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Leaf-litter stoichiometry is affected by streamwater phosphorus concentrations and litter type
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Abstract. The stoichiometric ratios of organisms and their food resources can influence C and nutrient dynamics in aquatic ecosystems. Several investigators have quantified linkages between nutrient enrichment and consumer stoichiometry for stream detritivores, but very few have systematically quantified the effect of P enrichment on leaf-litter stoichiometry. Here, we examine the potential stoichiometric changes of 2 species of leaf litter subjected to varying levels of P enrichment in laboratory microcosms and mixed species across a natural P gradient of streams in the Ozark Highlands Region, Arkansas, USA. Leaf-litter %P content increased and C:P ratios decreased with increasing levels of P enrichment and with increasing lability of the leaf species. In the laboratory study, C:P of maple and oak leaves in the control treatment was ~2500, whereas this ratio decreased to 500 and 1000 in the high-P treatments, respectively. Total P (TP) was inversely related to leaf-litter C:P along the natural P gradient of streams in the Ozarks. Our results add to the growing body of information on the potential bottom-up effects of anthropogenic nutrient loading in streams and the influence of water-column nutrients and leaf quality on this response.
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Anthropogenic activities can greatly alter biogeochemical processes involving C, N, and P. Rising human populations and increasing agricultural and urban land expansion and intensity result in excess nutrient loading in many ecosystems (Carpenter et al. 1998, Alexander et al. 2008, Jarvie et al. 2010). Nutrient enrichment of lentic ecosystems has been studied extensively and can result in increased toxic algal blooms, decreased O2 concentrations, increased turbidity, and declines in species diversity, among other effects (Smith 2003, Dodds et al. 2009). Effects on lotic ecosystems are less well understood (Smith et al. 1999, Dodds 2006, 2007). A growing body of research demonstrates that nutrient enrichment produces responses from leaf-litter-associated heterotrophic microbial assemblages (Greenwood and Rosemond 2005, Dodds 2007, Hill et al. 2011), but more attention to quantitative changes in litter quality is needed.

Nutrient enrichment of heterotrophic systems can increase decomposition and decrease C standing stock (Suberkropp et al. 2010). Low-order streams often are detritus-based systems where the vast majority of available energy comes from allochthonous organic matter (Fisher and Likens 1973, Vannote et al. 1980). Leaf litter typically has very poor initial quality (e.g., high C, low N and P) because of its complex C structure and presenescent resorption of nutrients (Aerts 1996, Kobe et al. 2005). Microorganisms rapidly colonize leaf surfaces upon submersion of leaves in streams (Suberkropp and Klug 1974). Microbes, especially fungi, perform an essential ecosystem service in streams by transforming leaf litter into a more palatable resource for detritivores (Kaushik and Hynes 1971, Romani et al. 2006, Gessner...
Aquatic fungi are capable of producing the extracellular enzymes required to degrade recalcitrant leaf polymers like lignin and, therefore, dominate early successional stages of leaf-litter colonization (Suberkropp and Klug 1976, Gessner and Chauvet 1994, Romani et al. 2006). Fungi and bacteria obtain C and nutrients from the leaves directly and are capable of obtaining N and P from the water column (Suberkropp and Chauvet 1995, Suberkropp 1998, Findlay 2010). Therefore, heterotrophic decomposers can strongly influence water-column nutrient concentrations and biogeochemical processes.

Differences in leaf-litter decomposition rates have been reported across natural nutrient gradients (Suberkropp and Chauvet 1995, Rosemond et al. 2002), paired whole-stream-enrichment experiments (Elwood 1981, Gulis and Suberkropp 2003, Greenwood et al. 2007), nutrient-diffusing substrate experiments (Robinson and Gessner 2000), and in microecosystem flow-through studies (Howarth and Fisher 1976). Changes in leaf-litter decomposition rates often are attributed to enhanced heterotrophic activity in response to nutrient enrichment. Increases in N and P availability can stimulate microbial biomass (Rosemond et al. 2002, Benstead et al. 2005, Suberkropp et al. 2010) and respiration rates (Elwood et al. 1981, Stelzer et al. 2003, Suberkropp et al. 2010) associated with leaf litter. However, relatively few investigators have demonstrated how increased nutrient availability might affect leaf-litter quality (defined by nutrient content and stoichiometric ratios). Elwood et al. (1981) enriched an oligotrophic stream (background soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) and dissolved inorganic N (DIN) were 4 and 35 μg/L, respectively) with either 60 or 450 μg/L SRP and measured an 83% increase in P content of red oak (Quercus rubra) leaves in the enriched reaches compared to the control. Cross et al. (2003) and Small and Pringle (2010) reported increases in %P and subsequent decreases in C:P of leaf litter in streams with greater N or P availability, but more data are needed to understand the magnitude of change caused by nutrient enrichment in diverse stream ecosystems. Understanding the quantitative link between water-column P availability and leaf-litter stoichiometry is important for predicting ecosystem changes caused by anthropogenic nutrient enrichment of streams.

