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Abstract

Insulin resistance is central to the pathogenesis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Intrahepatic triglyceride (IHTG), the primary feature of NAFLD, strongly predicts insulin resistance in the liver and peripheral (skeletal muscle and adipose) tissues. Hepatokines (e.g. fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF21), leukocyte cell-derived chemotaxin 2 (LECT2), follistatin, selenoprotein P, and fetuin-A) are liver-derived proteins with capacity to exert endocrine effects and may potentially modulate the link between IHTG and peripheral insulin sensitivity/glycaemic control. Exercise is integral to the management of NAFLD and T2DM, with evidence suggesting that high-intensity exercise may provide the greatest benefits.

Chapter 4 of this thesis demonstrates that, in individuals without chronic metabolic disease, plasma concentrations of FGF21 and LECT2 are higher, and follistatin lower, in individuals with overweight or obesity compared with normal weight individuals. Furthermore, FGF21 and follistatin are transiently elevated for up to 6 h after acute aerobic exercise (60 min at 60% $\dot{V}_O^2$ peak). The response of follistatin to acute moderate-intensity exercise is also present in individuals with impaired glucose regulation (Chapter 5), but the response of FGF21 is abolished. A single bout of low-volume high-intensity interval training has no effect on FGF21, follistatin or fetuin-A in individuals with dysglycaemia (Chapter 5). Chapter 6 demonstrates that six weeks of sprint interval training (SIT) is feasible for men with NAFLD and reduces IHTG despite no change in body weight. Peripheral insulin sensitivity tends to increase after SIT but hepatic insulin sensitivity and circulating hepatokines remain unchanged. Through meta-analyses, Chapter 7 confirms that exercise training reduces IHTG, even in the absence of weight loss. However, the magnitude of this effect is greater when weight loss occurs and benefits increase proportionally. Exercise training improves basal hepatic insulin sensitivity, but evidence in this area is currently limited (Chapter 7).

Collectively, the studies in this thesis demonstrate that some hepatokines may be sensitive to acute and chronic changes in energy metabolism. However, further evidence is required before definitive statements can be made. Exercise training, including SIT, has the potential to reduce IHTG in men with NAFLD, even in the absence of weight loss. However, the greatest benefits on IHTG will likely be elicited when exercise training is performed in combination with dietary energy restriction to elicit sustained reduction in body weight.

Key words: exercise, NAFLD, liver, insulin sensitivity, hepatokines, obesity
Acknowledgements

So many people have helped me over the course of the last three and half years that sadly it is impossible to mention them all at this point. However, I hope they all know that I am incredibly grateful. Some people are of course worthy of a special mention and my unreserved thanks go the following individuals:

Firstly, to all of the volunteers that have taken part in this research. Without their commitment and sacrifice it simply could not have happened.

To Dr James King, for giving me the opportunity to undertake this PhD and for the guidance, support, patience and understanding throughout. The work that we have done has only fuelled my interest and enthusiasm in science and you have taught me so many valuable lessons about the world of research. I look forward to extending this work and embarking on new projects over the coming years. At times your support has also extended beyond my PhD studies and I am incredibly grateful for your friendship.

To Professor Guru Aithal, for the expert knowledge and experience of clinical research and for the many opportunities that he has given me. I feel incredibly honoured to have worked with you over the past few years and hope that this continues for many more to come. Thanks must also go to Professor Noël Cameron for his helpful advice when embarking on my PhD studies, to Professor Myra Nimmo, who continued to offer valuable support even after her career took her elsewhere, and to Dr Richard Fergusson for his encouragement and critique at my first and second year panel meetings.

To Professor David Stensel and Dr Liz Simpson. Despite his many roles in the School, David is never too busy to say hello and has given me countless pieces of valuable advice over the course of my PhD. Liz’s contribution to the work in this thesis extends far beyond her expertise in performing the hyperinsulinaemic, euglycaemic clamps in Chapter 6. I learnt so much from the many conversations we had during these trial days, as well as those since, and I am incredibly appreciative of the advice and guidance offered. This seems the perfect time to also thank the rest of the team within the David Greenfield Laboratories of the School of Life Sciences (University of Nottingham (UoN)), who always made me feel extremely welcome.

To Dr Jessica Douglas and Dr Charlotte Jelleyman for their invaluable contribution in coordinating the INTAKE and Go for IT trials (Chapters 4 and 5). Both of these studies were incredibly challenging and required so much patience and perseverance. Thanks also to Drs
Laura Gray and Danielle Bodicoat for their expert support with the systematic review and meta-analyses presented in Chapter 7, and to Professor Toshinari Takamura, along with the team at Kanazawa University in Japan, for conducting the SeP analyses in Chapter 4.

To the many collaborators on the ‘LIVE’ study (Chapter 6). In addition to Liz and the team in the UoN School of Life Sciences, special thanks go those at the Sir Peter Mansfield Imaging Centre (also UoN) for their expertise in magnetic resonance procedures and particularly to Dr Stephen Bawden who performed the imaging/spectroscopy scans and subsequent analysis. Further thanks go to Professor Ken Smith and Miss Jessica Cegielski for their help with the glucose tracer analyses, to Dr Sally Cordon for performing the insulin radioimmunoassays and to Dr James Sanders for his assistance with the accelerometry analyses.

To all the members of the Leicester-Loughborough Diet, Lifestyle and Physical Activity Biomedical Research Unit (now Leicester Biomedical Research Centre). Particular thanks go to Mrs Alison Stanley for her extensive administrative support and help with participant recruitment and to Drs Alice Thackray, Mark Turner, Andy Jackson, Phil Cordery and Steve Faulkner for their help in the exercise and biochemical laboratories. Finally, to Lois Daniels, Priti Odedra, Steve Hartshorn and Chris Brough for their support with the Go for IT study.

To my fellow students in the Clyde Williams Building and the National Centre for Sports and Exercise Medicine. I’ve enjoyed many a lunch, brew, run, rant, or coconut cocktail with each of you. Many of you have also been housemates and particular thanks go to the many members of 10 Adam Dale (Andy Shaw, Dave Clayton, Dave Hunter, James Dorling, James Rhodes, James Smallcombe, Mike Hutchinson and Patrick Highton). I’ll always look back really fondly on these times. A special mention must also go to Ruth Boat, Kate Tudor, Svenja Wachsmuth, Fernanda Reistenbach-Goltz, Scott Willis and Matt Ward. Thanks also to my friends back in Exmouth (Ben, Robin, Matt, Mikey and others) who have always been there for a chat or a beer. Your contribution to my time away from work should not be forgotten.

Finally, to my family. To Dad, Mum, Kate and Nan, I am so grateful for your love and support over the years that has undoubtedly helped me get to where I am today. Thanks also to those no longer here and, whilst it seems so unfair to single out one, a special mention goes to my Grandad Derek, who I hope would be incredibly proud.
Preface

Peer-reviewed publications

Chapter 4:


Chapter 6:


Chapter 7:

Conference presentations and published abstracts

Data from Chapter 4 were presented in the form of a poster at the *European Obesity Summit (EOS): Joint Congress of the European Association for the Study of Obesity and International Federation for the Surgery of Obesity and Metabolic Disorders – European Chapter 2016*. The accepted abstract was published with the following citation:


Data from Chapter 6 were presented in the form of posters at the *European Association for the Study of Liver, International Liver Congress 2017* and the *European Association for the Study of Obesity, European Congress on Obesity 2017*. The accepted abstracts for these presentations were published with the following citations:


I was also invited to give an oral presentation of the data presented in Chapter 6 at the British Association for the Study of the Liver Basic Science Retreat 2018.

Invited meetings

I was successful in my application to attend the *European Association for the Study of Obesity, New Investigators United (NIU) Summer School 2016*: “*Obesity – A Multi-Systemic Disease*”. This meeting was held over 3 days in Cascais, Portugal and involved several structured and unstructured sessions designed to foster discussion between a small group of early-career researchers and international experts on various aspects of research and clinical practice related to obesity and obesity-related conditions.
Table of Contents

ABSTRACT I

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS II

PREFACE

Peer-reviewed publications IV
Conference presentations and published abstracts V
Invited meetings V

TABLE OF CONTENTS VI

LIST OF TABLES XIII

LIST OF FIGURES XV

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS XVII

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION 1

CHAPTER 2

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1 Purpose and overview of this chapter 8
2.2 Obesity 8
  2.2.1 Pathogenesis of obesity 8
  2.2.2 Lipid storage in obesity 9
2.3 Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) 10
  2.3.1 An introduction and historical overview 10
  2.3.2 Diagnosis 11
  2.3.3 General prevalence and common risk factors 12
  2.3.4 Natural history 13
  2.3.5 Hepatic steatosis vs. NASH 14
  2.3.6 Associations of NAFLD with insulin resistance and T2DM 15
  2.3.7 Pathogenesis of hepatic steatosis 15

~ VI ~
3.8.2 Determination of peak oxygen uptake (\(\dot{V}O_2 \) peak) and peak power output 56
3.9 Magnetic resonance procedures 56
3.10 Dual-step hyperinsulinaemic, euglycaemic clamp procedures 56
3.11 Isolation and storage of plasma and serum 57
3.12 Biochemical analyses 58
3.13 Calculation of plasma volume and adjustment of plasma protein concentrations 58
3.14 Calculation of indices of insulin resistance 59
3.15 Calculation of area under the curve (AUC) 59
3.16 Statistical analyses 59

CHAPTER 4
THE INFLUENCE OF ADIPOSITY AND ACUTE EXERCISE ON CIRCULATING HEPATOKINES IN NORMAL WEIGHT AND OVERWEIGHT/OBESE MEN

4.1 Abstract 62
4.2 Introduction 63
4.3 Materials and methods 65
  4.3.1 Ethical approval and participant recruitment 65
  4.3.2 Participant pre-assessment 65
  4.3.3 Experimental trials 66
  4.3.4 Biochemical analyses 67
  4.3.5 Statistical analyses 67
4.4 Results 69
  4.4.1 Participant characteristics 69
  4.4.2 Fasted plasma hepatokine concentrations and associations with metabolic variables 69
  4.4.3 Exercise characteristics 71
  4.4.4 Circulating hepatokine responses to exercise 71
  4.4.5 Circulating responses of NEFA, glucagon and insulin to exercise 75
4.5 Discussion 77
CHAPTER 5
THE EFFECTS OF ACUTE MODERATE-INTENSITY CONTINUOUS EXERCISE OR LOW-VOLUME HIGH-INTENSITY INTERVAL TRAINING ON CIRCULATING HEPATOKINE PROFILES IN INDIVIDUALS WITH IMPAIRED GLUCOSE REGULATION

5.1 Abstract 83
5.2 Introduction 84
5.3 Materials and Methods 86
  5.3.1 Ethical approval and participant recruitment 86
  5.3.2 Preliminary visit 86
  5.3.3 Experimental trials 87
  5.3.4 Biochemical analyses 88
  5.3.5 Statistical analyses 89
5.4 Results 90
  5.4.1 Participant and exercise characteristics 90
  5.4.2 Circulating hepatokines responses to exercise 91
  5.4.3 Circulating responses of NEFA and insulin to exercise 91
5.5 Discussion 94

CHAPTER 6
EFFECTS OF SPRINT INTERVAL TRAINING ON ECTOPIC LIPIDS AND TISSUE-SPECIFIC INSULIN SENSITIVITY IN MEN WITH NON-ALCOHOLIC FATTY LIVER DISEASE

6.1 Abstract 100
6.2 Introduction 101
6.3 Participant and Methods 103
  6.3.1 Ethical approval 103
  6.3.2 Participants 103
  6.3.3 Study design 103
  6.3.4 Imaging and metabolic assessments 104
  6.3.5 Assessment of cardiorespiratory fitness and habitual physical activity 105
6.3.6 Exercise training 105
6.3.7 Biochemical analyses 106
6.3.8 Tracer calculations 106
6.3.9 Statistical analyses 106

6.4 Results 108
6.4.1 Participant recruitment 108
6.4.2 Participant characteristics and exercise training compliance 109
6.4.3 Effects of SIT on cardiorespiratory fitness and habitual physical activity 109
6.4.4 Effects of SIT on ectopic fat and systemic metabolic biomarkers 113
6.4.5 Effects of SIT on peripheral and hepatic insulin sensitivity 114

6.5 Discussion 117

CHAPTER 7
THE EFFECT OF EXERCISE TRAINING ON INTRAHEPATIC TRIGLYCERIDE AND HEPATIC INSULIN SENSITIVITY: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS

7.1 Abstract 123
7.2 Introduction 124
7.3 Methods 126
7.3.1 Primary outcomes 126
7.3.2 Literature search 126
7.3.3 Study selection 127
7.3.4 Data extraction 127
7.3.5 Risk of bias assessment 128
7.3.6 Meta-analyses 128
7.3.7 Subgroup analyses and meta-regression 129

7.4 Results 131
7.4.1 Literature search 131
7.4.2 Risk of bias assessment 135
7.4.3 The effects of exercise training on IHTG 139

~ X ~
Chapter 7

7.4.4 Overview of studies investigating the effects of exercise on hepatic insulin sensitivity
7.4.5 The effects of exercise training on basal hepatic insulin sensitivity
7.4.6 The effects of exercise training on insulin-stimulated hepatic insulin sensitivity

7.5 Discussion

Chapter 8

General Discussion

8.1 Principal findings and chapter overview
8.2 Intrahepatic triglyceride
8.3 Effects of exercise training beyond IHTG
8.4 Insulin sensitivity
8.5 Implementation of exercise training in the management of NAFLD
8.6 Hepatokines
8.7 Future investigations

Chapter 9

Bibliography

Chapter 10

Appendices

10.1 Appendix I: Applied Physiology Nutrition and Metabolism, featured content May 2018
10.2 Appendix II: Ethical and sponsor approvals
10.3 Appendix III: Details of risk definition and screening procedures for inactive individuals
10.4 Appendix IV: The international physical activity questionnaire (short version)
10.5 Appendix V: The physical activity readiness questionnaire
10.6 Appendix VI: The ‘RISK’ scoring system
10.7 Appendix VII: Supplementary results for Chapter 4
List of Tables

Table 4.1 Participant characteristics................................................................. 70
Table 4.2 Exercise characteristics .................................................................. 71
Table 5.1 Details of exercise protocols employed in experimental trials ........... 88
Table 5.2 Participant characteristics ................................................................. 90
Table 6.1 Participant characteristics and study outcomes............................... 110
Table 6.2 Habitual sedentary time and physical activity during baseline, pre- and post-training assessment periods ......................................................... 112
Table 7.1 Categories of exercise intensity ....................................................... 130
Table 7.2 Overview of included studies ........................................................... 132
Table 7.3 Risk of bias assessment for all studies ............................................. 136
Table 7.4 Pooled participant characteristics of RCTs included in meta-analysis of the effects of exercise training on IHTG ....................................................... 140
Table 7.5 Pooled intervention characteristics of RCTs included in meta-analysis of the effects of exercise training on IHTG ....................................................... 140
Table 10.1 Associations between fasted hepatokine concentrations and metabolic biomarkers .................................................................................. 240
Table 10.2 Troiano cut-points for categories of physical activity in counts per minute using tri-axial accelerometry analysis .............................................. 245
Table 10.3 Participant characteristics specific to those completing hyperinsulinaemic, euglycaemic clamps ................................................................. 251
Table 10.4 Participant characteristics specific to those for which full VAT and ScAT data are available .......................................................................... 252
Table 10.5 Participant characteristics and outcome measures of studies assessing changes in IHTG ................................................................. 256
Table 10.6 Participant characteristics and outcome measures of studies assessing changes in HISI and %EGP_{supp} ..................................................................... 260
Table 10.7 Intervention characteristics of all included studies ....................... 263
Table 10.8  Participant characteristics, including fasted circulating hepatokines, in participants recruited in exercise laboratory-based experimental chapter of this thesis ................................................................. 271

Table 10.9  Statistically significant relationships (and selected others) between fasted hepatokines concentrations and makers of cardiometabolic health in the pooled population of individuals recruited in this thesis. .......................... 273
List of Figures

Figure 2.1  An overview of factors contributing to the pathogenesis of hepatic steatosis ...17
Figure 4.1  Schematic representation of experimental trial days ......................................... 66
Figure 4.2  Hepatokine responses in normal weight and overweight/obese groups .......... 73
Figure 4.3  Hepatokine exercise responses with groups combined to a single population .. 74
Figure 4.4  Responses in NEFA, glucagon and insulin with groups combined to a single population........................................................................................................ 76
Figure 5.1  Schematic representation of experimental trial days ........................................ 87
Figure 5.2  Circulating hepatokine response during control, CME and LV-HIIT trials...... 92
Figure 5.3  Circulating NEFA (a-b) and insulin (c-d) responses during control, CME and LV-HIIT trials ............................................................... 93
Figure 6.1  Study recruitment process ................................................................................ 108
Figure 6.2  a) Peak oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_2$ peak) and b) peak power output measured at baseline, pre- and post-training ............................................................ 113
Figure 6.3  a) Body weight, b) intrahepatic triglyceride (IHTG), c) visceral adipose tissue (VAT) and d) subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue (ScAT) measured at baseline, pre- and post-training .......................................................... 114
Figure 6.4  Serum insulin concentrations throughout dual-step hyperinsulinaemic, euglycaemic clamp assessments ........................................................................ 115
Figure 6.5  a-b) Peripheral and c) basal and d) insulin-stimulated hepatic insulin sensitivity measured at baseline, pre- and post-training ........................................... 116
Figure 7.1  Flowchart of literature search process ............................................................. 131
Figure 7.2  Funnel plots for assessment of publication bias in studies included in the following analyses: a) within-group change in IHTG, b) difference between groups in change in IHTG, and c) within-group change in HISI ..................... 138
Figure 7.3  Meta-analysis of the pooled effect of exercise training on IHTG from pre- to post-training in all exercise groups of all eligible studies .......................... 142
**Figure 7.4** Meta-analysis of the pooled effect of exercise training on IHTG using eligible RCTs only .............................................................. 143

**Figure 7.5** Meta-analysis of the pooled effect of exercise training on IHTG from pre-to post-training in all exercise groups of all eligible studies when studies are grouped into those that elicited weight loss versus those that did not ... 144

**Figure 7.6** Meta-regression between the magnitude of weight loss, relative to baseline, elicited by exercise intervention and the absolute change in IHTG .......... 145

**Figure 7.7** Meta-regression between duration of exercise intervention in weeks and the absolute change in IHTG from baseline elicited in all eligible studies ....... 145

**Figure 7.8** Meta-analysis of the pooled effect of exercise training on HISI from pre-to post-training in all exercise groups of all eligible studies ....................... 148

**Figure 8.1** Circulating concentrations of LECT2 and SeP during control and exercise trials in the combined population of Chapter 4, using data adjusted (a & c) or unadjusted (b & d) for changes in plasma volume ....................... 169

**Figure 10.1** Comparisons of adiposity, insulin resistance and fasted hepatokine concentrations in laboratory-based experimental studies (Chapters 4, 5 and 6) ................................................................. 272
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adipo-IR</td>
<td>adipose tissue insulin resistance index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>alanine aminotransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPK</td>
<td>adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA</td>
<td>analysis of variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST</td>
<td>aspartate aminotransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC</td>
<td>area under the curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF%</td>
<td>body fat percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChREBP</td>
<td>carbohydrate-responsive element binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cJNK</td>
<td>c-Jun N-terminal kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CME</td>
<td>continuous moderate-intensity aerobic exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD</td>
<td>chronic kidney disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coA</td>
<td>co-enzyme A (used with acetyl-, fatty acyl- and malonyl-coA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPT1/2</td>
<td>carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>computed tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>coefficient of variation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAG</td>
<td>diacylglycerol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGAT 1/2</td>
<td>diacylglycerol acyltransferase 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNL</td>
<td>de novo lipogenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>electrocardiography / electrocardiogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGP</td>
<td>endogenous glucose production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>endoplasmic reticulum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAT/CD36</td>
<td>fatty acid translocase/CD36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFM</td>
<td>fat-free mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF21</td>
<td>fibroblast growth factor 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM</td>
<td>fat mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FoxO1</td>
<td>forkhead box protein O1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPI</td>
<td>fasted plasma insulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPG</td>
<td>fasted plasma glucose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FXR</td>
<td>farnesoid X receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGT</td>
<td>gamma glutamyl transpeptidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLUT 1/4</td>
<td>glucose transporter 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC</td>
<td>hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>high-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFD</td>
<td>high-fat diet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HIIT – high intensity interval training
HIRI – hepatic insulin resistance index
HISI – hepatic insulin sensitivity index
HOMA-IR – homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance
HR – heart rate
HRmax – maximum heart rate
IFG – impaired fasted glucose
IGT – impaired glucose tolerance
IHTG – intrahepatic triglyceride
IPAQ – international physical activity questionnaire
IQR – interquartile range
IRS1/2 – insulin receptor substrate 1/2
LDL – low-density lipoprotein
LECT2 – leukocyte cell-derived chemotaxin 2
LPA – lysophosphatidic acid
LPL – lipoprotein lipase
LV-HIIT – low-volume high-intensity interval training
Matsuda ISI – Matsuda insulin sensitivity index
METs – metabolic equivalents of task
MRI – magnetic resonance imaging
NAFLD – non-alcoholic fatty liver disease
NASH – non-alcoholic steatohepatitis
NEFA – non-esterified fatty acids
NF-κB – nuclear factor kappa B
OGTT – oral glucose tolerance test
PAR-Q – physical activity readiness questionnaire
PI3k – phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase
PKC – protein kinase C (includes θ [theta] and ε [epsilon] isoforms)
PPAR – peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (includes α [alpha] and γ [gamma] isoforms)
PPO – peak power output
RCT – randomised controlled trial
RER – respiratory exchange ratio
RPE – rating of perceived exertion
ScAT – subcutaneous adipose tissue
SD – standard deviation
SEM – standard error of the mean
SFA – saturated fatty acid
SIT – sprint interval exercise training
SeP – selenoprotein P
SREBP1c – sterol regulatory element binding protein 1c

TG – triglyceride

TGF – transforming growth factor

TZDs – thiazolidinediones

T2DM – type 2 diabetes mellitus

UPR – unfolded protein response

US - ultrasound

VAT – visceral adipose tissue

\( \dot{\text{V}} \text{CO}_2 \) – carbon dioxide production

(volume of carbon dioxide produced per unit time)

VLDL – very low-density lipoprotein

\( \dot{\text{V}} \text{O}_2 \) – oxygen uptake (volume of oxygen utilised per unit time)

\( \dot{\text{V}} \text{O}_2 \) peak – peak oxygen uptake

WC – waist circumference

\%\text{EGP}_{\text{supp}} \) – percentage suppression of endogenous glucose production (typically by low-dose insulin infusion)

\(^1\text{H-MRS} \) – proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy

95% CI – 95% confidence interval
CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION
Obesity is a chronic disease characterised by the excess accumulation of body fat, which is associated with several physical and metabolic consequences, and increases risk of disease-specific and all-cause mortality (Bray, 2004; Whitlock et al., 2009; The Global BMI Mortality Collaboration, 2016; Heymsfield and Wadden, 2017). The prevalence of obesity has risen globally over recent decades (NCD Risk Factor Collaboration, 2016) and, with the simultaneous improvement in the management of infectious diseases, has become established as a leading health concern in modern societies (Heymsfield and Wadden, 2017). If current trends continue, it is predicted that, by 2030, there may be as many as three billion individuals worldwide that are overweight or obese (Kelly et al., 2008). It is estimated that approximately 27% of the United Kingdom (UK) population are obese, many of whom are severely obese, and a further 35% are overweight (NCD Risk Factor Collaboration, 2016). The high prevalence of obesity, and the number of associated co-morbidities, make obesity treatment a substantial economic burden; one that is predicted to increase (Wang et al., 2011; Bray et al., 2016).

The pathogenesis of obesity is highly complex and obesity risk is determined by several modifiable and non-modifiable factors (Heymsfield and Wadden, 2017; Schwartz et al., 2017). With rare genetic conditions aside, however, obesity is predominantly the result of prolonged energy surplus. Consequently, physical inactivity and excessive energy intake (as well as deleterious dietary composition) are important modifiable risk factors that are independently associated with increased obesity risk (Yumuk et al., 2015). It is notable that reductions in physical activity over recent decades, particularly occupational physical activity, mirror the increased prevalence of overweight and obesity (Church et al., 2011).

There has been increased recognition over recent years that adipose tissue is not simply a site for lipid storage but is, in fact, a metabolically-active endocrine organ (McGown, Birerdinc and Younossi, 2014). Accordingly, the metabolic consequences of obesity remain an area of growing interest and research. Obesity is associated with the increased risk of several metabolic co-morbidities, including type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), cardiovascular disease (CVD), chronic kidney disease (CKD) and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) (Bray et al., 2016; Heymsfield and Wadden, 2017). As a result, the clinical management of obesity requires not only a focus on achieving and maintaining a healthy body weight, but must also consider the management of these associated conditions.

In recent years, there has been a rapid growth in the attention dedicated to NAFLD from both researchers and clinicians alike. In the UK, the number of hospital admissions due to NAFLD
has risen exponentially since 1998 and this is likely due to a combination of both increased obesity prevalence and increased clinical awareness (Williams et al., 2014). NAFLD is characterised by the ectopic accumulation of lipid in the liver (hepatic steatosis), which occurs independently of excessive alcohol consumption (Marchesini et al., 2016; Chalasani et al., 2018). Hepatic steatosis may occur in isolation, but it is the development of associated hepatic inflammation and/or fibrosis (non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; NASH), which substantially increases the risk of advanced liver complications (cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), and liver failure), as well as liver-specific and all-cause mortality (Rinella, 2015; Than and Newsome, 2015). NAFLD is now a leading chronic liver disease worldwide, with an estimated global prevalence of approximately 25% (Younossi et al., 2016). Furthermore, NASH-related HCC is the most rapidly growing cause of liver transplantation and is set to surpass hepatitis C as the principal indication (Wong, Cheung and Ahmed, 2014; Rinella and Sanyal, 2016).

In the context of hepatic complications, therefore, the development of NASH represents an important aspect in the natural history of NAFLD. However, the clinical impact of hepatic steatosis per se should not be dismissed. Hepatic steatosis (measured as intrahepatic triglyceride; IHTG) is strongly associated with insulin resistance in several tissues, including skeletal muscle, adipose tissue and the liver (Korenblat et al., 2008; Bril, Barb, et al., 2017), and this has several implications for glycaemic control. Although the nature of these implications is highly complex, peripheral (skeletal muscle and adipose tissue) insulin resistance predominantly disrupts glucose uptake, whilst hepatic insulin resistance contributes to elevated endogenous glucose production (EGP) (Taylor, 2008). Unsurprisingly, therefore, NAFLD is commonly considered the hepatic component of the metabolic syndrome and is predictive of incident T2DM (Kotronen and Yki-Järvinen, 2008; Armstrong et al., 2014; Byrne and Targher, 2015; Mantovani et al., 2018). Insulin resistance is also heavily implicated in the pathogeneses of CKD and CVD (Laakso and Kuusisto, 2014; Artunc et al., 2016; Xu and Carrero, 2017) and may, therefore, represent a central feature underlying the relationships between NAFLD and the myriad of obesity-associated conditions mentioned previously (Armstrong et al., 2014; Byrne and Targher, 2015). It is notable that the leading cause of death in individuals with NAFLD is CVD (Targher, Day and Bonora, 2010; Targher et al., 2016).

