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Abstract

In the present study we add to the literature by exploring the degree to which UK practitioner psychologists perceive themselves able to support sport coaches, and how professional training prepares psychologists for coach work across performance domains. Ten participants comprising seven sport and exercise psychologists with Health Care Professions Council (HCPC) practitioner psychologist status and three trainee psychologists studying towards the British Psychological Society (BPS) qualification in sport and exercise psychology (QSEP) were individually interviewed. All participants reported prior experience of working with coaches across all performance domains. We explored: practitioner’s understanding of the challenges coaches face within their job; practitioner’s experiences of coach work; perspectives about the ways in which practitioners could and should support coaches; and, the degree to which professional training prepares practitioners for coach work. Using recommended procedures of Connelly and Peltzer (2016), content analysis revealed practitioners perceived the challenges faced by coaches are different at grassroots level compared to those working with elite athletes, and that practitioners require skills to provide one-to-one coach support and group-based interventions. All practitioners perceived that training programmes do not adequately equip trainees with skills required for coach work. We discuss the implications for enhancing practitioner training in the UK.
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Sport coaches are required to manage athlete and team performances whilst maintaining their own psychological well-being, and can arguably be construed as performers in their own right (Thelwell, Weston, Greenlees, & Hutchings, 2008). Recognising coach job demands and the psychological skills required of coaches to manage their demands and stressors has implications not only for coaches, but also the athletes they support (Thelwell, Wagstaff, Chapman, & Kenttä, 2017). It is also the case that athletes recognise stress within their coaches through coach behaviours, appearance and communication and report coaches as less effective when stressed (Thelwell, Wagstaff, Rayner, Chapman, & Barker, 2017).

Given the demands placed on sport coaches, it is plausible to suggest that psychologists are ideally placed to support coaches to enable them to recognise the effects of stress on their coaching effectiveness. This very point is evidenced within empirical studies where coaches have reported the use of psychologists to support their psychological preparation for Olympic competition (e.g., Gould, Greenleaf, Guinan, & Chung, 2002) and to manage other psychological challenges that include stress and emotion management (e.g., Thelwell et al, 2017). Furthermore, effective coach-psychologist relationships have been found to develop when coaches’ perceive the psychologist has sufficient knowledge to provide support, and when they are seen to fit in with the team (Sharp & Hodge, 2013). Although these findings provide initial information about how psychologists might be perceived by coaches, they do not draw together psychologist narratives about their experience of coach work, or psychologist views about the (psychological) needs of coaches and the skills required to meet these needs.
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The British Psychological Society (BPS) professional training route for Sport and Exercise Psychologists (the Qualification in Sport and Exercise Psychology grants eligibility for practitioner psychologist status with the HCPC) but does not stipulate any specific competencies required to work with sports coaches. This is a pertinent concern given that coaches are dignitaries with athletes and teams across all sport environments, from grassroots level to elite standard environments, and psychologists are likely to be required to work with coaches within applied practice. Thus, it is feasible to speculate that practitioner psychologists do not acquire the skills to carry out psychological work with coaches through learning about evidence-based approaches, but rather through chance experiences. In fact, although the QSEP is positioned to be a professional development process, one might question the degree to which it fully enables a training process consistent with Miller’s (1990) ‘gold standard’ pyramid of clinical competence, e.g., where trainees progress through stages of having knowledge, to knowing how to apply that knowledge, through to being able to competently apply knowledge into practice to develop professional authenticity. This contradicts the notion of sport psychology being an evidence-based discipline that is guided by scientific knowledge gained through research, theory and practice to influence psychological change.

Therefore, exploring psychologist perspectives about the training requirements to how practitioners could and should develop skills to deliver support to coaches is worthy of exploration.

The overall purpose of this study was to make a substantive contribution to the literature about the skill requirements of practitioner psychologists for coach work. To fulfil this purpose, we proposed four aims. First, to explore practitioners understanding of the challenges sport coaches face within the coach role. Second, to gain practitioner reports about type of support they provide, or have provided, to sport coaches. Third, to understand practitioner perspectives about ways in which psychologists could and
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should support sport coaches. Finally, to ascertain views about how current professional
training programmes equip practitioners with knowledge and skills for coach work.

Method

We used a qualitative design using semi structured interview methods. A purposive
sample comprising 10 practitioners (seven qualified, three trainees) were recruited over
a period of three months. Participants filled inclusion criteria in that they reported
themselves to be either registered HCPC practitioner psychologists (i.e., legally able to
label themselves as a Practitioner Psychologist), or as Trainee Sport and Exercise
Psychologists on the BPS QSEP (that confers membership of the Division of Sport and
Exercise Psychology within the BPS, and registration to the HCPC).