P enrichment can alter leaf-litter P content and C:P, leading to decreased resource–consumer imbalance (Cross et al. 2003). This difference in elemental composition between resource and consumer can limit consumer productivity and provides important selection pressure to promote species diversity in streams (Dodds et al. 2009, Evans-White et al. 2009). Some investigators have explored the effects of variable water-column nutrient availability on leaf-litter-associated microbial biomass and decomposition (Gulis and Suberkropp 2003, Baldy et al. 2007) and of detrital stoichiometry on the trophic response of invertebrates (Hladyz et al. 2009, Small et al. 2011), but broad assumptions often are made concerning the chemical alterations in the basal food resources. Specifically, many investigators have qualitatively described an increase in litter P content inferred from greater microbial biomass, but few have explicitly quantified changes in litter stoichiometry (Abelho and Graça 2006, Webster et al. 2009, Cheever et al. 2012). Moreover, much experimental manipulation has been done with N or N+P, but very few studies have been focused on P explicitly. This focus is an important avenue for research because P limitation is common in forested streams (Elwood et al. 1981, Chadwick and Huryn 2005, Ardón and Pringle 2007).

The objective of our study was to explore the effect of P enrichment on leaf-litter stoichiometry with data collected in the laboratory and the field. Our goals were to: 1) quantify the effect of P exposure time and concentration on leaf-litter chemistry and 2) explore response differences between post oak (Quercus stellata Wangenh.) and sugar maple (Acer saccharum Marsh.). These species are common in many deciduous forests throughout the USA and are among the dominant species in our study region. Post oak and sugar maple have inherently different chemical properties (specifically in structural C compounds). Leaf litter is primarily a pulsed organic C input and time-series changes to quality might variably affect different shredder taxa. We predicted that leaf C:P would decrease through time after leaves enter stream water and with increasing levels of P enrichment for both leaf types. Given similar initial N and P content in maple and oak leaves but greater lignin content in oak, we predicted that P enrichment would elicit a greater response from microorganisms on labile maple leaves than on the more recalcitrant oak leaves because microorganisms on oak leaves would be more constrained by C availability. We also tested for a correlation between C:P of mixed leaf species and streamwater TP along a natural P gradient in streams. We predicted that C:P of mixed leaf species would decrease with increasing water-column P availability across a range of natural stream P. We tested these predictions by manipulating P in a laboratory experiment and with a field survey of streams in the Ozark Highlands Region, Arkansas, USA.
Methods

Laboratory experiment

We used a laboratory microcosm experiment with a factorial design to examine the effect of leaf-litter species (sugar maple and post oak) and increased soluble reactive P (SRP) concentration (additions of 0, 50, or 500 μg SRP/L) on litter stoichiometry. We used 3 replicate microcosms for each P treatment and incubated both leaf types, in different leaf bags, in each microcosm. We sampled over time (day 0, 5, 8, 13, 20, 28, 36, 43, 59, 72, 95, 115, and 139) for litter C and P content.

We collected maple and oak leaves in the southwestern Ozark Highlands shortly after abscission in November 2010, dried them at ambient temperatures for 2 wk, cut them into 13.5-mm-diameter leaf disks with major veins avoided, and stored them at 40 °C for 2 wk until the start of the experiment. We put ~40 disks of the same species in each of nine 10-mm mesh bags.

