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Abstract

The synthesis is reported of twelve new symmetrical carbazole dimers in which the carbazole units are linked via 1,4-phenylene spacers. There are two distinct series of compounds based on the position on the carbazole ring where the phenylene spacer is attached: this is either at carbazole C(3) (series 1a-1f) or at C(2) (series 2a-2f). The central phenylene ring is substituted with either two methyl, two methoxy or two cyano substituents which impart an intramolecular torsional angle between the phenylene and carbazole rings, thereby limiting the extent of π-conjugation between the carbazole units, and raising the triplet energies of the molecules to 2.6 – 3.0 eV, as determined from their phosphorescence spectra at 80 K. Structure-property relationships were studied by UV-vis and fluorescence spectroscopy, cyclic voltammetry and theoretical calculations. A notable observation is that substitution at the 2-position of carbazole (linear conjugation) exerts control over the position of the HOMO, while substitution at the 3-position of carbazole (meta conjugation) allows greater control over the LUMO. X-ray crystal structures are reported for two of the bicarbazoles. Compound 2d is shown to be a suitable host for the sky-blue emitter FIrpic in PhOLEDs, with improved device performance compared to CBP as host.

Introduction

Carbazole derivatives – small molecules,1 linear oligomers,2 dendrimers and star-shaped molecules,3 and high molecular weight (co)polymers4 – are ubiquitous π-conjugated systems in organic materials chemistry. They generally display high thermal, chemical and photochemical stability. The synthetic versatility of carbazole has led to derivatives that possess a range of outstanding optical and electronic properties, notably good hole-transporting ability, blue emission, high triplet energy levels and wide-band gaps, leading to their applications in organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs), organic field-effect transistors, organic solar cells and sensors. There is, therefore, an ongoing quest for new and systematic variations in carbazole functionality to probe fundamental properties of relevance to practical organic electronics applications. Efficient synthetic pathways exist for functionalisation of carbazole at the nitrogen atom and at the C(2) or C(3) positions. Difunctionalisation at the 2,7 or 3,6-positions is also generally straightforward, whereas substitution at the 1 or 1,8-positions is unusual.
In this context, bicarbazole derivatives are appealing targets. For example, they are well established host molecules for triplet-state green and blue guest emitters in OLEDs. A prerequisite for their application as hosts is that their triplet energy ($E_T$) must be higher than that of the emitting guest. A classic example is 4,4'-bis(9-carbazolyl)-2,2'-biphenyl (CBP) (Figure 1). It has a triplet energy of ca. 2.6 eV, which is sufficiently high to host green phosphors as dopants, but not high enough to prevent reverse energy transfer from a blue-emitting guest back to the host. A structurally modified molecule, 1,3-bis(9-carbazolyl)-benzene (mCP), with a higher $E_T$ of ca. 3.00 eV is a host in phosphorescent sky-blue and blue OLEDs, for example using the dopant emitter bis[(4',6'-difluorophenyl)pyridinato-N,C2']iridium(III) picolinate (FIrpic).

There is, therefore, considerable interest in optimising the optoelectronic properties, especially the triplet level, of new bicarbazole derivatives. Recent studies which report molecules with $E_T$ raised to ca. 2.9 eV include CBP analogues with meta-linkage of the carbazole units to the central biphenyl unit; $^7$ para-linked CBP analogues with sterically-locked carbazole–4,4'-biphenyl junctions, $^8$ and the incorporation of a 2,2'-dimethylbiphenyl core between the carbazoles. $^9$ In the above examples both carbazole units are linked to the core by $N$-substitution. Representative modifications involving C–C linked bicarbazoles include 3,3'-bicarbazoles with tailored substituents on nitrogen, $^{10,11,12}$ related 3,4' and 4,4'-bicarbazoles, $^{11}$ and bicarbazoles with conjugated alkenyl and alkynyl spacers connected at the 1-, 2- and 3-positions of the carbazole units.

We now report twelve symmetrical carbazole dimers in which the carbazole units are linked via a series of 1,4-phenylene spacers. The dimers comprise two distinct series based on the connectivity at the carbazole ring: this is either at C(3) (series 1a-1f) or at C(2) of carbazole (series 2a-2f) (Figure 1), the latter potentially giving more extended para-phenylene conjugation throughout the molecules. In each case the central phenylene ring bears either two methyl, two methoxy or two cyano substituents. These substituents are designed to serve two purposes: (i) to tune the optoelectronic properties by electron-withdrawing or electron-donating effects, and (ii) more significantly, to impart a substantial intramolecular torsional angle between the phenylene and carbazole rings which will limit the extent of conjugation between the carbazole units, thereby raising the triplet energies of the molecules. We present the thermal, optical and electrochemical properties of the molecules. Additional information about their structural properties was obtained from single-crystal X-ray diffraction data of two of the compounds and from density functional theory (DFT) calculations.

**Results and Discussion**

**Synthesis**

Suzuki cross-coupling protocols were employed to synthesise the bicarbazole derivatives. Suitably substituted phenylenediboronic acid pinacol ester bridge units $^A$, $^B$ and $^C$, $^14$ $^15$ $^16$ were reacted with the corresponding bromocarbazole derivatives $^3$, $^17$ $^4$, $^17$ $^5$ $^18$ and $^6$ (Figure 1). $^19$ A two-fold Suzuki coupling approach was used initially for the synthesis of 1a with conventional heating, Pd(OAc)$_2$/SPhos catalyst and with K$_3$PO$_4$ as a base. It was subsequently found to be more expedient to use microwave-assisted synthesis according to the procedure of Lindoy et al. $^{20}$ which greatly reduced reaction times and gave comparable yields to the conventional approach. Synthetic details are reported in the Supporting Information.
Yields of the products were typically ca. 30-40% and were restricted by the limited solubility of the target structures and intermediates thereof, alongside competing homocoupling or hydrolysis of the boronate esters.\textsuperscript{13} Purification of some of the bicarbazole products was unexpectedly challenging and was ultimately achieved by a combination of column chromatography and repeated recrystallisation. During chromatography the materials had a tendency to stick to the stationary phase of the column making the endpoint of bands difficult to identify using typical thin layer chromatography (TLC). Traces of the desired compounds were clearly evident on the TLC plate long after the bulk of the material had eluted. As a general observation, the N-phenyl analogues 1d-f and 2d-f were easier to purify than N-ethyl analogues 1a-c and 2a-c, particularly by recrystallisation. Crystals of 1d-f and 2d-f grew slowly as polycrystalline solids, whereas 1a-c and 2a-c were difficult to dissolve in common organic solvents and then tended to precipitate as amorphous powders. In N-phenylcarbazole the bulky pendant phenyl group sits out of plane with the carbazole,\textsuperscript{21} which may help to disrupt intermolecular \(\pi\)-stacking interactions between carbazoles to a greater extent than the smaller N-ethyl moiety, facilitating a more controlled crystallisation. However, crystalline samples of these molecules could be obtained by making a dilute solution in hot EtOAc prior to addition of hexane until the cloud point was reached followed by slow cooling. If necessary, the solutions were then stored in a fridge until the crystallisation was complete. The target compounds were unambiguously characterised by \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C NMR spectroscopy, high resolution mass spectrometry and, in most cases, elemental analysis. The identity of 1a and 1c was further confirmed by single crystal X-ray analysis.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{structures.png}
\caption{Structures of the bicarbazole derivatives 1a-f and 2a-f synthesised in this work, and their precursors A-C and 3-6. CBP is included as a reference compound.}
\end{figure}
Table 1. Bicarbazole derivatives synthesised in this work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R’</th>
<th>Yield / %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>Et</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>Et</td>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c</td>
<td>Et</td>
<td>CN</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1d</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1e</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1f</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>CN</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Et</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>Et</td>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c</td>
<td>Et</td>
<td>CN</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2d</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2e</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2f</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>CN</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Structural and thermal properties

Single crystals, suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained for compounds 1a and 1c. The structures are shown in Figure 2. Molecule 1a has a crystallographic inversion centre; the methyl group is disordered between two alternative positions with occupancies of 82 and 18%. The carbazole moiety is planar and forms a dihedral angle (τ) of 51.0° with the central phenylene ring. The crystal of 1c contains two crystallographically non-equivalent molecules, both lying at inversion centres and twisted similarly to 1a, with τ = 49.1° and 45.9°.

