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Abstract—Due to the increasing use of renewables into the grid connected through power converters, the rotational inertia in power systems has been reducing. Consequently the frequency response requires the activation of the so-called synthetic inertia control. The synthetic inertia control aims to inject an extra power component when the system experiences a frequency disturbance event. In this paper, it is proposed that a distributed dynamic controllers for sharing the synthetic inertia control actions between the various active power converters in the grid for the improvement of the frequency response. It is assumed that a communication structure between the synthetic inertia controllers and the local power converters is involved in the system. The convergence of the control system is reached through a game population theory and the primary frequency control has been improved. The results are validated based on simulation of a two-area test system.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The current renewable energy targets for 2020 in the European countries have incentivized the various governments to promote the large-scale integration of non-synchronous generation and deploy several demonstrations of grid enhancement [1]. The integration of non-synchronous generation relies on the use of high power electronics converters for interconnecting and supplying different regions through advanced control capabilities.

One of the challenges of the substantially increased amounts of electricity from non-synchronous generation is the inertia reduction [2], and consequently, the resulting larger excursions experienced in the power system frequency during disturbances. In this fashion, if there is no added a supplementary control to inject an extra power into the non-synchronous generation control loops [3].

The synthetic inertia control has been formulated and developed by several authors. Authors in [4] explain different activation schemes of synthetic inertia for Full Rated Converters (FRC) in order to improve the frequency response in a test power system. A comparison of virtual inertia control parameters is presented in [5], and is applied to a multi-area power system where the frequency response is analysed. Additionally, reference [6] presents the effect of wind integration on the system frequency response on the tie-line interchanges in an interconnected system. Simultaneously, the metamorphosis of the power systems into Cyber-Physical Systems (CBS), where remote measurements and control interactions are combined, have brought forth opportunities to propose new control architectures for solving common goals in power systems [7], [8]. Multi-Agent Systems (MAS) based controllers are a mechanism for solving such control problems in a distributed manner.

MAS have been used widely in several power systems applications such as distributed automatic generation control [9], microgrids management [10], distributed Power System Stabilizers (PSS) [11], [12], distributed power injection in HVDC systems [13], distributed dispatch [14], and distributed active power control [15].

One possible MAS method, the population dynamics, which describes how agents can evolve over time [16], and it is inspired by the biological evolution affected by the differential of fitness is applied in this paper. Since generally, the wind power is distributed, and in order to maximize the power injection through the converters action under a disturbance, this paper proposes a distributed synthetic inertia control taking remote information. The strategy uses a set of agents, associated with each Grid Side Converter (GSC) interacting to maximize the total power injected and, improving the primary frequency control in a power system. The system is based on the assumption that the agents are identical and the task is shared between each other.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section II, an introduction to the method used in the paper is presented. Also, a basic introduction to MAS systems and the application of population dynamics is given. Section III covers the power system modeling including a detailed model of a wind farm and its converter current control with synthetic inertia. In Section IV a description of the population dynamics applied to the synthetic inertia control problem is given. The section
also includes the performance metrics, the cost function and the replicator dynamics equation. Section V presents the test system used and the results where the improved response of the system frequency through the application of the proposed method is shown. Finally, the conclusions and future work are given.

II. REPLICATOR DYNAMICS PRELIMINARIES

Fig 1 depicts a MAS, with two different layers. One is the topology of the power systems network, and the second one is the Network Control System (NCS) which applies the distributed control.

Fig. 1: A large-scale system controlled by a network of agents

The successful strategy \( i^{th} \) is generally adopted by most agents. These agents are a population \( x_i \) that will grow according to fitness function \( f_i \). This growth is related to the parameter \( \beta > 0 \):

\[
\dot{x}_i = \beta x_i (f_i - \bar{f})
\]  

(1)

The total population \( P \) and the average fitness \( \bar{f} \) are given by:

\[
P = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i \quad \bar{f} = \frac{1}{P} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i \cdot f_i
\]  

(2)

III. POWER SYSTEM MODELLING

A. Swing Equation

A power system can be conveniently described by a diagram consisting of a set of edges and vertices. An undirected graph \( G = (V, E) \) is used to represent an electrical network modeled by \( n_b \) buses, denoted by the set of network nodes by \( V = \{1, \ldots , n_b\} \), with a subset of \( g \) machines, \( E \) is the set of power transmission lines, and the voltages at these buses \( U_j \).

We consider a heterogeneous network with three distinct sets of nodes \( V = G \cup F \cup P \), corresponding to buses connecting synchronous generators, non-synchronous generators and loads. Synchronous generators are connected at \( n_b > g \geq 1 \) nodes \( G = \{1, \ldots , g\} \), the set of non-synchronous generators network nodes is given by \( F = \{g+1, \ldots , g+f\} \), where \( n_b > f \geq 0 \), and the set of loads are connected at \( P = \{g+f+1, \ldots , n\} \), where \( n_b > p \geq 0 \).