We filled 1-L microcosms with 750 mL of unfiltered stream water from Jones Creek, a 3rd-order stream near Winfrey, Arkansas, that has low concentrations of SRP (<6 μg/L) and moderate concentrations of NO₃⁻ (NO₃-N = 345 ± 32 μg/L). Therefore, with increasing P enrichment, ambient molar N:P was 130, 14, and 1.5 for the control, low-P, and high-P treatments, respectively. In streams polluted with P, particulate P can contribute a large proportion of the available P pool, but streams receiving large volumes of treated wastewater effluent can have very elevated SRP. Therefore, we used a high SRP enrichment (500 μg/L) to simulate highly enriched P conditions. We placed 2 leaf bags, one for each leaf species, in each microcosm. We aerated all microcosms and flushed them on each sampling day with fresh, each microcosm. We sampled over time (day 0, 5, 8, 13, 20, 28, 36, 43, 59, 72, 95, 115, and 139) for litter C and P content.

We collected 2 composite water samples, 1 filtered (glass-fiber filter, 1-μm mesh) and 1 unfiltered, from the thalweg of a well mixed region of each stream before sampling detritus. We kept samples on ice, returned them to the laboratory, and froze them until analysis. We analyzed filtered water samples for NO₃⁻ N with the Cd-reduction method and NH₄-N with the sodium hypochlorite method on a Lachat QuikChem 8500 using the QuickChem method 10-107-04-1-B and C (Lachat Instruments, Hach Company, Loveland, Colorado). We analyzed TP in unfiltered samples with the ascorbic acid method on a Genesys 10vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Delft, The Netherlands) following persulfate digestion (APHA 2007). We analyzed TP because it is a better predictor than soluble reactive P of nutrient supply to organisms in noneutrophic systems (Dodds 2003).

We collected leaves with kick nets in riffles and pools of each stream without regard to taxon. The sampling area for each kick was 0.2 m² and we collected 10 kicks throughout each site (from along the stream edge, thalweg, and top and bottom of the reach). We rinsed the leaves with stream water, placed them in paper sacks and kept them in a cooler on ice until we reached the laboratory where we dried them immediately (<50 °C, 24–48 h). We ground dried leaf-litter samples to a fine powder in a Wig-L-Bug™ grinder (Crescent 3110B; Rinn, Elgin, Illinois) and analyzed subsamples for litter C as described above. We measured litter P content by combusting the material at 550 °C and incubating the material in 1 N hydrochloric acid for 30 min at 85 °C (Rosemond et al. 1993). Following digestion, we diluted samples to 100 mL and processed them with the ascorbic acid method (APHA 2007).

Natural P gradient of streams

We collected water and leaf-litter samples between 20 March and 11 April 2009 (n = 6) and 2010 (n = 8) from low-order headwater streams in the Ozark Highlands region of northwestern Arkansas. Land use in these watersheds was predominantly forest (34–92%, mean = 71%) and pasture (4–52%, mean = 20%). Mean stream width and depth were 7.5 m (2.0–23.3 m) and 0.26 m (0.04–1.50 m), respectively. Stream substrata were primarily gravel, and streams had riffle–pool channel morphology (Brussock et al. 1985). Preliminary data suggested that these streams represented a gradient in total P (TP) concentration.

We collected 2 composite water samples, 1 filtered (glass-fiber filter, 1-μm mesh) and 1 unfiltered, from the thalweg of a well mixed region of each stream before sampling detritus. We kept samples on ice, returned them to the laboratory, and froze them until analysis. We analyzed filtered water samples for NO₃⁻ N with the Cd-reduction method and NH₄-N with the sodium hypochlorite method on a Lachat QuikChem 8500 using the QuickChem method 10-107-04-1-B and C (Lachat Instruments, Hach Company, Loveland, Colorado). We analyzed TP in unfiltered samples with the ascorbic acid method on a Genesys 10vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Delft, The Netherlands) following persulfate digestion (APHA 2007). We analyzed TP because it is a better predictor than soluble reactive P of nutrient supply to organisms in noneutrophic systems (Dodds 2003).

We collected leaves with kick nets in riffles and pools of each stream without regard to taxon. The sampling area for each kick was 0.2 m² and we collected 10 kicks throughout each site (from along the stream edge, thalweg, and top and bottom of the reach). We rinsed the leaves with stream water, placed them in paper sacks and kept them in a cooler on ice until we reached the laboratory where we dried them immediately (<50 °C, 24–48 h). We ground dried leaf-litter samples to a fine powder in a Wig-L-Bug™ grinder (Crescent 3110B; Rinn, Elgin, Illinois) and analyzed subsamples for litter C as described above. We measured litter P content by combusting the material at 550 °C and incubating the material in 1 N hydrochloric acid for 30 min at 85 °C (Rosemond et al. 1993). Following digestion, we diluted samples to 100 mL and processed them with the ascorbic acid method (APHA 2007).