Figure 2. Molecular structures of 1a (top) showing the major (82%, solid) and minor (18%, dashed) methyl positions, and (bottom) two symmetrically independent molecules of 1c. Primed atoms are generated by inversion centres. All H atoms are omitted for clarity.

To investigate the thermal properties of the bicarbazole derivatives, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements were performed. These data confirm that the compounds 1a-1f and 2a-2f have high glass transition temperatures (T_g) in the range of 130 – 150 °C and they all remain amorphous upon cooling. These values are consistent with previous rigid bicarbazole systems, and T_g of > 100 °C combined with a stable amorphous phase is advantageous for operational stability in OLEDs.
Photophysics

The absorption and photoluminescence (PL) properties of the compounds were measured as drop-cast thin films in Zeonex host. The normalised absorbance spectra for 1a-1f and 2a-2f are shown in Figures S1 and S2 in ESI and the data are listed in Table 2, along with CBP as a model compound. The optical band gaps, calculated from the onset of the absorption spectra, are given in Table 2. The absorption spectral profiles show the expected features of carbazole derivatives with strong bands at high energy assigned to optically allowed \( \pi-\pi^* \) transitions.\(^{8b,13a}\) The room temperature emission spectra for 1a-1f and 2a-2f are shown in Figures 3a and 3b. The PL spectra can be grouped into three zones, marked on Figure 3, according to the two methyl, methoxy or cyano substituents on the central phenylene ring. The spectra are systematically red shifted in the order Me (zone A) < OMe (zone B) < CN (zone C) giving emission ranging from deep blue (A) to blue-green (C). These trends are discussed below.

**Fig. 3.** Normalised photoluminescence spectra for the bicarbazole derivatives. a) 1a-1f and b) 2a-2f as drop-cast thin films in Zeonex host, 1:50 w/w ratio at room temperature. The notation A, B and C above the spectra refer to zones based on the central substituents: Me (zone A); OMe (zone B); CN (zone C).

The main focus of the photophysical study was, however, to determine the triplet energies of the compounds from their phosphorescence spectra recorded at 80 K (Figure 4). The triplet energies calculated from the peak and onset values are listed in Table 2.
**Figure 4.** Normalised phosphorescence spectra for the bicarbazole derivatives a) 1a-1f and b) 2a-2f as drop-cast thin films in Zeonex host, 1:50 w/w ratio at 80 K.

The photophysical data for the highest triplet energy bicarbazole derivative 1a compared with CBP in zeonex are shown in Figure 5. For CBP the absorption features associated with transitions localised on the carbazole are identified at 340 nm and 290 nm. These two bands are also observable in 1a. An additional broader absorption centred at about 322 nm is observed for CBP, but not for 1a. This feature is likely to be associated with transitions between orbitals that involve the central biphenyl unit of CBP. In the fluorescence spectra, in contrast, CBP and 1a show very similar vibrational structures with maxima at ca. 360 nm and 378 nm for both compounds. The phosphorescence spectrum of 1a, however, shows a large blue shift compared with CBP and the analogues 1b-f and 2a-f. This is ascribed to the reduced conjugation within this molecule compared to the other compounds reported herein (see the computational study below).

**Figure 5.** Absorption (Abs.), Fluorescence (Fluor.) and Phosphorescence (Phos.) of bicarbazole derivative 1a compared with CBP in Zeonex matrix. The arrows on the phosphorescence spectra mark the onset and peak positions used to obtain the triplet energies stated in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Photophysical and electrochemical data of bicarbazole derivatives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>λ_{Abs} (nm)^a</th>
<th>λ_{Abs(onset)} (nm)^a</th>
<th>E_g (eV)^a</th>
<th>λ_{max(PL)} (nm)^b</th>
<th>PLOY (%)^a,b</th>
<th>λ_{(phos.)} Onset, Peak (nm)^c</th>
<th>E_T Onset, Peak (eV)^d</th>
<th>E_{ox} vs F^- / F^- (V)^e</th>
<th>E_{HOMO} (eV)^f</th>
<th>E_{LUMO} (eV)^f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CBP</td>
<td>236, 292, 322, 340, 348</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>365, 376</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>460, 478</td>
<td>2.69, 2.59</td>
<td>0.80^f</td>
<td>-5.90^f</td>
<td>-2.40^f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>240, 299, 336, 352</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>362, 377</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>416, 470</td>
<td>2.98, 2.63</td>
<td>0.63^g</td>
<td>-5.72</td>
<td>-2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>238, 288, 301, 324</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>450, 523</td>
<td>2.70, 2.37</td>
<td>0.41^h</td>
<td>0.58^b</td>
<td>-5.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c</td>
<td>238, 297, 393</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>462, 529</td>
<td>2.68, 2.34</td>
<td>0.81^f</td>
<td>-5.91</td>
<td>-3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1d</td>
<td>296, 332, 346</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>420, 480</td>
<td>2.90, 2.58</td>
<td>0.70^f</td>
<td>-5.80</td>
<td>-2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1e</td>
<td>243, 299, 324</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>443, 500</td>
<td>2.85, 2.46</td>
<td>0.50^i</td>
<td>0.67^b</td>
<td>-5.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1f</td>
<td>242, 296, 387</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>457, 528</td>
<td>2.71, 2.35</td>
<td>0.83^f</td>
<td>-5.93</td>
<td>-3.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>237, 265</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>439, 498</td>
<td>2.80, 2.50</td>
<td>0.72^g</td>
<td>-5.82</td>
<td>-2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>309</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>237, 263, 340</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c</td>
<td>234, 265, 320, 376</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2d</td>
<td>263, 294, 313</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2e</td>
<td>239, 257, 294, 343</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a All optical data were collected from a drop cast film in Zeonex (1:50 w/w).
b Estimated error ±10%.
c Phosphorescent emission were collected at 80 K from drop cast films in Zeonex (1:50 w/w), YAG laser pulses at 352 nm, delay 40 ms, gate 10 ms.
d CV data were obtained from solutions of 1 mmol of the compound, 0.1 M n-Bu4NBF4 in CH2Cl2, scan rate 100 mV/s, using a platinum disc working electrode, a silver wire reference electrode and a platinum wire counter electrode. Eox is the onset of the first oxidation wave. Potentials are given versus Fc+/Fc couple used as internal reference.
e E_{HOMO} = -(E_{onset,ox} - E_{F_c+/-F_c} + vacuum level) eV. Vacuum level taken as 5.10 eV.
f A value of \( E_{\text{ox}} = 0.87 \) V vs Fc+/Fc in DCM was obtained for CBP by differential pulse voltammetry in reference 8b.
g Irreversible wave.
h Reversible wave.
i Values of -5.97 and -2.45 eV were obtained for \( E_{\text{HOMO}} \) and \( E_{\text{LUMO}} \) respectively in reference 8b.

The general trends in the photophysical data are as follows:

a) Varying the substituent on nitrogen (9-ethyl or 9-phenyl) has only a minimal effect on the optical properties, with no significant differences between the series of compounds.

b) Series 2a-f generally have red-shifted emission, consistent with extended conjugation through the five \( \text{para} \)-linked phenylene rings in 2a-f, compared to only the central three \( \text{para} \)-linked phenylene rings of series 1a-f.

c) The substituents on the central phenylene ring result in a consistent red-shift in emission in the order \( \text{Me} < \text{OMe} < \text{CN} \). This trend can be explained by conjugative effects within the \( \pi \)-system. The broadened and red-shifted PL spectra of the dicyano derivatives 1c, 1f, 2c and 2f (Figure 3, zone C) are consistent with intramolecular charge transfer from donor carbazole to the electron-withdrawing dicyanophenylene core. The dimethoxy substituents in the core of 1b, 1e, 2b and 2e extend the conjugation and hence red shift the emission (Figure 3, zone B) and do not show vibronic structure compared to the non-conjugating dimethylphenylene analogues 1a, 1d, 2a and 2d (Figure 3, zone A).

d) With the exception of 1a (\( \Phi_{\text{PL}} \) 60%), the new derivatives (1b-f and 2a-f) all have lower PLQY values (\( \Phi_{\text{PL}} \) 12-42%) than CBP (\( \Phi_{\text{PL}} \) 57%) in Zeonex film (1:50 w/w). This may indicate that the reduced rigidity of 1a-f and 2a-f increases thermal vibrational quenching which reduces the PLQY. A marked lowering of PLQY compared to CBP was observed by Gantenbein et al. in solution studies of CBP analogues with locked carbazole-biphenyl junctions and the reduction was ascribed to enhanced triplet yields.8b

e) The new bicarbazole derivatives all show phosphorescence emission at 80 K with broader spectra compared to CBP. Triplet energies for series 1a-f are in the range \( E_T \) 2.98-2.68 (peak onset) and 2.63-2.34 eV (peak maximum), and series 2a-f are in the range 2.86-2.60 (peak onset) and 2.50-2.31 eV.
(peak maximum). Notably, 1a (2.98, 2.63 eV) and 1d (2.90, 2.58 eV) show the highest triplet energies of the new derivatives. For comparison CBP has $E_T$ 2.69, 2.59 eV for peak onset and maximum, respectively, measured under identical conditions. Compound 2d (2.86, 2.48 eV) is shown to be a suitable host for the sky-blue emitter FIrpic in PhOLEDs, with improved device performance compared to CBP as host (see below).