Several direct and indirect mechanisms have the potential to mediate the relationship between hepatic steatosis and peripheral insulin resistance (Byrne and Targher, 2015; Meex and Watt, 2017). One exciting, novel mechanism has emerged from the recent identification of several exclusively or predominantly liver-synthesised proteins, which have capacity to be secreted...
into the circulation and exert endocrine effects in peripheral tissues. Consistent with the nomenclature of myokines and adipokines, these liver-secreted proteins have been termed “hepatokines” (Stefan and Häring, 2013; Takamura, Misu and Kaneko, 2016). Several of these hepatokines appear to be regulated by nutritional status (both acute and chronic), with hepatic steatosis implicated as a mediator of their synthesis and/or secretion (Meex et al., 2015). Furthermore, some hepatokines have been shown to modulate peripheral insulin sensitivity or glycaemic control, attracting further interest into their clinical importance in NAFLD and other obesity-associated co-morbidities (Stefan and Häring, 2013; Meex et al., 2015; Takamura, Misu and Kaneko, 2016).

There are currently no approved pharmacological treatments specifically for the treatment of NAFLD (Marchesini et al., 2016; Rinella and Sanyal, 2016). There may be many reasons for this, but one important issue is the methodological difficulties and ethical considerations of using repeated liver biopsy (Festi et al., 2013). Liver biopsy is highly invasive but, at present, regulatory bodies necessitate its use for the measurement of histological outcomes when testing pharmacological interventions. Several non-invasive imaging methods exist for the assessment of outcomes in NAFLD (Alkhouri and Feldstein, 2016; Bawden, Scott and Aithal, 2017). However, until suitable methods are developed, validated and approved by regulatory agencies for the measurement of histological outcomes (particularly hepatic inflammation and fibrosis), collecting sufficient experimental evidence to gain approval of pharmacological treatments in NAFLD will remain challenging (Rinella and Sanyal, 2016).

Consequently, lifestyle interventions remain the cornerstone of treatment in NAFLD (Marchesini et al., 2016; Chalasani et al., 2018), and these are implemented with the primary aim of reducing body weight through the restriction of energy intake and increasing physical activity. The consumption of a more favourable dietary composition may also elicit independent benefits (Marchesini et al., 2016; Chalasani et al., 2018). These guidelines (discussed in more detail within Chapter 2 of this thesis) provide generic goals for individuals, including weight loss targets and recommendations for both daily energy restriction and total weekly physical activity. Notably, however, they also fully endorse the use of a personalised approach, where each patient’s treatment is discussed and agreed between a multidisciplinary team of healthcare professionals and, most importantly, in consultation with the patient themselves (Marchesini et al., 2016; Chalasani et al., 2018). Holistic patient care means that agreed treatments should target the favourable modification of as many lifestyle risk factors as possible (diet, physical activity, smoking status etc.). However, specific interventions should
be tailored to patient preferences and circumstances (Marchesini et al., 2016; Chalasani et al., 2018). This applies to the broader treatment approach (some individuals may favour energy restriction, whilst others prefer to focus on increased physical activity) as well as the specific details of the intervention employed. For example, when attempting to engage in more regular structured exercise, some patients may favour continuous moderate-intensity aerobic exercise (CME) training, whilst others may prefer high-intensity interval training (HIIT) or progressive resistance exercise. This individualised approach does, however, necessitate the development of several interventions that vary in nature and are supported by strong experimental evidence, to provide patients with multiple options to explore.

With this context in mind, the research reported in this thesis had three primary aims:

1. To examine the effects of acute exercise on several circulating hepatokines and their associations with anthropometric and circulating clinical biomarkers in individuals of different weight status and glycaemic control.
2. To test the feasibility and efficacy of sprint interval training (SIT) as a novel intervention for patients with NAFLD, particularly exploring its effects on IHTG and tissue-specific (hepatic and peripheral) insulin sensitivity.
3. To collate the existing literature and summarise the effects of exercise training on IHTG and hepatic insulin sensitivity, exploring the mediating influence of weight loss.

To do this, this thesis contains three laboratory-based experimental studies (Chapters 4, 5 and 6), which collectively target aims one and two, and concludes with a systematic review and meta-analysis (Chapter 7), which targets aim three.

Specifically, Chapter 4 investigates the influence of a single bout of CME on several candidate hepatokines in normal weight and overweight/obese men. Relationships between fasted plasma hepatokine concentrations, anthropometric measures and circulating cardiometabolic risk factors are also explored. Chapter 5 then extends these findings by conducting exploratory preliminary analyses on an ongoing clinical trial comparing the effects of acute CME or low-volume HIIT (LV-HIIT) on hepatokine responses in a patient group with dysregulated glucose metabolism. Chapter 6 presents the results of a 6-week SIT intervention in patients with NAFLD on hepatic steatosis (assessed by magnetic resonance spectroscopy) and hepatic and peripheral insulin sensitivity (determined by dual-step hyperinsulinaemic, euglycaemic clamp). Hepatokines were also measured in Chapter 6 to explore changes in fasted circulating concentrations with training. Finally, the systematic review and meta-analysis presented in
Chapter 7 systematically collates the available literature investigating the impact of exercise training on hepatic steatosis and hepatic insulin sensitivity in individuals with, or at high risk of, NAFLD. Importantly, inclusion criteria restrict eligible studies to those using the same techniques implemented in Chapter 6. Subgroup analyses and meta-regression in Chapter 7 explore the impact of exercise mode (aerobic, HIIT or resistance exercise) and intensity, intervention duration and the influence of associated weight loss on changes in hepatic steatosis.

The studies described in this thesis were core studies conducted within the National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) Leicester-Loughborough Diet Lifestyle and Physical Activity Biomedical Research Unit (“Leicester-Loughborough BRU”). The studies outlined in Chapters 4 and 5 were each designed and conducted in collaboration with other PhD students sponsored by the BRU. The data presented in Chapter 4 has been published (Sargeant, Aithal, et al., 2018) and was selected by the editor as the featured article within its issue (Appendix I). Other outcomes of this trial have also been published (Douglas et al., 2017). The study presented in Chapter 6, which was a collaborative project with the NIHR Nottingham Digestive Diseases BRU, has also been published (Sargeant, Bawden, et al., 2018), as has the systematic review and meta-analysis presented in Chapter 7 (Sargeant, Gray, et al., 2018).
CHAPTER 2

REVIEW OF LITERATURE
2.1 Purpose and overview of this chapter

This chapter presents a detailed but succinct review of the literature that provides the underlying rationale for the studies presented in this thesis. The literature cited throughout is intended to be thorough, but is not an exhaustive list. Topics that are related but not specific to the focus of this thesis are mentioned in brief and appropriate published reviews are recommended for the interested reader.

This chapter starts by providing an overview of the pathogenesis of obesity and ectopic lipid storage before presenting an outline of the discovery, prevalence and natural history of NAFLD. This is followed by a more detailed account of the pathogenesis and treatment of hepatic steatosis, the central component of NAFLD, and its relationship with obesity and T2DM; focussing particularly on the role of physical inactivity and structured exercise training. This chapter concludes by presenting recent evidence concerning a selection of exclusively or predominantly liver-secreted proteins, termed ‘hepatokines’, and their potential implications for metabolic health as a novel mechanism mediating ‘cross-talk’ between the liver and peripheral tissues.

2.2 Obesity

2.2.1 Pathogenesis of obesity

The pathogenesis of obesity is highly complex, but in most individuals its development is ultimately governed by a chronic state of positive energy balance (Heymsfield and Wadden, 2017; Schwartz et al., 2017). Energy balance is the sum of all processes related to energy intake and expenditure and, when intake exceeds expenditure, the excess energy is stored. Storage primarily occurs in the form of lipid (triglyceride; TG) accumulation in adipose tissue leading to increased body weight (Heymsfield and Wadden, 2017). Importantly, this increased storage is reversible and when a prolonged state of negative energy balance is achieved (through sufficient reductions in energy intake, increases in energy expenditure, or combinations of both) weight loss will occur (Heymsfield and Wadden, 2017). From an evolutionary perspective, the capacity of humans to store large amounts of energy in the form of lipid-rich adipose tissue is advantageous, conserving this energy during periods of surplus for times of relative famine (Schwartz et al., 2017). However, in the modern environment, where energy is freely available and the requirements for physical exertion are reduced, this adaptation predisposes individuals to excessive weight gain.
The underlying factors that determine energy intake and expenditure in humans are numerous and interested readers are directed to published reviews that discuss the pathogenesis of obesity in depth (Van Der Klaauw and Farooqi, 2015; Heymsfield and Wadden, 2017; Schwartz et al., 2017). A combination of genetic, developmental and environmental factors interact to determine obesity risk for a given individual. Many of these factors are fundamental to the pathogenesis of NAFLD and will be discussed in more depth in subsequent sections of this literature review.

### 2.2.2 Lipid storage in obesity

During chronic energy surplus, lipid accumulation occurs in several different sites (or ‘compartments’), including both adipose and non-adipose tissues. The relative distribution of lipids across these tissues varies between individuals and, importantly, the associated metabolic risk for each compartment is not equal (Shen et al., 2003; Blüher, 2013). Consequently, a given individual may have high levels of body fat yet remain relatively metabolically healthy in comparison to an apparently leaner person with a more adverse distribution of lipid storage (Thomas et al., 2012; Blüher, 2013). In most individuals, the majority of lipid storage occurs in subcutaneous adipose tissue (ScAT) and this compartment is associated with the lowest metabolic risk (Tchkonia et al., 2013). In a further level of complexity, the regional distribution of ScAT between upper body, lower body and abdominal sites may also be influential (Tchkonia et al., 2013; Karpe and Pinnick, 2015). The visceral adipose tissue (VAT) compartment is a smaller absolute site for fat accumulation compared to ScAT, but is associated with a greater risk of metabolic dysregulation (Tchkonia et al., 2013; Heymsfield and Wadden, 2017). Even in normal weight individuals, those with low ScAT and high VAT display greater metabolic risk compared to those with high ScAT and low VAT (Thomas et al., 2012). In most individuals, when a state of chronic overnutrition and physical inactivity is sustained, the ability to preferentially store lipids within ScAT, becomes overwhelmed and an increased accumulation within VAT and other ectopic sites occurs. This may be further exacerbated by the development of adipose tissue dysfunction contributing to reduced storage capacity (Tan and Vidal-Puig, 2008; Blüher, 2013). Non-adipose sites of ectopic lipid storage include the vasculature, skeletal muscle, cardiac, renal and pancreatic tissues as well as, of particular relevance to this thesis, the liver (Szendroedi and Roden, 2009; Byrne and Targher, 2014). The ectopic accumulation of lipids in the liver is termed ‘hepatic steatosis’ and is the fundamental component of NAFLD.
2.3 Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)

2.3.1 An introduction and historical overview

Hepatic steatosis is defined clinically as the presence of TG in more than 5% of hepatocytes or a liver fat content (intrahepatic triglyceride; IHTG) greater than 5.56%, depending on the measurement technique used (Marchesini et al., 2016; Chalasani et al., 2018). Importantly, a diagnosis of NAFLD requires that hepatic steatosis occurs in the absence of excessive alcohol intake, viral infection and steatogenic medications, as well as other secondary sources. Consequently, most cases of NAFLD are fundamentally a result of deleterious lifestyle habits; usually a combination of excessive energy intake, adverse dietary composition and low physical activity (Marchesini et al., 2016; Chalasani et al., 2018). Given that these are underlying components of positive energy balance, it is not surprising that the majority of NAFLD diagnoses occur in the context of overweight or obesity (Chalasani et al., 2018). NAFLD describes a spectrum of conditions in which hepatic steatosis can either manifest in isolation (often referred to as ‘benign’ or ‘simple’ steatosis) or in combination with varying degrees of hepatic inflammation and/or fibrosis, known as NASH. In some cases, NAFLD may proceed to cirrhosis, HCC and liver failure, which may ultimately result in liver transplantation and/or death (Rinella, 2015; Than and Newsome, 2015; Marchesini et al., 2016).

For decades, it was firmly believed that hepatic steatosis in the absence of viral infection was the result of excess alcohol consumption. Individuals who denied high alcohol intake were simply believed to be lying (Leslie, 2015). Then, in 1979, Adler and Schaffner reported findings from 29 overweight patients who, through individual interviews with three separate doctors, were considered to be no greater than “light social drinkers” (Adler and Schaffner, 1979). Liver biopsy samples from these patients all showed signs of hepatic lipid accumulation and patients were equally distributed between the categories of ‘fatty liver’, ‘fatty hepatitis’, ‘fatty fibrosis’ and ‘fatty cirrhosis’. These findings led the authors to conclude that “obesity per se can lead to “severe liver damage” (Adler and Schaffner, 1979). A year later, Jurgen Ludwig and colleagues, from the Mayo Graduate School of Medicine, published similar findings from 20 individuals undergoing serial biopsy between the years of 1969 and 1979 (Ludwig et al., 1980). These individuals were moderately obese but “denied alcohol abuse categorically”, a claim that was supported by evidence from laboratory tests and consultation with relatives. Nonetheless, these patients presented with progressive histological changes that were compatible with alcoholic liver disease, including “striking fatty changes with evidence
of lobular hepatitis” and “evidence of fibrosis”. Three individuals also developed cirrhosis (Ludwig et al., 1980). As a result, Ludwig and colleagues were the first to propose the term NASH to describe this distinct medical entity (Ludwig et al., 1980). For clinicians who remained doubtful, the rapid increase in the prevalence of fatty liver in children and adolescents provided further compelling evidence (Leslie, 2015).

2.3.2 Diagnosis

Exact definitions of hepatic steatosis differ subtly depending on the measurement technique used. Liver biopsy with subsequent chemical or histological assessment of tissue composition is the longest-standing method for the diagnosis of NAFLD. Using this method, hepatic steatosis is considered a fat percentage greater than 5% of liver volume or weight, or when more than 5% of hepatocytes visibly contain TG under microscopy (Fabbrini and Magkos, 2015). More recent advances in non-invasive imaging techniques, including ultrasound (US) and computed tomography (CT), has led to their routine use for early NAFLD diagnoses using similar diagnostic thresholds for liver fat content. Due to its high cost and invasive nature, liver biopsy is now reserved for when more progressive NAFLD is suspected, as it remains the only clinically approved method for the assessment of hepatic inflammation, fibrosis and cirrhosis. Circulating liver enzymes (alanine (ALT) and aspartate (AST) aminotransferases and gamma glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT)) are biomarkers of liver disease but are poorly correlated with IHTG and are insensitive to changes that occur (Charatcharoenwitthaya, Lindor and Angulo, 2012). However, most cases of NAFLD are diagnosed during clinical follow-up of abnormal liver function tests. Improvements in magnetic resonance techniques have allowed more sensitive non-invasive methods to be developed, namely proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (1H-MRS) and, more recently, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). In a study of 2,349 individuals deemed to be at low risk of developing NAFLD, the 95th percentile of IHTG as assessed using 1H-MRS was 5.56% (Szczepaniak et al., 2005). Subsequently, this value has become an established threshold for the diagnosis of NAFLD when using this technique. Notably, however, this threshold criteria is not based on any relationship between IHTG and metabolic or clinical outcomes (Fabbrini and Magkos, 2015). For example, non-linear relationships have been reported between IHTG and tissue-specific insulin sensitivity, with suggestions that hepatic insulin sensitivity in particular (measured as percentage suppression of EGP) may be substantially impaired at IHTG content much lower than 5.56% (Bril, Barb, et al., 2017). Furthermore, magnetic resonance procedures remain expensive and may not be practical in all individuals. As such, it is often reserved for clinical research studies.
2.3.3 General prevalence and common risk factors

NAFLD is now a leading cause of liver disease worldwide and the most prevalent in Western countries (Marchesini et al., 2016; Cusi et al., 2017). Its rapid rise in prevalence over recent decades make it an important health concern in both adults and children (Vernon, Baranova and Younossi, 2011; Than and Newsome, 2015). Prevalence estimates vary, primarily depending on the diagnostic technique used, but a recent meta-analysis of 45 studies with a total of over 8.5 million individuals reported the global prevalence of NAFLD to be approximately 25% [95% CI: 22.10 to 28.65%] (Younossi et al., 2016). When analysed by region, data specific to Europe were similar (21 studies; 230,685 individuals; prevalence 23.71% [16.1 to 33.5%]), as were those in the single study from the UK (1,118 individuals; prevalence 26.39% [23.82 to 29.07%]) (Armstrong et al., 2012). It is suggested that poor detection and referral of suspected NAFLD in at risk populations within primary care means that these research studies likely underestimate the true NAFLD prevalence (Armstrong et al., 2012; Blais et al., 2015).

The prevalence of NAFLD in normal weight individuals with no metabolic risk factors is between seven and 16% (Vernon, Baranova and Younossi, 2011; Younossi et al., 2016). However, this is substantially increased in individuals who are obese and greater further in those with additional metabolic risk factors or co-morbidities (Rinella, 2015; Younossi et al., 2016; Cusi et al., 2017). NAFLD risk increases with body mass index (BMI) and waist circumference (WC) (Bedgoni et al., 2005), and is prevalent in as many as 90% of severely obese individuals undergoing bariatric surgery (Machado, Marques-Vidal and Cortez-Pinto, 2006). Furthermore, approximately 50% of individuals with dyslipidaemia, defined as elevated circulating TG and reduced high-density lipoprotein (HDL), satisfy diagnostic criteria for NAFLD (Assy et al., 2000; K.-T. Wu et al., 2016). Conversely, dyslipidaemia is present in approximately 70% of patients with NAFLD (Younossi et al., 2016). NAFLD is also tightly associated with insulin resistance and T2DM (Wanless and Lentz, 1990; Portillo Sanchez et al., 2015; Younossi et al., 2016; Cusi et al., 2017) and these relationships are discussed in greater depth within subsequent paragraphs of this literature review (2.3.6 Associations of NAFLD with insulin resistance and T2DM).

The risk of NAFLD increases with age (Vernon, Baranova and Younossi, 2011; Attar and Van Thiel, 2013; Brea and Puzo, 2013), although this may be mediated by an increased likelihood of other metabolic risk factors (Frith et al., 2009). NAFLD appears to be more common in men...
but the reasons for this disparity are unclear and evidence is not unanimous (Vernon, Baranova and Younossi, 2011). Prevalence may also differ between ethnicities, with the risk of NAFLD greatest in Hispanic individuals and lower in white Caucasian and African Americans, respectively (Browning et al., 2004; Vernon, Baranova and Younossi, 2011; Bril, Portillo-Sanchez, et al., 2017). These differences may be partly accounted for by differences in the \textit{PNPLA3} gene (Vernon, Baranova and Younossi, 2011) and, although a number of genes have been potentially implicated in the incidence and progression of NAFLD, variants in the \textit{PNPLA3} and \textit{TM6SF2} genes remains the most widely studied (Anstee, Targher and Day, 2013). Individuals who have the I148M and E167K variants in the \textit{PNPLA3} and \textit{TM6SF2} genes, respectively, have greater risk of both initial NAFLD incidence and more severe disease progression (Anstee, Targher and Day, 2013; Dongiovanni, Petta, Maglio, et al., 2015).

It is important to consider that NAFLD and alcoholic liver disease may co-exist (Marchesini et al., 2016) and that individuals with high alcohol intake may also have several unrelated metabolic risk factors that promote NAFLD (Adams, 2013; Marchesini et al., 2016). Whilst distinguishing individuals with each of these diagnoses is common and understandable in a research environment, the adoption of this dichotomy may result in an underestimation of true NAFLD prevalence.

\subsection*{2.3.4 Natural history}

The natural history of NAFLD is complex and not fully understood; not least because there appears to be distinct prognoses in individuals who maintain isolated steatosis and those that develop NASH (Rinella, 2015). Evidence from longitudinal studies is limited in number and varies in the use of diagnostic criteria and length of follow-up available. It is suspected, however, that approximately 20 to 25\% of individuals with steatosis proceed to NASH and, of these, a further 20 to 40\% will develop advanced fibrosis and/or cirrhosis over a 15-year period (Angulo, 2010; Caldwell and Argo, 2010). In the largest paired biopsy study to date, 108 patients were followed over a median of 6.6 years (McPherson et al., 2015). Approximately 40\% of patients showed worsening of NAFLD, 40\% showed no change and 20\% displayed improvements. Many of the patients that improved lost weight and presented with improvements in other metabolic factors (McPherson et al., 2015). Furthermore, in a separate retrospective study of 420 NAFLD patients, approximately 12.5\% died over a median follow-up of 7.5 years; a significantly lower survival rate than that of a matched control population (Adams et al., 2005). Notably, this study did not distinguish individuals with simple steatosis.
from those with NASH and it remains unclear if steatosis alone increases risk of mortality. It is well established, however, that all-cause and liver-related morbidity and mortality are substantially increased in individuals with NASH, compared to those with isolated steatosis and to the general population (Ekstedt et al., 2006; Söderberg et al., 2010; D. Kim et al., 2013; Younossi et al., 2016). Unsurprisingly, therefore, the progression to NASH represents a significant clinical outcome, particularly to hepatologists (Rinella, 2015; Than and Newsome, 2015; Marchesini et al., 2016; Younossi et al., 2016).

### 2.3.5 Hepatic steatosis vs. NASH

Given the evidence outlined above, it is not surprising that steatosis alone is sometimes considered to be a benign condition (Teli et al., 1995; Caldwell and Argo, 2010). Whilst this may be partly true in the context of hepatic complications, this opinion is somewhat short-sighted with respect to wider multidisciplinary care (Bril and Cusi, 2017). The pathogenesis of NASH is highly complex and it seems an oversimplification to assume a dichotomous distinction between patients who are simply destined to develop NASH and those that are not (Peverill, Powell and Skoien, 2014). Instead, it is more likely that elevated IHTG is one of several factors that contribute to the increased risk of developing NASH, and that the threshold of resistance to this progression differs between individuals (Peverill, Powell and Skoien, 2014). Furthermore, whilst a number of non-invasive tools are in development, invasive liver biopsy remains the only way to definitively diagnose NASH and predicting individuals that proceed from isolated steatosis to NASH is difficult (Rinella, 2015; Marchesini et al., 2016; Chalasani et al., 2018). Therefore, until a better understanding of the pathogenesis of NASH is gained, along with more effective methods to identify high-risk individuals, the prevention and treatment of hepatic steatosis should be a clinical priority. Finally, the impact of NAFLD on metabolic health is not restricted to hepatic complications and the accumulation of IHTG is strongly associated with many extra-hepatic complications, including insulin resistance (Korenblat et al., 2008; Gaggini et al., 2013; Bril, Barb, et al., 2017). Risk of metabolic co-morbidities, such as T2DM, CVD and CKD, is also increased in patients with NAFLD (Armstrong et al., 2014; Musso et al., 2014; Byrne and Targher, 2015; Sinn et al., 2017). It is the associations between NAFLD, insulin resistance and T2DM that are of particular interest to this thesis and are, therefore, the focus of the subsequent paragraphs.
2.3.6 Associations of NAFLD with insulin resistance and T2DM

Elevated IHTG is strongly associated with insulin resistance and is an independent predictor of insulin action in the liver, adipose tissue and skeletal muscle (Fabbrini et al., 2009). A study of 42 non-diabetic individuals (IHTG range: 0.7 to 45.5%) demonstrated strong linear correlations between IHTG and insulin sensitivity in the same three tissues (Korenblat et al., 2008). A further study of 144 individuals with and without T2DM has confirmed these associations, but more complex non-linear relationships are suggested for hepatic and skeletal muscle tissues (Bril, Barb, et al., 2017). Specifically, whilst a progressive reduction in adipose tissue insulin sensitivity is apparent with increasing IHTG, it is suggested that insulin sensitivity in the liver and skeletal muscle are maximally impaired at IHTG content of approximately 1.5 and 4%, respectively (Bril, Barb, et al., 2017). Given these strong associations, it is not surprising that NAFLD is widely described as the hepatic manifestation of the metabolic syndrome (Buzzetti, Pinzani and Tsochatzis, 2016; Marchesini et al., 2016).

Approximately two thirds of patients with T2DM have NAFLD (Williamson et al., 2011; Portillo Sanchez et al., 2015; Cusi et al., 2017), whilst 22% of patients with NAFLD have diabetes (Younossi et al., 2016). The study by Younossi and colleagues (Younossi et al., 2016) does not distinguish between different classes of diabetes, but it is likely that the majority of these patients have T2DM. NAFLD also predicts T2DM incidence (Balkau et al., 2010; Mantovani et al., 2018) and is associated with a 2- to 5-times greater risk of disease development (Armstrong et al., 2014). In a 14-year follow-up of 129 patients undergoing serial liver biopsies, 46% of those with steatosis alone developed T2DM, and this was even greater (~70%) in those with NASH (Ekstedt et al., 2006). Importantly, the resolution of NAFLD is associated with a significant reduction of T2DM incidence (Sung, Wild and Byrne, 2013). Lastly, the presence of both NAFLD and T2DM is associated with greater severity of both conditions. Specifically, patients with both conditions are more likely to develop advanced NAFLD, are more insulin resistant and have more severe hyperinsulinaemia (Vernon, Baranova and Younossi, 2011; Loomba et al., 2012; Lomonaco et al., 2016).

2.3.7 Pathogenesis of hepatic steatosis

The liver is heavily involved in human lipid metabolism but, in healthy individuals, is not a preferred lipid storage site. A healthy 70 kg man with a body fat percentage of 20% may store as little as 125 g of fat in their liver (Leslie, 2015). This is clearly an oversimplification, however, and large individual differences are inevitable. That said, the liver has tremendous
potential to store large amounts of excess lipid when circulating concentrations are high. This adaptation may be important to avoid the potentially harmful effects of lipotoxicity in other tissues; a hypothesis that may be extended to explain the accumulation of intramyocellular lipids, which is common in individuals with obesity or associated conditions such as NAFLD (Watt, 2009). As mentioned previously, however, the sustained accumulation of lipid in the liver is not without consequence for most individuals. As little as 1.5% and 4% IHTG is associated with a substantial impairment in hepatic and peripheral insulin sensitivity, respectively (Bril, Barb, et al., 2017). Furthermore, the 95th percentile of IHTG in a healthy adult population is only 5.56% (Szczepaniak et al., 2005). Collectively, this evidence supports the proposition that hepatic steatosis may be an adaptive response with acute benefits, but when excessive or sustained over time, the accumulative effects become deleterious and pathogenic (Tilg and Moschen, 2010; Anstee, Targher and Day, 2013).

In its simplest form, the underlying cause of steatosis is an imbalance in the relationship between lipid supply and utilisation; when the supply of lipids to the liver overwhelms its ability to remove them, accumulation occurs (Fabbrini, Sullivan and Klein, 2010; Birkenfeld and Shulman, 2014; Brouwers et al., 2016). As such, IHTG is determined by the balance between: a) fasted and post-prandial adipose tissue lipolysis, b) uptake of dietary lipids into the liver, c) hepatic de novo lipogenesis (DNL) of carbohydrate, d) hepatic lipid oxidation, and e) synthesis and export of lipid-rich very low-density lipoproteins (VLDL). These processes are presented in Figure 2.1. A more detailed account of these mechanisms at a molecular level, can be found in a thorough published review (Perry et al., 2014).