Statistical analyses

We used Michaelis–Menten kinetic models (Sigma-Plot version 12.0; Systat Software, San Jose, California) to evaluate the stoichiometric saturation of P in litter.
(C:P$_{\text{sat}}$) and the time required to elicit such a response in the enrichment experiment. This approach allowed us to estimate the saturating P:C (inverted for positive response with time) ratio and the amount of time required to reach saturation. C:P in the control treatments for both maple and oak did not change through time, so we used C:P values from all sampling days to calculate respective means. We used 1-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to quantify how C:P saturation and time-to-saturation data were influenced by leaf species and enrichment concentration. We analyzed leaf N:P and %C data with a 1-way ANOVA of all data collected after day 8 (before day 8, chemistry within a treatment was too variable and N:P did not significantly change through time). We used PROC GLM in SAS (version 9.1; SAS institute, Cary, North Carolina) to conduct the ANOVAs and the REGWQ multiple range analysis to test for differences among individual treatments when the omnibus $F$ test was significant at $\alpha = 0.05$. We used linear regression analysis (SigmaPlot 12.0) to analyze the relationship between streamwater TP and leaf-litter C:P across the natural P gradient of streams.

Results

Laboratory experiment

Leaf-litter %P increased through time in the low- and high-P treatments, and differed across P treatments, from 0.05 to 0.25 and 0.05 to 0.15 for maple and oak, respectively (Fig. 1A, B). This increase led to a subsequent decrease in leaf C:P from 2000 to 500 and from 2500 to 1000 for maple and oak, respectively (Fig. 2A, B). C:P$_{\text{sat}}$ differed significantly across P-enrichment levels and leaf species (Table 1). For all but the control treatments, maple-leaf C:P$_{\text{sat}}$ decreased significantly more than oak-leaf C:P$_{\text{sat}}$ for a given P concentration (Table 1). Maple-leaf C:P$_{\text{sat}}$ decreased to ~490 and ~300 for low-P and high-P treatments, respectively, and these values were not significantly different. Oak-leaf C:P$_{\text{sat}}$ decreased to ~1450 and ~790 for low-P and high-P treatments, respectively, and these values did differ significantly. High-P enrichment of oak leaves elicited a response similar to low-P enrichment of maple leaves. In the control treatments, C:P of maple and oak leaves were similar (C:P $< 2550$) and did not change through time. Maple-leaf chemistry saturated later in time than did oak-leaf chemistry (~135 and 30 d, respectively). P concentration did not affect time to saturation for either species (Table 1). The change in litter C:P was primarily a result of change in %P (Fig. 1A, B) rather than in %C. Percent C ranged from 42 to 51% and increased slightly through time for maple leaves
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**Fig. 1.** Mean ($\pm$1 SD) %P of maple (A) and oak (B) leaf litter through time in the laboratory experiment.

Mean leaf-litter N:P ranged from ~25 to ~70 and was significantly related to leaf species and P treatment, following an almost identical statistical pattern to C:P (Table 1). Leaf-litter %N and C:N did not differ across treatments.

Natural P gradient of streams and combined data

TP concentrations ranged from 8.0 to 62.3 $\mu$g/L (mean = 26.4 $\mu$g/L) across the natural stream P gradient, and leaf-litter C:P ranged from 3104 to 989 (mean = 2096) across this gradient. Streamwater TP and leaf-litter C:P (Fig. 3) were negatively linearly related in 2009 ($p < 0.01$, $r^2 = 0.90$, $n = 6$), 2010 ($p = 0.03$, $r^2 = 0.58$, $n = 8$), and when all data were combined ($p < 0.005$, $r^2 = 0.59$; Fig. 3). Mixed leaf-litter %C was similar across sites and ranged from 42 to 47%, %N ranged from 1.0 to 1.7%, %P ranged from 0.05 to 0.11%, C:N ranged from 30 to 54, and N:P ranged from 34 to 74. When the leaf C:P field data were plotted with the C:P saturation values from the experiment, both data sets aligned along P concentrations.
between 10 and 100 μg/L (Fig. 4). The slope of the leaf C:P vs P line was -27.4 for the combined data set (Fig. 4), which was similar to the slope observed in the field data (Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

Our objective was to quantify the effect of P enrichment on stream leaf-litter stoichiometry. In addition to the simple quantitative link between stream P and litter stoichiometry, we were specifically interested in whether P enrichment would differentially affect litter stoichiometry depending on leaf species, and in whether the timing of litter C:P saturation would vary with leaf type and P-enrichment level. Our results showed that P enrichment affects C:P saturation of litter differently depending on litter type and that the timing of C:P saturation also varies with litter type. Furthermore, the response of litter C:P to an experimental P gradient was similar to the correlation between mixed-leaf C:P and streamwater TP across a natural P gradient in streams.