**Electrochemistry**

The solution electrochemical properties of the compounds were studied by cyclic voltammetry (CV) to determine their electron donating ability. The HOMO levels were estimated from the onset potential of the first oxidation relative to ferrocene. None of the compounds showed a reduction process within the accessible solvent window scanning to -2.00 V. Therefore, the LUMO levels were deduced by adding the optical band gap to the HOMO levels. The limitations of using this standard protocol to obtain LUMO levels are well recognised. The oxidation potentials are listed in Table 2 and are related to the oxidation of the carbazolyl groups, as suggested from the distribution of the HOMO orbitals (see below). The following general structure/property trends are observed in the CV data.

a) Reversibility: The derivatives with methoxy substituents on the bridge (1b, 1e, 2b and 2e) are the most easily oxidised and show two reversible waves, whereas for the Me and CN substituted bridges the waves are irreversible. Representative CVs are shown for compound 1e in Figure 6 and for 1a in Figure S8 of ESI. The irreversibility is ascribed to the dimerisation of the radical cationic species at the 3,6-positions of carbazole. The separation of the two 1-electron oxidation waves for some of our bicarbazoles is consistent with previous work on carbazole dimers with conjugated acetylenic or olefinic spacers. The separation is observed for those derivatives with the lowest oxidation potentials – notably 1b and 1e. In general, the NEt compounds also demonstrate a stronger tendency to adsorb onto the electrode surface as evidenced by the presence of cathodic stripping peaks upon reversal of polarity, and/or the growth of new bands in the voltammogram which increase in magnitude upon repeated cycling.

b) Oxidation potentials: The oxidation potentials are anodically shifted depending on the bridge substituents in the sequence $E_{ox}$ OMe < Me < CN. For specific data compare 1b(OMe) 0.41 V < 1a(Me) 0.63 V < 1e(CN) 0.81 V, or 2e(OMe) 0.74 V < 2d(Me) 0.79 V < 2f(CN) 0.91 V.

c) Effect of the substituent on nitrogen: NPh versus NEt. For the same bridge, in all cases the NPh derivative has a higher oxidation potential compared to the NEt analogue. The shift is, however, rather variable and is between a minimum of 20 mV (compare 1e and 1f) and a maximum of 170 mV (compare 2b and 2e).

d) Connectivity at carbazole C(3) (series 1a-1f) versus C(2) (series 2a-2f): The oxidation potential of series 1 derivatives is generally lower than for series 2 isomers. We can attribute this to the fact that the HOMO of series 1 contains a higher bridge contribution than that of series 2 where the HOMO is localised over the carbazole. The carbazole C(3) is para to the delocalised lone pair of the ring nitrogen which facilitates increased delocalisation over the bridge. This effect will be much weaker across C(2) and ultimately gives the HOMO of the C(3) series a longer effective conjugation length.
and therefore lower oxidation potential, than the C(2) series despite having an overall shorter linear conjugation length. A similar trend was observed for conjugated acetylenic or olefinic spacers and is also borne out in our computational analysis in the following section. The only exception is the oxidation potentials of 1c (E_{ox} 0.81 V) / 2c (E_{ox} 0.75 V).

Figure 6. CV scan of compound 1e with internal ferrocene reference centered at 0.65 V. The electrochemical cell comprised a platinum disc working electrode, a silver wire reference electrode and a platinum wire counter electrode. Data were recorded at room temperature using 1.0 mM concentration of 1e and 0.1 M solution of n-Bu₄NBF₄ in dichloromethane at a scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹.

Figure 7. Experimentally-determined HOMO-LUMO energy levels (eV) of compounds 1 and 2.

DFT and Frontier Molecular Orbital Study

Density function theory (DFT) calculations were performed with ORCA v3.03 using the B3LYP hybrid functional and 6-31G* basis set. Ground state structural optimisations were performed prior to frontier orbital calculations. Molecular orbital plots for the HOMO and LUMO of 1a-f and 2a-f are shown in Figures 8 and 9, respectively, along with the unsubstituted p-phenylene bridged analogues for comparison. The calculated
HOMO-LUMO gaps are summarised in Table S1. Plots of the other frontier orbitals are shown in Figures S3-S6 in ESI.

**Figure 8** Molecular orbital plots for the 3-carbazole derivatives 1a-f alongside the p-phenylene bridged analogues 7 and 8 obtained from DFT (B3LYP/6-31G*). For each structure HOMO is lower and LUMO is upper diagram.
Figure 9 Molecular orbital plots for the 2-carbazole derivatives 2a-f and the p-phenylene bridged analogues 9 and 10 obtained from DFT (B3LYP/6-31G*). For each structure HOMO is the lower and LUMO is the upper diagram.

The following general trends are apparent:

1) Compounds 1a,d and 2a,d with methyl substituents on the bridging phenylene ring show the largest dihedral angles between the bridge and carbazole, typically with $\tau = 53^\circ$. (The calculated dihedral angle for all compounds can be found in Table S2 in ESI). These compounds also consistently have the largest HOMO-LUMO gap within both series due to a combination of the disruption in linear conjugation imposed by the steric bulk of methyl substituents and their limited electronic influence as weak donors. These observations are verified experimentally in both the electrochemistry and optical spectroscopy.

2) In compounds 1b,e and 2b,e the bridge has two strongly electron donating methoxy substituents and, consequently, the HOMO is predominantly localised on the bridge with a lesser contribution from one of the two adjacent carbazoles. The influence of this can be seen in the electrochemical behaviour of these molecules as any electrochemically generated radical cations or dication will remain more localised over the bridge at the centre of the molecule. This will hinder them from reacting with other molecules in solution and helps to explain the improved electrochemical reversibility of these molecules when compared to the other members of the series, and the presence of two separated redox waves (Table 2 and Figure 6).

3) There is an asymmetry to the distribution of the HOMO for 1b,e and 2b,e which is not seen for the other members of the series. This is attributed to non-covalent $\text{H}$$\cdots\text{O}$ interactions between the oxygen of one MeO- group and the hydrogen atom of an adjacent carbazole (Figure 10). One methoxy group (MeO$_{ax}$) sits axially with respect to the central phenyl ring while the other assumes an equatorial geometry (MeO$_{eq}$). The interatomic $\text{O}$$\cdots\text{H}$ distances vary between 2.486 and 2.512 Å across the series, as shown in Table S2, and this interaction has a planarising influence on the molecule resulting in $\tau = 42^\circ$–$47^\circ$. The interatomic distances are within typical hydrogen bonding range. The electron rich
nature of the dimethoxy bridge coupled with this planarising influence results in an increase in the HOMO and reduction in the LUMO energy level when compared to the methyl containing homologues (1a,d and 2a,d). This is borne out experimentally by the red-shift in absorption and emission behaviour for the methoxy derivatives and in their electrochemical measurements.

4) For the four dicyanophenylene bridged compounds 1c,f and 2c,f the LUMO is localised exclusively on the electron poor bridge and is much lower in energy while the HOMO manifold contains minimal contribution from the bridge. The intramolecular charge transfer behaviour of these molecules is clearly apparent in the UV/vis absorption spectra. When compared to the dimethyl analogues these molecules also demonstrate increased planarity between adjacent rings with \( \tau = 44-46^\circ \).