The dynamic of the \( i^{th} \) synchronous generator is given by [17]:

\[
\dot{\omega}_i = \frac{1}{2H_i} (P_i^m - P_i^e - D_i \omega_i), \quad i \in G
\]  

(3)

where \( \omega_i \) (in rad/s) is the rotor speed of generator \( i \), \( P_i^m \) is the mechanical power (p.u.), \( P_i^e \) is the electric power (p.u.), \( H_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \) is the inertia constant and \( D_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \) is the droop damping.

The Frequency Containment Response (FCR) is automatically enforced by speed governors, implemented at conventional generator units. This governor relates the generator output power to a reference power and the frequency deviation present in the system. This change in output power follows the governors droop.

B. Non-synchronous Generation and Synthetic Inertia

Following (3), the dynamics of the system frequency are written as:

\[
2H_i \dot{\omega}_i = P_i^m - P_i^e + P_i^{ns} - D_i \omega_i
\]  

(4)

A higher aggregate inertia helps the system to remain stable and reduces the rate of change in angular speed. The inertial enhancement control from the non-synchronous generation \( P_i^{ns} \) is:

\[
P_i^{ns} = P_i^{ord} - x_i \omega_i, \quad i \in F
\]  

(5)

where, \( x_i \) is the synthetic inertia regulator and \( P_i^{ord} \) is the ordinary power supplied by the non-synchronous generation [18]. Hence, resulting in the following dynamics of the system frequency:

\[
(2H_i + x_i) \dot{\omega}_i = P_i^m - P_i^e + P_i^{ord} - D_i \omega_i
\]  

(6)

C. Wind Farm Aggregated Model

Fig. 2 shows a simplified block diagram of the active power control of a WTG model [22].

Fig. 2: Wind Farm Structure

The mechanical power that can be extracted from the generator shaft of a wind turbine [22]:

\[
P_i^m = \frac{1}{2} \rho_i A_i v_i^3 C_i^p (\lambda_i, \mu_i), \quad i \in F
\]  

(7)

where, \( \rho_i \) is the air density, \( A_i \) is the area swept by the rotor blades, \( v_i \) is the wind speed, \( \mu_i \) denotes pitch angle, and \( C_i^p \) is a function of the pitch angle of the turbine blade. The tip speed ratio \( \lambda_i \) is defined as:
where, $u^d_k$ and $u^q_k$ are control signals of the $d$ and $q$ axes respectively.

$d$-axis and $q$-axis references are the inner controllers references for the active and the reactive power respectively. The direct and quadrature axes are coupled via coupling terms $\omega^d_k L^d_k i^d_k$ and $\omega^q_k L^q_k i^q_k$. $R^d_k$ and $L^q_k$ stands for the equivalent combined resistance and inductance of the phase reactor and transformer.

Active and reactive power converter currents are controlled in the synchronous reference frame with standards PI controllers. From (10), the plant for the current control is given by:

\[
G_i(s) = \frac{P_i^d(s)}{V_i^d(s)} = \frac{I^q(s)}{V_i^q(s)} = \frac{1}{L_i^q s + R_i^q}, \quad i \in \mathcal{F}
\]

Then,

\[
i \in \mathcal{F} : \begin{cases} 
P^n_i & = v_i^d u^d_k \vspace{0.1cm} 
Q^n_i & = -v_i^q u^q_k 
\end{cases}
\]

IV. SYNTHETIC INERTIA MANAGEMENT

Here, it is presented a synthetic inertia control protocol for power systems. Figure 4 shown the two area test system network including two wind farms and the NCS.

---

**Fig. 3: Current Control blocks**

The dynamics of the $d-q$ axes are:

\[
i \in \mathcal{F} : \begin{cases} 
P^d |_{i^d_s} + L^d_i \frac{d}{dt} i^d_s = v^d_i + \omega^q i^d L^q i^q_s - v^d_i 
Q^d |_{i^q_s} + L^q_i \frac{d}{dt} i^q_s = v^q_i - \omega^q i^q L^d i^d_s - v^q_i 
\end{cases}
\]

The inverter decoupled voltage control of $i^d_i$ and $i^q_i$ is noted as follows:

\[
i \in \mathcal{F} : \begin{cases} 
v^{d}_i & = u^{d}_i + L^d i^d \omega^q i^q_s + v^d_i 
v^{q}_i & = u^{q}_i + L^q i^q \omega^d i^d_s + v^q_i 
\end{cases}
\]

---

**Fig. 4: Network Control System with a Power System**

This approach considers a control network system which provides the distributed control signals $x_i$ in each GSC. Moreover, there is a connecting graph of the control network. It is supposed full remote measurements and local information.

A. Measurement Metrics

In order to have an estimated measurement of the frequency of an interconnected system is the Col (Center of Inertia), which is computed based on the individual speeds $\omega_i$ and the inertia constants of the synchronous generators $H_i$.