Seven males and three female participants were interviewed, with an age range
spanning 26 to 56 years ($M_{age} = 38.8, SD = 9.33$). All participants reported having
prior applied experience of work with coaches across all performance domains.

Participants applied experience of working with sport coaches ranged from 2.5 years to
27 years ($M_{years} = 12.6, SD = 9.45$). All participants rated their experience of working
with coaches on a scale of 1-10 (where 10 indicated highly experienced and 1 no
experience at all). Participant ratings on this scale ranged from 4 – 9 ($M_{experience} =
6.8, SD = 1.4$).

****Table 1 near here*****

Interview schedule

The development of the interview schedule followed a review of research which
examined athletes’ perceptions of coach stress in elite sport environments (Thelwell et
al., 2016) and also drew on evidence around effective coach-psychologist relationships
(Sharp & Hodge, 2013). The schedule was piloted with a practitioner psychologist prior
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to data collection. Feedback recommended that the schedule might benefit from
considering participants experiences of working as a coach prior to their psychology
career. No other amendments were suggested. A final interview schedule comprising
four sections was used: (1) practitioner understanding of the challenges of the sport
coach role, (2) understanding how practitioners have gained entry to work with sports
coaches and what type of work was completed, (3) understanding how a practitioner
perceives they should and could support a coach across the varying levels and finally,
(4) current professional training opportunities for work with coaches.

**Data collection**

Having received university ethical approval, participants were approached by
e-mail. Each participant was provided with an information sheet and given time to
consider study participation. Participants were reassured they could withdraw from the
study up until interview transcription. Interviews were carried out by the lead
researcher, an active listener who encouraged participants to tell their stories in their
own words. The lead researcher was a Clinical Psychologist trained to use qualitative
methods through doctorate level training.

Participants were offered face to face, Skype or telephone interviews. This
resulted in nine interviews being carried out by Skype and one by telephone. Individual
interview times ranged from 41 minutes to 68 minutes ($M_{time} = 51.47, SD = 7.83$),
yielding a total of 131 pages of text. All interviews were transcribed verbatim by the
lead researcher. Participants were guided through an identical set of questions to ensure
structure to each interview. Prompts and probes were employed (e.g., “what was it
about [the issue] that led to...?” and elaboration, “could you elaborate on [the issue]
please?”) to ensure all issues were investigated in depth.
Once the interview had been transcribed they were returned to the participant to check for accuracy. Having agreed the transcripts’ accuracy, the data were then analysed.

**Data analysis**

The data were analysed using the recommendations of Connelly and Peltzer (2016). To achieve this, the first and second researchers read and reread interview transcripts prior to content analysing each transcript. Words, phrases and quotes arising from transcripts were then independently identified and coded. A third researcher then reviewed the data collated and verified phrases, quotes and data themes. Following agreement between the three researchers, raw-data themes were then organized into groups of common themes (higher order themes), which resulted in the emergence of general dimensions, where above this, no further meaning could be identified.

The content analysis adopted an inductive stance; however comparisons with themes from previous research and existing labels from the literature were considered when similarities arose. In the latter stages of the data analysis themes were deductively placed into *post hoc* categories, based on emerging themes. Having used both inductive and deductive analyses to interpret the data into higher order themes and general dimensions (Biddle, Markland, Gilbourne, Chatzisarantis, & Sparkes, 2001), the final phase of analysis involved gaining triangular consensus between the lead, second, and third researcher along with an additional researcher who acted as a “critical friend” (Faulkner & Biddle, 2002). The additional researcher was not involved with the data collection or analysis and was required to confirm, or otherwise, the placement of raw data themes into general dimensions.