5) The presence of the bridge at the 2-carbazole position results in increased degeneracy of the HOMO manifold (Figure S7, ESI). We attribute this to the longer linear conjugation lengths of 2a-f which results in more balanced contributions from the carbazole rings and the bridge. This also results in the LUMO being delocalised in a quinoidal fashion over the bridge and adjacent carbazole rings which lowers the energy of the LUMO overall with respect to 1a-f. This will planarise the excited state, as has been observed in alkyne-bridged analogues.\(^{13a}\) Conversely, the bridge at the 3-carbazole position in 1a,b and 2a,b increases the degeneracy of the LUMO manifold. This is not observed in 1c and 2c due to the much more strongly electron withdrawing dicyanophenylene bridge dominating the LUMO.

6) The calculated \( E_g \) for N-phenyl is marginally wider than for N-ethyl analogues in some instances and the HOMO and LUMO for N-phenyl both tend to sit slightly lower in energy. This indicates some additional stabilisation is achieved by the presence of the N-phenyl ring and is verified experimentally, with the exception of 2c and 2f. The reason for these exceptions is not obvious.

As compounds 1a,d and 2a,d have shown the most desirable optical properties, calculations were also performed on the analogous compounds 7-10 featuring a non-substituted p-phenylene bridge to obtain a deeper insight into the influence of the sterically incumbent methyl substituents. Compound 7 has been synthesised previously and used in OLEDs.\(^{27}\) The optical and computational data of the N-octyl analogue of 9 have been reported and they agree with the present data.\(^{28}\) In particular, the authors note that the fluorescence spectra of this molecule in solution is sharp with clear substructure indicating a more planarised excited state which agrees with our DFT results. The dihedral angle between carbazole and the p-phenylene bridge for the compounds 7-10 is \( \tau = 36-37^\circ \) in all cases. Therefore, it is clear that by incorporating methyl substituents on the phenylene bridge in 1a,d and 2a,d \( \tau \) has increased significantly. This limits the extent of electronic communication along the chain, resulting in a significant widening of the HOMO-LUMO gap. This is consistent with the experimental data.

**PhOLED characterisation**

PhOLEDs were fabricated using derivative 2d as the host. For this study 2d was chosen from within the series of new bicarbazoles because of its high triplet energy (Table 2) and relative ease of synthesis and purification. To confirm that the high triplet derivative 2d was suitable as a host material in PhOLEDs, Flrpic was chosen as the archetypal sky-blue emitter.\(^{6}\) Directly comparable devices were fabricated using 2d and CBP as the host. The device structure was: ITO/ NBP (40 nm)/TAPC(10 nm)/18% Flrpic in CBP or in 2d host(35 nm)/
TAZ(10 nm)/TPBi(40 nm)/LiF(0.8 nm)/Al (100 nm), with all the layers deposited by thermal evaporation under vacuum. NBP = N,N'-di(1-naphthyl)-N,N'-diphenyl-(1,1'-biphenyl)-4,4'-diamine; TAPC = (1,1-bis(4-N,N-di(p-tolyl)amino)phenyl)cyclohexane; FIrpic = iridium(III) bis[4,6-(di-fluorophenyl)pyridinato-N,C2']picolinate; TAZ = 3-(biphenyl-4-yl)-5-(4-tert-buty1phenyl)-4-phenyl-4H-1,2,4-triazole; TPBi = 2,2',2''-(1,3,5-benzenetriyl)tris-[1-phenyl-1H-benzimidazole]. The EL spectrum of both devices is identical, with emission exclusively from the dopant FIrpic (Fig. S11). Both 2d and CBP devices exhibit very similar J-V characteristics (Fig. 11b). Demonstrating that both devices have similar electron and hole injection and transport through the multilayer structure. Thus, the superior performance of the device with 2d is ascribed to the improved exciton confinement and reduced exciton quenching due to the higher triplet energy of the 2d host. Fig. 11c shows the external quantum efficiency (EQE) and Fig. 11d shows the device current efficiency (DevE) versus brightness. For the 2d-host device the EQE and DevE at 200 cd/m² are 12.7 % and 30 cd/A, respectively. At 1000 cd/m² the values are 8.7% and 19.5 cd/A respectively. For the CBP-host device, the efficiencies at 200 cd/m² and at 1000 cd/m² are 7% and 6% for EQE, and 15.7 cd/A and 14 cd/A for DevE, respectively.

Figure 11 a) EL spectrum for devices with 2d or CBP as host, showing emission exclusively from FIrpic dopant. b) J-V characteristics. c) External quantum efficiency. d) Device current efficiency.

Conclusions.

The new bicarbazole derivatives 1a-f and 2a-f have been rationally designed and synthesised by two-fold Suzuki cross-coupling reactions, and their optoelectronic and structural properties have been characterized. A particular focus was on assessing the effect of functionalisation of carbazole at C(3) (series 1a-1f) or at C(2) (series 2a-2f) on the fine-tuning of the physical properties of the molecules. The introduction
of a 2,5-disubstituted 1,4-phenylene bridge in the conjugated backbone provides a desirable blue shift in emission. This is due to the twist induced by the sterically demanding substituents which increases the HOMO-LUMO gap. A further notable observation is that substitution at the 2-position of carbazole (linear conjugation) exerts control over the position of the HOMO, while substitution at the 3-position of carbazole (meta conjugation) allows greater control over the LUMO. This increased degeneracy in the HOMO or LUMO manifold with respect to conjugation length holds for both N-ethyl and N-phenyl carbazoles and is also maintained when the non-substituted 1,4-phenylene bridge is employed. While some electronic delocalisation along the conjugated backbone must be maintained the use of bulkier, branched secondary alkyls in place of methyl substituents may provide further widening of the HOMO-LUMO gap which presents an accessible route to materials suitable for hosting blue or deep-blue organometallic phosphors or as deep-blue fluorophores in their own right.
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General information

All commercially available chemicals were used without further purification. Reactions requiring an inert atmosphere were performed under a blanket of argon gas, which was dried over a phosphorus pentoxide column. Anhydrous solvents were dried through an HPLC column on an Innovative Technology Inc. solvent-purification system. Column chromatography was performed using 40–60 μm mesh silica gel. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on plates precoated with silica gel (Merck, silica gel 60F254) and visualized using UV light (254, 315, 365 nm). NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 400 MHz and Varian Mercury 400 MHz spectrometers. Melting points were determined in open-ended capillaries using a Stuart Scientific SMP3 melting point apparatus at a ramping rate of 1 °C/min. Atmospheric solids analysis probe (ASAP) mass spectra were recorded on a Waters Xevo QTOF spectrometer. Elemental analyses were obtained on an Exeter Analytical Inc. CE-440 elemental analyser. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was performed in a nitrogen atmosphere using a Mettler Toledo DSC 3 instrument. The compounds were heated at a rate of 10 °C/min. UV-Vis and photoluminescence spectra for all samples were obtained using Shimadzu UV-3600 and Jobin Yvon Luminescence spectrometers (FluoroMax-3). For cyclic voltammetry measurements the electrochemical cell comprised a platinum disc working electrode, a silver wire reference electrode and a platinum wire counter electrode. Data were recorded at room temperature using 1.0 mM concentrations of all compounds in 0.1 M solutions of n-Bu4NBF4, 99% (Sigma Aldrich) in dichloromethane (CH2Cl2), CHROMASOLVENT®, 99.9% (Sigma Aldrich) at a scan
rate of 100 mV s\(^{-1}\) and were calibrated against a ferrocene/ferrocenium redox couple as internal standard.

