backbone curve overlaps with the energy wavelet results.

4. Powertrain coupled with 2 parallel NES

The analyses presented thus far concern the effect of a single cubic NES in an automotive drivetrain for attenuating engine order oscillations. The results obtained demonstrate that significant vibration attenuation can be achieved over a broad frequency range, primarily evident towards the higher end of the studied spectrum (80–140 Hz). Since drivetrain operating conditions also concern lower frequency ranges of excitation (below 80 Hz), it is important to explore the effect of incorporating a second NES in order to target this frequency range as well. The aim is to tune the second NES for attenuating oscillations below 80 Hz in a complementary manner to the already deployed NES (Fig. 13(b)). The reduced order drivetrain model with the incorporation of this second NES is shown in Fig. 19. The matrix formulation for the above model with an active NES (Eq. (15)) and locked NES (Eq. (16)) becomes:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\tilde{\theta}_2 \\
\tilde{\theta}_3 \\
\tilde{\theta}_{N1} \\
\tilde{\theta}_{N2}
\end{bmatrix}
+ [C_N]
\begin{bmatrix}
\tilde{\theta}_2 \\
\tilde{\theta}_3 \\
\tilde{\theta}_{N1} \\
\tilde{\theta}_{N2}
\end{bmatrix}
+ [K]
\begin{bmatrix}
\tilde{\theta}_2 \\
\tilde{\theta}_3 \\
\tilde{\theta}_{N1} \\
\tilde{\theta}_{N2}
\end{bmatrix}
+ [F_E]
\begin{bmatrix}
\tilde{\theta}_2 \\
\tilde{\theta}_3 \\
\tilde{\theta}_{N1} \\
\tilde{\theta}_{N2}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\tilde{\theta}_2 \\
\tilde{\theta}_3 \\
\tilde{\theta}_{N1} \\
\tilde{\theta}_{N2}
\end{bmatrix}
+ [C_N]
\begin{bmatrix}
\tilde{\theta}_2 \\
\tilde{\theta}_3 \\
\tilde{\theta}_{N1} \\
\tilde{\theta}_{N2}
\end{bmatrix}
+ [K]
\begin{bmatrix}
\tilde{\theta}_2 \\
\tilde{\theta}_3 \\
\tilde{\theta}_{N1} \\
\tilde{\theta}_{N2}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
k_1\theta_F + c_1\dot{\theta}_F - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N1})^3 - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N2})^3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
k_1\theta_F + c_1\dot{\theta}_F - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N1})^3 - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N2})^3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
k_1\theta_F + c_1\dot{\theta}_F - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N1})^3 - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N2})^3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
k_1\theta_F + c_1\dot{\theta}_F - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N1})^3 - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N2})^3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
k_1\theta_F + c_1\dot{\theta}_F - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N1})^3 - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N2})^3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
k_1\theta_F + c_1\dot{\theta}_F - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N1})^3 - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N2})^3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
k_1\theta_F + c_1\dot{\theta}_F - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N1})^3 - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N2})^3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
k_1\theta_F + c_1\dot{\theta}_F - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N1})^3 - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N2})^3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
k_1\theta_F + c_1\dot{\theta}_F - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N1})^3 - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N2})^3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
k_1\theta_F + c_1\dot{\theta}_F - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N1})^3 - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N2})^3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
k_1\theta_F + c_1\dot{\theta}_F - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N1})^3 - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N2})^3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
k_1\theta_F + c_1\dot{\theta}_F - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N1})^3 - k_N(\theta_2 - \theta_{N2})^3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The same methodology as before, producing FEP plots to obtain the range of NES parameters is used for the frequency range: 50–80 Hz, whilst ensuring synergistic operation with the previous NES attachment. The FEP plot for the NES targeting lower frequencies is shown in Fig. 20. The NES tuned at low frequencies induces energy redistribution in the primary system, particularly notable at higher frequencies (90–140 Hz) with severe energy fluctuations (10⁻⁴ – 10⁻²). In contrast, in the FEP of Fig. 16 (NES tuned to the higher frequencies) the converse is evident (energy fluctuations are higher at low frequencies). This energy redistribution is a main feature of the effective TET and NES operations. Using the above FEP analysis, it is clear that a promising range for the restoring force coefficient targeting low frequencies is $k_N = 2 \times 10^3 - 2 \times 10^6 \text{Nm/rad}^3$. The NES inertia range is chosen with the objective of keeping it as low as possible ($J_N = 2 \times 10^{-10}$ of the transmission input shaft inertia) and the damping coefficient as in the previous case is $c_N = 0.001 \text{Nms/rad}$. Following an extensive set of numerical
simulations, employing the transient manoeuvre at 1st gear engaged with 25% throttle, a contour plot is generated showing the AEAAR for different NES characteristics (Fig. 21).