**Enhancing the trustworthiness of the analysis**
Using guidelines relating to qualitative methods recommended by Sparkes and Smith (2009) and Tracy (2010), checks were made to ensure eight criteria (worthy topic, rich rigour, sincerity, credibility, resonance, significant contribution, ethics and meaningful coherence) were met. Exploring psychologist perspectives about skill requirements for coach work was perceived to be a worthy topic. With regards rich rigour, all participants were asked to confirm the content of their interview script prior to data analysis, encouraged to add additional material, and provided with verbal and written debrief. Sincerity was observed via the critical friend who confirmed accuracy in the tracking of the data to interview transcripts, and ensured agreement between three researchers about lower order and higher order themes. This helped maximise the trustworthiness of the analysis process. To ensure credibility, we ensured that emergent themes were logically traced back to participant quotations. Furthermore, we highlighted direct quotations to support findings, which we argue demonstrated resonance in that it allowed for visual representations of participants experiences. In terms of contributing to the literature, we argue the study has theoretical (e.g., conceptual understanding), heuristic (e.g., stimulation of curiosity and further investigation) and practical (e.g., professional training programmes and applied practice) implications that develop this area of study. Institutional ethical clearance was obtained. Situational (e.g., the keeping of a reflexive diary by the lead researcher to capture the analysis process and reflect on data worth exposing), relational (e.g., reflection on researcher actions and potential consequences of data analysis) and exiting (e.g., avoiding unjust or unintended consequences of findings presented) obligations were also adhered to. Finally, in terms of meaningful adherence, the study used methods consistent with and comparable to earlier studies of coach stress in elite sport environments and studies of coach-psychologist relationships.
Results

Results derived from content analysis represented the collated narratives of all 10 participants. Raw data themes were categorised into lower and then higher order themes before being organised according to the four study aims: understanding of the challenges coaches face within their coach role; experience of coach work; views about ways that psychologists could and should support coaches across varying levels and, fourthly, views about the degree to which professional training programmes equip practitioners with knowledge and skills for coach work. We present data in Figures 1-4 and through the following narrative.

Practitioner understanding of the challenges faced by sports coaches

Practitioners’ understanding of the challenges faced by sports coaches is shown in Figure 1.

A total of 40 raw data themes were inductively placed into nine lower order themes and 5 higher-order themes. The roles of a sport coach working at a grassroots level were viewed most commonly in terms of nurturing athletes psychosocial development, namely to ensure “enjoyment and fun”, “to keep athletes participating”, and “to build up (athlete) self-esteem”. In contrast, coach roles with elite athletes centred on “performance outcomes”, with maintaining a “performance focus”, and “results” being most commonly cited. One participant described, “Performance coach roles are performance based, so their roles are much more focused on competitive preparation”. This finding suggests that practitioners believe the sport coach role varies across the varying levels of performance.
Further to roles being perceived differently according to level of performance environment, raw data themes emerged about the different challenges faced by coaches across the varying levels. With regards elite level coaches, challenges associated with performance stressors, namely athlete performance and ensuring results were most commonly cited, followed by organisation stressors relating to leadership and management. In contrast, at the grassroots level, performance stressors around meeting athletes’ developmental and psychosocial needs were most common. This data indicates practitioners perceive that coaches working in elite environments face challenges centred on athlete performance outcomes and organisation stressors around leadership, whereas the challenges for grassroots level coaches’ centre on ensuring athletes keep participating in the sport.

Practitioner experiences of coach work

The full range of participant reports regarding support provided to sport coaches irrespective of performance domain is summarised in Figure 2.

21 raw data themes were inductively placed into five lower order themes and two higher-order themes. Providing support to the coach about creating conducive training and competition environments was most commonly cited, for example in supporting the coach to create an “enjoyable player environment”, and in creating “team mindset”. This point is highlighted in the following extract:

I’ll probably be around the training environment, to try and get a feel for that, to help coaches in their day to day practices. I may look at the coaches in the lead up to a competition and look at (coach) mindset, preparation, how they deal with
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athletes, how they deliver messages, how they reflect and react to various
stimuli within the performance as well.

Further to the above, providing support to coaches around their well-being also emerged
as a theme. The following excerpt details a participant’s experience of supporting an
elite coach with their well-being:

I’ve done work with one coach particularly, a lot of work on stuff that’s being
going on in his private life he’s been struggling to deal with which we’ve done
work around because he doesn’t wanna, obviously kind of let it affect his
coaching and perhaps the players, so that’s been a key role really.

In terms of other formal support provided specifically to coaches, the
psychologist as a facilitator of effective coach-parent relationships emerged, as
evidenced in the following narrative:

Parent workshops bridge a gap. We need to get the parents to reinforce coach
messages, and I can do that by facilitating communication of coach goals to
parents. My experience is that they (parents) don’t understand why coaches do
certain things.

As well as formal support, providing informal support to coaches also presented
as a higher order theme which encompassed giving “general advice,” to coach about
coaching ideas and providing informal check-ins about general coaching demands. This
finding suggests practitioners perceive providing psychological support to coaches
encompasses both formal and informal work, the effectiveness of which depends on
working relationships between coach, psychologist and parents.