### Synthetic details

1a

Under an atmosphere of Ar, 3-bromo-9-ethylcarbazole 3 (150 mg, 0.55 mmol), bridge A (90 mg, 0.25 mmol), Pd(OAc)\(_2\) (1 mg, 2 %) and SPhos (4 mg, 4%) were dissolved in degassed toluene (10 mL) prior to addition of an aqueous K\(_3\)PO\(_4\) (2.7 M, 1.6 mL, 4.4 mmol) and heated to reflux for 20 h. Upon cooling the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (25 mL) and washed with water (25 mL) then dried over MgSO\(_4\) prior to removal of solvent under reduced pressure and evaporation of solvent under reduced pressure. Purification was achieved by column chromatography (10% EtOAc/hexane) followed by recrystallization from EtOAc/hexane to yield the product as a white crystalline solid (56 mg, 45%). mp. 222-224\(^\circ\)C

\(^1\)H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)): \(\delta = 8.23\) (d, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 2H), 8.19 (d, \(J = 1.6\) Hz, 2H), 7.68 (d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H), 7.64 (d, \(J = 8.2\) Hz, 2H), 7.50 (dd, \(J = 8.4, 1.6\) Hz, 2H), 7.48 (ddd, \(J = 8.3, 7.2, 1.2\) Hz, 2H), 7.27 (s, 2H), 7.24 – 7.18 (m, 2H), 4.50 (q, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 4H), 4.50 (q, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 4H), 2.33 (s, 6H), 1.37 (t, \(J = 7.1\) Hz, 6H)

\(^{13}\)C-NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)): \(\delta = 140.6, 139.9, 138.5, 132.2, 132.1, 131.9, 126.9, 125.8, 122.23, 122.16, 120.7, 120.6, 118.7, 109.1, 108.7, 37.0, 20.0, 13.8

MS (ASAP\(^{+}\)): m/z = 493.2 [M+H\(^+\)]

HRMS (ASAP\(^{+}\)): m/z = calculated for C\(_{36}\)H\(_{33}\)N\(_2\) [M+H\(^+\)]: 493.2644; found: 493.2642

Elemental analysis: Found C, 87.58; H, 6.58; N, 5.49 Calculated C, 87.77; H, 6.55; N, 5.69

1b

Under argon, bridge B (117 mg, 0.30 mmol) and 3 (186 mg, 0.68 mmol) were added to a microwave vial containing Pd(PPh\(_3\))\(_4\) (25 mg, 0.02 mmol) and K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (300 mg, 2.17 mmol) and suspended in a degassed mixture of DMF (3 mL) and H\(_2\)O (1 mL) before the vial was sealed. The vial was then heated at 120 \(^\circ\)C in a microwave reactor for 25 minutes. Upon cooling, the tube was opened and the reaction mixture poured into water and filtered. The solids thus obtained were then dissolved in dichloromethane and dried over MgSO\(_4\) prior to removal of solvent under reduced pressure.
Purification was achieved by column chromatography (10% THF/hexane) followed by recrystallisation from toluene/methanol to give 1b as a white crystalline powder (68 mg, 43%). mp. 247-249 °C (blackens)

N.B. Addition of a few drops of CS$_2$ to the NMR sample was required to inhibit aggregation and obtain well-resolved NMR spectra.

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta = 8.30$ (d, $J = 1.7$ Hz, 2H), 8.16 (d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H), 7.70 (dd, $J = 8.5, 1.7$ Hz, 2H), 7.61 (d, $J = 8.9$ Hz, 2H), 7.58 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.46 (ddd, $J = 8.3, 7.1, 1.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.21 (ddd, $J = 7.9, 7.1, 1.0$ Hz, 2H), 7.14 (s, 2H), 4.50 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 4H), 3.83 (s, 6H), 1.41 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 6H)

$^{13}$C-NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta = 150.3, 139.7, 138.5, 129.9, 128.7, 127.3, 125.5, 122.4, 122.1, 120.8, 120.2, 118.6, 114.9, 108.9, 108.2, 56.1, 37.0, 13.7

MS (ASAP): m/z = 525.2 [M+H$^+$]

HRMS (ASAP): m/z = calculated for C$_{36}$H$_{33}$N$_2$O$_2$ [M+H$^+$]: 525.2542; found: 525.2535

Elemental analysis: Found C, 81.75; H, 5.99; N, 5.12 Calculated C, 82.41; H, 6.15; N, 5.34

Under argon, bridge C (95 mg, 0.25 mmol) and 3 (173 mg, 0.63 mmol) were added to a microwave vial containing Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$ (21 mg, 0.02 mmol) and K$_2$CO$_3$ (242 mg, 1.75 mmol) and suspended in a degassed mixture of DMF (3 mL) and H$_2$O (1 mL) before the vial was sealed. The vial was then heated at 120 °C in a microwave reactor for 25 minutes. Upon cooling, the tube was opened and the reaction mixture poured into water and filtered. The solids thus obtained were then dissolved in dichloromethane and dried over MgSO$_4$. Purification was achieved by column chromatography (10% THF/hexane) followed by recrystallisation from toluene/methanol to give 1c as a bright yellow crystalline powder (45 mg, 35%). mp. 294-297 °C (blackens)

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta = 8.52$ (d, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 2H), 8.30 (s, 2H), 8.23 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 2H), 7.88 - 7.74 (m, 4H), 7.64 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.52 (ddd, $J = 8.2, 7.1, 1.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.34 - 7.20 (m, 2H), 4.54 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 4H), 1.44 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 6H)

$^{13}$C-NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): $\delta = 143.5, 140.0, 139.8, 135.3, 126.2, 126.1, 122.7, 122.1, 121.0, 120.5, 119.2, 117.6, 114.5, 109.3, 109.2, 37.2, 13.7

MS (ASAP): m/z = 516.2 [M+2H$^+$]

HRMS (ASAP): m/z = calculated for C$_{36}$H$_{26}$N$_4$: 514.2157, found: 514.2162
Under argon, bridge **A** (66 mg, 0.25 mmol) and 9-phenyl-3-bromocarbazole **4** (202 mg, 0.63 mmol) were added to a microwave vial containing Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$ (21 mg, 0.02 mmol) and K$_2$CO$_3$ (242 mg, 1.75 mmol) and suspended in a degassed mixture of DMF (3 mL) and H$_2$O (1 mL) before the vial was sealed. The vial was then heated at 120 °C in a microwave reactor for 25 minutes. Upon cooling, the tube was opened and the reaction mixture poured into water and filtered. The solids thus obtained were then dissolved in dichloromethane and dried over MgSO$_4$ prior to removal of solvent under reduced pressure. Purification was achieved by column chromatography (10% EtOAc/hexane) followed by recrystallisation from EtOAc/hexane to give **1d** as a white crystalline powder (41 mg, 31%). mp. 249-251 °C

N.B. Addition of a few drops of CS$_2$ to the NMR sample was required to inhibit aggregation and obtain well-resolved NMR spectra.

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): δ = 8.26 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 2H), 8.21 (s, 2H), 7.76 – 7.67 (m, 4H), 7.70 – 7.62 (m, 4H), 7.60 – 7.51 (m, 2H), 7.51 – 7.39 (m, 8H), 7.34 – 7.26 (m, 2H), 7.28 (s, 2H), 2.39 (s, 6H)

$^{13}$C-NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): δ = 140.39, 140.38, 139.0, 136.9, 133.1, 132.1, 132.0, 130.0 (2C), 127.4, 127.2, 126.4 (2C), 126.1, 122.82, 122.78, 120.7, 120.5, 119.9, 109.5, 109.0, 19.9

MS (ASAP): m/z = 589.2 [M+H$^+$]

HRMS (ASAP): m/z = calculated for C$_{44}$H$_{33}$N$_2$ [M+H$^+$]: 589.2644; found: 589.2651

Under argon, bridge **B** (98 mg, 0.25 mmol) and **4** (202 mg, 0.63 mmol) were added to a microwave vial containing Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$ (21 mg, 0.02 mmol) and K$_2$CO$_3$ (242 mg, 1.75 mmol) and suspended in a degassed mixture of DMF (3 mL) and H$_2$O (1 mL) before the vial was sealed. The vial was then heated at 120 °C in a microwave reactor for 25 minutes. Upon cooling, the tube was opened and the reaction mixture poured into water and filtered. The solids thus obtained were then dissolved in dichloromethane and dried over MgSO$_4$ prior to removal of solvent under reduced pressure.
Purification was achieved by column chromatography (10% EtOAc/hexane) followed by recrystallisation from EtOAc/hexane to give \( \text{1e} \) as a white crystalline powder (74 mg, 48%). mp. 294-295 °C

N.B. Addition of a few drops of CS\(_2\) to the NMR sample was required to inhibit aggregation and obtain well-resolved NMR spectra.