In obese individuals undergoing liver biopsy for suspected NAFLD, the majority of IHTG can be accounted for by the delivery of circulating non-esterified fatty acid (NEFA) and hepatic DNL (Donnelly et al., 2005). As such, in most cases, it is likely that changes in lipid supply are primarily responsible for the initial development of hepatic steatosis in NAFLD. Conversely, in accordance with the hypothesis that lipid storage as inert TG may be a protective adaptation, it may be that only when mechanisms of utilisation become overwhelmed and dysfunctional are associated co-morbidities, such as hepatic insulin resistance and NASH, developed (Tilg and Moschen, 2010). The factors underlying the development of hepatic steatosis and hepatic insulin resistance are outlined in subsequent paragraphs of this literature review. Readers interested in the pathogenesis of NASH are directed to a comprehensive review presenting the ‘multiple parallel hits hypothesis’ (Tilg and Moschen, 2010).
Figure 2.1  An overview of factors contributing to the pathogenesis of hepatic steatosis. Blood supply to/from the liver has been simplified for ease of interpretation. * Malonyl-coA inhibits lipid oxidation by reducing mitochondrial NEFA uptake. However, despite this, lipid oxidation is reportedly elevated in individuals with NAFLD compared to non-NAFLD controls. DNL: de novo lipogenesis; IHTG: intrahepatic triglyceride; NEFAs: non-esterified fatty acids; TG: triglyceride; VLDL: very low-density lipoprotein. Adapted from Fabbrini, Sullivan & Klein, 2010; Brouwers et al., 2016 and Perry et al., 2014.
2.3.7.1 Regulation of fatty acid uptake

NEFA uptake into the liver is determined by the combination of its delivery in the circulation and the capacity for transport into hepatocytes (Fabbri, Sullivan and Klein, 2010). Hepatic NEFA uptake is not strictly regulated so increased circulating concentrations result in increased uptake, providing capacity for transport into the cell is not a limiting factor (Bradbury, 2006). Circulating NEFAs cross the cell membrane through specific transport proteins, including fatty acid translocase (FAT)/CD36 (Samuel, Petersen and Shulman, 2010). Circulating TG cannot be taken up directly, so it is first hydrolysed by hepatic lipoprotein lipase (LPL) to NEFA (Goldberg, Eckel and Abumrad, 2009). In individuals with obesity or NAFLD, hepatic expression of LPL is increased (Westerbacka et al., 2007; Pardina et al., 2009), whilst expression of FAT/CD36 correlates positively with IHTG (Greco et al., 2008; Fabbri, et al., 2009). Notably, FAT/CD36 expression is reduced following bariatric surgery and this reduction correlates with improvements in steatosis (Pardina et al., 2017). In contrast, FAT/CD36 expression in adipose tissue is reduced in patients with NAFLD (Greco et al., 2008; Fabbri, et al., 2009) and the activation of adipocyte LPL in response to insulin is blunted in obese individuals compared to healthy controls (Sadur, Yost and Eckel, 1984). Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) is a nuclear receptor coded by the PPARG gene, which regulates NEFA uptake into adipose tissue and the liver, as well as adipocyte differentiation (Schupp and Lazar, 2010). Individuals with dominant negative mutations of the PPARG gene in adipose tissue develop NAFLD (Savage et al., 2003), whilst the deletion of hepatic PPARG in rodents protects against the development of hepatic steatosis (Matsusue et al., 2003).

2.3.7.2 Adipose tissue lipolysis

In periods of relative fasting, when plasma insulin concentrations are low and glucagon high, TG stores in adipose tissue are broken down via lipolysis and NEFAs are released into the blood (Frühbeck et al., 2014). Conversely, in the post-prandial state, when insulin levels are elevated, lipolysis is suppressed as part of the shift to a state of energy storage. Accordingly, when insulin resistance occurs in the adipose tissue, the regulation of lipolysis is impaired, resulting in elevated circulating NEFA under fasting conditions and a blunted post-prandial suppression (Frühbeck et al., 2014). Consequently, NEFA supply to the liver is increased (Byrne, 2013).
Whole body rates of lipolysis are greater in obese compared with lean individuals (Fabbrini et al., 2008). Furthermore, when matched for body fat, obese individuals with NAFLD have up to 2-fold greater lipolytic rates in adipose tissue than obese individuals with normal IHTG (Sunny et al., 2011). The magnitude of suppression of lipolysis by insulin infusion is also negatively associated with IHTG (Korenblat et al., 2008). In the fasted state, the majority of lipid supply to the liver is from the breakdown of ScAT (Fabbrini, Sullivan and Klein, 2010) and, in an intricate study using multiple lipid tracers, Donnelly and colleagues (Donnelly et al., 2005) suggested that in individuals with NAFLD approximately 60% of IHTG originates from circulating, non-dietary NEFA. There is an additional supply of lipids originating from VAT but, contrary to the now widely-disputed ‘portal vein hypothesis’ (which suggested that hepatic steatosis was primarily caused by increased visceral lipids draining into the portal vein), the contribution of NEFA from VAT to total circulating NEFA remains low (albeit increased in obese (~20%) vs. lean (~5%) individuals) (Nielsen et al., 2004).

Circulating NEFA concentrations during an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) are independently associated with IHTG (Holt et al., 2006). This is primarily driven by a strong relationship between IHTG and post-prandial suppression of adipose tissue lipolysis. During both insulin infusion and an OGTT, the suppression of adipose tissue lipolysis is reduced in individuals with hepatic steatosis compared to either lean individuals or obese individuals with normal IHTG (Sanyal et al., 2001; Seppälä-Lindroos et al., 2002; Holt et al., 2006). Only one of these studies found a relationship between NAFLD and circulating NEFA in the fasted state (Holt et al., 2006), whilst several studies have shown no association (Sanyal et al., 2001; Seppälä-Lindroos et al., 2002; Tiikkainen et al., 2002).

2.3.7.3 Dietary NEFA

Ingested lipids may contribute to IHTG as TG-rich chylomicrons pass into the fenestrations of the liver, are hydrolysed by LPL, and subsequently taken up into hepatocytes (Brouwers et al., 2016). Approximately 15% of IHTG in individuals with NAFLD is suggested to originate from dietary sources (Donnelly et al., 2005). Excessive energy intake and a high proportion of fat-rich foods combine to substantially increase the dietary intake of lipids and, as a result, the supply of lipid-rich chylomicron remnants delivered to the liver in the postprandial state. In addition, dysfunctional adipose tissue results in a ‘spillover’ of NEFA into the circulation, further increasing supply to the liver (Jacome-Sosa and Parks, 2014).
Within an isocaloric diet, macronutrient composition may be important, with suggestions that diets high in fat (and low in carbohydrate) may result in greater IHTG than those with low-fat, high-carbohydrate (Westerbacka et al., 2005; Van Herpen et al., 2011). However, hypo- and hypercaloric diets result in an increase or decrease in IHTG, respectively, with dietary composition seeming to be of less importance (Sevastianova et al., 2012; Lecoultre et al., 2013; Green and Hodson, 2014; Jensen et al., 2018). Notably, however, the mechanisms by which diets high in fat or sugar promote hepatic steatosis may differ.

2.3.7.4 Hepatic de novo lipogenesis

De novo lipogenesis (DNL) is the conversion of glucose to palmitate, through various intermediates including acetyl-coA and malonyl-coA, for subsequent esterification to TG (Fabbrini, Sullivan and Klein, 2010). Hepatic DNL is regulated by several enzymes including fatty acid synthase (FAS) and diacylglycerol acyltransferase (DGAT) 1 and 2, as well as a range of transcription factors, such as sterol regulatory element binding protein 1c (SREBP-1c), carbohydrate-responsive element binding protein (ChREBP), farnesoid X receptor (FXR) and various peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) isoforms (Musso, Gambino and Cassader, 2009). In healthy humans, the contribution of DNL to hepatocyte-synthesised TG is low, accounting for less than 5%; although this was measured in TG-rich VLDL, not IHTG directly (Diraison, Moulin and Beylot, 2003). Conversely, in patients with NAFLD, the percentage of lipids in IHTG originating from DNL is suggested to be approximately 26% (Donnelly et al., 2005).

Hepatic DNL is increased in individuals with NAFLD (Lambert et al., 2014). Furthermore, it is independently stimulated by insulin (Ameer et al., 2014) and glucose (Yamashita et al., 2001) by activating SREBP1c and ChREBP, respectively (which are commonly increased in patients with NAFLD or T2DM). Obese individuals with hyperinsulinaemia have greater rates of hepatic DNL after five days of isocaloric high-fat diet (HFD) than insulin-sensitive lean and obese controls (Schwarz et al., 2003). Furthermore, a three week period of high-carbohydrate overfeeding in obese individuals results in an increase of IHTG which correlates directly with increases in hepatic DNL (Sevastianova et al., 2012). Notably, de novo synthesised NEFAs activate PPARα to further stimulate hepatic NEFA uptake (Chakravarthy et al., 2005) which, in combination with impaired mitochondrial uptake and utilisation (mediated by inhibition of carnitine palmitoyltransferase (CPT) 1 by malonyl-coA), will further promote accumulation of IHTG (McGarry and Foster, 1980).
It is important to mention here the impact of skeletal muscle insulin resistance, which is also highly correlated with IHTG (Korenblat et al., 2008). Mice lacking the skeletal muscle glucose transporter (GLUT)-4 develop severe hepatic steatosis and insulin resistance (Kotani et al., 2004). Hyperinsulinaemia and hyperglycaemia, as a consequence of skeletal muscle insulin resistance, will further stimulate hepatic DNL as ingested carbohydrate is diverted away from skeletal muscle glycogen synthesis and towards the liver, where it is converted to palmitate and stored as IHTG (Petersen et al., 2007; Flannery et al., 2012). Fructose may be particularly important in this because the nature of its carbon phosphorylation means that it cannot be converted to glycogen and thus proceeds to DNL (Leclerq, 2013).

2.3.7.5 Hepatic lipid oxidation

Lipids are the preferred hepatic fuel in the fasted state (McGarry and Foster, 1980). Hepatic lipid oxidation occurs primarily in the mitochondria but also, to a lesser extent, in peroxisomes and microsomes (Fabbri, Sullivan and Klein, 2010). The carnitine-dependent enzyme shuttle (involving CPT1 and CPT2 transporters along with carnitine acyltransferase) regulates transport of NEFAs into the mitochondria, where they are progressively shortened to produce acetyl-coA (Fabbri, Sullivan and Klein, 2010). Acetyl-coA formed in this manner can then either provide a precursor for ketogenesis (to be exported and used as fuel in other tissues), or enter the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle for β-oxidation (McGarry and Foster, 1980).

Somewhat paradoxically, the contribution of lipid oxidation to hepatic fuel metabolism is increased in hepatic steatosis and hepatic insulin resistance (Sanyal et al., 2001; Iozzo et al., 2010) and, in rodents, may be as high as 100% (Alves et al., 2011). This may be explained as an adaptive response to increase the hepatic rate of lipid utilisation in attempt to limit excessive accumulation of IHTG. However, evidence in humans is limited. Gene expression of key enzymes in oxidative processes are increased in individuals with NAFLD compared to those with normal IHTG content, but hepatic expression of CPT1 is reduced along with mRNA of key components in mitochondria biogenesis (Greco et al., 2008; Koliaki et al., 2015). Mitochondrial respiration is, however, increased in obese individuals with NAFLD and correlates with IHTG (Sunny et al., 2011), despite similar total mitochondrial content (Koliaki et al., 2015). Furthermore, plasma ketone concentration, which can be used as an indirect measure to infer hepatic lipid oxidation, is increased in humans with NAFLD in some, but not all, studies (Sanyal et al., 2001; Chalasani et al., 2003).
2.3.7.6 Synthesis and export of lipid-rich very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL)

NEFAs that are not oxidised are esterified into TG and either stored as IHTG or exported in the form of TG-rich VLDL (Fabbrini, Sullivan and Klein, 2010). VLDL-TG secretion has repeatedly been shown to be greater in individuals with NAFLD compared to those with normal IHTG in both the fasted (Fabbrini et al., 2008, 2009) and post-prandial states (Cassader et al., 2001; Annuzzi et al., 2004). However, there appears to be a ceiling effect whereby VLDL-TG secretion increases linearly throughout normal ranges of IHTG but may not increase further beyond IHTG of approximately 6%; suggesting that it may be an early adaptive response in attempt to limit IHTG accumulation (Fabbrini et al., 2008).

2.3.8 Pathogenesis of hepatic insulin resistance

As mentioned previously, insulin resistance is heavily implicated in the development of hepatic steatosis and, in most individuals, strong associations exist between IHTG and insulin sensitivity in a range of tissues, including the liver (Korenblat et al., 2008; Bril, Barb, et al., 2017). Insulin resistance in skeletal muscle, hepatic and adipose tissues promotes steatosis, whilst steatosis, in turn, perpetuates insulin resistance. As such, the two are intricately linked within a myriad of conditions, which, in most cases, occur in the underlying context of obesity and physical inactivity.

The molecular mechanisms underlying insulin resistance are vast and complex and may differ subtly between tissues and amongst different insulin-dependent processes (Fabbrini, Sullivan and Klein, 2010). There is also increasing evidence that the steatotic liver in NAFLD may display selective insulin resistance whereby the regulation of glucose production is impaired, whilst that of TG synthesis is maintained (Cook et al., 2015; Jelenik et al., 2017). However, this literature will not be discussed in depth. Rather, the following paragraphs will provide an overview of the established concepts surrounding ‘normal’ and impaired insulin signalling in hepatic and skeletal muscle tissues, focussing on the regulation of circulating glucose. For simplicity, these processes will be presented in a linear manner, highlighting key signalling proteins. The reality is that many more steps of signalling are involved and their interaction is highly complex. For more information, interested readers are directed to excellent published reviews (Samuel, Petersen and Shulman, 2010; Samuel and Shulman, 2012; Perry et al., 2014).

Circulating insulin binds to the insulin receptor at the cell membrane of hepatocytes and myocytes, causing tyrosine phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrates 1 and 2 (IRS1, IRS2)
(Samuel, Petersen and Shulman, 2010; Perry et al., 2014; Shulman, 2014). In turn, IRS1/2 bind to and activate phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K). In skeletal muscle, PI3K stimulates the translocation of GLUT4 to the cell membrane for the uptake of glucose from the circulation and subsequent conversion to intramuscular glycogen (Samuel, Petersen and Shulman, 2010; Perry et al., 2014; Shulman, 2014). Insulin-mediated glucose uptake in the liver is much less than that of skeletal muscle, with evidence suggesting that signals from oral ingestion are required beyond the elevation of circulating glucose and insulin per se (Moore et al., 2012). However, activation of hepatic PI3K by IRS1/2 results in the stimulation of Akt2 (also known as protein kinase B), which promotes glycogen synthesis and inhibits DNL, through the inhibition of glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK3) and forkhead box protein O1 (FoxO1), respectively (Samuel, Petersen and Shulman, 2010; Perry et al., 2014; Shulman, 2014).

One established mechanism underlying insulin resistance is the activation of protein kinase C isoforms (PKCθ and PKCε in skeletal muscle and hepatic tissues, respectively), which translocate to the cell membrane and disrupt insulin signalling (Jornayvaz and Shulman, 2012; Birkenfeld and Shulman, 2014). Here, they cause phosphorylation of serine residues on IRS1 and IRS2 to impair downstream insulin signalling processes, including those outlined above (Samuel, Petersen and Shulman, 2010; Samuel and Shulman, 2012; Perry et al., 2014). Insulin action may also be impaired through the activation of inflammatory pathways, mediated by nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) (Fabbrini, Sullivan and Klein, 2010). Several factors associated with NAFLD mediate hepatic insulin resistance. The following paragraphs outline the impact of lipotoxicity, hepatic and peripheral inflammation and endoplasmic reticulum stress (Samuel and Shulman, 2012).

2.3.8.1 Lipotoxicity

In hepatocytes, NEFAs are progressively combined with glycerol-3-phosphate (a product of glycolysis) to form mono- (MAG), di- (DAG) and finally tri-acylglycerol (a term which is synonymous with triglyceride) (Samuel and Shulman, 2012). Whilst effective conversion and subsequent storage of NEFAs to TG may be considered a beneficial adaptation to energy surplus, it is an increase in many of these intermediates, particularly DAG, that is thought to have deleterious effects (Tilg and Moschen, 2010; Samuel and Shulman, 2012). In rodents, inhibition of hepatic DGAT2 (the enzyme which converts DAG to TG) results in a reduction of IHTG, a result that could be considered a beneficial shift in NAFLD risk/severity. However, this is accompanied by increased fatty acid oxidation, oxidative stress and liver injury.
(Yamaguchi et al., 2007). Notably, the knockdown of mitochondrial glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase (mtGPAT) 1, which catalyses the formation of lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) from fatty acyl-coA, results in a reduction of TG, and DAG, but does not prevent the development of hepatic insulin resistance, suggesting that DAG may not be the only lipid intermediate mediating this effect (Neschen et al., 2005). Mice fed a 3-day HFD develop steatosis and hepatic insulin resistance, even before the development of obesity, peripheral insulin resistance or systemic inflammation (Samuel et al., 2004, 2007). In these circumstances, both insulin-dependent activation of glycogen synthesis and suppression of EGP are impaired, and this can be attributed to an increased hepatic DAG, activation of PKCε and subsequent reduction in tyrosine phosphorylation of IRS1/2 (Samuel et al., 2007). Importantly, knockdown of PKCε reduces HFD-induced hepatic insulin resistance despite similar hepatic DAG and TG content (Samuel et al., 2007; Raddatz et al., 2011). In humans with NAFLD, increased flux through TG-synthesis pathways results in increased production of lipid intermediates including LPA and DAG (Fabbrini, Sullivan and Klein, 2010; Samuel and Shulman, 2012). Hepatic PKCε and DAG are strong predictors of hepatic insulin resistance in human patients with NAFLD (Kumashiro et al., 2011).

2.3.8.2 Local and peripheral inflammation

In rodents, selective activation of hepatic NF-κB induces hepatic inflammation and hepatic insulin resistance (Cai et al., 2005). As adipose tissue expands, it can become infiltrated with macrophages, which consequently cause inflammation, insulin resistance and dysfunction (Samuel and Shulman, 2012). Recruited macrophages release cytokines and chemokines (including interleukin (IL) -6, tumor necrosis factor (TNF) α and monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP) 1 into the circulation, which impair hepatic insulin signalling through the activation of hepatic NF-κB (Shoelson, Herrero and Naaz, 2007). Adipose tissue macrophage content and cytokine/chemokine production are increased in obese individuals compared to lean controls (Weisberg et al., 2003) and in obese individuals with NAFLD compared to matched individuals with normal IHTG content (Kolak et al., 2007). Lipid intermediates may also activate NF-κB to further contribute to hepatic insulin resistance beyond the direct effects of lipotoxicity mentioned above (Nagle et al., 2007; Schenk, Saberi and Olefsky, 2008).

2.3.8.3 Endoplasmic reticulum stress

The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is a cellular organelle that coordinates the post-translational synthesis, folding and trafficking of proteins (Fabbrini, Sullivan and Klein, 2010). Un- or mis-
folded proteins are identified by the ER for degradation by the proteasome (Samuel and Shulman, 2012). Under conditions of cellular stress, such as hypoxia or changes in substrate balance, unfolded proteins accumulate, resulting in impaired cellular function. In these circumstances, an unfolded protein response (UPR) is initiated in an attempt to restore normal function (Fabbrini, Sullivan and Klein, 2010). The UPR involves several cellular processes, including the activation of c-Jun N-terminal kinase (cJNK) which, in turn, activates NF-κB to induce insulin resistance (Özcan et al., 2004). Conversely, experimental reduction of ER stress improves insulin action (Özcan et al., 2006). Lipotoxicity is also a stimulus for the UPR in hepatocytes (Hotamisligil, 2010). Experimental research in humans is limited but individuals with NAFLD have been shown to have increased mRNA and/or activation of several proteins involved in the UPR (Puri et al., 2008).

2.3.9 Treatment of NAFLD

2.3.9.1 Pharmacological therapies

No drug therapies are currently approved specifically for the treatment of NAFLD (Marchesini et al., 2016; Rinella and Sanyal, 2016). As such, the use of pharmacological treatment in patients with NAFLD is restricted to off-label prescription (Marchesini et al., 2016; Rinella and Sanyal, 2016), but due to the low prevalence of progressive liver complications in individuals with steatosis alone, this is usually reserved for individuals with progressive NASH or high risk of fibrosis (Singh et al., 2015). Individuals with NAFLD may, of course, take prescription medications for the treatment of other associated co-morbidities such as T2DM, dyslipidaemia and hypertension. A handful of pharmacological agents have been tested for efficacy in the direct management of NASH and those that have reached phase II clinical trials will be outlined briefly in the following paragraphs, along with those more novel that show promise. Interested readers are directed to published reviews for additional information (Mazzella et al., 2014; Ratziu, Goodman and Sanyal, 2015).

Thiazolidinediones (TZDs) are PPARγ agonists with insulin-sensitizing effects. The PIVENS trial investigated the effects of 2-year treatment with Pioglitazone in non-diabetic patients with NASH, comparing it with placebo or Vitamin E supplementation (Sanyal et al., 2010). Pioglitazone successfully reduced all histological features of NASH except fibrosis and these benefits have been confirmed in a published meta-analysis (Mahady et al., 2011). However, concerns exist regarding the side-effects of TZDs, which include weight gain, increased risk of bone fractures (particularly in women) and, rarely, congestive heart failure (Marchesini et al.,
Other glucose-lowering agents show promise including incretin mimetics such as the GLP1-receptor agonist, liraglutide, but large randomised controlled trials (RCTs) are required (Armstrong et al., 2016). Metformin is an established first line treatment in T2DM, improving hepatic insulin sensitivity to elicit benefits on glycaemic control (Cusi, Consoli and DeFronzo, 1996). However, it appears to have no effect on histological features of NASH, including steatosis (Haukeland et al., 2009).

One treatment arm of the PIVENS trial prescribed 800 IU/day of vitamin E and observed a reduction in steatosis, inflammation and lobular ballooning in 36% of patients (21% in the placebo group) (Sanyal et al., 2010). Steatosis was reduced in 54% of patients compared to 31% of patients prescribed placebo. The TONIC trial demonstrated that vitamin E also reduces steatosis in children (Lavine et al., 2011). However, its use has been limited following the results of a meta-analysis (19 clinical trials and almost 136,000 patients) suggesting that vitamin E at a dose of 800 IU/day is associated with increased risk of all-cause mortality (Miller et al., 2005).

Obeticholic acid, a FXR agonist has also been investigated. Activation of FXR improves clamp-derived insulin sensitivity and decreases DNL (Mudaliar et al., 2013). In the FLINT trial, obeticholic acid improved steatosis in individuals with NASH but LDL was also increased, raising concerns of an increased cardiovascular risk (Neuschwander-Tetri et al., 2015). Issues of tolerability have also been reported (Marchesini et al., 2016; Rinella and Sanyal, 2016). Notably, a rodent study has demonstrated reduced atherosclerotic risk following treatment with obeticholic acid, despite elevations in circulating LDL (Miyazaki-Anzai et al., 2014).

Supplementation with polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) has been shown to reduce IHTG in early clinical trials (Argo et al., 2015). However, despite its beneficial effects on insulin sensitivity and systemic inflammation giving clear rationale for expected benefits in NAFLD (Pettinelli et al., 2009; Patterson et al., 2012), phase II trials have failed to demonstrate histological improvements (Sanyal et al., 2014; Dasarathy et al., 2015).

There is also early evidence for the effective use of lipid lowering agents such as pentoxifylline (Van Wagner et al., 2011; Zein et al., 2011) and orlistat (Zelber-Sagi et al., 2006; Harrison et al., 2009). However, whilst cheap and well tolerated, the effects of pentoxifylline may be reserved for only a subset of individuals (Rinella and Sanyal, 2016). Statins are safe for use in patients with NAFLD but their direct effects on histological outcomes have not been investigated properly (Dongiovanni, Petta, Mannisto, et al., 2015).
Part of the difficulty in gaining approval for pharmacological treatments in NAFLD is that regulatory bodies continue to demand the use of liver biopsy for the assessment of histological changes. This results in a number of practical difficulties, particularly regarding repeated testing of control groups in RCTs. It is hoped that with the ongoing development of non-invasive methods to assess hepatic inflammation, fibrosis and cirrhosis, these alternative methods may gain approval and in turn increase the number of phase II and III trials. Ultimately, however, the lack of liver-related complications associated with steatosis alone means that the recommended use of pharmacological treatment in individuals with non-advanced NAFLD (other than treatment of co-morbidities) is unlikely in the near future.

2.3.9.2 Bariatric and metabolic surgery

Bariatric, or metabolic, surgery remains an option for weight loss in individuals where other weight management approaches have failed (Marchesini et al., 2016). A study in which 150 overweight/obese patients with T2DM were randomised to either continued medical therapy, gastric bypass or sleeve gastrectomy, has recently published 5-yr follow-up data (Schauer et al., 2017). Both surgical interventions elicited reductions in body weight, HbA1c and lipid profile. Patients that underwent gastric bypass lost significantly more weight, but no differences between surgeries were reported for any other outcome (Schauer et al., 2017). It has also been reported that surgical intervention reverses steatosis, NASH and possibly fibrosis one year after surgery in individuals with biopsy-proven NASH (Lassailly et al., 2015). The median biopsy-derived steatosis (percentage of hepatocytes with evidence of steatosis) reduced from 60% (IQR 40 to 60%) at baseline to 10% (2.5 to 21.3%) at 1-year. Surgery is also reported to reduce liver enzymes and other outcomes of relevance to patients with NAFLD, including systemic inflammation and hypertension (Aguilar-Olivos et al., 2016). A recent study in rodents has demonstrated that sleeve gastrectomy reduces IHTG and improves hepatic insulin sensitivity independent of weight loss (Gazala et al., 2018). However, there are risks and expense associated with these procedures and they are not routinely recommended for individuals with NAFLD, particularly on the grounds of isolated steatosis alone. Studies investigating liver-specific mortality, liver transplantation and quality of life are required before this management approach is likely to change (Aguilar-Olivos et al., 2016).

2.3.9.3 Lifestyle intervention

Given the evidence outlined above, lifestyle intervention, consisting of changes to diet and physical activity, remains the cornerstone of treatment in NAFLD and is mandatory for all
patients, regardless of disease severity (Marchesini et al., 2016; Rinella and Sanyal, 2016). The underlying aims of this intervention are to reduce body weight and increase cardiorespiratory fitness (Rinella and Sanyal, 2016), as relatively small amounts of weight loss reduce IHTG and improve hepatic insulin sensitivity (Petersen et al., 2005). Furthermore, physical fitness is inversely related with NAFLD risk (Zelber-Sagi, Ratziu and Oren, 2011). One notable exception is the unique group of individuals with ‘lean NAFLD’ in which weight loss is not a valid treatment goal. However, even in these patients, a healthy dietary composition and active lifestyle should be encouraged (Marchesini, Petta and Dalle Grave, 2016).

In a sub-analysis of patients enrolled in the Look AHEAD trial (a 12-month intensive lifestyle intervention aimed at reducing T2DM risk), participants completing the intervention lost significantly more weight, had greater reductions in IHTG and had lower risk of NAFLD progression compared to those who remained in standard care (Lazo et al., 2010). Whilst at least 5% weight loss is associated with improvement in hepatic steatosis, greater reductions may be required to elicit other histological improvements (Promrat et al., 2010; Vilar-Gomez et al., 2015). In a 12-month uncontrolled community healthcare intervention, where 261 patients underwent paired liver biopsy, a dose-response relationship was apparent between the magnitude of weight loss and histological improvement. NASH resolution was observed in 26%, 64% and 90% of patients when weight loss of 5-7.5%, 7.5-10% and >10% was achieved (Vilar-Gomez et al., 2015). Notably, only 30% of individuals randomised to the intervention (target energy restriction of 750 kcal per day and increased physical activity) achieved at least 5% weight loss. In a separate trial in individuals with NASH, weight loss >7% was associated with histological improvement regardless of allocation to lifestyle intervention or standard care groups (Promrat et al., 2010).

