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ABSTRACT: The purpose of this cross-sectional study was to determine the associations between various anthropometric adiposity screening indices and body fat percentage estimated by bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA). A total of 186 (95 male and 91 female) normal weight (body mass index [BMI] = 18.5-24.9 kg/m²) young adults (mean age = 20.96 ± 2.03 years) were measured on body fat percentage, body height, body mass, waist and hip circumferences. Abdominal volume index, body adiposity index, BMI, body roundness index, conicity index, reciprocal ponderal index, waist to height ratio, waist to height 0.5 ratio, and waist to hip ratio were calculated accordingly. Results revealed significant gender effects in all main anthropometric measurements. Except for waist to hip ratio, results indicated significant associations between anthropometric indices and BIA in both male and female participants. BIA results were found to be largely associated with BMI and abdominal volume index in both genders. Bland-Altman analysis showed good agreements between these indices and BIA. Considerable associations and agreements highlight the potential importance and the use of several anthropometric proxies to estimate body adiposity among male and female non-overweight/obese young adults. Despite continuing discussion regarding its accuracy, BMI seems to be useful for monitoring body adiposity within this cohort.
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Introduction

Physical inactivity and elevated caloridense food consumption is associated with excessive adiposity worldwide (Leonard 2010) and this is turning into a global public health problem (Caballero 2007; Roberto et al. 2015; Vandevijvere et al. 2015). As mentioned in previous studies (Frisard et al. 2005; Jakicic and Otto 2005; Moyer 2012), it also yields consequences for the diverse chronic diseases. Thus, a precise exploration of this condition to comprehend its epidemiology and treatments becomes crucial (Welborn and Dhaliwal 2007).

The estimation of various components of body composition, especially body fat percentage, and where in the body this subcutaneous fat is stored (regional dis-
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tribution of fat) has become an important focus of research among health researchers and practitioners in an attempt to curb the risks of obesity (Jebb et al. 2000) and its associations with non-communicable diseases. The methods to evaluate body compositions parameters are usually divided into criterion (gold-standard) methods: dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry, doubly labeled water, underwater weighting, air displacement plethysmography computed tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging and field methods: BIA, anthropometric measures and derived indices (Duren et al. 2008; Lee and Gallagher 2008). The preference of the techniques generally depends on the designated purpose and the convenience of the technology (Ackland et al. 2012) but the majority of fieldwork-based research relies on field methods.

BIA is a widely used method to reliably estimate percentage of the body fat as a whole and in specific parts of the body. It is portable, noninvasive, relatively inexpensive, quick, and useful in large-scale studies of populations from different ages and body sizes (Phillips et al. 2003; Lee and Gallagher 2008; Rush et al. 2006; Karelis et al. 2013). However, earlier studies provide inconsistent results regarding the validity of BIA against criterion techniques (Frisard et al. 2005; Azcona et al. 2006; Thomson et al. 2007; Bosy-Westphal et al. 2008; Radley et al. 2009; Hurst et al. 2016). The discrepancy among the findings might be explained by several factors affecting the sensitivity of BIA such as hydration status, fluid and food intake and intensity of exercise before testing, medical conditions, and environmental changes (Caton et al. 1988; Kyle et al. 2004; Dehghan and Merchant 2008).

Anthropometric indices (AIs), consist of the combination of basic anthropometric measurements (WHO Working Group 1986), which are also practical, quantitative, and non-invasive ways to estimate the composition of human body (de Onis and Habicht 1996). Since their high standard equivalents require expensive and special devices (Bergman et al. 2011) they are vastly preferred by scientists to estimate the level of adiposity. A vast number of previous studies have documented the use of AIs in predicting the body adiposity evaluated via reference methods (Revicki and Israel 1986; Gallagher et al. 1996; Taylor et al. 2000; Neovius et al. 2005; Ketel et al. 2007; Volgyi et al. 2008; Flegal et al. 2009; Bergman et al. 2011; Lambert et al. 2012; Thomas et al. 2013; Segheto et al. 2017). Nonetheless, current literature presents limited evidence on the relation between these methods and other predictive techniques among different samples. Thus, the purpose of this study is to determine the relations and agreements between various anthropometric adiposity screening indices and body fat percentage estimated with BIA in male and female normal weight young adults.

Materials and methods

Participants

The inclusion criteria for the study were male or female young adults with normal weight by BMI (18.5-24.9 kg/m²) (WHO 2000). A total of 300 university students from a state university, located in central Anatolia (Turkey), were invited to take part in the study. Among them, 232 gave their consent to the study. They were initially informed of the testing procedures and the purpose of the study and then administered to a brief verbal questionnaire regarding their health status in or-
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Khosla and Lowe (1967), waist to height ratio (WHTR), waist to height\(^{0.5}\) ratio ([WHT.5R], Nevill et al. 2016) and Waist to Hip Ratio (WHR) were calculated according to following formulas presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Formulas for the anthropometric indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AIs</th>
<th>Formulas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AVI</td>
<td>([2(waist)^2 + 0.7(waist - hip)^2] / 1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAI</td>
<td>([\text{hip} / \text{height}^{1.5}] - 18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>(\text{weight} / \text{height}^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRI</td>
<td>(364.2 - 365.5 \times [1 - (\text{Waist} / 2\pi) / (0.5 \times \text{Height})]^{0.5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>(\text{waist} / [0.109 \times \text{square root of (weight / height)}])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPI</td>
<td>(\text{height} / \text{weight}^{1/3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHTR</td>
<td>(\text{waist} / \text{height})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHT.5R</td>
<td>(\text{waist} / \text{height}^{0.5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>(\text{waist} / \text{hip})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AIs - anthropometric indicators, AVI - abdominal volume index, BAI - body adiposity index, BMI - body mass index, BRI - body roundness index, CI - conicity index, RPI - reciprocal ponderal index, WHTR - waist to height ratio, WHT.5R - waist to height\(^{0.5}\) ratio, WHR - waist to hip ratio