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)): \( \delta = 8.40 \) (dd, \( J = 1.7, 0.6 \) Hz, 2H), 8.26 (dt, \( J = 7.7, 1.0 \) Hz, 2H), 7.77 - 7.69 (m, 5H), 7.69 - 7.62 (m, 5H), 7.60 - 7.51 (m, 2H), 7.49 - 7.40 (m, 6H), 7.30 (ddd, \( J = 7.9, 6.0, 2.1 \) Hz, 2H), 7.16 (s, 2H), 3.21 (s, 6H)

\(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)): \( \delta = 150.3, 140.4, 139.1, 136.9, 130.00, 129.97 \) (2C), 129.8, 127.8, 127.4, 126.4 (2C), 126.0, 122.9, 122.6, 121.0, 120.4, 119.9, 114.9, 109.5, 108.8, 56.1

MS (ASAP): \( m/z = 621.2 \) [M+H\(^+\)]

HRMS (ASAP): \( m/z = \) calculated for C\(_{44}\)H\(_{33}\)N\(_2\)O\(_2\) [M+H\(^+\)]: 621.2542; found: 621.2558

Elemental analysis: Found C, 84.97; H, 5.23; N, 4.38 Calculated C, 85.14; H, 5.20; N 4.51

Under argon, bridge \( \text{C} \) (95 mg, 0.25 mmol) and \( \text{4} \) (202 mg, 0.63 mmol) were added to a microwave vial containing Pd(PPh\(_3\))\(_4\) (21 mg, 0.02 mmol) and K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (242 mg, 1.75 mmol) and suspended in a degassed mixture of DMF (3 mL) and H\(_2\)O (1 mL) before the vial was sealed. The vial was then heated at 120 °C in a microwave reactor for 25 minutes. Upon cooling, the tube was opened and the reaction mixture poured into water and filtered. The solids thus obtained were then dissolved in dichloromethane and dried over MgSO\(_4\) prior to removal of solvent under reduced pressure. Purification was achieved by column chromatography (0-20% toluene/hexane) followed by recrystallisation from toluene/methanol to give \( \text{1f} \) as a yellow crystalline powder (50 mg, 33%). mp. 347-348 °C (luminescence observed between 313-319 °C)

N.B. Addition of a few drops of CS\(_2\) to the NMR sample was required to inhibit aggregation and obtain well-resolved NMR spectra.

It was not possible to obtain a solution of suitable concentration for \(^{13}\)C-NMR spectroscopy.

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)): \( \delta = 8.64 \) (d, \( J = 1.8 \) Hz, 2H), 8.36 (s, 2H), 8.33 (d, \( J = 7.8 \) Hz, 2H), 7.81 (dd, \( J = 8.6, 1.9 \) Hz, 2H), 7.77 - 7.71 (m, 4H), 7.71 - 7.66 (m, 4H), 7.62 - 7.57 (m, 2H), 7.56 (d, \( J = 8.5 \) Hz, 2H), 7.54 - 7.48 (m, 2H), 7.45 (d, \( J = 8.2 \) Hz, 2H), 7.39 - 7.34 (m, 2H)

MS (ASAP): \( m/z = 611.2 \) [M+H\(^+\)]
HRMS (ASAP): m/z = calculated for C_{44}H_{27}N_{4} [M+H]^+: 611.2236; found: 611.2247

Elemental analysis: Found C, 85.99; H, 4.27; N, 9.01 Calculated C, 86.53; H, 4.29; N 9.17

Under argon, bridge A (108 mg, 0.41 mmol) and 9-ethyl-2-bromocarbazole 5 (250 mg, 0.91 mmol) were added to a microwave vial containing Pd(PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4} (35 mg, 0.03 mmol) and K\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} (400 mg, 2.87 mmol) and suspended in a degassed mixture of DMF (3 mL) and H\textsubscript{2}O (1 mL) before the vial was sealed. The vial was then heated at 120 °C in a microwave reactor for 25 minutes. Upon cooling, the tube was opened and the reaction mixture poured into water and filtered. The solids thus obtained were then dissolved in dichloromethane and dried over MgSO\textsubscript{4} prior to removal of solvent under reduced pressure. Purification was achieved by column chromatography (10% THF/hexane) followed by recrystallisation from toluene/methanol to give 2a as a white crystalline solid (61 mg, 30%). mp. >256 °C (blackens)

N.B. Addition of a few drops of CS\textsubscript{2} to the NMR sample was required to inhibit aggregation and obtain well-resolved NMR spectra.

\textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}\textsubscript{6}): \(\delta = 8.16 \ (d, \ J = 8.0 \ Hz, \ 2H), \ 8.14 \ (d, \ J = 7.4 \ Hz, \ 2H), \ 7.58 \ (d, \ J = 8.2 \ Hz, \ 2H), \ 7.54 \ (d, \ J = 1.4 \ Hz, \ 2H), \ 7.46 \ (ddd, \ J = 8.2, \ 7.1, \ 1.2 \ Hz, \ 2H), \ 7.30 \ (s, \ 2H), \ 7.24 – 7.18 \ (m, \ 2H), \ 7.21 \ (dd, \ J = 7.9, \ 1.4 \ Hz, \ 2H), \ 4.50 \ (q, \ J = 7.1 \ Hz, \ 4H), \ 2.38 \ (s, \ 6H), \ 1.41 \ (t, \ J = 7.1 \ Hz, \ 6H)

\textsuperscript{13}C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}\textsubscript{6}): \(\delta = 140.9, \ 139.8, \ 139.4, \ 138.7, \ 132.0, \ 131.8, \ 125.4, \ 122.1, \ 121.0, \ 120.1, \ 120.0, \ 119.7, \ 118.6, \ 109.2, \ 108.8, \ 36.9, \ 19.9, \ 13.7

MS (ASAP): m/z = 493.3 [M+H]\textsuperscript{+}

HRMS (ASAP\textsuperscript{+}): m/z = calculated for C\textsubscript{36}H\textsubscript{33}N\textsubscript{2} [M+H]\textsuperscript{+}: 493.2644; found: 493.2639

Under argon, bridge B (160 mg, 0.41 mmol) and 5 (250 mg, 0.91 mmol) were added to a microwave vial containing Pd(PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4} (35 mg, 0.03 mmol) and K\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} (400 mg, 2.87 mmol) and suspended in a degassed mixture of DMF (3 mL) and H\textsubscript{2}O (1 mL) before the vial was sealed. The vial was then heated at 120 °C in a microwave reactor for 25 min.

Upon cooling, the tube was opened and the reaction mixture poured into water and extracted with CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (3 x 25 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with 2M HCl (2 x 25 mL) and water (2 x 25 mL) and dried over MgSO\textsubscript{4}. The drying agent was removed by filtration and charcoal was added to the filtrate which was then stirred for 30 minutes before being filtered over celite. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Purification was by recrystallisation from toluene/methanol to give 2b as a white crystalline solid (61 mg, 31%). mp. 287-289 °C
\[^1\]H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): δ = 8.17 (d, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, 2H), 8.14 (d, \(J = 7.8\) Hz, 2H), 7.72 – 7.67 (m, 2H), 7.52 – 7.41 (m, 8H), 7.26 – 7.22 (m, 2H), 7.18 (s, 2H), 4.44 (q, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 6H), 3.86 (s, 6H), 1.47 (t, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 6H)

\[^1\]C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): δ = 151.1, 140.6, 140.1, 136.0, 131.5, 125.7, 123.1, 122.2, 120.7, 120.6, 120.1, 119.0, 115.8, 109.7, 108.6, 56.9, 37.7, 14.0

MS (ASAP): m/z = 525.2 [M+H\(^+\)]

HRMS (ASAP): m/z = calculated for C\(_{36}\)H\(_{33}\)N\(_2\)O\(_2\) [M+H\(^+\)]: 525.2542; found: 525.2559

Elemental analysis: Found C, 82.13; H, 6.13; N, 5.22 Calculated C, 84.21; H, 6.15; N, 5.34