Using hypocaloric diet alone, a 5% reduction in body weight over a few weeks is associated with a 25% improvement in IHTG, up to the resolution of ‘healthy’ levels (Patel et al., 2015). In a large randomised trial, the composition (low-carbohydrate vs. low-fat) of a 6-month hypocaloric diet did not appear to have a substantial contribution to reductions in IHTG (Haufe et al., 2011). However, over a shorter duration (two weeks) carbohydrate restriction may be more effective than general caloric restriction in reducing IHTG (Browning et al., 2011), although evidence is limited. The Mediterranean diet, which is high in mono-unsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs) and fibre, and low in saturated fatty acids (SFAs), has been shown to reduce IHTG over six weeks in individuals with NAFLD, independent of weight loss (Ryan et al., 2013). Furthermore, increases in IHTG as a result of overfeeding are attenuated in groups
consuming a diet low in SFAs compared to a high-SFA diet (Rosqvist et al., 2014). The consumption of dietary fructose in the form of high-fructose corn syrup, the principal sweetening component of sugar-sweetened beverages, is associated with hepatic steatosis (Zelber-Sagi et al., 2007; Maersk et al., 2012), and the addition of fructose to overfeeding protocols exacerbates increases in IHTG (Sobrecases et al., 2010). However, the consumption of fruit (which is also high in fructose) is considered safe and healthy for individuals with or at risk of NAFLD (Marchesini, Petta and Dalle Grave, 2016). Notably, however, a randomised trial in which participants consumed a diet high in either fructose or glucose under both isocaloric and hypercaloric circumstances (each for two weeks with a six-week washout between), reported significantly increased homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) by the high-fructose diet in the isocaloric period only (Johnston et al., 2013). There were no other differences between the diets in either isocaloric or hypercaloric periods in relation to measures of adiposity (including IHTG) or clamp-derived indices insulin sensitivity.

Joint-guidelines from the European Associations for the Study of Liver, Diabetes and Obesity (EASL, EASD, and EASO) state the following components should be considered when designing a lifestyle intervention in the treatment of NAFLD (Marchesini et al., 2016):

- Promotion of energy restriction with a target energy deficit of 500-1000 kcal/day.
- Minimum target weight loss of 7-10% initial body weight.
- Changes in dietary composition, if desired, according to the Mediterranean diet, whilst consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages should be avoided.
- Strict adherence to recommended weekly alcohol limits (< 14 and 21 units for women and men, respectively).

These are very similar to the joint-guidelines of the American College of Cardiology, American Heart Association and the Obesity Society for the management of overweight and obesity (Jensen et al., 2014). Guidelines also promote the incorporation of physical activity or exercise, and the reduction of sedentary time, as follows:

- Completion of 150-200 min·wk$^{-1}$ of moderate-intensity exercise, divided across three-to-five sessions.
- Gradual increase in physical activity with the aim of inducing an energy deficit of 400 kcal·day$^{-1}$. 
• Addition of resistance exercise to elicit musculoskeletal benefits (this may replace aerobic exercise in individuals for whom it may not be feasible, appropriate or well adhered to).
• Reduction in sedentary time and increase in daily steps (towards a target of 10,000 to 12,000 steps·day⁻¹).
• Avoidance of sustained periods of inactivity to lower lethargy and increase compliance.

All available guidelines for lifestyle interventions promote the use of individually tailored programmes and the setting of goals according to patient preferences (Jensen et al., 2014; Marchesini et al., 2016; Marchesini, Petta and Dalle Grave, 2016; Rinella and Sanyal, 2016). Cognitive behavioural therapies should be used to increase compliance and remove perceived barriers to weight loss and maintenance. Long-term evidence concerning the natural history of NAFLD following lifestyle intervention is lacking and no guide on the length of monitored weight maintenance exists (Marchesini et al., 2016; Marchesini, Petta and Dalle Grave, 2016; Rinella and Sanyal, 2016). However, providing structured monitoring and support to individuals for as long as possible will likely improve adherence and this may translate to greater benefits.

2.3.9.4 Structured exercise training

The effects of exercise alone in the treatment of NAFLD have also been investigated. Chapter 7 of this thesis presents a systematic review and meta-analysis of the effects of exercise training on IHTG and hepatic insulin sensitivity in individuals with or at risk of NAFLD. As such, the following section of this literature review will remain brief.

The potential for exercise to induce large energy deficits is much smaller than that of caloric restriction (Marchesini, Petta and Dalle Grave, 2016). However, meaningful benefits of exercise on IHTG have been reported (Keating et al., 2012; Katsagoni et al., 2017). This is of clinical importance, particularly for individuals who may struggle to adhere to sustained periods of energy restriction (Montesi et al., 2014). Exercise also elicits an array of wider cardiometabolic benefits, including increased fitness and insulin sensitivity, and reduced blood pressure (Kodama et al., 2009; Garber et al., 2011; James et al., 2014; Colberg et al., 2016). Both aerobic and resistance exercise have been shown to reduce IHTG and improve whole-body insulin sensitivity (Hallsworth et al., 2011; Zelber-Sagi et al., 2014; Cuthbertson et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016). Results from the STRRIDE-AT/RT trial suggests that the benefits of aerobic exercise may be greater than those of resistance training (Slentz et al., 2011), but
any form of physical activity that replaces time spent sedentary is likely to be of benefit to most individuals with NAFLD (Marchesini, Petta and Dalle Grave, 2016). Importantly, exercise may elicit beneficial reductions in IHTG even in the absence of weight loss (Johnson et al., 2009; Sullivan et al., 2012), highlighting its important role in the management of NAFLD.

Given the mechanisms outlined previously that underlie the development of hepatic insulin resistance, it is feasible that exercise-induced reductions in IHTG and improvements in peripheral insulin sensitivity may correspond with improvements in hepatic insulin action. However, the effects of exercise training on hepatic insulin sensitivity in NAFLD are unclear. Stable or radioactive isotope-labelled glucose tracers are required to directly assess hepatic insulin sensitivity through the measurement of EGP in the basal and/or insulin stimulated states (Kim et al., 2016). Basal rates of EGP appear to be unaffected by moderate-intensity aerobic or combined aerobic-plus-resistance exercise training in adults (Shojaee-Moradie et al., 2007; Meex et al., 2010; Hickman et al., 2013; Cuthbertson et al., 2016). However, when EGP is combined with insulin to form an index of hepatic insulin sensitivity one study reported a tendency ($P = 0.06$) for an improvement (Hickman et al., 2013). Two studies by the same research group have also assessed the percentage suppression of EGP by low-dose insulin infusion before and after aerobic exercise training (Shojaee-Moradie et al., 2007; Cuthbertson et al., 2016), reporting contrasting findings. Hepatic insulin sensitivity was improved after six weeks of training despite no change in body weight or IHTG (Shojaee-Moradie et al., 2007). However, 12 weeks of training, which elicited weight loss and significant reduction in IHTG, was not associated with improved percentage suppression of EGP (Cuthbertson et al., 2016). None of these studies were formally powered to assess changes in hepatic insulin sensitivity and alternative forms of exercise, or different exercise intensities, have not been explored.

2.3.10 Mechanisms by which exercise may reduce IHTG

Prolonged negative energy balance reduces adipose tissue mass and body weight. After a sustained period of energy deficit, the greatest absolute changes in adipose tissue stores occur within subcutaneous sites, but substantial relative changes are also elicited in visceral and ectopic compartments including the liver (Lazo et al., 2010; Promrat et al., 2010; Vilar-Gomez et al., 2015). Alongside energy restriction, regular exercise training can facilitate negative energy balance, weight loss and reductions in IHTG (Brouwers et al., 2016). However, exercise training may also modulate the uptake and export of hepatic lipids beyond weight loss and changes in subcutaneous adipose tissue stores. These effects may underlie the benefits of
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exercise that are reported independent of weight change (Johnson et al., 2009; Sullivan et al., 2012). Studies investigating these mechanisms in humans are limited, but the best available evidence is presented below.

2.3.10.1  Circulating NEFA in the fasted and post-prandial state

Six weeks of aerobic exercise training and six months of combined diet-plus-exercise training have each been shown to reduce basal rates of adipose tissue lipolysis in obese, post-menopausal women (You et al., 2004; Shojaee-Moradie et al., 2007). These benefits do not, however, always correspond to changes in IHTG (Shojaee-Moradie et al., 2007). Furthermore, several studies report that fasted NEFA, which can be attributed to basal adipose tissue lipolysis, is unchanged by exercise training in patients with NAFLD, even when IHTG is reduced (Hallsworth et al., 2011; Sullivan et al., 2012; Cuthbertson et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016). As such, changes in post-prandial lipid metabolism may be more influential on the reduction of IHTG elicited by exercise training.

Shojaee-Moradie and colleagues reported that the suppression of adipose tissue lipolysis by insulin, measured using palmitate and glycerol tracers, was improved after six weeks of aerobic exercise training (Shojaee-Moradie et al., 2007). Similar findings have been reported after 12 weeks of combined aerobic and resistance exercise in obese individuals with or without dysregulated glucose metabolism (Meex et al., 2010). It is notable, however, that Meex and colleagues did not measure IHTG and Shojaee-Moradie et al reported that it was unaffected by exercise. Other studies have found that 12 weeks of aerobic exercise training in individuals with dysregulated glucose metabolism has no effect on the suppression of circulating NEFA after steady-state insulin infusion (Solomon et al., 2009; Malin, Haus, et al., 2013). In a study of obese, sedentary women, nine months of high- but not moderate-intensity aerobic exercise training improved the percentage suppression of NEFA by insulin, but these results could be attributed to a much lower suppression at baseline in the high-intensity group, compared to the moderate-intensity and control groups (DiPietro et al., 2006). Similarly, 12 weeks of strength training in obese men has been reported to increase the percentage suppression of NEFA in response to insulin infusion. However, fasted circulating concentrations of NEFA were increased from pre- to post-training and the absolute concentrations in the insulin-stimulated state were unaffected (Polak et al., 2005).

Collectively, the effects of exercise training on insulin-stimulated suppression of adipose tissue lipolysis in NAFLD are unclear. Whilst improved insulin-stimulated suppression of lipolysis
may be of theoretical benefit, these effects alone do not explain reductions in IHTG with exercise training. It should also be noted that reduced circulating concentrations of NEFA do not necessarily reflect a reduction in the rates of adipose tissue lipolysis and may instead be due to increased rates of clearance (Brouwers et al., 2016). In cross-sectional studies using labelled lipid tracers, it has been suggested that increased aerobic fitness is associated with a greater uptake of NEFA into skeletal muscle. This finding complements the paradoxical phenomenon of high, yet healthy, intramuscular triglyceride in trained individuals (Iozzo et al., 2004; Hannukainen et al., 2007).

2.3.10.2 Dietary lipids and VLDL metabolism

The effects of exercise training on post-prandial hepatic lipid storage has not been explored directly so evidence is limited to inferences based on circulating fasted or post-prandial concentrations of VLDL or TG (Brouwers et al., 2016). Six months of supervised aerobic exercise training in individuals with NAFLD elicited a reduction in fasted VLDL secretion, with no difference in the density or clearance of secreted particles (Alam et al., 2004). Similar results have been demonstrated after an eight week programme of high-intensity interval training in obese, sedentary men (Tsekouras et al., 2008). In contrast, 16 weeks of moderate-intensity aerobic training increased the clearance of large TG-rich VLDL particles, with no effect on rates of production (Shojae-Moradie et al., 2016), whilst another study reported that 16 weeks of aerobic exercise had no effect on VLDL metabolism (Sullivan et al., 2012). Circulating TG concentrations in the fasted state are not reduced by exercise training in patients with NAFLD (Sullivan et al., 2012; Hickman et al., 2013; Cuthbertson et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016). Collectively, the effects of exercise on fasted VLDL metabolism in individuals with NAFLD are ambiguous at present. It is noteworthy, however, that an increased secretion of TG-rich VLDL would be required to explain the reductions in IHTG seen with exercise training and no study has reported this to date.

The circulating TG concentration in response to a meal is acutely attenuated by a single bout of moderate-intensity exercise for up to 24 hours and this may be mediated by increased clearance by skeletal muscle (Gill, 2004; Maraki and Sidossis, 2013). Cross-sectional studies have also reported that post-prandial triglyceridaemia is reduced in individuals that are physically active compared to sedentary individuals (Cohen, Noakes and Benade, 1989; Ziogas, Thomas and Harris, 1997). However, the benefits on post-prandial lipid metabolism with exercise may be restricted to the acute effects of the final exercise bout, rather than a true
training effect per se (Gill, 2004; Maraki and Sidossis, 2013). When assessments are made more than 24 hours after the final exercise bout of an exercise training programme, post-prandial TG concentrations are unchanged (Aldred, Hardman and Taylor, 1995; Herd et al., 1998; Altena et al., 2006). It could be speculated, however, that regular lowering of post-prandial TG after each session of an exercise training intervention may play a role in the reduction of IHTG, but further research is required to explore this hypothesis in detail.

2.3.10.3  De novo lipogenesis

No studies have directly explored the effects of exercise training on hepatic DNL in humans. However, in a series of studies in OLETF rats, key enzymes involved in several steps of DNL are reduced by exercise training, when employed either as a strategy to prevent/attenuate the accumulation of IHTG, or as a therapeutic intervention once steatosis has developed (Rector et al., 2008, 2011, Linden et al., 2014, 2015). These results are accompanied by reductions in circulating insulin and glucose, which are potent stimulators of DNL (Yamashita et al., 2001; Ameer et al., 2014). Although fasted concentrations of circulating glucose and insulin are unaffected by exercise training in individuals with NAFLD (Hallsworth et al., 2011; Keating et al., 2015; Cuthbertson et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016), peripheral insulin sensitivity is improved (Hickman et al., 2013; Cuthbertson et al., 2016). This will plausibly lead to reduced post-prandial concentrations of insulin and glucose, which may in turn reduce DNL. These effects could at least partially mediate reductions in IHTG by exercise training. Notably, acute exercise in lean, insulin-resistant individuals has been shown to improve the skeletal muscle response to insulin, reduce hepatic triglyceride synthesis and reduce hepatic DNL (Rabøl et al., 2011). However, this hypothesis remains speculative and the effects of exercise training on markers of DNL in humans with NAFLD require detailed investigation.

2.3.10.4  Hepatic mitochondrial lipid oxidation

In the same series of studies in OLETF rats, the research group of Rector and colleagues reported an increase in complete oxidation of palmitate after exercise training, which was accompanied by an increase in key markers of hepatic mitochondrial lipid oxidation (Rector et al., 2008, 2011, Linden et al., 2014, 2015). These findings suggest that improved capacity for oxidative phosphorylation of NEFAs in the liver may play a part in post-exercise reductions in IHTG, but data in humans are lacking.
2.3.11 Relevance of exercise intensity in the management of NAFLD

Evidence suggests that exercise intensity may be an important parameter in determining the benefits of exercise training for individuals with NAFLD. In retrospective cross-sectional analyses, 813 individuals with biopsy-defined NAFLD were categorised according to whether they reported meeting United States guidelines for moderate- (150 min·wk\(^{-1}\)) or vigorous- (75 min·wk\(^{-1}\)) intensity physical activity (Kistler et al., 2011). In these analyses, it was only individuals that met vigorous-intensity guidelines that had a significantly lower odds ratio of having advanced NAFLD (NASH and advanced fibrosis) (Kistler et al., 2011). Notably, these individuals all had diagnosed NAFLD and the effects of exercise intensity on IHTG per se were not explored. In a recent study in rodents, 40 mice were randomised into one of four different 16-week interventions: 1) control (chow diet), 2) high-fat overfeeding, 3) high-fat overfeeding with moderate-intensity exercise training and, 4) high-fat overfeeding with high-intensity interval exercise training (Cho et al., 2015). Exercise was performed during the final 8 weeks only. High-fat overfeeding resulted in the development of steatosis in all three groups but notably, the magnitude of IHTG accumulation was attenuated by exercise training in a step-wise manner according to exercise intensity (Cho et al., 2015).

It may be important that completing exercise at a high-intensity does not come at the expense of total intervention volume. Individuals completing more than 250 min·wk\(^{-1}\) of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity during a 12 week lifestyle intervention demonstrated greater reductions in hepatic steatosis than those completing less than 250 min·wk\(^{-1}\) (Oh et al., 2015). Whilst not unexpected, this underscores the importance of exercise volume, as well as intensity, within the management of NAFLD.

Collectively, these studies suggest that completing exercise of a higher intensity may elicit greater benefits on IHTG in individuals with NAFLD. Benefits may be greater still if a high training volume is maintained but something is better than nothing. A form of exercise training that may help support individuals to complete exercise of a greater intensity is HIIT.

2.4 High-intensity interval training (HIIT)

HIIT is a collective term describing several exercise protocols characterised by periods of high-intensity exercise interspersed with periods of rest or active recovery (Gibala, Gillen and Percival, 2014; MacInnis and Gibala, 2016). A variety of HIIT protocols exist which utilise a range of exercise intensities and durations. The most prominent of these protocols are ‘sprint
interval training’ (SIT), comprising of 30-second bursts of ‘all-out’ activity (Burgomaster et al., 2005), ‘low-volume HIIT’ (LV-HIIT), where individuals perform 10 x one-minute intervals at ‘near-maximal’ aerobic capacity (Little et al., 2011), and ‘aerobic interval training’ (AIT), consisting of four-minute intervals at approximately 80-95% of maximum heart rate (HRmax) (Wisløff et al., 2007). This is not an exhaustive list and variations of the above exist. Efforts also continue to try and identify the ‘minimum dose’ of interval-based exercise required to elicit benefits leading to additional protocols such as ‘reduced exertion HIIT’ (‘REHIT’) (Metcalfe et al., 2012; Vollaard, Metcalfe and Williams, 2017). LV-HIIT and SIT are utilised in Chapters 5 and 6 of this thesis and, therefore, the following paragraphs will focus on the evidence surrounding these protocols and their relevance to the management of NAFLD.

### 2.4.1 Sprint interval training (SIT)

SIT is fundamentally modelled on performing repeated bouts of the Wingate Anaerobic test (Bar-Or, 1987). Participants complete 30-s intervals at maximal effort separated by periods of active recovery, usually 4.5 min. In 1998, it was demonstrated in young, recreationally active individuals that six weeks of SIT (three sessions per week) improved cardiorespiratory fitness, measured as peak oxygen uptake (VO2 peak), along with markers of skeletal muscle glycolytic and oxidative metabolism (MacDougall et al., 1998). However, it was not until 2005 and the study by Burgomaster and colleagues (Burgomaster et al., 2005), that research in this area started to gain momentum. In a series of studies, this research group demonstrated that SIT for as little as two weeks (total of six sessions) improved muscle oxidative capacity and endurance exercise performance (Burgomaster et al., 2005; Gibala et al., 2006). These effects remained after a 6-week intervention and benefits were comparable with those elicited by moderate-intensity aerobic exercise training (Gibala et al., 2006; Burgomaster et al., 2008). These findings have since been confirmed by a separate research group, who also reported that skeletal muscle microvascular function is improved by SIT (Cocks et al., 2013). Notably, two weeks of SIT does not appear to improve cardiac output, suggesting that the early improvements in aerobic capacity may be limited to peripheral benefits in skeletal muscle (MacPherson et al., 2011; Gibala, Gillen and Percival, 2014). Whilst the origin of the molecular signals responsible for these benefits are yet to be fully determined, peripheral improvements with SIT appear to be mediated by similar pathways to that of traditional moderate-intensity continuous training (Gibala, Gillen and Percival, 2014).
SIT may also elicit benefits of relevance to cardiometabolic health. This is important because the interval-based approach of HIIT may support individuals to acquire the benefits of exercise that may be otherwise unachievable through continuous steady-state activity (Kessler, Sisson and Short, 2012). As mentioned previously, the study by MacDougall and colleagues reported an increase in $\dot{V}O_2$ peak with six weeks of SIT (MacDougall et al., 1998). This is important given the strong inverse relationship between cardiorespiratory fitness and mortality (cardiovascular and all-cause) (Blair et al., 1989; Kodama et al., 2009). These improvements in $\dot{V}O_2$ peak have since been confirmed with as little as two weeks of SIT in young healthy adults (Hazell et al., 2010; MacPherson et al., 2011; Astorino et al., 2012), as well as in overweight or obese, but otherwise healthy, men (Whyte, Gill and Cathcart, 2010; Cocks et al., 2015). A meta-analysis of RCTs has reported a moderate-to-large pooled effect size of SIT on $\dot{V}O_2$ peak (Gist et al., 2014). The study by Hazell and colleagues (Hazell et al., 2010) also reported a beneficial shift in body composition after six weeks of treadmill-based SIT with reductions in fat mass and increases in fat-free mass (FFM).

SIT has also been shown to improve glycaemic control. Whilst fasted circulating insulin and glucose are unaffected, two weeks of SIT improves whole-body insulin sensitivity in healthy young active men, determined using both oral-glucose tolerance test and hyperinsulinaemic, euglycaemic clamp (Babraj et al., 2009; Richards et al., 2010). Six weeks of SIT also improves OGTT-derived insulin sensitivity (the Matsuda insulin sensitivity index; ISI) in young, inactive individuals (Shepherd et al., 2013). SIT is feasible for overweight or obese participants and, in accordance with evidence in normal-weight individuals, improves post-prandial insulin sensitivity and microvascular function to a similar extent as that of moderate-intensity training (Cocks et al., 2015). Similarly, two weeks of SIT does not appear to elicit sustained benefits in HOMA-IR in overweight individuals (72 hours post-training), although acute benefits for up to 24 hours are apparent (Whyte, Gill and Cathcart, 2010).

2.4.2 Low-volume high-intensity interval training

Whilst SIT may be feasible in healthy overweight and obese volunteers (Whyte, Gill and Cathcart, 2010; Cocks et al., 2015), it was suggested by Gibala and colleagues that SIT, as implemented in their initial studies, may not be safe or well-tolerated for all individuals (Little et al., 2010). With these considerations in place, LV-HIIT was developed as a more feasible protocol for populations with, or at risk of, chronic disease (Little et al., 2010). LV-HIIT retains a high exercise intensity, but one that is near to maximal aerobic capacity (approximately 80
LV-HIIT has been shown to elicit similar benefits to those of SIT, in that $\dot{V}O_2$ peak, exercise performance and skeletal muscle mitochondrial function are all increased in young healthy individuals after as little as two weeks of training (Little et al., 2010; Jacobs et al., 2013). Furthermore, early benefits appear to be limited to skeletal muscle as cardiac output, haemoglobin concentration, haemoglobin mass and haematocrit are all reportedly unaffected (Jacobs et al., 2013). In overweight but otherwise healthy individuals, two weeks and six weeks of LV-HIIT have each been shown to improve mitochondrial capacity which, over a longer intervention, is accompanied by reduced fat mass (FM) and increased FFM (Hood et al., 2011; Gillen et al., 2013). At present, however, the effects of LV-HIIT on glycaemic control are unclear. Hood and colleagues (Hood et al., 2011) reported a reduced HOMA-IR in overweight individuals after two weeks of training, but OGTT-derived insulin sensitivity (Matsuda ISI) was unchanged after six weeks in a similar population (Gillen et al., 2013). However, when patients with T2DM perform two weeks of LV-HIIT, glycaemic control, determined by continuous glucose monitoring, is reportedly improved (Little et al., 2011). Over a 24-h period (measured 48 h after the final exercise bout), glucose concentrations in the interstitial fluid were reduced in response to standardised lunch and dinner meals. Furthermore, the mean glucose concentration over the whole 24-h period was lower after the LV-HIIT intervention. These benefits were accompanied by improved muscle mitochondrial capacity and higher protein content of GLUT-4 (Little et al., 2011). LV-HIIT has also been shown to improve $\dot{V}O_2$ peak in patients with coronary artery disease (Currie et al., 2013).

2.4.3 Perceptual benefits of SIT and LV-HIIT

One of the most prominent perceptual barriers to physical activity is a lack of time (Trost et al., 2002; Kelly et al., 2016). It has been suggested that SIT and LV-HIIT, along with other HIIT protocols, provide attractive and time-efficient strategies for individuals to incorporate structured exercise into their habitual routines (Gibala et al., 2006; Hood et al., 2011). A six-interval SIT session and 10-interval LV-HIIT session contain just three and 10 minutes of high-intensity exercise, respectively, which may be highly appealing to many individuals.
Furthermore, comparative studies by Gibala et al (2006) and Burgomaster et al (2008) reported that the benefits of SIT on exercise performance and muscle mitochondrial capacity were similar to traditional continuous moderate-intensity aerobic training, despite substantially lower weekly training time. It should be noted, however, that when accounting for recovery periods, warm-up and cool down (assuming five minutes each for the latter two) the absolute durations of SIT or LV-HIIT sessions are 40 and 30 minutes, respectively.

Another important barrier to physical activity is the perception that exercise is not enjoyable (Salmon et al., 2003). It is plausible that some inactive individuals may find the prospect of completing intense but brief bursts of activity more appealing than that of prolonged CME. In turn, this may result in greater interest, motivation and, ultimately, adherence (Weston, Wisløff and Coombes, 2014). Sedentary young adults report greater enjoyment with LV-HIIT than when performing CME and more than 50% of participants in this study suggested that LV-HIIT was a form of exercise that they would consider if training unsupervised (Jung, Bourne and Little, 2014). In a separate study in which individuals were randomised to a six-week intervention of LV-HIIT or CME training, perceived enjoyment of LV-HIIT increased throughout the programme whereas enjoyment was either unaffected or declined with the CME programme (Heisz et al., 2016). Interestingly, the rate of perceived exertion (RPE) during exercise training progressively decreases with intervals of shorter duration (Kilpatrick et al., 2015), whilst protocols utilising 30- or 60-second intervals are more enjoyable than those using two-minute intervals (Martinez et al., 2015). In a study comparing LV-HIIT and SIT directly, active individuals report no differences in measures of affect, including enjoyment, between the two protocols (Wood et al., 2016). In overweight or obese individuals, measures of pleasure and enjoyment are no different when completing LV-HIIT compared to work-matched CME, despite a greater RPE (Little et al., 2014). Patients with coronary heart disease or T2DM report that a general interval-based approach to exercise is more enjoyable than a session containing CME (Coquart et al., 2008; Guiraud et al., 2011). However, neither of these studies assessed the perceptions of LV-HIIT or SIT specifically.

Collectively, LV-HIIT and SIT appear to elicit physiological adaptations that are of relevance to cardiometabolic health, including cardiorespiratory fitness and insulin sensitivity. The magnitude of these effects are comparable to those elicited by CME and may occur with a lower total training volume. LV-HIIT and SIT may also be perceived by some individuals as more appealing, therefore representing an alternative strategy to engage in structured exercise that may be utilised within a personalised medicine approach. However, research in large
clinical trials with patient groups is required before definitive conclusions or wider recommendations can be made.

2.5 Hepatokines

The mechanisms underlying the relationship between hepatic steatosis and peripheral insulin resistance/glycaemic control are not fully understood (Takamura, Misu and Kaneko, 2016). Recent work has characterised the hepatic proteome as a group of 538 proteins, of which 168 have the capacity to be secreted into the circulation (Meex et al., 2015). Many of these proteins have been shown to exert endocrine effects in peripheral tissues and as such, in analogy to ‘myokines’ and ‘adipokines’, have been termed ‘hepatokines’ (Stefan and Häring, 2011). With evidence that hepatokine secretion is modulated by hepatic steatosis (Meex et al., 2015), it has been suggested that hepatokines may be one such mechanism that mediates the association between IHTG and peripheral insulin action/glucose homeostasis (Takamura, Misu and Kaneko, 2016).