Practitioner views about how they can be of benefit to sport coaches
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Data collated about the various ways participants perceived they should and could potentially support sport coaches is summarised in Figure 3.

A total of 20 raw data themes were inductively placed into six lower order themes and three higher order themes. The most commonly reported way that psychologists perceived they should and could provide psychological support to elite coaches was through “confidential support”. The following quotation highlights one practitioner’s perspective:

by being a skilled sounding board, or using some kind of counselling approach, where there’s intense focused dialogue relating to performance and wellbeing, managing the board and staff, and doing that to help the coach deal with the challenges that they’ve got as a coach, as a performer. That’s huge.

At grassroots level, provision of education and training was most commonly cited, specifically psychologists providing education around psychological skills (for example, goal setting, mental skills, concentration), and psychosocial skills (for example, self-esteem, motivation, enjoyment). The following quotation highlights one practitioner’s perspective: “I can definitely see a massive benefit in (psychology) delivering workshops at grassroots level on key things like motivation, enjoyment, achievement goals, those kind of things”.

A further role centred on helping a coach both understand players and communicate effectively with players was also reported. One participant said, “Psychologists should be helping them (coaches) to understand players, helping them to understand certain personality types so that they can better manage them”.
To complete the range of roles that practitioners could or should involve themselves in terms of coach work, a higher order theme of “team culture/environment”, was identified, specifically working with coaches to create conducive training environments. With specific reference to coaches working at the elite level, participants also reported providing support to coaches around competition preparation, as well as training practices. For example, developing team cohesion in the lead up to a competition, ensuring athletes have clearly defined roles/responsibilities, and in ensuring coaches are equipped with skills to cope in pressured competitive situations.

Degree to which professional training programmes equip practitioners with knowledge and skills for coach work

The full range of responses regarding the degree to which professional training prepares for coach work is illustrated in Figure 4.

****Figure 4 near here****

A total of 23 raw data themes were inductively placed into seven lower order themes and three higher order themes, namely “preparation positive”, “preparation negative”, and “improvements for training programmes”. From both qualified and trainee perspectives, a lower order theme of “CPD”, namely supervision, workshops and conferences were most commonly cited within the “preparation positive” dimension. With regards to “preparation negative”, lower order themes relating to “applied experience”, during practitioner training were most commonly reported. One qualified practitioner discussed her experience: “It didn’t. I didn’t have any contact with coaches during my training”. In terms of exposure through supervision, she said: “He (supervisor) didn’t expose me to any coaches because that’s not what he did”. These
findings suggest supervision is perceived as important, but that opportunity to gain applied supervised experience of coach work is limited. This is perhaps unsurprising given that there is nothing explicit within the current accreditation process to how practitioners should, or could, work with sports coaches.

In terms of how professional training might better support coach work, a need for training programmes to make coach work mandatory within the accreditation process was most commonly reported, and to ensure that supervisors encourage coach work. This was highlighted in one participant’s narrative:

I think the supervisor has a huge role to play here and the supervisor needs to guide the stage two candidate towards developing that knowledge set and the skill set to engage and work with and through coaches and I don’t think that’s a priority in the documentation, but I think it can become a priority within the supervisory process.

Further to this, comments about getting coaches actively involved with training courses were raised. One qualified practitioner commented, “I think they (training courses) should run workshops where they get coaches in and get coaches running a workshop in terms of I’m in a head coach position and this is what I’d like to see from a psychologist”. These findings suggest practitioners perceive coaches should be empowered to actively contribute to professional training curriculums.

**Discussion**

In this study we explored the degree to which practitioner psychologists perceive themselves able to support sport coaches and the skill requirements of practitioners for working with coaches. To this end, data provide valuable progression in the literature. We have systematically examined practitioner views about the challenges coaches face
within their job and identified ways in which practitioners can potentially support coaches. Furthermore, we have identified how current practitioner psychologist training courses should equip practitioners with the skills required for working with coaches (with particular reference to the UK).