Under argon, bridge C (156 mg, 0.41 mmol) and 5 (250 mg, 0.91 mmol) were added to a microwave vial containing Pd(PPh\(_3\))\(_4\) (35 mg, 0.03 mmol) and K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (400 mg, 2.87 mmol) and suspended in a degassed mixture of DMF (3 mL) and H\(_2\)O (1 mL) before the vial was sealed. The vial was then heated at 120 °C in a microwave reactor for 25 minutes. Upon cooling, the tube was opened and the reaction mixture poured into water and filtered. The solids thus obtained were then dissolved in dichloromethane and dried over MgSO\(_4\) prior to removal of solvent under reduced pressure. Purification was achieved by column chromatography (0-20% toluene/hexane) followed by recrystallisation from toluene/methanol to give 2c as yellow crystals (38 mg, 18%). mp. >310 °C (blackens)

N.B. Addition of a few drops of CS\(_2\) to the NMR sample was required to inhibit aggregation and obtain well-resolved NMR spectra.

\[^1\]H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d\(_6\)): δ = 8.42 (s, 2H), 8.33 (d, \(J = 7.8\) Hz, 2H), 8.22 (d, \(J = 7.7\) Hz, 2H), 7.99 (d, \(J = 1.7\) Hz, 2H), 7.65 (d, \(J = 8.2\) Hz, 2H), 7.61 – 7.45 (m, 4H), 7.26 (td, \(J = 7.5, 0.9\) Hz, 2H), 4.56 (q, \(J = 7.0\) Hz, 4H), 1.44 (t, \(J = 7.1\) Hz, 6H)

\[^1\]C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d\(_6\)): δ = 143.9, 140.3, 139.4, 135.4, 132.7, 126.3, 123.0, 121.6, 120.7, 120.6, 119.3, 119.1, 117.5, 114.8, 109.7, 109.1, 37.1, 13.7

MS (ASAP): m/z = 515.2 [M+H\(^+\)]

HRMS (ASAP): m/z = calculated for C\(_{36}\)H\(_{27}\)N\(_4\) [M+H\(^+\)]: 515.2236; found: 515.2222

Elemental analysis: Found C, 83.63; H, 5.09; N 10.71, Calculated C, 84.02; H, 5.09; N, 10.89
Under argon, bridge A (108 mg, 0.41 mmol) and 9-phenyl-2-bromocarbazole 6 (293 mg, 0.91 mmol) were added to a microwave vial containing Pd(PPh₃)₄ (35 mg, 0.03 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (400 mg, 2.87 mmol) and suspended in a degassed mixture of DMF (3 mL) and H₂O (1 mL) before the vial was sealed. The vial was then heated at 120 °C in a microwave reactor for 25 minutes. Upon cooling, the tube was opened and the reaction mixture poured into water and filtered. The solids thus obtained were then dissolved in dichloromethane and dried over MgSO₄ prior to removal of solvent under reduced pressure. Purification was achieved by column chromatography (10% EtOAc/hexane) followed by recrystallisation from toluene/methanol to give 2d as a white crystalline solid (100 mg, 41%). mp. 178-181 °C

N.B. Addition of a few drops of CS₂ to the NMR sample was required to inhibit aggregation and obtain well-resolved NMR spectra.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 8.25 – 8.19 (m, 4H), 7.70 – 7.65 (m, 4H), 7.65 – 7.59 (m, 4H), 7.56 – 7.48 (m, 2H), 7.48 – 7.37 (m, 4H), 7.34 – 7.26 (m, 4H), 7.27 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.16 (s, 2H), 2.29 (s, 6H)

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 141.3, 141.0, 140.7, 139.8, 137.4, 132.6, 132.3, 130.6 (2C), 128.0, 127.1 (2C), 126.5, 123.3, 122.2, 121.9, 120.9, 120.64, 120.55, 110.4, 110.0, 20.4

MS (ASAP): m/z = 589.2 [M+H⁺]

HRMS (ASAP): m/z = calculated for C₄₄H₃₂N₂ [M⁺]: 588.2565; found: 588.2594

Elemental analysis: Found C, 89.72; H, 5.47; N 4.67, Calculated C, 89.76; H, 5.48; N, 4.76.

Under argon, bridge B (160 mg, 0.41 mmol) and 6 (293 mg, 0.91 mmol) were added to a microwave vial containing Pd(PPh₃)₄ (35 mg, 0.03 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (400 mg, 2.87 mmol) and suspended in a degassed mixture of DMF (3 mL) and H₂O (1 mL) before the vial was sealed. The vial was then heated at 120 °C in a microwave reactor for 25 minutes. Upon cooling, the tube was opened and the reaction mixture poured into water and extracted with CHCl₃ (3 x 25 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with 2M HCl (2 x 25 mL) and water (2 x 25 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. The combined organic extracts were washed with 2M HCl (2 x 25 mL) and water (2 x 25 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. The drying agent was removed by filtration and charcoal was added to the filtrate which was then stirred for 30 minutes before being filtered over celite. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Purification was by recrystallisation from toluene/methanol to give 2e as a white crystalline solid (118 mg, 46%). mp. 216-219 °C
N.B. Addition of a few drops of CS$_2$ to the NMR sample was required to inhibit aggregation and obtain well-resolved NMR spectra.

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): δ = 8.21 (dd, $J = 8.1, 0.7$ Hz, 2H), 8.21 (dt, $J = 7.7, 1.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.73 – 7.62 (m, 8H), 7.59 (dd, $J = 1.5, 0.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.55 – 7.49 (m, 2H), 7.46 (dd, $J = 8.1, 1.5$ Hz, 2H), 7.44 – 7.41 (m, 4H), 7.29 (ddd, $J = 8.0, 4.6, 3.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.05 (s, 2H), 3.75 (s, 6H)

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): δ = 150.8, 141.1, 140.5, 137.5, 136.4, 130.8, 130.5 (2C), 127.9, 127.0 (2C), 126.5, 123.3, 122.3, 122.2, 120.9, 120.6, 120.3, 115.5, 111.0, 110.0, 56.7

MS (ASAP): $m/z = 621.2$ [M+H$^+$]

HRMS (ASAP): $m/z = $ calculated for C$_{44}$H$_{33}$N$_2$O$_2$ [M+H$^+$]: 621.2542; found: 621.2557

Elemental analysis: Found C, 85.04; H, 5.27; N 4.32, Calculated C, 85.14; H, 5.20; N 4.51

Under argon, bridge C (156 mg, 0.41 mmol) and 6 (293 mg, 0.91 mmol) were added to a microwave vial containing Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$ (35 mg, 0.03 mmol) and K$_2$CO$_3$ (400 mg, 2.87 mmol) and suspended in a degassed mixture of DMF (3 mL) and H$_2$O (1 mL) before the vial was sealed. The vial was then heated at 120 °C in a microwave reactor for 25 minutes. Upon cooling, the tube was opened and the reaction mixture poured into water and filtered. The solids thus obtained were then dissolved in dichloromethane and dried over MgSO$_4$ prior to removal of solvent under reduced pressure. Purification was achieved by column chromatography (0-20% toluene/hexane) followed by recrystallisation from toluene/methanol to give 2f as yellow crystals (99 mg, 40%). Mp. 320-322 °C (luminescence observed above 290 °C)

N.B. Addition of a few drops of CS$_2$ to the NMR sample was required to inhibit aggregation and obtain well-resolved NMR spectra.

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): δ = 8.43 (dd, $J = 8.1, 0.6$ Hz, 1H), 8.36 – 8.28 (m, 2H), 7.73 – 7.68 (m, 5H), 7.59 (dd, $J = 8.1, 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.58 – 7.52 (m, 1H), 7.50 (dd, $J = 6.8, 1.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.48 – 7.44 (m, 1H), 7.35 (ddd, $J = 8.0, 6.7, 1.3$ Hz, 1H)

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$): δ = 192.4, 143.8, 140.8, 139.8, 136.4, 135.2, 133.3, 130.1 (2C), 127.6, 126.9, 126.5 (2C), 123.5, 122.2, 121.0, 120.7, 120.4, 117.2, 114.8, 110.2, 109.7

MS (ASAP): $m/z = 611.2$ [M+H$^+$].

HRMS (ASAP): $m/z = $ calculated for C$_{44}$H$_{27}$N$_4$ [M+H$^+$]: 611.2236; found: 611.2257.