2.5.1 Fibroblast growth factor (FGF) 21

The most widely studied hepatokine to date is fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF21). While expressed in multiple tissues (including the liver, skeletal muscle and adipose tissue) (Fon Tacer et al., 2010), circulating concentrations are predominantly liver-derived (Markan et al., 2014). FGF21 has been implicated in a number of metabolic processes, including the regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism (Cuevas-Ramos, Aguilar-Salinas and Gómez-Pérez, 2012; Jung, Yoo and Choi, 2016).

The first evidence of FGF21 as a novel metabolic regulator was a series of experiments by Kharitonenko and colleagues (Kharitonenko et al., 2005). In these (and subsequent) experiments, administration of FGF21 increased glucose uptake in rodent and human adipocytes, independent of insulin action (Kharitonenko et al., 2005; Xu, Lloyd, et al., 2009), and this may was potentially mediated by increased expression of the GLUT1 transporter (Kharitonenko and Adams, 2014). Transgenic rodent models with FGF21 knockout gain weight, have impaired glycaemic control and develop hepatic steatosis (Badman et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2015). Conversely, overexpression of FGF21 results in lower body weight, adiposity, IHTG and improved glucose regulation (Kharitonenko et al., 2005).

In rodents, short term (< 14 days) treatment with FGF21 elicits reductions in circulating glucose, TG and insulin, as well as improved glucose tolerance, whole-body glucose disposal and
hepatic insulin sensitivity (Kharitonenkov et al., 2005; Berglund et al., 2009; Xu, Stanislaus, et al., 2009). These benefits are sustained following longer treatment (two to fifteen weeks) and are accompanied by reductions in body weight, body fat and IHTG, as well as enhanced insulin sensitivity in skeletal muscle and adipose tissue, and resistance to diet-induced obesity (Kharitonenkov et al., 2005; Coskun et al., 2008; Xu, Lloyd, et al., 2009; Camporez et al., 2013). Reductions in circulating TG and IHTG may be mediated by reduced adipocyte lipolysis and hepatic expression of SREBP1c, and increased hepatic lipid oxidation (Gimeno and Moller, 2014). Improved β-cell function has also been observed, but this finding has proved difficult to replicate in humans (Wente et al., 2006; Stefan and Häring, 2013). In obese, diabetic humans, 28 days of treatment with an FGF21 analog (LY2405319) elicits an improved lipid profile (Gaich et al., 2013). At higher doses (10 or 20 mg per day), body weight and circulating insulin are reduced compared to baseline, but these changes are not significantly different when compared to a placebo (Gaich et al., 2013; Reitman, 2013).

Somewhat paradoxically, circulating FGF21 concentrations are, in fact, elevated in obese adults with both normal or dysregulated glucose metabolism (Zhang et al., 2008; Chavez et al., 2009; Mraz et al., 2009). Furthermore, it is positively correlated with BMI and WC, each of which have been reported as independent predictors of circulating FGF21 in groups of healthy individuals and those with impaired glycaemic control or NAFLD (Zhang et al., 2008; Li et al., 2010; Mashili et al., 2011; Cuevas-Ramos et al., 2012). FGF21 is also elevated in patients with NAFLD (Dushay et al., 2010; Li et al., 2010), but while hepatic expression and circulating concentrations of FGF21 appear to increase with severity of steatosis (Li et al., 2010; Yilmaz, Eren, et al., 2010), it is unable to distinguish individuals with isolated steatosis from those with NASH (Dushay et al., 2010).

Circulating FGF21 is also higher in individuals with T2DM compared to those with normal glucose tolerance, but this may be mediated by increased adiposity rather than glycaemic dysregulation per se (Chavez et al., 2009; Mraz et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2011; Mashili et al., 2011). FGF21 is, however, predictive of T2DM incidence (Chen et al., 2011; Bobbert et al., 2013) and correlates with a number of markers of glucose homeostasis, including fasted insulin and glucose, and 2-h glucose determined during an OGTT (Zhang et al., 2008; Chavez et al., 2009; Cuevas-Ramos et al., 2010; Mashili et al., 2011). FGF21 is also correlated with both whole-body and hepatic insulin sensitivity as measured using hyperinsulinaemic, euglycaemic clamp (Chavez et al., 2009). It is suggested that increased circulating FGF21 in individuals with, or at elevated risk of, metabolic dysfunction may be a result of ‘FGF21 resistance’
whereby, in analogy to insulin resistance, impairments in tissue signalling in response to FGF21 result in increased secretion (Fisher et al., 2010; Potthoff, Kliwer and Mangelsdorf, 2012). Notably, obese mice have been shown to have impaired signalling responses to FGF21 infusion compared to lean controls (Fisher et al., 2010). However, this hypothesis requires further investigation.

FGF21 is also positively correlated with self-reported physical activity (Cuevas-Ramos et al., 2010, 2012) and \( \dot{V}O_2 \) peak is an independent predictor of circulating FGF21 concentrations (Taniguchi et al., 2014). Furthermore, aerobic or combined aerobic and resistance exercise training for three to twelve weeks elicits a reduction in circulating FGF21 concentrations in young and elderly individuals at risk of metabolic disease (Yang, Hong, et al., 2011; Scalzo et al., 2014; Taniguchi et al., 2016). In one of these studies, the reduction in FGF21 over five weeks of aerobic training was significantly positively associated with a reduction in IHTG, measured using \(^1\)H-MRS (Taniguchi et al., 2016).

A single run to exhaustion in healthy rodents results in elevated concentrations of FGF21, and this increase has been attributed to increased hepatic expression (K. H. Kim et al., 2013). Circulating concentrations of FGF21 are also increased in humans after acute moderate- and high-intensity exercise in a stepwise manner, and these elevations are liver-derived (K. H. Kim et al., 2013; Hansen et al., 2015; Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016). Notably, this response may be blunted in obese individuals with impaired glycaemic control and completely abolished in individuals with overt T2DM (Slusher et al., 2015; Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016).

The regulation of FGF21 expression is highly complex and not fully understood. It appears that its induction during states of fasting or overfeeding may be regulated by different mechanisms. Circulating NEFA, which occurs not only during fasting but also after strenuous exercise, may increase FGF21 expression in the liver and adipose tissue via activation of PPAR\( \alpha \) and PPAR\( \gamma \), respectively (Gälman et al., 2008; Cuevas-Ramos et al., 2012). Conversely, during energy surplus, increased circulating glucose may stimulate ChREBP to increase FGF21 expression (Iizuka, Takeda and Horikawa, 2009). FGF21 has also been shown to be regulated by the glucagon-to-insulin ratio (Hansen et al., 2015; Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016) and this may explain, at least in part, the increase in circulating FGF21 with acute exercise. When individuals exercise under the conditions of a pancreatic clamp, in which a change in the glucagon-to-insulin ratio is prevented, circulating FGF21 is unchanged (Hansen et al., 2015; Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016).
Leukocyte cell-derived chemotaxin (LECT) 2

LECT2 is a 16kDa secretory protein that is preferentially expressed in human liver cells and secreted into the circulation (Yamagoe, Mizuno and Suzuki, 1998). LECT2 was originally discovered when screening for novel neutrophil chemotactic proteins (Yamagoe et al., 1996) and has been previously shown to exert anti-inflammatory and tumour-suppressive effects in the liver (Anson et al., 2012). More recently, LECT2 has also been linked with obesity and its associated co-morbidities. Circulating concentrations of LECT2 are elevated with obesity and ultrasound-derived NAFLD (Okumura et al., 2013), and correlate with BMI, waist circumference, HOMA-IR, FPI, TG, and the Matsuda ISI (Okumura et al., 2013; Lan et al., 2014).

A series of experimental studies by Lan and colleagues (Lan et al., 2014) strongly implicates LECT2 in the development of obesity and insulin resistance. In liver biopsy tissue from 10 individuals with T2DM and seven lean controls, hepatic mRNA levels of LECT2 are significantly correlated with BMI, whilst gene expression of LECT2 is increased in mice with HFD-induced obesity (Lan et al., 2014). LECT2 appears to be highly sensitive to acute changes in diet and transitioning between periods of HFD and regular chow results in elevation and normalisation of LECT2 concentrations, respectively (Chikamoto et al., 2016). Throughout these weight cycles, serum concentrations of LECT2 correlated with IHTG, but not adipose tissue weight (Chikamoto et al., 2016).

LECT2 knockout (KO) attenuates increased body mass resulting from high-fat feeding (Lan et al., 2014). Compared to wild-type controls, LECT2 KO mice also display greater insulin-stimulated Akt phosphorylation in skeletal muscle, but not in the liver or adipose tissue (Lan et al., 2014). Accordingly, KO mice require greater glucose infusion rate to maintain euglycaemia during steady-state hyperinsulinaemia than wild-type controls, but the suppression of EGP is no different between groups (Lan et al., 2014). In contrast, however, LECT2 treatment in cultured hepatocytes has been shown to induce steatosis, which is accompanied by significant reduction in hepatic IRS-1 phosphorylation and a tendency towards reduced Akt activation, suggesting a degree of hepatic insulin resistance (Hwang et al., 2015). Interestingly, there are no differences in body mass, blood glucose, plasma insulin and circulating LECT2 between KO and wild-type mice after 60 days of starvation, suggesting that LECT2 may play a role in states of over- but not under-nutrition (Lan et al., 2014). Very little is known about the influence of exercise on LECT2. However, LECT2 KO mice display greater
muscular endurance than wild-type controls (Lan et al., 2014). Furthermore, when wild-type mice perform three hours of aerobic treadmill exercise, hepatic expression and protein content of LECT2, as well as circulating concentrations, are all reduced (Lan et al., 2014).

Little is known about the molecular regulation of LECT2 in obesity or during exercise. However, LECT2 expression is negatively regulated by adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK) in cultured hepatocytes and hepatic AMPK expression is decreased and increased during high-fat feeding and acute exercise, respectively (Lan et al., 2014). Treatment of C2C12 myotubes with recombinant LECT2 leads to insulin resistance by increasing phosphorylation of cJNK, which in turn decreases insulin-stimulated Akt phosphorylation (Lan et al., 2014).

2.5.3 Follistatin

Follistatin is a glycosylated protein and member of the transforming growth factor (TGF) -β superfamily. It was originally discovered in ovarian fluid but has since been shown to be expressed in several tissues including the liver and skeletal muscle (Phillips and de Kretser, 1998). Many of the functions of follistatin are facilitated through its natural inhibition of other TGFs, such as activin and myostatin (Phillips and de Kretser, 1998; Gilson et al., 2009).

Serum follistatin concentrations are elevated in patients with NAFLD or T2DM (Yndestad et al., 2009; Hansen et al., 2013) and correlate with markers of insulin resistance including HOMA-IR, fasted plasma glucose (FPG) and 2-h glucose in some, but not all, studies (Wu et al., 2012; Hansen et al., 2013). Interestingly, one previous study reported lower plasma follistatin concentrations in individuals with T2DM compared with healthy controls (Ueland et al., 2012). However, the activin to follistatin ratio was higher in these individuals. The potential that this ratio may be more important than concentrations of follistatin alone may explain discrepant findings.

Follistatin has been shown to promote muscle growth, via the negative regulation of both myostatin and activin (Gilson et al., 2009; Yaden et al., 2014). Greater skeletal muscle mass may increase glycaemic control via an increased absolute capacity for post-prandial glucose uptake. Rodent models in which follistatin was overexpressed display substantially increased muscle mass compared to wild-type controls (Lee and McPherron, 2001). Follistatin is also reported to increase proliferation of pancreatic β-cells. Overexpression of follistatin in the pancreas of diabetic mice results in increased β-cell mass, lower circulating glucose
concentrations, greater insulin production and, ultimately, greater lifespan (Zhao et al., 2015). Administration of follistatin to rat β-cells \textit{in vitro} lowers spontaneous cell death (Hansen, Rutti, \textit{et al.}, 2016) but follistatin treatment of islet cells from healthy human donors had no effect on insulin secretion in response to low or high doses of glucose (Hansen, Rutti, \textit{et al.}, 2016).

Given that a variety of tissues express follistatin, identifying which of these contribute substantially to circulating concentrations is difficult. Historically, the consensus has been that plasma follistatin is the sum of spillover from autocrine and paracrine actions within the many tissues in which it is expressed (Hansen and Plomgaard, 2016). However, a series of intricate studies has offered greater insight into the regulation of systemic follistatin at rest and during exercise (Hansen \textit{et al.}, 2011; Hansen, Pedersen, \textit{et al.}, 2016; Hansen, Rutti, \textit{et al.}, 2016). Circulating follistatin is increased following acute aerobic and resistance exercise, but expression and secretion from the exercising muscle are unaffected (Hansen \textit{et al.}, 2011). This supports previous research reporting no changes in skeletal muscle expression of follistatin following acute or chronic exercise training (Jensky \textit{et al.}, 2007, 2010; Besse-Patin \textit{et al.}, 2014). Conversely, follistatin expression in the liver is increased with acute swimming exercise in rodents (Hansen \textit{et al.}, 2011). In follow-up experiments (during which the splanchnic circulation was isolated by the insertion catheters into the brachial artery and hepatic vein), follistatin was constantly secreted from the livers of healthy human men at rest and this was increased 5-fold by acute aerobic exercise (Hansen, Rutti, \textit{et al.}, 2016).

The altered secretion of follistatin by the liver reported by Hansen \textit{et al.} was regulated by changes in the glucagon to insulin ratio (Hansen, Rutti, \textit{et al.}, 2016) and when acute exercise is performed under the conditions of a pancreatic clamp the increase in circulating follistatin is substantially attenuated (Hansen, Pedersen, \textit{et al.}, 2016). Changes in the glucagon to insulin ratio can also be detected in the systemic circulation and a smaller shift in this ratio in individuals with T2DM may explain a blunted follistatin response to exercise (Hansen, Pedersen, \textit{et al.}, 2016). The fact that the follistatin response is not completely abolished during pancreatic clamp indicates that other mechanisms are also responsible to some degree. Whilst these mechanisms remain unknown, evidence exists suggesting that hyperglycaemia, systemic low-grade inflammation and circulating NEFA are not responsible (Hansen \textit{et al.}, 2013; Hansen, Pedersen, \textit{et al.}, 2016). However, it has been speculatively suggested that activation of cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) by adrenaline may be an alternative mechanism that warrants investigation (Hansen, Pedersen, \textit{et al.}, 2016).
2.5.4 *Selenoprotein P (SeP)*

SeP is a 60kDa glycoprotein encoded by the *SEPP1* gene (Lebensztejn *et al.*, 2016). It is primarily expressed in the liver and approximately 75% of circulating SeP is thought to be liver-derived (Burk and Hill, 2005, 2009). The role of SeP as a selenium supply protein is well established (Saito and Takahashi, 2002). More recently, however, potential roles within glucose homeostasis and insulin sensitivity have been revealed (Misu *et al.*, 2010). From the same analyses that identified the link between BMI and LECT2 (Lan *et al.*, 2014), an 8-fold greater hepatic expression of SeP was identified in individuals with T2DM along with a negative correlation between hepatic *SEPP1* mRNA and peripheral insulin sensitivity (Misu *et al.*, 2010). Circulating concentrations of SeP are also elevated in individuals with T2DM, compared with healthy controls, and positively correlate with FPG and HbA1c (Misu *et al.*, 2010). Positive associations have also been reported between circulating concentrations of SeP and BMI, WC, VAT, HOMA-IR, FPG and TG in individuals with normal and impaired glucose regulation (Yang, Hwang, *et al.*, 2011). When 120 non-diabetic Korean individuals (60 with ultrasound-defined NAFLD and 60 controls matched for age and sex) were divided into tertiles based on circulating SeP concentrations, NAFLD prevalence increased from the lowest to the highest tertile (H. Y. Choi *et al.*, 2013). Furthermore, a negative relationship has been reported between plasma concentrations of SeP and the liver attenuation index (LAI), a semi-quantitative method of measuring intrahepatic fat whereby lower LAI is indicative of greater steatosis (H. Y. Choi *et al.*, 2013).

Treatment of cultured hepatic cell lines and primary mouse hepatocytes with glucose or palmitate increases expression of *SEPP1* and SeP protein content (Misu *et al.*, 2010). Conversely, gene expression and protein content are reduced by insulin in a time- and dose-dependent manner (Misu *et al.*, 2010), which may be mediated by inhibition of FoxO1 (Speckmann *et al.*, 2008). Activation of AMPK by salsalate results in greater FoxO1 activation and reverses palmitate-induced upregulation of *SEPP1* (Jung, Choi, *et al.*, 2013).

When H4IIHEC hepatocytes and C2C12 myotubes are treated with SeP protein in vitro, insulin-stimulated phosphorylation of the insulin receptor and Akt are reduced, indicative of impaired insulin signalling. Accordingly, insulin-stimulated glucose uptake is decreased in myotubes, whilst glucose output from hepatocytes is increased (Misu *et al.*, 2010). Injection of purified SeP in C57BL/6J mice causes glucose intolerance and insulin resistance at a dose which increases circulating concentrations by a magnitude that is physiologically similar to the
difference between healthy and T2DM humans (Misu et al., 2010). Phosphorylation of Akt was reduced in the liver and skeletal muscle of SeP-treated mice and this was associated with impaired hepatic suppression of EGP and peripheral glucose uptake, determined using hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp (Misu et al., 2010). Glucose intolerance and insulin resistance are improved in SeP KO mice and they are protected against the deleterious effects of a high-fat, high-sucrose diet (Misu et al., 2010). No study has investigated the effects of acute or chronic exercise on SeP.

The mechanisms by which SeP disrupts hepatic and peripheral insulin signalling remains an area of investigation. The phosphorylation of AMPK and acetyl-coA carboxylase (ACC) is increased in the livers of SeP KO mice (Misu et al., 2010), whilst SeP treatment in cultured hepatocytes inhibits phosphorylation of these proteins. Furthermore, co-treatment with AICAR, a known activator of AMPK, prevents SeP-induced reductions in Akt phosphorylation (Misu et al., 2010). It is of note, however, that the phosphorylation of AMPK in skeletal muscle is unaffected by SeP administration, suggesting that tissue-specific mechanisms may exist (Misu et al., 2010).

2.5.5 Fetuin-A

Fetuin-A, also known as α2 Heremans-Schmid glycoprotein, is a 64kDa phosphorylated glycoprotein that is primarily expressed in, and secreted from, the liver (Denecke et al., 2003). Fetuin-A secretion is modulated by IHTG (Meex et al., 2015). Single nucleotide polymorphisms in the human AHSG gene (which codes for fetuin-A) are associated with susceptibility to T2DM (Siddiq et al., 2005; Andersen et al., 2008), whilst fetuin-A KO mice display improved insulin sensitivity (Mathews et al., 2002). Circulating fetuin-A is increased in obese and morbidly obese individuals when compared with healthy controls (Brix et al., 2010; Ismail et al., 2012) and, when participants with varying degrees of glycaemic control are grouped into quartiles based on circulating fetuin-A concentrations, BMI progressively increases from the lowest to highest quartile (Ix et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2011; Dutta et al., 2014). Fetuin-A has also been shown to correlate with $\dot{V}O_2$ peak and is significantly greater in low compared to highly active individuals (Jenkins et al., 2011).

Circulating fetuin-A is increased in patients with NAFLD when compared with healthy controls and NAFLD has been shown to be a strong predictor of fetuin-A, even after adjustment for age, sex, and other metabolic risk factors (Yilmaz, Yonal, et al., 2010; Haukeland et al., 2012; von Loeffelholz et al., 2016). Fetuin-A has also been shown to correlate positively with
IHTG determined using $^1$H-MRS or histological analysis of liver biopsy tissue (Stefan et al., 2006; Kantartzis et al., 2010; von Loeffelholz et al., 2016). Interestingly, this increase with NAFLD is reported in individuals with normal and impaired glycaemic control (Ou, Yang, et al., 2012).

Fetuin-A is also increased in individuals with T2DM versus non-diabetic controls (Ishibashi et al., 2010; Song et al., 2011; Dutta et al., 2014). It has also been reported as an independent predictor of incident T2DM, even after adjustment for appropriate confounding variables (Ix et al., 2012; Stefan et al., 2014). Studies have also reported a stepwise increase in fetuin-A from normal glucose tolerance, through impaired fasted glucose (IFG) or impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) to overt T2DM (Ishibashi et al., 2010; Ou, Yang, et al., 2012; Dutta et al., 2014; H.-T. Wu et al., 2016). Fetuin-A is also significantly greater in insulin resistant obese individuals, compared with insulin-sensitive controls matched for age and adiposity (Klöting et al., 2010). It should be noted that the insulin resistant group in this study also had significantly greater VAT but, unfortunately, IHTG was not measured. Independent associations have also been identified between fetuin-A and HOMA-IR, as well as peripheral insulin sensitivity measured using hyperinsulinaemic, euglycaemic clamp (Song et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2011; Kaess et al., 2012; Stefan et al., 2014).

Fetuin-A was first reported in 1989 as a strong natural inhibitor of insulin receptor tyrosine kinase in rat hepatocytes, a finding that was consequently confirmed in humans (Auberger et al., 1989; Srinivas et al., 1993). Fetuin-A promotes an inflammatory profile in mouse and human adipocytes and monocytes, and in the adipose tissue of mice (Hennige et al., 2008; Dasgupta et al., 2010). Fetuin-A attenuates lipogenic pathways in adipose tissue via inhibition of the PPAR$\gamma$ signalling pathway and promotion of lipolysis (Dasgupta et al., 2010), and serves as an adaptor protein for the activation of Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) to induce inflammatory signalling and insulin resistance (Pal et al., 2012). It has also been shown to inhibit GLUT-4 translocation in C2C12 myotubes via inhibition of the insulin receptor (Dasgupta et al., 2010; Goustin, Derar and Abou-Samra, 2013; Malin, Mulya, et al., 2013). Finally, incubation of HepG2 cells and rat hepatocytes with fetuin-A promotes steatosis, which is associated with increased expression of SREBP-1c (Jung, Youn, et al., 2013).

Positive energy balance increases circulating concentrations of fetuin-A (Lin et al., 1998; Samocha-Bonet et al., 2014). Treatment of HepG2 cells and rat hepatocytes with palmitate increases the expression, synthesis and secretion of fetuin-A and this is dependent on NF-κβ
Treatment of HepG2 cells with glucose also increases expression of AHSG (Takata et al., 2009). In humans, fetuin-A tends to increase after 48 hours low-dose (30 mL·h⁻¹) intralipid infusion (Hussey et al., 2014). ER stress may mediate both glucose and palmitate-induced responses (Ou, Wu, et al., 2012).

Circulating fetuin-A is reduced by weight loss resulting from combined diet and exercise (Stefan et al., 2006; Reinehr and Roth, 2008), energy restriction alone (Blüher et al., 2012; K. M. Choi et al., 2013; Baldry et al., 2017) and bariatric surgery (Brix et al., 2010). Moderate-intensity aerobic exercise, with or without resistance training, failed to reduce fetuin-A in obese, healthy individuals or those with NAFLD or T2DM (Mori et al., 2008; Schultes et al., 2010; Yang, Hong, et al., 2011; Cuthbertson et al., 2016). This is despite a significant reduction in body weight, WC and BF%. In healthy sedentary men, 12 weeks of CME is associated with a mean 20% reduction in circulating fetuin-A (but this was not statistically significant) (Oh et al., 2017). Continuous exercise of higher intensity (85% HR\text{max}) does elicit reductions circulating fetuin-A over as little as seven days, when exercise is performed each day (Malin, Mulya, et al., 2013). This beneficial reduction is sustained when similar exercise was performed five days per week over the course of 12 weeks (Malin et al., 2014). However, the lack of control group and the short duration between the final exercise session and post-training assessments (16-18 h) are important limitations to these studies. Nonetheless, these interesting data provide rationale to further investigate the effects of exercise on fetuin-A. No studies have investigated the effects of acute exercise.
CHAPTER 3

GENERAL METHODS
The following chapter provides details of procedures that are, with the exception of magnetic resonance and dual-step hyperinsulinaemic, euglycaemic clamp procedures, common to two or more experimental studies contained within this thesis. Each experimental chapter also contains a separate methods section that outlines further details unique to that study.

3.1 **Ethical approvals and participant recruitment**

Studies involving human participants (Chapters 4, 5 and 6) were conducted with full ethical approval from an appropriate governing body. Chapters 4 and 5 obtained approval from the East Midlands NHS research ethics committee and were sponsored by the University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust. Chapter 6 was sponsored by Loughborough University and approved by its research ethics committee (human participants sub-committee). Chapter 6 also gained subsequent approval by the research ethics committee of the University of Nottingham. Confirmation of approval for each study can be found in Appendix II. All studies were performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (World Health Organisation, 2013). Accordingly, all participants gave informed, written consent having been provided with all study information in writing and verbally, and having had the chance to discuss these with members of the research team.

In all experimental chapters, participants were recruited by word-of-mouth and poster advertisement. Posters were distributed around local venues at which they would be visible to potential participants. Posters were also delivered to individual addresses within chosen postcodes via Royal Mail and distributed on social media platforms. Participants that had taken part in previous studies and given consent to be contacted in future were also contacted when they were identified as being potentially eligible. Furthermore, short online press releases were issued by Loughborough University and/or the University of Leicester. In Chapters 4 and 5 (which gained NHS ethical approval) participants were also recruited through local primary care services and supported by the NIHR Clinical Research Network. General practice surgeries that volunteered to participate were provided with information about the study including participant eligibility criteria. They were also provided with pre-screening packs, which were posted to individuals that they identified as being potentially eligible. These packs contained more detailed study information and a contact details form, along with a pre-addressed envelope so that interested individuals could return this information to the research team. Individuals who returned these packs were then contacted directly to discuss the study further and, if they remained interested, the formal recruitment process was initiated.
3.2 Participant pre-screening

All participants completed pre-participation screening to assess their suitability for exercise testing/training according to the standard operating procedures and risk assessment (SOP/RA) of the NIHR Leicester-Loughborough BRU. These procedures (detailed in Appendix III) were developed in accordance with common best practice and guidance set out by the European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation (Borjesson et al., 2011). The specific components of screening that participants undertake differ according to the intensity of exercise for which participants are being screened, defined according to the metabolic equivalents of task (METs) associated with the exercise to be performed. All studies within this thesis include exercise greater than 3 METs and, therefore, participants were screened according to the ‘moderate to vigorous’ exercise pathway. This pathway is outlined below.

Briefly, participants were first categorised as ‘active’ or ‘inactive’ according to self-reported weekly physical activity, assessed using the short version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ; www.ipaq.ki.se [accessed 03/12/2017]; Appendix IV). ‘Active’ participants were those that reported performing at least 20 min of vigorous exercise on three or more days per week. These individuals completed the physical activity readiness questionnaire (PAR-Q; www.csep.ca/en/publications [accessed 03/12/2017]; Appendix V) and when all questions were answered ‘no’, they were cleared for exercise testing. If one or more questions were answered ‘yes’, participants were stratified as ‘low’, ‘moderate’ or ‘high’ risk. All inactive individuals were also stratified in this manner. Stratification was based on the results of a face-to-face screening session conducted by a healthcare professional (research nurse, senior cardiac nurse or general practitioner) and within this session, participants provided a medical history, underwent a physical exam, gave a fingertip capillary blood sample, were assessed for 10-year CVD risk (via the ‘SCORE’ algorithm; see Appendix VI), and received a resting electrocardiogram (ECG). When no clear risk factors were identified, participants were considered ‘low’ risk and were cleared for exercise testing. Participants were considered ‘high’ risk when any of the following were identified:

- Diagnosis of chronic disease
- BMI > 35 kg·m⁻²
- 10-year risk of CVD ≥ 10%
- Strong family history of CVD in first degree relatives < 50 years of age
- Elevated total (> 8 mmol·L⁻¹) or LDL-cholesterol (6 mmol·L⁻¹)
- Elevated blood pressure (>180/110 mmHg)
Participants who did not meet criteria for either ‘low’ or ‘high’ risk categories were considered ‘moderate’ risk and, along with ‘high’ risk individuals, underwent an exercise ECG that was supervised by a qualified member of clinical staff. According to the NIHR Leicester-Loughborough BRU SOP/RA, only high-risk individuals were required to undergo exercise ECG screening within a clinical setting. However, within the studies contained in this thesis, all exercise ECGs were performed in a clinical setting with access to a resuscitation team and post-arrest management. Provided there were no contraindications to exercise, participants were cleared for further exercise testing/training.