Assuming the set $\mathcal{G}$ of synchronous generators, (14) compute the Col, and (15) the Rate of Change of Frequency (RoCoF) measurement in the Col reference is defined.
\[
\omega_{CoI} = \frac{\Sigma_{i \in G} H_i \omega_i}{\Sigma_{i \in G} H_i} \quad (14) \quad \dot{\omega}_{CoI} = \frac{\Sigma_{i \in G} H_i \dot{\omega}_i}{\Sigma_{i \in G} H_i} \quad (15)
\]

B. Cost Function

The following function is proposed:

\[
\max u(x) : \Sigma_{i \in G} (a_i \dot{\omega}_i + b) x_i, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}
\]

subject to \( g_1(x) : \Sigma_{i \in G} x_i = 1 \)

\( g_2(x) : x_i \geq 0 \) (16)

where \( a_i \) is the \( i^{th} \) parameter to be tuned in \( i^{th} \) power system. \( \omega_i \) is cost function and strictly concave, and \( g_1(\cdot) \) is constraint related with proportional action in each power system.

C. Replicator Dynamics

The fitness function \( f_i(\cdot) \) is always positive because \( b > 0 \) and \( u(\cdot) \) is strictly concave. Remember, \( a_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \).

\[
f_i(\omega_i) = \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} = a_i \dot{\omega}_i + b, \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, N
\] (17)

The derivative term in the fitness function provides information about RoCoF. Therefore, in case of a strong change in \( \omega_i \), the controller will apply a signal \( x_i \) to avoid undershoots in accordance with the derivative action.

Fig. 5 shows feedback interconnected between Power System \( \Sigma^p \) and Synthetic Inertia Control via Replicator Dynamics \( \Sigma^c \).

\[\begin{align*}
\{\omega_j : j \in \mathcal{N}\} & \quad + \quad \Sigma^c
\end{align*}\]

\[\begin{align*}
x_i
\end{align*}\]

\[\begin{align*}
\omega_i
\end{align*}\]

\[\begin{align*}
\Sigma^p
\end{align*}\]

\[\begin{align*}
\Sigma^c
\end{align*}\]

Fig. 5: Interconnected Systems \( \Sigma^c \) and \( \Sigma^p \)

This interconnection is modeled by (18)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Sigma^p : \quad \frac{\dot{w}_i}{y_{i1}} &= \frac{1}{2m_i + c_i} (P_{i}^m - P_{i}^e + P_{i}^sd - D_i \dot{\omega}_i) \\
y_{i1} &= \omega_i
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\Sigma^c : \quad \dot{x}_i &= \beta (x_i + \omega_{CoI}) \left( f_i - \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_j (x_j + \omega_{CoI}) \right) \\
y_{i2} &= -x_i
\end{align*}
\]

for \( i = 1, \ldots, N \) (18)

where \( x_i = \omega_i - \omega_{CoI} \). \( x_i \) is defined based on Fig. 5 as an output of \( \Sigma^c \) by adjusting (14) in (1). Note that due to \( g_1(\cdot) \) and \( g_2(\cdot) \) (simplex), the derivative action of RD-based method is kept within a safety margin. Then, derivative term is used to damp the undershoot while control effort is constrained by the simplex.

V. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND RESULTS

A. Test System

The test system (Fig. 6) is composed symmetrically by two areas connected to each other via two 230 kV lines of 220 km length [24]. Round rotor generators are rated at 20 kV/900 MVA. 50 Hz is taken as nominal power system frequency. In order to test the method proposed, two of the generators have been replaced by non-synchronous generation of an aggregated wind farm model.

![Fig. 6: Two-area Test System](Image)

B. Simulation Results

The impact of the proposed distributed controller is analysed through time-domain simulations carried out in Matlab/Simulink. The frequency response is evaluated during an increase in the load of 600 MW at bus 9 at \( t = 100 \) s. Note, it is assumed that a direct communication link between the units.

Since the non-synchronous generation units are identical, an slight parameters modification has been done in one them in order to observe the convergence and the difference in the distributed control actions by each one. Otherwise, an identical response will be given. Fig. 7 shows the frequency response in the CoI reference frame with and without the action of the distributed synthetic inertia control by both of the non-synchronous generation units. A clear improvement in the frequency response has been reached. The frequency nadir without the synthetic inertia control loop reaches \( f_{\text{min}} = 48.51 \) Hz, whereas with the proposed method reaches \( f_{\text{min}} = 48.98 \) Hz.

![Fig. 7: Frequency Response of the Test System](Image)

Frequency response and power injection of each non-synchronous generation unit is shown in Figures 8a and 8b respectively. Both figures show the similarity in the control action and the convergence by the proposed method.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The increasing use of converter-fed renewable sources into the power system has reduced the rotating inertia available
with the consequence that dynamic frequency control is being affected. The introduction of a synthetic inertia control input within the converter controllers offers a counter measure to correct the actual lack of rotational inertia. Since the grid side converters are widely distributed within the power system, in this paper, a distributed control system for the management of the synthetic inertia control has been proposed. A game theory strategy has been used to distribute dynamically the control actions to the grid converters. A communication system is assumed to coordinate the actions of the various controllers. In the two-area test system used, the frequency response has been improved significantly compared to the case without the distributed control system. As a future work, it is intended to apply the same method in a larger power system in order to verify the proposed distributed strategy. The impact of any telecommunication delays will also be studied.
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