Elemental analysis: Found C, 86.51; H, 4.33; N, 8.99 Calculated C, 86.53; H, 4.29; N 9.17
Copies of $^1$H NMR Spectra:

1a
X-ray crystallography

The X-ray diffraction experiment for 1a was carried out on a Bruker 3-circle D8 Venture diffractometer with a PHOTON 100 CMOS area detector, using Cu-$\kappa\alpha$ radiation from a $\mu$S microsource with focussing mirrors, for 1c on an Xcalibur $\kappa$-diffractometer with a Sapphire3 CCD area detector, using Mo-$\kappa\alpha$ radiation from an Enhance (Mo) source. Crystals were cooled to 120 K using Cryostream (Oxford Cryosystems) open-flow $N_2$ gas cryostats. The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS 2013/1 software,\(^1\) and refined by full-matrix least squares using SHELXL 2014/7\(^2\) and OLEX2\(^3\) software. Crystal data have been deposited with Cambridge Structural Database as CCDC-1547241 (1a) and 1547242 (1c).
Absorption Spectra

Figure S1. Absorption spectra for series 1 in zeonex (1:50 w/w) at room temperature.

Figure S2. Absorption spectra for series 2 in zeonex (1:50 w/w) at room temperature.
### Computational studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LUMO+2 (eV)</th>
<th>LUMO+1 (eV)</th>
<th>LUMO (eV)</th>
<th>HOMO (eV)</th>
<th>HOMO-1 (eV)</th>
<th>HOMO-2 (eV)</th>
<th>$E_g$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.510</td>
<td>-0.574</td>
<td>-0.602</td>
<td>-4.882</td>
<td>-5.257</td>
<td>-5.539</td>
<td>4.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>-0.266</td>
<td>-0.542</td>
<td>-0.558</td>
<td>-4.976</td>
<td>-5.205</td>
<td>-5.512</td>
<td>4.418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>-0.404</td>
<td>-0.473</td>
<td>-0.571</td>
<td>-4.798</td>
<td>-5.198</td>
<td>-5.413</td>
<td>4.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c</td>
<td>-0.850</td>
<td>-1.072</td>
<td>-1.978</td>
<td>-5.346</td>
<td>-5.542</td>
<td>-5.825</td>
<td>3.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.579</td>
<td>-0.598</td>
<td>-0.668</td>
<td>-4.933</td>
<td>-5.283</td>
<td>-5.585</td>
<td>4.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1d</td>
<td>-0.438</td>
<td>-0.568</td>
<td>-0.586</td>
<td>-5.023</td>
<td>-5.236</td>
<td>-5.56</td>
<td>4.437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1e</td>
<td>-0.453</td>
<td>-0.545</td>
<td>-0.622</td>
<td>-4.850</td>
<td>-5.229</td>
<td>-5.457</td>
<td>4.228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1f</td>
<td>-0.857</td>
<td>-1.112</td>
<td>-2.000</td>
<td>-5.366</td>
<td>-5.542</td>
<td>-5.854</td>
<td>3.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>-0.544</td>
<td>-1.080</td>
<td>-5.161</td>
<td>-5.255</td>
<td>-5.255</td>
<td>4.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>-0.544</td>
<td>-0.858</td>
<td>-5.227</td>
<td>-5.229</td>
<td>-5.261</td>
<td>4.369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>-0.488</td>
<td>-0.999</td>
<td>-5.044</td>
<td>-5.128</td>
<td>-5.249</td>
<td>4.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c</td>
<td>-0.915</td>
<td>-1.390</td>
<td>-2.141</td>
<td>-5.508</td>
<td>-5.509</td>
<td>-5.672</td>
<td>3.367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.356</td>
<td>-0.556</td>
<td>-1.093</td>
<td>-5.179</td>
<td>-5.272</td>
<td>-5.274</td>
<td>4.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2d</td>
<td>-0.336</td>
<td>-0.557</td>
<td>-0.875</td>
<td>-5.246</td>
<td>-5.248</td>
<td>-5.282</td>
<td>4.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2e</td>
<td>-0.389</td>
<td>-0.504</td>
<td>-1.013</td>
<td>-5.062</td>
<td>-5.149</td>
<td>-5.271</td>
<td>4.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2f</td>
<td>-0.908</td>
<td>-1.372</td>
<td>-2.121</td>
<td>-5.504</td>
<td>-5.505</td>
<td>-5.686</td>
<td>3.383</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S1 Calculated (B3LYP/6-31G*) frontier orbital energy levels of compounds 1a-f, 2a-f and 7-10.
Table S2. Calculated dihedral angles between bridge and carbazole for compounds 1, 2 and 7–10 ($\tau_1 = \angle C1C2C3C_R$, $\tau_2 = \angle C4C2C3C_H$, $\tau_1' = \angle C1'C2'C3'C_R'$, $\tau_2' = \angle C4'C2'C3'C_H'$). The structure of 1a is shown to illustrate the numbering system adopted. For compounds 1b,e and 2b,e $\tau_1/\tau_2$ refer to the MeO$_{eq}$ side of the bridge and $\tau_1'/\tau_2'$ refer to the MeO$_{ax}$ side of the bridge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\tau_1$ (°)</th>
<th>$\tau_2$ (°)</th>
<th>$\tau_1'$ (°)</th>
<th>$\tau_2'$ (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>41.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1d</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1e</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1f</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2d</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2e</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>41.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2f</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure S3** Frontier molecular orbital plots for the complete 3-substituted \( N \)-ethylcarbazolyl series 1a–c and 7.
Figure S4 Frontier molecular orbital plots for the complete 3-substituted $N$-phenylcarbazolyl series 1d–f and model compound 8.
Figure S5 Frontier molecular orbital plots for the complete 2-substituted N-ethylcarbazolyl series 2a−c and model compound 9.
Figure S6 Frontier molecular orbital plots for the complete 3-substituted N-phenylcarbazolyl series 2d–f and 10.
Figure S7 Calculated frontier orbital arrangements of compounds 1a-f and 2a-f highlighting the degeneracy in the LUMO manifold of series 1 and the HOMO manifold of series 2.

**OLED Devices**

Organic light emitting diode (OLED) devices were fabricated on cleaned indium tin oxide (ITO)-coated glass substrates of thickness 125 nm and possessing a sheet resistance of 20 Ω/square. Devices were prepared with the structure: ITO/ NBP (40 nm)/TAPC(10 nm)/18% Flrpic in CBP or in 2d host(35 nm)/ TAZ(10 nm)/ TPBi(40 nm)/ LiF(0.8 nm)/ Al (100 nm) by thermal evaporation under a vacuum at a pressure of ca. 1x10⁻⁶ Torr. The emissive layer of Flrpic doped into CBP or 2d was evaporated at a rate of < 1 Å/s, followed by 10 nm of TAZ as a hole blocking layer and 40 nm of TPBi as an electron injection and transport layer, then a deposition of a cathode which consist of a thin layer of 0.8 nm of LiF at a rate of < 0.1 Å/s, capped by a 100 nm layer of aluminium at a rate of 1 Å/s. All samples are encapsulated inside a glove box using DELO UV cured epoxy (KATIOBOND) and capped with 1.2x1.2 cm microscope glass slides then exposed to UV light for 3 min. NBP = \(N_2N^\prime\text{-di}(1\text{-naphthyl})-N_2N^\prime\text{-diphenyl}(1,1'-\text{biphenyl})-4,4'\text{-diamine};\) TAPC = \((1,1\text{-bis}[4-N,N\text{-di(p-tolyl)amino}]phenyl)cyclohexane;\) Flrpic = iridium(III) bis[4,6-(di-fluorophenyl)pyridinato-\(N,C^2\)picolinate; TAZ = 3-(biphenyl-4-yl)-5-(4-\text{-tert-butylphenyl})-4-phenyl-4\(H\)-1,2,4-triazole; TPBi = 2,2',2''-(1,3,5-benzenetriyl)tris-[1-phenyl-1\(H\)-benzimidazole].

The current-voltage (I-V) characteristics and the devices’ emission characteristics were measured using a calibrated integrating sphere and the data acquisition was controlled using a home-written NI LabView program which controlled an Agilent Technologies 6632B power supply. The electroluminescence (EL) spectra were measured using a calibrated Ocean Optics USB 4000 CCD spectrometer supplied with 400 μm UV/Vis fiber optic.
References for SI

Twelve bicarbazole derivatives with emission ranging from blue-green to deep-blue, and $E_T$ 2.6 – 3.0 eV.