3.3 Anthropometrics

Participant height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a vertical stadiometer (Seca Ltd, Germany), whilst body weight (to 0.01 kg) and total body fat percentage (BF%) (to 0.1 %) were measured using a segmental body composition analyser (BC-418, TANITA Europe BV, Amsterdam, the Netherlands). WC was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a tape measure at the level of the umbilicus. BMI was calculated as follows:

\[ \text{BMI} = \frac{\text{body mass (kg)}}{\text{height (m)}^2} \]

3.4 Blood pressure

Systolic and diastolic blood pressure were measured from the left arm using an automated monitor (M6 Comfort, Omron, Milton Keynes, UK) and with an appropriately sized cuff. Participants were seated for a minimum of 15 min before measurements and sat quietly (without talking) for at least the final 5 min of this period. A minimum of three measurements were taken, the first of which was excluded, until blood pressure was stable. The mean of stable measurements was calculated.

3.5 Fingertip capillary blood sampling

Fingertip capillary blood sampling (CardioCheck, PTS diagnostics, Indianapolis, USA) was used to measure fasted glucose, TG, total cholesterol and HDL during screening procedures only (see Section 3.12 for details regarding the measurement of these biomarkers as study outcomes). Capillary (whole-blood) samples were analysed immediately after collection and LDL was estimated using the Friedewald equation (Friedewald, Levy and Fredrickson, 1972):

\[ \text{LDL} = \text{total cholesterol} - \text{HDL} - \left( \frac{\text{TG}}{2.2} \right) \]
3.6 Measurement of expired gases

In Chapters 4, 5 and 6, expired gases were measured continuously during submaximal and maximal exercise testing by indirect calorimetry (Metalyser 3B, Cortex Biophysik GmbH, Germany). Expired gases were also collected during experimental trials in Chapter 4. These gas analysers were serviced annually during the period these studies were undertaken and were calibrated prior to each use. A manual 3 L syringe was used to calibrate gas volume and a two-stage calibration of air composition was performed. The latter involved calibration first against ambient air and then against a bottled cylinder of known gas composition (approximately 17% O₂ and 5% CO₂; supplied by Cranlea Human Performance Ltd., UK). Participants were fitted with an appropriately sized mask (Hans Rudolph 7450 Series, Cranlea Human Performance Ltd., UK), which was kept consistent for each visit. The same gas analyser was used for each participant across multiple visits.

3.7 Submaximal exercise testing

3.7.1 Exercise protocol

During the exercise trial of Chapter 4, participants were required to run for 60 min at an intensity relative to \( \dot{V}O_2 \) peak (60%). To predict the treadmill speed required to elicit this intensity, a submaximal exercise test was performed. During this test, participants completed four stages of steady-state exercise on a motorised treadmill, each lasting four minutes. All stages were performed at 0% gradient but intensity increased progressively by increasing treadmill speed. Treadmill speeds were chosen in consultation with the participant (considering their habitual activity levels) with the intention of progressing from a light jog during stage one through to a hard, but not exhaustive, run in the final stage.

3.7.2 Determination of treadmill speed required to elicit target relative intensity

Mean steady-state values of oxygen uptake (\( \dot{V}O_2 \)), carbon dioxide production (\( \dot{V}CO_2 \)) and respiratory exchange ratio (RER) were calculated for each submaximal exercise stage using data collected during the penultimate 30 s of each stage (i.e. 3:01 to 3:30 min). Any stage in which RER was > 1.0 was excluded. Bivariate regression was performed between treadmill speed and steady state \( \dot{V}O_2 \) to predict the treadmill speed required to elicit 60% of \( \dot{V}O_2 \) peak for use in experimental trials.
3.8 Maximal exercise testing

3.8.1 Exercise protocols

Maximal exercise tests were also performed in Chapters 4, 5 and 6 to assess $\dot{V}O_2$ peak as a marker of cardiorespiratory fitness. In Chapter 6, peak power output (PPO) was also assessed. Tests were performed using the same exercise mode as that utilised within experimental testing of each study. Accordingly, a motorised treadmill was used in Chapters 4 (Excite Med Technogym, Italy) and 5 (Woodway PPS 70 Plus, Woodway Inc., USA), whilst a stationary electromagnetically-braked cycle ergometer (Excalibur Sport, Lode BV, the Netherlands) was used in Chapter 6.

In Chapter 4, participants completed maximal exercise testing using a treadmill speed predicted to elicit a steady-state heart rate (HR) of approximately 150 beats·min$^{-1}$. This was determined using data from the submaximal exercise test performed approximately 15 min prior (see Section 3.7). In Chapter 5, participants were asked to self-select a speed that constituted a ‘brisk walk’. In both chapters, participants completed a 3 min warm-up at the selected speed on a minimal gradient (Chapter 4 = 1%; Chapter 5 = 0%), after which the test commenced and the gradient was increased by 1% each min.

In Chapter 6, participants completed a 5 min warm-up at 50 W, after which a ramp protocol was initiated with workload increasing by 16 W·min$^{-1}$. Participants were instructed to maintain a pedalling cadence of approximately 80 revolutions·min$^{-1}$ (rpm). Participants were familiarised with this test approximately seven days before baseline assessments.

In each of these protocols, HR (T31, Polar Electro (UK) Ltd., United Kingdom) and rating of perceived exertion (RPE) (Borg, 1970) were recorded during the penultimate 10 s of each minute, whilst expired gases were measured throughout (see Section 3.6). Tests continued until one of the following occurred:

- Test aborted due to abnormal ECG or other adverse event beyond expected levels of fatigue (this was classified as an incomplete test).
- HR within 10 beats of age-predicted maximum (220 – age) AND respiratory exchange ratio (RER) > 1.15.
- Volitional exhaustion (all chapters) OR participants unable to maintain a pedalling cadence of 80 rpm (Chapter 6 only).
3.8.2 **Determination of peak oxygen uptake (\(\dot{V}O_2\) peak) and peak power output (PPO)**

Breath-by-breath data were exported at 1 s intervals. \(\dot{V}O_2\) peak was considered the maximum \(\dot{V}O_2\) value when a rolling 30 s mean was calculated throughout maximal exercise tests. This invariably occurred within the final 2 min of the exercise test. No validation stage was performed in the studies within this thesis. PPO was measured as the power elicited at the point at which the test was stopped (Chapter 6 only).

3.9 **Magnetic resonance procedures**

In Chapter 6, magnetic resonance spectroscopy and imaging (MRS / MRI) were used for the measurement of IHTG, VAT and ScAT. All MR measurements were performed, after an overnight fast, on a Philips Achieva 3T system using a 32 channel XL-Torso coil, with a total scan duration of approximately 60 minutes.

IHTG was measured from a 20x20x20 mm voxel within the right lobe of the liver using proton-MRS (\(^1\)H-MRS) with Stimulated Echo Acquisition Mode (STEAM) localization (repetition time=2046 ms to remove \(T_1\) bias) (Bawden, Scott and Aithal, 2017). Water-suppressed and unsuppressed spectra were acquired at four echo times (20, 30, 40 and 60 ms) and used to determine \(T_2\)-corrected lipid-to-water ratios (lipid:water). IHTG was quantified as follows (Stephenson et al., 2013):

\[
\text{IHTG} = \frac{\text{lipid:water}}{[1+(\text{lipid:water})]} \times 100
\]

VAT and ScAT were measured by MRI using a two-point modified Dixon technique (Philips), acquired in the transverse plane centred in L4/L5 of the spine. A fat mask was generated from fat images using a minimum threshold cut-off in intensity histograms (Nakai et al., 2010) and an in-house algorithm generated fat boundaries of visceral and subcutaneous regions to calculate VAT and ScAT volumes.

3.10 **Dual-step hyperinsulinaemic, euglycaemic clamp procedures**

In Chapter 6, hepatic and peripheral insulin sensitivity were assessed using a modified version of the hyperinsulinaemic, euglycaemic clamp technique as previously described (DeFronzo, Tobin and Andres, 1979; Johnston et al., 2013). All procedures were performed after an overnight fast.
A dual-stepped protocol was employed with stages of low- (20 mU·m⁻²·min⁻¹) and high-dose (50 mU·m⁻²·min⁻¹) insulin infusion, each lasting 120 minutes. A primed (4 mg·kg⁻¹), continuous (0.04 mg·kg⁻¹·hour⁻¹) infusion of [6,6-D₂] glucose tracer was started 120 minutes before the first hyperinsulinaemic stage and continued throughout to quantify the rate of endogenous glucose production (EGP). Arterialised venous blood glucose was measured every five minutes (YSI 2300 Stat plus, United Kingdom) and euglycaemia (4.5 mmol·L⁻¹) was maintained by a variable infusion of 20% dextrose (mean coefficient of variation (CV) ± SD = 1.6 ± 0.9 and 2.7 ± 1.1% at steady-state low- and high-dose insulin infusion, respectively). Each 100g bag of 20% dextrose was spiked with 1g of [6,6-D₂] glucose to maintain plasma tracer enrichment. Aliquots of plasma and serum were collected every 15 minutes for subsequent batch analysis of glucose tracer enrichment and insulin concentration, respectively. An example data collection sheet can be found in Appendix VIII.

Plasma glucose isotope enrichment (atoms percent excess) was quantified as the oxime/trimethylsilyl derivative via gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS; 7890B, MSD 5977A; Agilent Technologies, UK) using selected ion monitoring of the ions at m/z 319 and 321 (CV = 6.4%). Rates of EGP in the basal state and at low-dose insulin infusion were calculated (Wolfe and Chinkes, 2005; Vella and Rizza, 2009) to allow assessment of hepatic insulin sensitivity in the basal and insulin-stimulated states, using the hepatic insulin sensitivity index (HISI) and percentage suppression of EGP during low-dose insulin infusion (%EGP_sup), respectively. Full details of glucose tracer calculations can be found in Appendix VIII, whilst HISI and %EGP_sup were calculated as outlined below (see Section 3.14).

### 3.11 Isolation and storage of plasma and serum

Venous blood samples were collected into ice-cooled tubes (Chapters 4 and 5: Monovette, Sarstedt, Leicester, UK; Chapter 6: Vacutainer, BD and Co., NJ, USA) which, apart from two exceptions (outlined below), were pre-treated with anticoagulant for the isolation of plasma. EDTA or Lithium Heparin were used as anticoagulant, dependent on analytical instructions. After collection, tubes were gently inverted 7-10 times and spun immediately in a refrigerated centrifuge (4 °C) for ≥10 min at 2383 x g. The plasma supernatant was removed and aliquoted for storage at -80 °C. During hyperinsulinaemic, euglycaemic clamps (Chapter 6), samples for the measurement of serum insulin were collected into tubes pre-treated with a clotting factor and left for 30 min prior to centrifugation. Plasma samples collected for the measurement of glucose tracer enrichment were pre-treated with fluoride and heparin.
3.12 Biochemical analyses

Commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) were used to measure plasma concentrations of FGF21, follistatin, fetuin-A (R & D Systems, Oxford, UK), LECT2 (MBL International, Massachusetts, USA), insulin (Chapters 4 and 5 only) and glucagon (Chapter 4 only) (Mercodia, Uppsala, Sweden). All assays were performed according to manufacturer instructions, including respective curve fitting, with dilutions and washes performed manually using an automated multi-channel pipette (Sartorius, NY, USA). Measurement of absorbance and subsequent curve fitting were performed on an automated plate reader (Varioskan Flash Multiple Mode Reader, Thermo Scientific, Vantaa, Finland). In Chapter 6, serum insulin was quantified using radioimmunoassay (Millipore, USA). Plasma concentrations of full-length SeP were measured using a sol particle homogeneous immunoassay, utilising two types of SeP monoclonal antibody, as previously reported (Saito et al., 2001; Tanaka et al., 2016). In Chapters 4 and 6, circulating concentrations of glucose, TG, NEFA, total cholesterol, HDL, AST, ALT and GGT were analysed by enzymatic colorimetric methods using a benchtop analyser (Pentra 400; HORIBA ABX Diagnostics, Montpellier, France). In Chapter 5, plasma glucose, TG, total cholesterol, HDL and HbA1c were measured by the pathology laboratories of the University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust, whilst NEFA was sent to specialist laboratories at Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust.

3.13 Calculation of plasma volume and adjustment of plasma protein concentrations

In Chapter 4, where samples were collected on multiple occasions throughout trial days, blood haematocrit and haemoglobin concentrations were determined in each sample to monitor changes in plasma volume, calculated using established equations (Dill and Costill, 1974). Haematocrit was measured in triplicate with the collection of whole blood into heparinised microtubes, which were subsequently spun in a microliter-haematocrit centrifuge (MIKRO 20, Andreas Hettich GmbH and Co., Tuttingen, Germany). Haemoglobin was measured in duplicate by the cyanmethaemoglobin method using a spectrophotometer (CECIL CE1011, Cecil Instruments Ltd., Cambridge, UK) from samples collected into a micropipette and dispensed into Drabkin’s solution. Circulating protein concentrations were corrected, as previously described (Sherk et al., 2013), when plasma volume deviated significantly from baseline. In Chapter 5, haematocrit and haemoglobin were requested from the pathology
laboratories of the University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust but, due to large amounts of missing data (~33%), adjustments for changes in plasma volume were not performed.

3.14 Calculation of indices of insulin resistance

Throughout this thesis, HOMA-IR (Matthews et al., 1985), adipose tissue insulin resistance index (Adipo-IR) (Gastaldelli et al., 2007) and HISI (Matsuda and DeFronzo, 1999) were calculated as follows:

\[
\text{HOMA-IR} = \text{fasted plasma glucose} \text{[mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}] \times \text{fasted plasma insulin} \text{[mU} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}] / 22.5
\]

\[
\text{Adipo-IR} = \text{fasted plasma NEFA} \text{[mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}] \times \text{fasted plasma insulin} \text{[pmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}]\]

\[
\text{HISI} = 1000 / \text{basal EGP} \text{[mg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}] \times \text{fasted plasma insulin} \text{[mU} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}]
\]

In Chapters 6 and 7, hepatic insulin sensitivity was also assessed in the insulin-stimulated state using the percentage suppression of EGP during low-dose insulin infusion (%EGPsupp), calculated as follows:

\[
\text{%EGP}_{\text{supp}} = (\text{EGP} \text{ at low-dose insulin infusion} – \text{basal EGP}) / \text{basal EGP} \times 100
\]

3.15 Calculation of area under the curve (AUC)

In Chapters 4 and 5, the total area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) for circulating biomarkers in each experimental trial was calculated using the trapezoid method. Total AUC was calculated as the sum of the areas between each consecutive measurement:

\[
\text{Area between measurement A and B} = (T_B – T_A) \times (0.5 \times [C_A + C_B])
\]

where \(T\) = time and \(C\) = concentration.

3.16 Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using commercially available software (Chapters 4, 5 and 6: SPSS version 23.0, SPSS Inc., USA; Chapter 7: Stata IC, Version 14.1, StataCorp LP, Texas, USA). In Chapters 4, 5 and 6, data were first assessed for their suitability for parametric statistical testing. Specifically, Shapiro-Wilk tests were performed to assess the distribution of data and in Chapter 4, which contained a between-subjects factor, Levene’s test was used to assess homogeneity of variance between groups. In bivariate correlation analyses, the distribution of each individual variable and the standardised residuals were assessed. If either the normality of distribution or homogeneity of variance assumptions were violated, a natural logarithmic transformation was applied to the raw data and the parametric assumptions were
re-assessed. If the assumptions were still not met, non-parametric statistical tests were used. All experimental studies in this thesis involved at least one within-measures factor and thus, when parametric tests were performed, Mauchly’s test of sphericity was used to determine whether the variances between all the pairs of measurements were similar. When sphericity could not be assumed, a correction was applied to the degrees of freedom. In these instances, the Greenhouse-Geisser epsilon was consulted and when < 0.75, this correction was used. When the Greenhouse-Geisser epsilon was > 0.75 the Huynh-Feldt correction was applied (Atkinson, 2001).

All normally distributed data are presented as the arithmetic mean with either standard deviation or standard error of mean (Lydersen, 2015). Non-normally distributed data are presented as the median with interquartile range (IQR). Statistical significance was considered at the 5% level (\( P \leq 0.05 \)) and, where appropriate, probability (\( P^- \)) values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Holm-Bonferroni correction (Holm, 1979), to reduce the chance of type I statistical error.
CHAPTER 4

THE INFLUENCE OF ADIPOSITY AND ACUTE EXERCISE ON CIRCULATING HEPATOKINES IN NORMAL WEIGHT AND OVERWEIGHT/OBESE MEN

The study presented in this chapter has been published and has the following citation:


This manuscript was also selected by the editor as the featured content for the May issue of *Applied Physiology, Nutrition and Metabolism* (Appendix I).

This chapter presents the published manuscript but with some aspects of the methods section condensed or removed to avoid repetition within this thesis. When this occurs, readers are directed to the appropriate sections of the General Methods for more information.
4.1 Abstract

Background: Hepatokines are liver-secreted proteins with potential to influence glucose regulation and other metabolic parameters. This study investigated differences in adiposity status on five novel hepatokines and characterised their response to acute moderate-intensity exercise in groups of normal weight and overweight/obese men.

Methods: Twenty-two men were recruited into normal weight and overweight/obese groups (BMI: 18.5 to 24.9 and 25.0 to 34.9 kg·m⁻²). Each completed two experimental trials, exercise and control. During exercise trials, participants performed 60 min of moderate-intensity treadmill exercise (~60% \( \dot{V}O_2 \) peak) and then rested for 6 h. Participants rested throughout control trials. Circulating fibroblast growth factor-21 (FGF21), follistatin, leukocyte cell-derived chemotaxin 2 (LECT2), fetuin-A and selenoprotein-P (SeP) were measured throughout.

Results: Fasted (resting) FGF21 and LECT2 were higher in overweight/obese individuals (129% and 55%; \( P \leq 0.01 \) and correlated with indices of adiposity and insulin resistance; whereas circulating follistatin was lower in overweight/obese individuals throughout trial days (17%, \( P < 0.05 \)). In both groups, circulating concentrations of FGF21 and follistatin were transiently elevated after exercise for up to 6 h (\( P \leq 0.02 \)). Circulating fetuin-A and SeP were no different between groups (\( P \geq 0.19 \)) and, along with LECT2, were unaffected by exercise (\( P \geq 0.06 \)).

Conclusions: These findings show that increased adiposity is associated with a modified hepatokine profile, which may represent a novel mechanism linking excess adiposity to metabolic health. Furthermore, acute perturbations in circulating FGF21 and follistatin after exercise may contribute to the health benefits of an active lifestyle.
4.2 Introduction

Recent work characterising the hepatic proteome has identified 168 proteins which can be secreted and potentially exert endocrine-like effects in distal sites (Meex et al., 2015). A number of these ‘hepatokines’ are associated with measures of adiposity (Chen et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2011; Yang, Hwang, et al., 2011; Lan et al., 2014) and have been shown to exert metabolic effects within various central and peripheral tissues (Misu et al., 2010; Camporez et al., 2013; Malin, Mulya, et al., 2013; Lan et al., 2014; Hansen, Rutti, et al., 2016). Together, this evidence has prompted suggestions that hepatokines represent a potential mechanism linking adiposity and metabolic health and may be novel therapeutic targets to combat obesity-related insulin resistance and associated metabolic disease.

To date, much of the research concerning hepatokine function and metabolism has focused on their direct influence on tissue-specific insulin sensitivity and systemic glucose metabolism. The most frequently studied, fibroblast growth factor-21 (FGF21), has been shown to improve glucose metabolism in the liver, skeletal muscle and adipose tissue (Camporez et al., 2013); whilst follistatin may promote pancreatic beta cell survival and suppress circulating glucagon (Hansen, Rutti, et al., 2016). Other hepatokines may act to promote insulin resistance. For example, within skeletal muscle, leukocyte cell-derived chemotaxin 2 (LECT2) (Lan et al., 2014), selenoprotein-P (SeP) (Misu et al., 2010) and fetuin-A (Malin, Mulya, et al., 2013) have each been shown to directly interfere with distinct aspects of glucose metabolism. Observational evidence in humans has identified associations between these hepatokines and adiposity, insulin resistance, ectopic lipid and the metabolic syndrome (Zhang et al., 2008; Li et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2011; Yang, Hwang, et al., 2011; H. Y. Choi et al., 2013; Hansen et al., 2013; Okumura et al., 2013; Lan et al., 2014). However, human experimental research is now required to scrutinise the pathophysiological relevance of these novel proteins in vivo.

Current evidence demonstrates that exercise training reduces circulating levels of fetuin-A and FGF21, and responses correlate with improvements in insulin sensitivity and intrahepatic fat (Malin, Mulya, et al., 2013; Malin et al., 2014; Taniguchi et al., 2016). Given that single bouts of exercise transiently enhance insulin sensitivity (Sylow et al., 2017), a handful of studies have also investigated the acute influence of exercise on circulating hepatokines, speculating that modulation of the hepatokine profile may be implicated in the benefits induced. This hypothesis is strengthened by the knowledge that exercise acutely increases circulating non-
esterified fatty acids (NEFA) and glucagon, and activates hepatic AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) (Camacho et al., 2006; Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016). Each of these have been implicated in the regulation of at least one of the hepatokines outlined above (Jung, Choi, et al., 2013; Lan et al., 2014; Trepanowski, Mey and Varady, 2014; Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016). Whilst these studies remain limited in number, the available evidence shows that moderate- to high-intensity aerobic exercise acutely increases circulating levels of FGF21 and follistatin (Slusher et al., 2015; Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016; Hansen, Rutti, et al., 2016), but responses may differ between normal weight and obese individuals, and between individuals with normal and dysregulated glucose metabolism (Slusher et al., 2015; Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016). Additional work is required to determine whether the FGF21 and follistatin responses to acute exercise differ between normal weight and overweight/obese individuals who are free of diagnosed metabolic disease, and whether similar responses occur in other relevant hepatokines.

The purpose of this study was two-fold. Firstly, we sought to investigate differences in adiposity status on FGF21, follistatin, LECT2, SeP and fetuin-A in normal weight and overweight/obese men. Secondly, we characterised the effect of an acute bout of moderate-intensity exercise on circulating concentrations of these hepatokines in order to explore their potential role as mediators of exercise-induced improvements in glycaemic control and other metabolic parameters. We hypothesised that overweight/obese individuals would have elevated circulating concentrations of hepatokines at rest and that an acute bout of moderate-intensity exercise would beneficially alter circulating hepatokine profiles; by reducing LECT2, SeP, and fetuin A, whilst increasing FGF21 and follistatin.
4.3 Materials and methods

4.3.1 Ethical approval and participant recruitment

After receiving approval from the East Midlands NHS Research Ethics committee (13/EM/0290), 22 non-smoking men were recruited equally into normal weight and overweight/obese groups (BMI: 18.5 to 24.9 and 25.0 to 34.9 kg·m⁻², respectively); providing written, informed consent to participate (see Section 3.1 for more details). This sample size was chosen based on previous studies that documented significant changes in hepatokines (FGF21 and follistatin) in response to acute exercise; as well as differences between participant groups (normal weight vs. obese and normal vs. impaired glucose regulation) (Slusher et al., 2015; Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016; Hansen, Rutti, et al., 2016). Participants in the current study were free of diagnosed chronic disease and were not taking medications known to affect glucose or lipid metabolism, or blood pressure. Participants were also ‘inactive’ or ‘moderately active’ according to the IPAQ [www.ipaq.ki.se [accessed 03/01/2017]) and were weight stable in the three months prior to enrolment (< 2 kg self-reported weight change).

4.3.2 Participant pre-assessment

During a pre-assessment visit, participants were screened to determine eligibility and suitability for exercise testing as outlined in General Methods (see Section 3.2). Height, weight, BF % and WC were also measured (see Section 3.3). In this study, participants were excluded if blood pressure was greater than 160/100 mmHg.

On a separate occasion, participants completed a 16-minute, progressive sub-maximal exercise test followed, after approximately 15 minutes, by a ramped maximal exercise test to determine $\dot{V}O_2$ peak and predict the treadmill speed to elicit 60 % of $\dot{V}O_2$ peak during experimental trials (see Sections 3.6 to 3.8 of General Methods for further detail).

In the 48 h before main trials, participants refrained from strenuous physical activity, alcohol and caffeine, and standardised their dietary intake using weighed records. On the evening before main trials, participants were provided with a standardised meal (3138 kJ; 71% carbohydrate, 18% fat, 11% protein) to consume before 21:00, after which only water was permitted until the start of the trials.
4.3.3 Experimental trials

Participants completed two experimental trials, control and exercise, in a counterbalanced order and separated by approximately one week. On the morning of each trial, participants arrived at the laboratory at 08:30, at which point an intravenous cannula (21 G; Venflon, Becton Dickinson, Sweden) was inserted into an antecubital vein. Trials were then initiated with a venous blood sample taken at ~09:00 (0 h) and additional samples were collected at 1, 1.5, 2.75, 4 and 7 h (Figure 4.1). During exercise trials, participants completed a 60-min bout of moderate-intensity treadmill exercise (60% of $\dot{V}O_2$ peak) between 0 and 1 h, and then rested in the laboratory for the remainder of the trial (1-7 h). HR and RPE (Borg, 1970) were recorded every 15 min during exercise, and expired air was collected throughout for ongoing measurement of $\dot{V}O_2$ and $\dot{V}CO_2$. If necessary, treadmill speed was adjusted to maintain the desired exercise intensity. Participants rested for the entirety of control trials and samples of expired air were collected between 0 and 1 h to quantify resting energy expenditure; allowing the calculation of net energy expenditure elicited by exercise. Participants were provided with a standardised breakfast (2690 kJ; 72% carbohydrate, 18% fat, 10% protein) and lunch (3138 kJ; 43% carbohydrate, 25% fat, 32% protein) at 1.5 and 4 h, respectively.

Figure 4.1 Schematic representation of experimental trial days.

- Acute moderate-intensity exercise (exercise trials) or measurement of resting energy expenditure (control trials)
- Standardised meal consumed
- Venous cannula inserted
- Venous blood sample collected
4.3.4 Biochemical analyses

Venous blood samples were collected into ice-cooled monovettes pre-treated with anticoagulant (Sarstedt, Leicester, UK) and plasma was isolated as outlined in General Methods (see Section 3.11). Plasma concentrations of FGF21, follistatin, fetuin-A, LECT2, insulin, glucagon and SeP were measured at each time point (see Section 3.12). The mean within-batch co-efficient of variation (CV) for these assays was \( \leq 5.5\% \). Circulating concentrations of NEFA, glucose, TG, total cholesterol, AST, ALT and GGT were also measured (within batch CV \( \leq 4.2\% \)). All were measured at 0 h only with the exception of plasma NEFA, which was measured at each sample time point. Insulin resistance was assessed by HOMA-IR and Adipo-IR (see Section 3.14) (Matthews et al., 1985; Gastaldelli et al., 2007).