**FIG. 2.** Mean (±1 SD) C:P molar ratio of maple (A) and oak (B) leaf litter through time in the laboratory experiment.

**TABLE 1.** Mean (± SD) N:P, the saturation C:P (C:P_sat), and time to saturation and results from analyses of variance comparing effect of P concentration and leaf type on leaf-litter N:P (F_5,179 = 50.4), C:P_sat (F_3,12 = 115.6, p < 0.0001), and day of C:P saturation (F_3,8 = 9.28, p < 0.01) of leaf litter based on the Michaelis–Menten saturation models. Treatments or leaf types with the same letters are not statistically different. R^2 values indicate the strength of the Michaelis–Menten model when all replicates for each treatment were combined. An asterisk (*) denotes treatments that could not be modeled with a saturation curve. C:P values are means across all sampling days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>P addition (μg/L)</th>
<th>N:P</th>
<th>C:P_sat</th>
<th>Day of C:P_sat</th>
<th>Adj. R^2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maple</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63 ± 22</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>2573 ± 271</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low P</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>31 ± 8</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>489 ± 57</td>
<td>CD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High P</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>24 ± 9</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>298 ± 54</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68 ± 19</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>2542 ± 237</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low P</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>44 ± 6</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1453 ± 95</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High P</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>36 ± 10</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>792 ± 116</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 3.** Scatterplot of leaf C:P molar ratio across a gradient of total P (TP). The line was estimated using linear regression. When the 2 apparent outliers are removed, the relationship becomes much stronger (r^2 = 0.92, p < 0.001).
Effect of P enrichment on leaf-litter stoichiometry

Leaf-litter %P, C:P, and N:P differed across experimental P treatments and among leaf species. Percent P increased with experimental P concentration, which led to decreases in C:P and N:P for both leaf species. Microbial activity can increase leaf-litter nutrient content as in-stream conditioning progresses (Kaushik and Hynes 1971). In undisturbed forested streams, biotic activity often is limited by N or P (Elwood et al. 1981, Hladyz et al. 2009, Hill et al. 2010). P enrichment of streams can result in increased microbial activity including enhanced production and respiration rates (Rosemond et al. 2002, Gulis and Suberkropp 2003, Greenwood et al. 2007, Suberkropp et al. 2010). Consistent with other studies, P content and consequent decreases in C:P and N:P were greater for enriched leaves than for controls, a result suggesting that microbes may have been P-limited (ambient enriched leaves than for controls, a result suggesting

One potential limitation of our experimental design was that the microcosms lacked flow that would have constantly replenished the nutrient supply. Thus, the experimental units could have experienced an extreme drawdown of ambient nutrients that may have led to more severe nutrient limitation, especially in the control and low-P treatments. We tested this hypothesis in association with an ongoing study. We found that ambient concentrations of SRP decreased...
quickly to below detection limits after beakers were flushed, but mean water-column TP concentrations were ~45 and 65 μg/L in the control and low-P treatments, respectively. This result suggests that P turnover from the water column probably was an important P source to litter, which is similar to conditions often observed in streams (Dodds 2003).

The role of C lability in detrital stoichiometry

Maple leaves responded differently to P enrichment than did oak leaves. Maple leaves responded earlier, longer, and to a greater extent to P enrichment than did oak leaves. Both maple and oak leaves had significantly different C:P sat in the low-P treatment than in their respective controls. Maple-leaf C:P sat did not differ between the low- and high-P treatments, but oak-leaf C:P sat did (Table 1). This result suggests that an SRP concentration of 50 μg/L saturated microbial P uptake on maple leaves but not on oak leaves. We also found that low-P enrichment of maple leaves yielded a litter C:P similar in magnitude to that of high-P enrichment of oak leaves. Therefore, microbially mediated litter stoichiometry depends on streamwater P concentrations and on intrinsic qualities of the leaf species.