Measures

Body height was measured with a portable stadiometer (Seca 213, Hamburg, Germany) to the nearest 0.1 cm. Body weight (0.1 kg) and body fat percentage was evaluated with BIA (Tanita, BC-418, Japan). Waist and hip circumferences (cm) were measured with a flexible steel tape to the nearest centimeter.

Procedures

Anthropometric measurements were performed in accordance with the reference manual (Lohman et al. 1988). Participants were asked to wear minimal clothing and stand barefoot for the assessments. Waist girth was measured at the smallest circumference between the ribs and iliac crest. Hip girth was measured at the level of maximum protuberance of the buttocks. Abdominal volume index ([AVI], Guerrero-Romero and Rodriguez-Morán 2003), body adiposity index ([BAI], Bergman et al. 2011), BMI, body roundness index ([BRI], Thomas et al. 2013), conicity index ([CI], Valdez 1991), reciprocal ponderal index ([RPI], Khosla and Lowe 1967), waist to height ratio (WHTR), waist to height\(^{0.5}\) ratio ([WHT.5R], Nevill et al. 2016) and Waist to Hip Ratio (WHR) were calculated according to following formulas presented in Table 1.

Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using SPSS v. 20 for Windows. Descriptive statistics (mean ± SD) were calculated for the variables. An independent sample t-test was used to analyze differences between genders. Pearson correlation coefficient was conducted to determine the relationship between variables. Correlations were classified as 0.0-0.1 = trivial, 0.1-0.3 = small, 0.3-0.5 = moderate, 0.5-0.7 = large, 0.7-0.9 = very large, and 0.9-1.0 (near perfect) (Cohen 1988). The limits of agreement for standardized values of the variables were
examined by Bland-Altman approach (Shaw et al. 2007). The statistical significance level was set at $p < 0.05$.

**Results**

A summary of descriptive statistics and the t-test results by gender for the main anthropometric characteristics and the fat percentages are presented in Table 2. Results revealed significant gender differences in all anthropometric variables.

The correlation results between AIs and body fat percentages for each gender are presented separately in Table 3. Results revealed (except for WHR) significant relations between indices and BIA values in both male and female participants. BIA results were found to be largely associated with BMI and AVI in both genders.

Table 4 represents the biases (SD±) between BIA and AIs. The results of the Bland-Altman analyses showed good agreement between variables.

**Discussion**

The aim of this cross-sectional study was to examine the associations and agreements between the aforementioned nine anthropometric adiposity screening indices and body fat percentage assessed by BIA in healthy-weight male and female young adults. Except for WHR, results indicated significant associations between

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body Height (cm)</td>
<td>176.3 ± 5.69</td>
<td>162.8 ± 5.49</td>
<td>16.450</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass (kg)</td>
<td>69.7 ± 6.87</td>
<td>56.5 ± 5.21</td>
<td>14.694</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist Circumference (cm)</td>
<td>75.6 ± 4.16</td>
<td>66.7 ± 3.84</td>
<td>15.143</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Circumference (cm)</td>
<td>92.6 ± 4.38</td>
<td>95.7 ± 4.34</td>
<td>-4.850</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Fat Percentage (%)</td>
<td>10.71 ± 3.78</td>
<td>23.98 ± 5.26</td>
<td>-19.806</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AVI</td>
<td>11.48 (1.27)</td>
<td>8.93 (1.03)</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAI</td>
<td>21.63 (1.93)</td>
<td>28.15 (2.34)</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>22.42 (1.61)</td>
<td>21.32 (1.54)</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRI</td>
<td>2.14 (0.37)</td>
<td>1.85 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>1.10 (0.04)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPI</td>
<td>42.89 (1.13)</td>
<td>42.47 (1.17)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHTR</td>
<td>0.43 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHT.5R</td>
<td>5.70 (0.29)</td>
<td>5.23 (0.30)</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>0.82 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AIs - anthropometric indicators, AVI - abdominal volume index, BAI - body adiposity index, BMI - body mass index, BRI - body roundness index, CI - conicity index, RPI - reciprocal ponderal index, WHTR - waist to height ratio, WHT.5R - waist to height$^{0.5}$ ratio, WHR - waist to hip ratio
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Degree of correlation between BMI and BIA in adult women.

In conclusion, this study attempted to gain an understanding of the associations and limits of agreement between various adiposity screening indices and BIA in male and female young adults. Although this study was limited by sample size and purposive sampling, which may weaken the interpretation of the results, considerable correlations highlight the potential importance and the use of several anthropometric proxies to estimate adiposity among normal weight collegiate students. Despite continuing debates regarding its accuracy, BMI seems to be useful for monitoring body adiposity among non-overweight/obese young adults. Further studies are recommended to investigate associations between those AIs and BIA in overweight and obese individuals.
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