Plasma volume was calculated in each whole blood sample using established equations (Dill and Costill, 1974) and circulating protein concentrations were corrected when plasma volume deviated significantly from baseline (see Section 3.13 for more details).

4.3.5 Statistical analyses

Two-tailed, independent samples \( t \)-tests were used to compare differences in participant characteristics, fasted plasma protein and metabolite concentrations, and characteristics of the exercise performed between normal weight and overweight/obese groups. When parametric assumptions were not met before or after log transformation, non-parametric Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test was used. Relationships between fasted hepatokine concentrations and other participant characteristics were assessed using bivariate Pearson’s and Spearman’s correlation analyses as appropriate. Three-way, mixed-design analysis of variance (ANOVA), consisting of two within-participant factors (trial and sample time) and one between-participant factor (group), was used to assess hepatokine responses to exercise. After inspection of main effects, the three-way interaction between trial, time and group was used to assess whether hepatokine responses during and after exercise, when compared to the control trial, differed between normal weight and overweight/obese groups. When this was not significant, the two-way interaction between trial and time was used to investigate the hepatokine response to exercise in the two groups combined. Statistically significant two-way interactions were investigated further with two-tailed paired samples \( t \)-tests to identify the times at which circulating concentrations differed between control and exercise trials. Due to the sample size in this study, no correction for multiple comparisons was applied. To help clarity in graphical presentation, total area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) was also
calculated for each experimental trial (see Section 3.15) and these data were analysed statistically using two-way mixed design ANOVA.
4.4 Results

4.4.1 Participant characteristics

Descriptive characteristics of the normal weight and overweight/obese groups can be found in Table 4.1. By design, the overweight/obese group had higher BMI, body mass, body fat percentage and waist circumference, but age was similar between groups. There was no difference in absolute cardiorespiratory fitness between groups but relative fitness was greater in the normal weight individuals due to their lower body mass. Fasted plasma glucose, insulin and HOMA-IR were similar between groups, but fasted plasma lipids were greater in the overweight/obese individuals, whilst Adipo-IR tended to be higher. There were no significant differences in AST, ALT or GGT between groups (all \( P \geq 0.35 \); data not shown).

4.4.2 Fasted plasma hepatokine concentrations and associations with metabolic variables

The overweight/obese individuals had greater fasted plasma concentrations of LECT2 and FGF21, but fasted concentrations of follistatin, fetuin-A and SeP were similar between groups (Table 4.1). Fasted circulating LECT2 and FGF21 were positively correlated with each other (\( \rho^2 = 36.9\%, \ P = 0.03 \)), body mass, BMI, WC, BF\%, NEFA, TG, Adipo-IR and glucagon (\( r^2 \geq 19.4\%, \ P \leq 0.02 \) or \( \rho^2 \geq 17.6\%, \ P \leq 0.05 \)), and negatively with relative \( \dot{V}O_2 \) peak (\( r^2 \geq 27.0\%, \ P \leq 0.01 \)). FGF21 was also marginally positively correlated with fasted plasma glucose (\( r^2 = 18.1\%, \ P = 0.048 \)), whilst LECT2 was strongly positively correlated with HOMA-IR (\( \rho^2 = 43.2\%, \ P = 0.001 \)). Significant negative correlations were found between fasted concentrations of follistatin and the AST:ALT ratio (\( r^2 = 18.5\%, \ P = 0.05 \)), fetuin-A and age (\( \rho^2 = 25\%, \ P = 0.02 \)), and between fasted concentrations of SeP and ALT (\( r^2 = 19.4\%, \ P = 0.04 \)). Further details of all significant correlations can be found in Appendix VII.
Table 4.1 Participant characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal weight (n=11)</th>
<th>Overweight/obese (n=11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anthropometry</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg·m$^{-2}$)$^a$</td>
<td>23.4 (1.6)</td>
<td>29.2 (4.5)$^\dagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>36 ± 15</td>
<td>45 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>69.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>92.3 ± 3.4$^\ddagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>16.9 ± 3.6</td>
<td>26.4 ± 4.0$^\ddagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>81.6 ± 5.3</td>
<td>96.0 ± 7.8$^\ddagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiorespiratory Fitness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute $\dot{V}$O$_2$ peak (L·min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.74</td>
<td>3.21 ± 1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative $\dot{V}$O$_2$ peak (mL·kg BW$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>50.1 ± 11.9</td>
<td>38.5 ± 9.7$^\dagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Circulating Metabolic Risk Factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol·L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>4.12 ± 0.73</td>
<td>4.91 ± 0.89$^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mmol·L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.82 ± 0.24$^\dagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFA (mmol·L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.14$^\dagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPG (mmol·L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPI (pmol·L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>30.0 ± 12.2</td>
<td>37.1 ± 19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insulin Sensitivity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.39</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adipo-IR</td>
<td>12.57 ± 8.99</td>
<td>21.98 ± 13.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hepatokines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF21 (pg·mL$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>83 ± 55</td>
<td>190 ± 74$^\dagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follistatin (pg·mL$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>795 ± 257</td>
<td>670 ± 154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LECT2 (ng·mL$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>31 ± 10</td>
<td>48 ± 17$^\dagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetuin-A (µg·mL$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>541 ± 137</td>
<td>497 ± 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SeP (µg·mL$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>3.01 ± 0.39</td>
<td>2.81 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Heterogeneous variance between groups, non-parametric analyses performed and data presented as median (interquartile range). All other data presented as mean ± SD. Symbols indicate statistically significant differences between groups ($^* < 0.05$; $^\dagger \leq 0.01$; $^\ddagger < 0.001$).
4.4.3 Exercise characteristics

Participants in the normal weight group exercised at a greater treadmill speed due to their higher relative cardiorespiratory fitness. However, the relative intensity of the exercise performed was similar between groups (Table 4.2). Consequently, given the higher energy cost of exercise in the overweight/obese group as a result of their higher body mass, the net energy expenditure during exercise trials was similar between groups ($P = 0.98$). During the exercise trials, there was a significant reduction in plasma volume immediately post-exercise irrespective of group (0 vs 1 h: $58.5 \pm 0.7$ vs. $53.7 \pm 0.7\%$; $P < 0.01$), which returned to baseline by 1.5 h.

Table 4.2 Exercise characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal weight (n=11)</th>
<th>Overweight/obese (n=11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treadmill speed (km h$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>7.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{V}O_{2}$ elicited (%$\dot{V}O_{2}$ peak)</td>
<td>59.3 ± 2.8</td>
<td>57.9 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net energy expenditure (kJ)</td>
<td>2211 ± 507</td>
<td>2217 ± 509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats·min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>141 ± 29</td>
<td>139 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating of perceived exertion (6-20)</td>
<td>13 ± 1</td>
<td>12 ± 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± SD. *indicates a statistically significant difference between groups ($P < 0.05$).

4.4.4 Circulating hepatokine responses to exercise

Plasma FGF21 concentrations were higher in the overweight/obese group, irrespective of trial or time (Figure 4.2a; $P = 0.003$), but there was no interaction between trial, time and group ($P = 0.19$). With groups combined, there was a significant two-way interaction between trial and time for circulating FGF21 (Figure 4.3a; $P < 0.001$), and post-hoc analyses revealed that circulating concentrations were significantly higher at 1, 1.5 and 4 h in the exercise trial, compared with control (all $P \leq 0.005$). Accordingly, the total AUC for FGF21 was significantly greater in the overweight/obese compared with the normal weight individuals (Figure 4.2b; $P = 0.003$), and in the exercise versus the control trials (Figure 4.3b; $P = 0.003$). However, there was no interaction between group and trial ($P = 0.65$).
Circulating follistatin was lower in the overweight/obese versus the normal weight group (Figure 4.2c; \( P = 0.05 \)), but the interaction between trial, time and group was not significant \( (P = 0.94) \). In the whole study population, there was a significant two-way interaction between trial and time for plasma follistatin (Figure 4.3c; \( P = 0.001 \)). Post-hoc analyses identified significantly higher concentrations at 2.75, 4 and 7 h in the exercise trial \( (P \leq 0.02) \). Similarly, the total AUC for follistatin was significantly lower in the overweight/obese group (Figure 4.2d; \( P = 0.05 \)) and greater in the exercise trials (Figure 4.3d; \( P < 0.01 \)), but there was no interaction between group and trial \( (P = 0.41) \).

Circulating LECT2 was higher in the overweight/obese group versus the normal weight group, (Figure 4.2e; \( P = 0.009 \)), but there was no interaction between trial, time and group \( (P = 0.38) \). For plasma concentrations of LECT2, the two-way interaction between trial and time in the whole study population was also not significant \( (P = 0.06) \). The total AUC analyses for LECT2 mirrored these results with a significantly greater AUC in the overweight/obese group \( (P < 0.01) \), but no significant difference between the control and exercise trials \( (P = 0.07) \) and no interaction between group and trial \( (P = 0.45) \).

Circulating concentrations of fetuin-A and SeP were similar between groups (Figures 4.2g and 4.2i; \( P \geq 0.20 \)) and there were no interactions between trial, time and group \( (P \geq 0.07) \). Furthermore, with groups combined, there were no interactions between trial and time \( (P \geq 0.11) \). In accordance, the total AUC for fetuin-A (Figures 4.2h and 4.3h) and SeP (Figures 4.2j and 4.3j) were similar between groups and between trials, and there were no significant interactions \( (all \ P \geq 0.17) \).
Figure 4.2 Hepatokine responses in normal weight and overweight/obese groups. Circulating plasma concentrations FGF21 (a-b), follistatin (c-d), LECT2 (e-f), fetuin-A (g-h) and SeP (i-j) during control and exercise trials in both normal weight and overweight/obese groups. Meals were provided at 1.5 and 4 h. Exercise was performed between 0 and 1 h in the exercise trial only. Data presented as mean ± SEM. AUC represents the total area under the concentration-time curve for the given experimental day. P-values denote significant main effect of group irrespective or time or trial.
Figure 4.3 Hepatokine exercise responses with groups combined to a single population. Circulating plasma concentrations FGF21 (a-b), follistatin (c-d), LECT2 (e-f), fetuin-A (g-h) and SeP (i-j) during control and exercise trials in the whole study population combined. Meals were provided at 1.5 and 4 h. Exercise was performed between 0 and 1 h in the exercise trial only. Data presented as mean ± SEM. AUC represents the total area under the concentration-time curve for the given experimental day. * indicates significant difference from control trial at the same time point (all $P \leq 0.02$). $P$-values on AUC plots denote significant difference between control and exercise trials.
4.4.5 Circulating responses of NEFA, glucagon and insulin to exercise

Despite differences in the fasted state, circulating concentrations of NEFA were similar between groups throughout experimental trials \((P = 0.09)\), and there was no significant interaction between trial, time and group \((P = 0.13)\). However, with groups combined there was a significant two-way interaction between trial and time (Figure 4.4a; \(P < 0.001\)) and post-hoc analyses revealed significantly higher concentrations of NEFA in the exercise trial at 1, 1.5, 2.75 and 7 h \((P \leq 0.04)\).

Circulating concentrations of glucagon, insulin and glucagon to insulin ratio were also similar between groups \((P \geq 0.27)\), and the three-way interactions between trial, time and group were not significant for any of these outcomes \((P \geq 0.16)\). However, in the whole study population, there were significant two-way interactions between trial and time for all of glucagon, insulin and the glucagon to insulin ratio (Figure 4.4b-d; \(P \leq 0.03\)). Post-hoc tests revealed the glucagon to insulin ratio was significantly greater at 1 and 1.5 h in the exercise trial when compared to the control trial \((P \leq 0.02)\). This was primarily driven by significantly higher concentrations of glucagon \((P \leq 0.01)\) and occurred despite significantly higher concentrations of insulin at 1.5 h in the exercise trial \((P = 0.02)\). Glucagon remained elevated in the exercise trial at 2.75 h \((P = 0.03)\) but the consequential increase in the glucagon to insulin ratio was not statistically significant \((P = 0.08)\).
Figure 4.4 Responses in NEFA, glucagon and insulin with groups combined to a single population. Circulating plasma concentrations (a) NEFA (b) glucagon (c) insulin and (d) the glucagon to insulin ratio during control and exercise trials in the whole study population combined. Meals were provided at 1.5 and 4 h. Exercise was performed between 0 and 1 h in the exercise trial only. Data presented as mean ± SEM. * indicates significant difference from control trial at the same time point (all $P \leq 0.04$).
4.5 Discussion

This study investigated the impact of adiposity and acute exercise on five candidate hepatokines which have been identified as novel circulating proteins linking the liver and peripheral metabolism. Our findings suggest that circulating levels of LECT2, FGF21 and follistatin are modulated by adiposity and are associated with various anthropometric measurements and biomarkers of metabolic health. Additionally, our findings show that circulating levels of FGF21 and follistatin are transiently elevated after a single bout of moderate-intensity exercise, and these responses are preserved in overweight/obese individuals. These responses may help mediate the favourable metabolic impact of exercise, but further research is needed to assess causality.

Previous reports have shown increased LECT2 in obese individuals, with or without non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), in two large Japanese cohorts (Okumura et al., 2013; Lan et al., 2014). The current study is the first, however, to show that LECT2 is elevated in European men that are overweight/obese and correlates with BMI in a population of normal weight, overweight and obese individuals. In mice, hepatic expression and circulating concentrations of LECT2 are negatively regulated by hepatic AMPK (Lan et al., 2014). Furthermore, eight weeks of high-fat overfeeding increased circulating concentrations of LECT2, alongside increases in body mass (Lan et al., 2014). As such, circulating LECT2 may be increased in overweight and obese individuals due to chronic reduction of hepatic AMPK activity resulting from sustained energy surplus. In agreement with previous studies (Okumura et al., 2013; Lan et al., 2014), we show significant associations between LECT2 and fasted plasma insulin and HOMA-IR, whilst we also report, for the first time, significant correlations between fasted concentrations of LECT2, NEFA and Adipo-IR. LECT2 has been shown to inhibit insulin signalling in C2C12 myotubes via activation of cJNK (Lan et al., 2014) but its effects on other peripheral tissues, including hepatic and adipose tissues, warrant further investigation.

Previous reports have shown that fasted concentrations of FGF21 are elevated in obese individuals with normal or dysregulated glucose metabolism (Zhang et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2011). Our findings are in agreement with these studies and show that FGF21 is also increased in individuals that are overweight/obese. Given the beneficial metabolic effects associated with FGF21, it may seem somewhat paradoxical that circulating concentrations are increased in individuals with overweight or obesity. However, it has been suggested that increased FGF21
may be part of an early adaptive response to chronic overnutrition, to aid subcutaneous adipose tissue expansion and thus attenuate lipid storage in ectopic sites (Li et al., 2018). This may explain why transient increases in circulating FGF21 to acute stimuli, such as exercise, may be apparent and considered beneficial, whilst concentrations are also elevated chronically in individuals with metabolic dysregulation. Hepatic expression and secretion of FGF21 is increased during periods of starvation via the activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPAR-α) by circulating NEFA (Badman et al., 2007). However, plasma concentrations of NEFA are also increased with obesity (Boden, 2008), offering a potential mechanism to explain the increased FGF21 concentrations seen in the present and previous studies. In support of this, we report elevated fasted concentrations of NEFA in the overweight/obese group and a strong positive correlation between circulating concentrations of FGF21 and NEFA. Alternatively, a state of ‘FGF21 resistance’ may also result in elevated concentrations of FGF21 group (Potthoff, Kliewer and Mangelsdorf, 2012) but it was beyond the scope of this study to investigate this hypothesis.

Despite no statistically significant difference in the fasted state, we showed lower concentrations of follistatin in the overweight/obese group throughout trial days. Our findings are consistent with previous data which identified lower follistatin levels in obese individuals with T2DM (Ueland et al., 2012), yet contrast those of Hansen et al. (Hansen et al., 2013) who identified higher follistatin in T2DM patients. The reasons for these discrepancies are not clear at this time and further work is therefore needed to more fully understand the metabolism of follistatin in health and disease.

We report no differences between groups in concentrations of fetuin-A or SeP either in the fasted state or throughout trial days. This may suggest that the development of metabolic complications, and not adiposity per se, may be required to disrupt fetuin-A and SeP metabolism. Previous research has found no independent effect of obesity on fetuin-A concentrations (Obuchi et al., 2014), whilst studies reporting differences in SeP have recruited individuals with NAFLD or dysregulated glucose metabolism (Yang, Hwang, et al., 2011; H. Y. Choi et al., 2013).

In the current study we demonstrate that circulating concentrations of FGF21 are increased immediately after an acute 60-min bout of moderate-intensity aerobic exercise, peaking 30 min after the cessation of exercise, and remaining elevated for up to 3 h. A similar, albeit delayed, increase in circulating follistatin also occurred. These findings support previous studies...
showing that FGF21 and follistatin are increased with acute aerobic exercise (Slusher et al., 2015; Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016; Hansen, Rutti, et al., 2016). FGF21 and follistatin production are positively regulated by the glucagon to insulin ratio (Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016; Hansen, Rutti, et al., 2016), whilst FGF21 may also be increased via activation of PPAR-α by circulating NEFA (K. H. Kim et al., 2013; Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016). The systemic glucagon to insulin ratio and circulating NEFA were both elevated in response to exercise in the present study. FGF21 improves glucose metabolism in skeletal muscle, adipose tissue and the liver, whilst follistatin may promote pancreatic beta cell survival, reduce circulating glucagon and preserve skeletal muscle mass (Camporez et al., 2013; Hansen, Rutti, et al., 2016). Transient increases in the circulating levels of these hepatokines may represent potential mechanisms which contribute to the short-term improvements in glycaemic control after acute exercise (Sylow et al., 2017) and, if occurring regularly with repeated bouts (i.e. training), the longer-term metabolic benefits associated with regular exercise. Notably, in Wistar rats undergoing a period of HFOF, FGF21 knockout abolished the beneficial effects of exercise training on hepatic steatosis and glucose tolerance (Loyd et al., 2016).

In the current study the responses of circulating FGF21 and follistatin to exercise were similar in both normal weight and overweight/obese participants. It has been previously shown that the FGF21 and follistatin responses to acute exercise are blunted in individuals with T2DM, and this may be the result of differences in the exercise-induced changes in circulating NEFA and the glucagon to insulin ratio (Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016). Furthermore, the response of FGF21 to 30 min of exercise at 75% $\dot{V}O_2$ peak has been shown to be reduced in obese individuals compared with healthy, normal weight controls (Slusher et al., 2015). Notably, although the participants in the study by Slusher and colleagues (Slusher et al., 2015) were reportedly healthy, the obese group had a mean HOMA-IR of 4.36, which approaches the 5.13 threshold previously used to distinguish insulin resistant individuals (Wildman et al., 2008). The participants in the current study were free from chronic disease and fasted plasma glucose, insulin and HOMA-IR suggested they were not insulin resistant. It could, therefore, be speculated that exercise-induced increases in circulating FGF21 and follistatin are maintained in overweight/obese individuals with preserved glycaemic control but not once a degree of insulin resistance has developed. Notably, the exercise-induced changes in NEFA and the glucagon to insulin ratio were no different between groups in the current study. This speculative hypothesis, however, should be tested further.
The current study is the first to investigate the acute effects of aerobic exercise on fetuin-A, LECT2 and SeP, but these hepatokines were unaffected by exercise. Fetuin-A, LECT2 and SeP are all negatively regulated by hepatic AMPK (Jung, Choi, et al., 2013; Lan et al., 2014; Trepanowski, Mey and Varady, 2014) which is activated by exercise in an intensity-dependent manner (Camacho et al., 2006). It may be that higher intensity exercise is required to acutely modulate fetuin-A, LECT2 or SeP (Trepanowski, Mey and Varady, 2014), or that repeated bouts of exercise are required to elicit benefits; as shown previously for fetuin-A (Malin, Mulya, et al., 2013; Malin et al., 2014).

This study is not without limitation. Most prominently, this trial was conducted using a relatively small sample of normal weight and overweight/obese men. Given the lack of prior evidence we were unable to determine *a priori* whether our sample size was sufficient for all of our outcomes. The novel data presented in this manuscript may, however, be utilised to inform power calculations for future studies, particularly those investigating the effects of acute exercise on LECT2, SeP and fetuin-A. Our results also cannot be generalised to individuals with chronic metabolic disease or women. Notably, we did not directly measure intrahepatic fat or insulin sensitivity in the current study. These are important considerations because the development of metabolic disease may influence hepatokine metabolism. Furthermore, the heightened propensity for fatty liver development in men, and the potential metabolic influence of sex hormones, underscores the necessity for additional research to be undertaken in women.

It should also be noted that all analyses in this study were conducted using plasma isolated from systemic venous blood. Collection of systemic blood has been previously shown to be suitable to assess changes in FGF21 and follistatin after acute exercise (Hansen et al., 2011; Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016). However, the potential for changes in other circulating hepatokines (fetuin-A, LECT2 and SeP) to have been missed due to the location or timing of blood sampling cannot be dismissed. Isolation of the splanchnic circulation and the measurement of relevant protein expression in tissues of interest (e.g. hepatic, skeletal muscle and/or adipose tissues) would have been valuable and are necessary to explore this area further in future studies.

In conclusion, this study has identified higher circulating concentrations of FGF21 and LECT2, and lower follistatin, in overweight/obese men when compared to normal weight individuals. Moreover, circulating FGF21 and follistatin are acutely increased after moderate-intensity aerobic exercise, and this beneficial shift in hepatokine profile is similar in both groups. Whilst each of these circulating proteins have been shown to exert beneficial effects of relevance to
metabolic health, the clinical impact of the changes seen with acute exercise in this study is not currently known. These data provide new information regarding the effect of adiposity on the metabolism of several novel hepatokines and supports evidence for a potential role of FGF21 and follistatin in the metabolic benefits associated with exercise (both to a single bout and with regular training). However, additional work is needed to better understand the interaction between these novel proteins, obesity and chronic disease; as well as to better define their interaction with exercise and other metabolic perturbations. Studies exploring the clinical benefit of recurring changes in circulating hepatokines with regular exercise are also required.
CHAPTER 5

The Effects of Acute Moderate-Intensity Continuous Exercise or Low-Volume High-Intensity Interval Training on Circulating Hepatokine Profiles in Individuals with Impaired Glucose Regulation
5.1 Abstract

*Background:* Hepatokines represent a novel mechanism which may mediate the relationship between elevated IHTG and insulin resistance in peripheral tissues. This study presents preliminary findings exploring the effects of acute low-volume high-intensity interval training (LV-HIIT) or continuous moderate-intensity aerobic exercise (CME) on circulating concentrations of three hepatokines in overweight or obese individuals with impaired glycaemic control.

*Methods:* Six men and six women (median (IQR) or mean ± SD; Age: 69 (67 – 70) years; BMI: 28.8 (28.4 – 31.8) kg·m⁻²; HbA1c: 5.9 ± 0.2 %) performed three experimental trials (control, CME or LV-HIIT), each lasting 6 h, in a randomised, counterbalanced order. Participants performed LV-HIIT (25 min) or CME (35 min) during the respective exercise trials, both of which concluded at 2 h, and rested thereafter. Participants rested throughout control trials. Venous blood samples were collected at 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 h for the measurement of FGF21, follistatin, fetuin-A, insulin and NEFA.

*Results:* Circulating follistatin concentrations were greater in the CME trial at 4 h and 6 h, compared to both LV-HIIT and control trials (*P* ≤ 0.03). However, there were no differences in circulating follistatin between LV-HIIT and control (all *post hoc* comparisons: *P* ≥ 0.15), whilst circulating FGF21 and fetuin-A concentrations were similar across the three trials days (time by trial interaction: *P* ≥ 0.14).

*Conclusions:* These results suggest that, in individuals with impaired glycaemic control, a single bout of CME, but not LV-HIIT, increases circulating concentrations of follistatin, which may contribute to the improved glucose regulation associated with acute and chronic exercise. Conversely, FGF21 and fetuin-A are seemingly unaffected by either CME or LV-HIIT in dysglycaemic individuals. However, additional work is required to explore these preliminary findings further.
5.2 Introduction

Elevated IHTG is the hallmark feature of NAFLD (Marchesini et al., 2016; Chalasani et al., 2018) and is heavily implicated in the pathogenesis of T2DM (Taylor, 2008; Balkau et al., 2010; Armstrong et al., 2014; Mantovani et al., 2018). IHTG correlates with insulin resistance not only in the liver but also in skeletal muscle and adipose tissues (Korenblat et al., 2008; Bril, Barb, et al., 2017). The mechanisms underlying these relationships between IHTG and peripheral tissues are not fully understood but liver-secreted ‘hepatokines’ may play a part in mediating inter-organ crosstalk (Stefan and Häring, 2013; Takamura, Misu and Kaneko, 2016; Meex and Watt, 2017).

The accumulation of IHTG modulates the secretion of many hepatokines, including FGF21, follistatin and fetuin-A (Meex et al., 2015), each of which have been implicated in the regulation of glucose homeostasis by exerting endocrine effects in skeletal muscle, adipose tissue or the pancreas (Camporez et al., 2013; Malin, Mulya, et al., 2013; Stefan and Häring, 2013; Hansen, Rutti, et al., 2016). Circulating concentrations of FGF21, follistatin and fetuin-A are also higher in patients with T2DM compared to non-diabetic individuals, and correlate with markers of glycaemic control (Chavez et al., 2009; Ishibashi et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2011; Hansen et al., 2013; Stefan et al., 2014). Furthermore, circulating hepatokine concentrations are altered by acute exercise (Hansen et al., 2011; K. H. Kim et al., 2013; Slusher et al., 2015; Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016; Hansen, Rutti, et al., 2016; Sargeant, Aithal, et al., 2018), leading to suggestions that they may be implicated in the metabolic benefits of regular exercise (Slusher et al., 2015).

The study presented in Chapter 4 of this thesis demonstrated that circulating concentrations of FGF21 and follistatin are transiently elevated after a single bout of CME in lean and overweight/obese men (Sargeant, Aithal, et al., 2018), supporting previous research in individuals free from chronic metabolic disease (Hansen et al., 2011; K. H. Kim et al., 2013; Slusher et al., 2015; Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016; Hansen, Rutti, et al., 2016). However, it has been suggested that these responses may be blunted or even abolished in individuals with impaired glycaemic control or T2DM (Slusher et al., 2015; Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016). The study in Chapter 4 was also the first to investigate whether circulating concentrations of fetuin-A are modulated by acute exercise. However, despite evidence that circulating fetuin-A may be reduced by exercise training, (which was associated with improved insulin sensitivity) (Malin, Mulya, et al., 2013; Malin et al., 2014), a single bout of CME had no effect (Sargeant,
Aithal, et al., 2018). It is possible that the lack of response in fetuin-A may have been due to the fact that the population recruited were free from chronic disease and had normal glycaemic control. Accordingly, there were no differences in fasted fetuin-A concentrations between lean and overweight/obese groups (Sargeant, Aithal, et al., 2018). No study has explored the effects of acute exercise on circulating fetuin-A in individuals with impaired glucose regulation.