The contour plot of Fig. 21 shows that when using appropriate NES parameter combinations, significant reduction in oscillations can be achieved. The NES parameter combination inducing the best performance is $J_{N_e} = 7\%$ of the transmission input shaft inertia, $k_{N_e} = 0.2 \times 10^4 \text{Nm/rad}^3$ and $c_{N_e} = 0.001 \text{Nms/rad}$, leading to an AEAAR value of 2000 rad/s$^3$ (circled region). The corresponding 1.5 EO acceleration amplitudes of the input shaft are shown in Fig. 22. It can be observed that acceleration reduction is achieved in the frequency range 50–75 Hz (corresponding to the engine speed range of: 1980–3000 rpm.). The same effect can be seen in the time history of Fig. 23 with the inset plot at 2s, demonstrating the corresponding vibration attenuation.

The synergistic effect of the two NESs, acting in parallel, results in the reduction of the 1.5 EO contribution over a wider frequency range (Fig. 24). The characteristics of the two NESs are: low-frequency operating NES with $J_{N_1} = 7\%$ of transmission input shaft inertia, $k_{N_1} = 0.2 \times 10^4 \text{Nm/rad}^3$ and $c_{N_1} = 0.001 \text{Nms/rad}$ and high-frequency operating NES with $J_{N_2} = 9\%$ of transmission input shaft inertia, $k_{N_2} = 1.2 \times 10^6 \text{Nm/rad}^3$ and $c_{N_2} = 0.001 \text{Nms/rad}$. As a result, the frequency range of vibration attenuation is 55–145 Hz. This observation signifies that implementing the two NESs with a combined inertia of 16% of the transmission input shaft inertia would result in reduction of vibration for the wider range of engine speed: 1980–5500 rpm. The synergistic behaviour of the two parallel NESs can also be observed in the time history of the transmission input shaft velocity fluctuations of Fig. 25 (with the rigid body mode eliminated).

The effect of the two parallel NESs on the 1.5 EO acceleration amplitudes of the transmission input shaft is demonstrated in both frequency and time domains. An FEP including the NNMs of both NESs is shown in Fig. 26. The graph exhibits the frequency region of vibration attenuation for each of the two NESs (circled) with the inset to the figure showing the corresponding acceleration amplitude. The energy fluctuations at 1.5 EO (wavelet) are reduced significantly, when compared with the systems with a single NES attachment.

5. Conclusions

The new generations of engines incorporating technologies primarily aimed at fuel efficiency and reduced emissions can induce broadband, high amplitude torsional oscillations in the drivetrain systems. The effect of multiple NES absorbers on reduction of vibrations of an automotive drivetrain is studied. A methodology for selecting the characteristics of the NESs is described. The absorbers are coupled to the clutch disc in order to reduce the severity of undesirable input EO oscillations. The presented study uses a reduced order linear dynamic model of an automotive drivetrain, validated in both frequency and time domains against experimental data obtained from a vehicle equipped with the same powertrain. Single and multiple NESs are coupled in parallel to the clutch disc of the drivetrain model and their effect on the reduction of broad band torsional oscillations is analysed. Through the use of FEP, a range of feasible NES parameters is selected with the objective of determining the optimal features of nonlinear absorber(s) for TET purposes over a suitably broad range of frequencies. The performance of the selected NES parameter combination is confirmed using time and frequency domain analyses. The synergistic action of two NES in parallel is demonstrated, showing that vibration suppression can be achieved over a wide range of frequencies. The advantage of this approach is the introduction of a relatively small increase in the overall drivetrain inertia.

The effective performance of the NES largely depends on the energy input into the system, which defines an operating threshold for the NES design. Following a successful experimental verification (as part of the future work), the implementation of NES in automotive drivetrains would have the potential of replacing some of the current palliative measures, for particularly troublesome NVH phenomena such as gear rattle and clutch in-cycle vibration, with reduced weight and package space requirements. Therefore, assessing the NES performance against other palliatives widely used in industry to reduce drivetrain oscillations is also part of the future work.
Fig. 21. Area of Effective Acceleration Amplitude Reduction of the transmission input shaft for the NES targeting lower frequencies (1st gear engaged at 25% throttle).

Fig. 22. Acceleration amplitudes of the 1.5 EO at the transmission input shaft for the NES targeting lower frequencies ($J_N = 7\%$ of transmission input shaft inertia, $k_N = 0.2 \times 10^4$ Nm/rad$^2$ and $c_N = 0.001$ Nms/rad).

Fig. 23. Angular velocity time history of the transmission input shaft with active and locked NES targeting lower frequencies ($J_N = 7\%$ of transmission input shaft inertia, $k_N = 0.2 \times 10^4$ Nm/rad$^2$ and $c_N = 0.001$ Nms/rad).
Fig. 24. Acceleration amplitude contribution at 1.5 EO at the transmission input shaft for the drivetrain model with two parallel NESs.

Fig. 25. Transmission input shaft velocity time history with the rigid body mode eliminated for a drivetrain with two parallel NESs acting (1st gear engaged at 25% throttle).

Fig. 26. FEP of the optimised parallel NES with superposition of the 1.5 EO (wavelet).
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