Fungal activity and decomposition can be regulated by inherent litter quality, such as lignin content (Gessner and Chauvet 1994, Gessner et al. 2007). Ardón and Pringle (2007) experimentally enriched low-lignin (Trema integerrima) and high-lignin (Zygia longifolia) leaf species and showed that the availability of labile C can influence the stimulatory effect of P enrichment. Biofilm respiration was C-limited on high-lignin Zygia, so P enrichment did not stimulate respiration. In contrast, respiration was not C-limited on low-lignin Trema, and P enrichment did stimulate respiration. Our results indicate that the relatively labile maple leaves were more sensitive than the relatively recalcitrant oak leaves to P enrichment. Maple-leaf C:P was equal at low- and high-P concentrations, whereas oak-leaf C:P was different between enrichment levels. Other investigators have shown opposite effects. Greenwood et al. (2007) reported that N+P enrichment stimulated relatively recalcitrant rhododendron leaves more than relatively labile red maple leaves. They assumed relative lability based on variation in initial leaf C:N (high for rhododendron, low for red maple). Initial N and P content of oak and maple leaves in our study were similar, but these leaf species differ in amount and types of structural C compounds, such as lignin (Melillo et al. 1982, Hladyz et al. 2009). Absolute lignin content and lignin:P are the most important predictors and drivers of leaf-litter-associated microbial activity (Gessner and Chauvet 1994, Hladyz et al. 2009), a conclusion supported by our results.

Natural gradients and timing of litter conditioning

Streamwater TP and mixed-species leaf-litter C:P were negatively correlated across a natural P gradient in Ozark streams. The mean leaf C:P (2087) in our field survey was similar to that of mixed leaf species in studies by Cross et al. (2003) and Evans-White et al. (2005). Our results also are consistent with those of many other studies showing an increase in %P or a decrease in C:P of leaves with increasing P availability in natural (Rosemond et al. 2002, Small and Pringle 2010) and experimentally enriched streams (Cross et al. 2003). In studies of natural P gradients in Costa Rican streams, many detritus-processing variables adhere to Michaelis–Menten P saturation kinetics at ~25 to 50 μg SRP/L (Rosemond et al. 2002, Ramírez et al. 2003, Small and Pringle 2010). We observed a linear rather than a saturating relationship between litter C:P and streamwater TP, probably because our sampling regime did not include enough stream sites with TP above the saturation concentration to confirm P saturation statistically.

We always sampled the Ozark streams in spring, ~6 mo after the autumn leaf fall. A key assumption when conducting leaf-litter enrichment experiments is the time required for microorganisms to induce chemical change in leaf litter. Ardón and Pringle (2007) reported an increase in respiration in response to P enrichment by the more labile Trema integerrima but not by the more recalcitrant Zygia longifolia leaves within a 16-d period. This time might have been too short to capture a stoichiometric change in a recalcitrant leaf species. By the end of our laboratory experiment, higher-quality maple leaves had significantly greater %P and lower C:P than the more recalcitrant oak leaves. Michaelis–Menten kinetics on litter P:C in the laboratory experiment revealed that microbial activity stabilized the stoichiometric composition of leaves after ~135 and 32 d for maple and oak leaves, respectively.

Our experiment and survey were designed to demonstrate microbially mediated immobilization of P from the water column. In some situations, leaf litter may be a net source of nutrients back into the water column (Webster et al. 2009). We acknowledge that laboratory studies may not extrapolate well to natural systems, but our results can be used to understand general trends in potential leaf-litter-associated microbial responses to varying water-column P concentrations. Further study is needed to refine our
understanding of potential mechanisms involved that may cause variation in the microbial and stoichiometric response to enrichment.

Conclusions

That microbial activity can be enhanced by increasing nutrient availability is well known, but few investigators have looked quantitatively at the resulting elemental changes of the leaf litter, particularly with detailed time-series measurements. Increasing the availability of P increased leaf-litter quality by decreasing the C:P ratio. Our use of several P concentrations in the laboratory and in the field survey may improve our understanding of possible threshold and saturating concentrations. We showed that the stoichiometric response of leaf litter depended on leaf species, level of P enrichment, and time in the stream. Furthermore, the dominant species of riparian cover may affect leaf-litter decomposition and C storage, potentially altering the trophic base of stream food webs. Understanding these quantitative links among riparian community structure, water-column P availability, and leaf-litter stoichiometry could inform management decisions for riparian zones and nutrient criteria in streams.
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