In this study we found that practitioners view the sport coach role, and challenges faced within that role, are different for coaches working at a grassroots level compared to coaches working within an elite environment, e.g., meeting athletes psychosocial needs at grassroot level, in contrast to ensuring performance and results at elite level. Practitioners also perceived they could benefit coaches in different ways across the varying levels through providing group based education to coaches centred on psychological skills training at the grassroots level, to one to one work that draws on counselling based approaches at the elite level. This finding also supports earlier studies that have suggested providing confidential support to coaches on a one-to-one basis within elite environments is integral to the psychologists’ role (Eubank, Nesti, & Cruickshank, 2014). Our present study also extends on earlier findings by providing evidence as to how practitioners perceive they can provide support to coaches operating at the grassroots level too. This has ramifications in terms of how professional training courses equip practitioners with sufficient skill-sets to work with the complexity of coach roles that differ across performance domains.

With regards to current psychological support provision to coaches, our data highlights that practitioners often provide informal advice to coaches around general coaching demands, and that within this, practitioners provide advice on managing personal issues effecting their coaching ability. This finding is consistent with previous research which has suggested psychologists should be trained to apply principles derived from counselling psychology domains, to encourage coaches to understand and
manage thoughts, feelings and behaviours impacting on their coaching ability (Giges et al., 2004; McCarthy & Giges, 2017). Our data also indicates that professional training programmes may benefit from exposing trainees to a broader range of psychological theories and concepts that go beyond sport psychology (e.g., learning about counselling and clinical psychology models), to equip trainees for coach work.

Regarding the degree to which professional training prepares psychologists to work with coaches, findings were consistent with Sharp and Hodge (2011) who also found supervision to be critical for sport psychologists, and also with evidence that psychologists view self-reflection as a crucial means of helping them to understand their self as a person and practitioner (Pack, Hemmings, & Arvinen-Barrow, 2014).

The finding that opportunities to gain applied supervised experience and observe psychological work with coaches are limited is perhaps unsurprising given that there is nothing explicit within the current accreditation process to how practitioners should, or could, work with sports coaches. The implication for professional training programmes is thus a need to bridge the gap between theory and practice, where supervisors expose trainees to applied environments and provide opportunities to both observe and be observed carrying out psychological work with coaches.

With regards to improving current professional training programmes, practitioners reported coaches should be empowered to voice their expectations of psychological support through training curriculums. This has implications in terms of how such empowerment might be facilitated, for example, through involving coaches in the interviewing of candidates as part of the recruitment process onto professional training courses. This could benefit from exploration in future work.
Despite our attempts to explore a worthwhile area within applied sport psychology a number of limitations exist. First, the retrospective design of the interview design involved collecting data from fully qualified practitioners about their experience of professional training several years ago. Thus, the preciseness in recall of events and potential for memory bias must be considered. Second, practitioner experiences of working with coaches ranged from providing psychological support within an individual sport, team sports and within academia. Indeed, our design allowed for broad range of experiences to be captured. However, if the sample had been bigger, it may have been worth segregating results even further to highlight different perspectives.

A priority for future researchers is to explore coach perspectives about psychological support. For example, coach reasons for accessing or not accessing psychological support and coach views on how psychological support might best be offered are appropriate avenues for researchers. Such research would allow for a comparison of results generated from this study and further the development of evidence-based psychological interventions that meet the needs of coaches.

In conclusion, we have revealed that practitioner psychologists perceive sport coaches working across all levels of performance are exposed to varying performance and organisational related demands and stressors that may benefit from psychological support. However, practitioners perceive that the demands and stressors placed on coaches vary according to the level of performance environment a coach operates within, and as such different skill sets are required of psychologists. All practitioners perceived that professional training programmes do not adequately equip trainee practitioners with the skills required to do such work, and that the training of psychologists with reference to coach demands is limited at best. Furthermore, findings have implications for current UK professional training programmes for psychologists,
namely that more proactive work needs to be done that focuses on equipping trainees with skills to work with coaches across the varying levels. Our data suggest that this could be addressed through better-defined training course curriculums that make reference to coach work, supervised opportunities for trainees to experience coach work, and through actively involving sports coaches in professional training curriculums.
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Table captions

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the 10 participants

Figure captions

Figure 1. Practitioner understanding of the challenges faced by a sports coach (numbers in parentheses illustrate the number of participants citing the source when >1)

Figure 2. Gaining of entry to work with sport coaches and support provided (numbers in parentheses illustrate the number of participants citing the source when >1)

Figure 3. Practitioner views about ways of supporting sport coaches across varying levels (numbers in parentheses illustrate the number of participants citing the source when >1)

Figure 4. Degree to which practitioners perceive that professional training prepares psychologists to work with sports coaches (numbers in parentheses illustrate the number of participants citing the source when >1)