In healthy individuals, the magnitude of increase in circulating FGF21 immediately after a single bout of exercise is dependent upon the intensity of the exercise performed (K. H. Kim et al., 2013). Similarly, fetuin-A expression is negatively regulated by hepatic AMPK activity (Jung, Youn, et al., 2013; Trepanowski, Mey and Varady, 2014), which itself may be activated in an intensity-dependent manner (Camacho et al., 2006). It has also been suggested that the circulating follistatin response to acute exercise may be regulated by exercise intensity (Hansen et al., 2011) but, at present, data from adequately controlled experimental studies are not available. Sustained, continuous high-intensity exercise may not be feasible for individuals with or at risk of chronic metabolic disease. However, LV-HIIT has been proposed as a practical exercise protocol allowing such populations to complete exercise of near-to-maximal intensity (Little et al., 2010, 2011). Whilst evidence remains limited, LV-HIIT has been suggested to improve markers of glycaemic control in overweight individuals with or without T2DM (Hood et al., 2011; Little et al., 2011, 2014; Gillen et al., 2012). However, the effects of LV-HIIT on circulating hepatokines have not been explored.

This chapter presents preliminary analyses from an ongoing clinical trial (ISRCTN12337078) that explores the effects of a single bout of LV-HIIT or CME, on circulating hepatokines (FGF21, follistatin and fetuin-A) in individuals with impaired glycaemic control. It was hypothesised that, LV-HIIT would transiently increase plasma concentrations of FGF21 and follistatin and reduce circulating fetuin-A. Responses to CME would be of a lower magnitude or absent.
5.3 Materials and Methods

5.3.1 Ethical approval and participant recruitment

After receiving approval from the East Midlands NHS Research Ethics Committee (15-EM-0259), 12 white European individuals (six men and six women) were recruited, giving written informed consent to participate (see Section 3.1). These participants represent half of the total sample that will be recruited to this study as recruitment of a south Asian group remains in progress. For consistency within this thesis, south Asian individuals recruited to date were not included in these preliminary analyses; all participants in Chapters 4 and 6 were of white European ethnicity.

Participants were aged 50 to 74 years with a BMI > 27.5 kg·m⁻². Participants were weight-stable (defined as < 5 kg change in body weight in the previous six months) and free from overt chronic metabolic disease but had impaired glycaemic control as indicated by HbA1c between 5.7 and 6.5 %. Participants that performed regular purposeful activity (≥ three or more sessions of vigorous-intensity exercise per week; ≥ 20 min per session) were excluded, as were those taking glucose-lowering medications or steroids.

5.3.2 Preliminary visit

Participants visited the Leicester Diabetes Centre having abstained from alcohol and strenuous exercise for 48 and 72 h, respectively. Height, weight, WC and BF% were measured as outlined in General Methods (see Section 3.3). Medical history was reviewed by a specialist cardiac nurse and a resting ECG was performed (Cardiofax GEM ECG, Nihon Nohden Corp., Tokyo, Japan). In the absence of established resting cardiac arrhythmias or other contraindications to participation in the study, participants completed a maximal exercise test to determine $\dot{V}O_2$ peak, as outlined in General Methods (see Sections 3.6 to 3.8). ECG was monitored throughout and the test was aborted upon occurrence of any unexpected adverse events.

After approximately 15 min (or until participants had sufficiently recovered), participants were familiarised with the LV-HIIT protocol used during experimental trials. LV-HIIT was performed as outlined in Table 5.1, except that only three intervals were completed. The preliminary visit concluded with a venous blood sample for the measurement of total cholesterol, HDL and HbA1c.
5.3.3 Experimental trials

Participants completed three experimental trials (control, CME and LV-HIIT) in a randomised, counterbalanced order. Each trial was separated by approximately one week and, prior to each trial, participants refrained from strenuous activity and alcohol for 72 h and 48 h, respectively. Participants also recorded dietary intake, using weighed food records, for 48 h before their first trial day and were asked to replicate this prior to subsequent trials. They were instructed to consume all food and energy-containing beverages before 22:00 on the evening before experimental trials, after which only water was permitted.

Participants arrived at the laboratory for experimental trials at approximately 08:00. Motorised transport was arranged and ambulatory activity was minimised throughout trial days. After ensuring compliance with standardisation instructions, experimental procedures were reiterated and willingness to continue was confirmed. An intravenous cannula (20/22G, Braun, Pennine Healthcare, Derby, UK) was inserted into an antecubital vein and, after a period of habituation (30 – 45 min), trials were initiated with the collection of a venous blood sample (0 h). Further blood samples were drawn at 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 h (Figure 5.1). Circulating FGF21, follistatin, fetuin-A, insulin and NEFA were measured at each timepoint. Standardised meals (8 kcal·kg⁻¹; 51 % CHO, 35 % fat, 14 % protein) were provided at 0 and 3 h, and were consumed within 15 min.

![Figure 5.1 Schematic representation of experimental trial days](image)

- 25 min LV-HIIT performed (LV-HIIT trials only)
- 35 min of continuous moderate-intensity aerobic exercise performed (CME trials only)
- Standardised meal consumed
- Participant arrival (approximately 60 min prior to first blood sample)
- Venous cannula inserted (approximately 30 - 45 min prior to first blood sample)
- Venous blood sample collected
During exercise trials, participants performed a single bout of either CME or LV-HIIT. Each were performed on a motorised treadmill (Excite Med Technogym, Italy) and finished at 2 h. Therefore, to account for differences in exercise duration (35 vs. 25 min), the CME protocol commenced earlier than the LV-HIIT (Figure 5.1). Details of each exercise protocol, which are suggested to be closely matched for external work (Little et al., 2014), can be found in Table 5.1. During exercise, HR and RPE (Borg, 1970) were recorded at regular intervals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5.1 Details of exercise protocols employed in experimental trials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Continuous moderate-intensity exercise</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total duration</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Warm-up</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exercise protocol</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cool-down</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.3.4 Biochemical analyses

All biochemical analyses were conducted as outlined in General Methods (see Sections 3.11 and 3.12). Briefly, plasma was isolated at each timepoint of experimental trials and stored at -80 °C (see Section 3.11) for subsequent batch analysis of FGF21, fetuin-A, follistatin and insulin using commercially-available ELISA (see Section 3.12) (all CV ≤ 5.0%). Glucose, TG, total cholesterol, HDL and HbA1c were requested from the pathology laboratories of University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust, whilst NEFA analyses were conducted within
specialist laboratories at Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust. One participant was excluded from NEFA analysis as data were unavailable for an entire trial. Haemoglobin and haematocrit were also requested but missing data meant that plasma volume could only be calculated for 64% of all timepoints. Furthermore, complete data for all three experimental trials were only available for two participants. Therefore, no adjustments for plasma volume were made.

5.3.5 Statistical analyses

Data were analysed using an approach that was consistent with that of Chapter 4. Briefly, two-way, repeated measures ANOVA (within-participant factors: trial and sample time) were used to assess hepatokine responses to exercise. Significant trial by time interactions were followed up post-hoc with paired samples t-tests between the three different trials at each sample time. Given the small sample size of this study, P-values were not corrected for multiple comparisons. Total AUC was also calculated for each hepatokine throughout each trial day (see Section 3.15) and differences between trials were investigated using one-way repeated measures ANOVA or Friedman’s test when the data were normally- or not normally-distributed, respectively. Significant main effects were followed up with paired samples t-tests or Wilcoxon matched pairs tests, as appropriate.
5.4 Results

5.4.1 Participant and exercise characteristics

Details of participant characteristics can be found in Table 5.2. As per study eligibility criteria, participants were older adults with overweight or obesity and with HbA1c indicative of impaired glycaemic control. Four of the twelve individuals also met diagnostic criteria for impaired fasting glucose (> 5.7 mmol·L⁻¹) (American Diabetes Association, 2018). There were no differences in HR or RPE between the CME (mean ± SD; HR: 114 ± 17 beats·min⁻¹, RPE: 12 ± 2) or LV-HIIT (HR: 119 ± 12 beats·min⁻¹, RPE: 13 ± 2) exercise (both P ≥ 0.27).

Table 5.2 Participant characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anthropometry</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>69 (67–71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>82.1 ± 9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg·m⁻²)</td>
<td>28.8 (28.4–32.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>101.7 ± 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>35.7 ± 6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glycaemic Control and Insulin Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasted plasma glucose (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasted plasma insulin (pmol·L⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasted plasma NEFA (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adipo-IR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Metabolic Risk Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cholesterol (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasted plasma TG (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardiorespiratory Fitness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absolute VO₂ peak (L·min⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative VO₂ peak (mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fasted Hepatokines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FGF21 (pg·mL⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetuin-A (µg·mL⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follistatin (pg·mL⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as arithmetic mean ± SD or median (IQR). * n = 11.
5.4.2 Circulating hepatokines responses to exercise

FGF21 concentrations were similar between trials ($P = 0.79$) and there was no interaction between trial and time ($P = 0.23$; Figure 5.2a). Similarly, there were no differences in the total AUC between trials (Figure 5.2b).

The mean circulating follistatin concentrations were also similar between trials ($P = 0.10$), but there was a significant interaction between trial and time ($P = 0.004$; Figure 5.2c). Post-hoc tests revealed that, whilst there were no differences between control and LV-HIIT trials (all $P \geq 0.15$), circulating follistatin concentrations were significantly higher at 4 h ($P \leq 0.03$) and 6 h ($P \leq 0.002$) in the CME trial. There was a significant main effect of trial when data were analysed as total AUC ($P = 0.05$; Figure 5.2d) and post-hoc tests revealed a significantly higher total AUC in the CME trial compared to the LV-HIIT trial ($P = 0.03$). The difference in total AUC between the CME and control trials approached statistical significance ($P = 0.08$).

Circulating concentrations of fetuin-A were similar between trials ($P = 0.67$) and there was no interaction between trial and time ($P = 0.14$; Figure 5.2e). Total AUC was similar between trials ($P = 0.50$; Figure 5.2f).

5.4.3 Circulating responses of NEFA and insulin to exercise

The mean circulating concentrations of NEFA were similar between trials ($P = 0.13$) but there was a significant interaction between trial and time ($P = 0.02$; Figure 5.3a). Post-hoc tests revealed that circulating concentrations were higher in the CME trial compared to the control trial at 2 h ($P = 0.02$) and 3 h ($P = 0.02$), and higher than the LV-HIIT trial at 1 h ($P < 0.01$) and 2 h ($P < 0.001$). The total AUC was similar between trials ($P = 0.23$; Figure 5.3b).

The interaction between trial and time for circulating concentrations of insulin approached statistical significance ($P = 0.08$; Figure 5.3c) and there was a main effect of trial when data were analysed either using raw concentrations ($P = 0.045$) or as total AUC ($P = 0.02$). Post-hoc tests using AUC data suggested that the total AUC during the LV-HIIT trial was lower than that of both the control and CME trials ($P < 0.01$ and $P = 0.03$, respectively; Figure 5.3d).
Figure 5.2 Circulating hepatokine response during control, CME and LV-HIIT trials.
Circulating plasma concentrations of FGF21 (a-b), follistatin (c-d) and fetuin-A (e-f) during control, CME and LV-HIIT trials. Meals were provided at 0 and 3 h. During CME and LV-HIIT trials, participants performed 35 min of CME or 25 min of LV-HIIT, respectively. Exercise was co-ordinated such that each exercise bout finished at 2 h. Data presented as mean ± SEM unless otherwise stated. * indicates data were not normally distributed and thus presented as median with IQR. AUC represents the total area under the concentration-time curve for the given experimental day. * indicates significant difference between CME and control trials (all $P \leq 0.03$). ‡ indicates significant difference between CME and LV-HIIT trials (all $P \leq 0.01$).
Figure 5.3 Circulating NEFA (a-b) and insulin (c-d) responses during control, CME and LV-HIIT trials. Data for NEFA analysis are n = 11. Meals were provided at 0 and 3 h. During CME and LV-HIIT trials, participants performed 35 min of CME or 25 min of LV-HIIT, respectively. Exercise was co-ordinated such that each exercise bout finished at 2 h. Data presented as mean ± SEM unless otherwise stated. ‡ indicates data were not normally distributed and thus presented as median with IQR. AUC represents the total area under the concentration-time curve for the given experimental day. * indicates significant difference between CME and control trials (all $P \leq 0.02$). † indicates significant difference between CME and LV-HIIT trials (all $P \leq 0.02$). ‡ indicates significant difference between LV-HIIT and control trials ($P = 0.02$).
5.5 Discussion

This chapter presents preliminary findings exploring the acute effects of CME or LV-HIIT on circulating concentrations of FGF21, follistatin and fetuin-A in individuals with overweight or obesity and impaired glycaemic control. These data suggest that circulating follistatin is increased 2 h after the cessation of CME and remains elevated for a minimum of 4 h post-exercise. Conversely, a single bout of LV-HIIT appears to have no effect on circulating follistatin, whilst FGF21 and fetuin-A are unaffected by either exercise bout in this population.

These results are consistent with previous research demonstrating a transient increase in circulating follistatin after a single bout of CME (Hansen et al., 2011; Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016; Hansen, Rutti, et al., 2016; Sargeant, Aithal, et al., 2018). Notably, one of these studies also reported that this response is blunted in individuals with T2DM (Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016). Whilst the reasons for this blunted response could not be determined conclusively, the authors speculated that it may be a result of hyperinsulinaemia in individuals with T2DM, leading to a smaller change in the circulating glucagon to insulin ratio during exercise (Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016). This ratio has previously been reported as an important mediator of the post-exercise response of circulating follistatin (Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016; Hansen, Rutti, et al., 2016). It is notable that in the dysglycaemic population recruited in this study, the mean fasted plasma insulin concentrations was approximately 2 to 2.5-fold greater than both the lean and overweight/obese normoglycaemic groups recruited in Chapter 4 (Sargeant, Aithal, et al., 2018). However, comparisons between different populations are not possible at this time as the current study does not directly compare the magnitude of exercise-induced changes in circulating follistatin between individuals with different degrees of glycaemic control completing the same exercise protocol.

Nonetheless, the data presented in this chapter do confirm the potential for acute exercise to modulate circulating follistatin concentrations in individuals with impaired glucose regulation. Follistatin promotes the synthesis of skeletal muscle, by inhibiting myostatin and activin (Gilson et al., 2009; Yaden et al., 2014), and increases pancreatic β-cell mass by promoting proliferation and reducing apoptosis (Zhao et al., 2015; Hansen, Rutti, et al., 2016). It may also reduce glucagon secretion from islet cells (Hansen, Rutti, et al., 2016). It is plausible, therefore, that regular transient elevations in follistatin after repeated bouts of exercise may play a part in the improvements in glycaemic control seen with exercise training. However, this hypothesis requires further investigation.
Previous reports have speculated that the response of circulating follistatin to acute exercise may be dependent on the intensity of exercise performed (Hansen et al., 2011). In the current analysis, however, LV-HIIT had no effect on circulating follistatin concentrations. Whilst contrary to the hypothesis of Hansen and colleagues, these results are consistent with a separate study recently conducted in our research group (Willis et al., 2018). This study demonstrated that, in healthy normal-weight individuals, the exercise-induced increase in circulating follistatin was no different after energy-matched bouts of aerobic exercise performed at either 55% or 75% of $\dot{V}O_2$ peak (Willis et al., 2018). It is possible, therefore, that characteristics of acute exercise other than intensity may be more important in mediating the post-exercise increase in circulating follistatin. One such exercise characteristic may be exercise duration. In the study by Hansen and colleagues, the increase in circulating follistatin was greater after 3 h of moderate-intensity cycling than after 2 h of knee extensor exercise (Hansen et al., 2011). Similarly, in the current study the single bout of CME was approximately one-third longer than the LV-HIIT.

An alternative explanation for the lack of change in circulating follistatin after LV-HIIT is that the LV-HIIT utilised in this study did not induce changes in the glucagon to insulin ratio sufficient to modulate hepatic follistatin secretion. As mentioned previously, the circulating follistatin response after acute exercise is regulated by changes in the glucagon to insulin ratio and when exercise is performed under the conditions of a pancreatic clamp (during which glucagon and insulin concentrations are fixed) the post-exercise increase in plasma follistatin is blunted (Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016; Hansen, Rutti, et al., 2016). Glucagon was not measured in the current analyses and, therefore, it cannot be determined at present whether the glucagon to insulin ratio differed between the CME and LV-HIIT trials. It is noteworthy, however, that the mean circulating insulin concentration was lower during the LV-HIIT trial compared to the CME and control trials. This would, if anything, contribute to a greater glucagon to insulin ratio. Finally, the fact that the follistatin response to acute exercise is blunted but not abolished during pancreatic clamp suggests that additional mechanisms beyond the glucagon to insulin ratio may be responsible. Whilst, these alternative mechanisms are currently unclear it is possible that they may be differentially modulated by CME and LV-HIIT.

In the current study, circulating concentrations of FGF21 were unaffected by CME in individuals with impaired glucose regulation but not overt T2DM. Furthermore, despite previous evidence that the post-exercise increase in circulating FGF21 may be intensity-dependent in healthy individuals (K. H. Kim et al., 2013), a single bout of LV-HIIT in the
current study had no effect. These results are in accordance with previous research demonstrating that, compared to healthy normoglycaemic individuals, the increase in circulating FGF21 after exercise is blunted, or even abolished, in individuals with dysregulated glucose metabolism (Slusher et al., 2015; Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016). Notably, the individuals recruited by Slusher and colleagues were obese and had a baseline HOMA-IR that was indicative of insulin resistance, but were free from chronic metabolic disease (Wildman et al., 2008; Slusher et al., 2015); HbA1c was not assessed. Collectively, these results indicate that there may be a progressive decline in the FGF21 response to exercise as insulin resistance occurs and this may be fully suppressed before the development of overt T2DM. As such, changes in FGF21 may not be directly related to the acute improvement in insulin sensitivity seen after single bouts of exercise in individuals with dysglycaemia (Sylow et al., 2017). However, as mentioned previously when discussing the results for follistatin above, further studies directly comparing the response of FGF21 to identical bouts of acute exercise in matched groups of individuals with different degrees of insulin resistance are required to rigorously test this suggestion.

The circulating FGF21 response to exercise is also regulated by the glucagon to insulin ratio (Hansen et al., 2015; Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016). However, unlike follistatin, this response is completely abolished when exercise is performed under conditions of the pancreatic clamp (Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016). It has been previously suggested that the blunted or abolished response of circulating FGF21 after acute exercise in individuals with impaired glycaemic control or overt T2DM may be due to elevated circulating insulin in these individuals leading to a lower change in the glucagon to insulin ratio with exercise (Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016). As mentioned previously, however, glucagon was not measured in the current study and, therefore, whilst fasted circulating insulin was greater in the current study population compared to the normoglycaemic individuals recruited in Chapter 4, potential differences in the glucagon to insulin ratio cannot be determined.

The effects of acute exercise on circulating fetuin-A in individuals with impaired glucose regulation have not been explored previously. The preliminary findings presented in this chapter suggest that, in this population, plasma fetuin-A concentrations are unaffected by a single bout of either CME or LV-HIIT. These findings are similar to those previously reported in lean and overweight/obese individuals with normal glucose regulation, which also found no effect of acute CME on circulating fetuin-A (Sargeant, Aithal, et al., 2018; Chapter 4 of this thesis). It was hypothesised that the lack of fetuin-A response to acute exercise in individuals
free from chronic metabolic disease (Sargeant, Aithal, et al., 2018) may have been because fetuin-A concentrations were not elevated at baseline. In Chapter 4, there was no difference in circulating fetuin-A between the lean and overweight/obese normoglycaemic groups and this may have limited the potential for circulating fetuin-A to be reduced by acute exercise. Alternatively, fetuin-A expression is negatively regulated by hepatic AMPK (Jung, Youn, et al., 2013; Trepanowski, Mey and Varady, 2014) which, in rodents, is activated in a stepwise manner with exercise intensity (Camacho et al., 2006). Therefore, it may have been that the intensity of CME utilised in Chapter 4 was insufficient to modulate circulating fetuin-A. However, despite the mean fasted fetuin-A concentration in the current study being 26% to 37% higher than the groups recruited in Chapter 4 and a bout of high-intensity exercise being performed in the form of LV-HIIT, there was no effect of either CME or LV-HIIT on circulating fetuin-A. Therefore, the results of the current study in combination with those of Chapter 4 (Sargeant, Aithal, et al., 2018) suggest that, in humans, fetuin-A may not be sensitive to acute perturbations in metabolism, such as that caused by a single bout CME or LV-HIIT. Instead, it may be that more regular bouts of energy imbalance, such as those that occur with structured training, or prolonged energy deficit and weight loss may be required to elicit sustained reductions in circulating fetuin-A (Blüher et al., 2012; Malin, Mulya, et al., 2013; Malin et al., 2014).

This study is the first to investigate the effects of a single bout of LV-HIIT or CME on circulating hepatokines in overweight/obese individuals with impaired glycaemic control but not overt T2DM. However, some important limitations should be considered. Most notably, this chapter presents preliminary analyses of an ongoing trial, with the current sample size constituting half of the final study population. As such, the current sample size may be insufficient to detect physiologically meaningful changes in some of the outcomes reported and null-findings may be the result of a lack of statistical power. Notably, based on the data contained in this chapter, where the largest standardised difference between trials for total AUC of FGF21 (between CON and CME) and fetuin-A (between CON and LV-HIIT) were -0.18 and -0.20, respectively, and where the within-participant correlation was approximately 0.90 for each, the current sample size (n = 12) only had 27.5% and 20.8% power to detect a significant main effect of trial, with an alpha error rate of 0.05. In turn, retrospective sample size calculations determined that 46 and 67 participants would be required to detect a significant main effect of trial for FGF21 and fetuin-A, respectively. Furthermore, additional research examining the impact of transient exercise-induced changes in FGF21 and fetuin-A
in clinical populations is required to determine what magnitude of difference in these hepatokines could be considered clinically meaningful. Accordingly, it is important to note that the potential explanations provided for the results of this chapter remain speculative and require rigorous investigation. Secondly, participants performed exercise approximately 60-90 min after consuming a breakfast meal. Whilst the content and timing of meals were standardised between conditions, and thus controlled within the context of this study, direct comparisons with previous studies (in which exercise was performed in the fasted state) should be made with caution. Finally, the CME and LV-HIIT protocols utilised in this study are suggested to be approximately matched for total external work (Little et al., 2014) and the mean HR and RPE during exercise was similar between trials. However, energy expenditure was not assessed directly (using indirect calorimetry, for example, as it was in Chapter 4) and therefore the possibility that differences in responses between CME and LV-HIIT may be due to differences in energy expenditure cannot be dismissed. Furthermore, it may be possible that the exercise protocols used in this chapter were insufficient to elicit changes in circulating hepatokines and that greater energy expenditure may be required to modulate circulating concentrations in this population. Further studies that rigorously examine the impact of exercise protocols differing in energy expenditure are required to test this hypothesis, and explore whether a minimum threshold of energy expenditure required to elicit changes in circulating hepatokines exists.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that circulating follistatin is transiently increased by a single bout of CME in individuals with overweight or obesity and impaired glycaemic control, which may contribute to the improved glucose regulation associated with regular exercise. Conversely, the data presented suggest that the increase in FGF21 previously reported after CME in a healthy (normoglycaemic) population is not apparent in individuals with glycaemic dysregulation. A single bout of LV-HIIT had no effect on follistatin or FGF21, whilst circulating concentrations of fetuin-A were unaffected by either exercise protocol. However, these analyses are preliminary in nature and additional work is required to explore these findings further.
CHAPTER 6

EFFECTS OF SPRINT INTERVAL TRAINING ON ECTOPIC LIPIDS AND TISSUE-SPECIFIC INSULIN SENSITIVITY IN MEN WITH NON-ALCOHOLIC FATTY LIVER DISEASE

The study presented in this chapter has been published and has the following citation:


This chapter presents the published manuscript but with some aspects of the methods section condensed or removed to avoid repetition within this thesis. When this occurs, readers are directed to the appropriate sections of the General Methods for more information. Conversely, further details of the participant recruitment process and hepatokines analyses, which were not submitted for publication, have been added to the results section of this chapter.
6.1 Abstract

*Purpose:* This study examined the feasibility of sprint interval exercise training (SIT) for men with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and its effects on intrahepatic triglyceride (IHTG), insulin sensitivity (hepatic and peripheral), visceral (VAT) and subcutaneous adipose tissue (ScAT).

*Methods:* Nine men with NAFLD (age 41±8 years; BMI 31.7±3.1 kg·m⁻²; IHTG 15.6±8.3%) were assessed at: 1) baseline 2) after a control phase of no intervention (pre-training) and 3) after six weeks of SIT (4-6 maximal 30 s cycling intervals, three times per week). IHTG, VAT and ScAT were measured using magnetic resonance spectroscopy or imaging and insulin sensitivity was assessed via dual-step hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp with [6,6-D₂] glucose tracer.

*Results:* Participants adhered to SIT, completing ≥96.7% of prescribed intervals. SIT increased peak oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_2$ peak: +13.6% [95% CI: 8.8 to 18.2%]) and elicited a relative reduction in IHTG (-12.4% [-31.6 to 6.7%]) and VAT (-16.9% [-24.4 to -9.4%]; n=8), with no change in body weight or ScAT. Peripheral insulin sensitivity increased throughout the study (n=8; significant main effect of phase) but changes from pre- to post-training were highly variable (range: -18.5 to +58.7%) and not significant (P=0.09), despite a moderate effect size ($g^*=$0.63). Hepatic insulin sensitivity was not influenced by SIT.

*Conclusions:* SIT is feasible for men with NAFLD in a controlled laboratory setting and is able to reduce IHTG and VAT in the absence of weight loss.
6.2 Introduction

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a common complication of obesity that is integrated in the pathogenesis of extra-hepatic co-morbidities such as type 2 diabetes (T2DM) and cardiovascular disease (Byrne and Targher, 2015). Insulin resistance is a central pathophysiological feature of NAFLD with associations between intrahepatic triglyceride content (IHTG) and insulin action in skeletal muscle, adipose tissue and the liver (Bril, Barb, et al., 2017). The prominence of these metabolic defects within the development and progression of NAFLD makes them priority targets for intervention.

Lifestyle interventions, incorporating diet and physical activity, remain the cornerstone of treatment for NAFLD (Marchesini et al., 2016) and the importance of structured exercise within such interventions is underscored by both hepatic and extra-hepatic benefits. Continuous moderate-to-vigorous intensity exercise interventions increase cardiorespiratory fitness, reduce adiposity, improve peripheral insulin sensitivity, enhance cardiovascular function and improve circulating markers of metabolic health (Pugh et al., 2014; Hallsworth et al., 2015; Keating et al., 2015; Cuthbertson et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016).

Guidelines for the management of NAFLD suggest that individuals undertake 150-200 min of moderate-intensity aerobic or resistance exercise each week, spread over three to five sessions (Marchesini et al., 2016). Observational evidence (Kistler et al., 2011) and experimental data (Cho et al., 2015; Oh et al., 2017) suggest that high-intensity exercise may be more potent in attenuating IHTG accumulation and NAFLD progression than moderate-intensity exercise. This evidence is consistent with exercise intensity-dependent improvements in wider cardiometabolic outcomes, including indices of insulin sensitivity (Tjønna et al., 2008; Weston, Wisløff and Coombes, 2014). Moderate-intensity exercise improves peripheral insulin sensitivity in patients with NAFLD (Cuthbertson et al., 2016) but evidence of its impact on hepatic insulin sensitivity is unclear (Shojaee-Moradie et al., 2007; Cuthbertson et al., 2016). The effects of high-intensity exercise on hepatic and peripheral insulin sensitivity in individuals with NAFLD have not been assessed. A better understanding of these outcomes is important, given the link between IHTG, glycaemic control and metabolic disease (Byrne and Targher, 2015; Marchesini et al., 2016; Bril, Barb, et al., 2017).

High-intensity intermittent exercise training (HIIT), which is characterised by repeated intervals of high-intensity exercise interspersed with periods of rest or low-intensity active recovery, has emerged as a form of exercise capable of providing many health benefits for
individuals with, or at risk of, chronic disease (Gibala et al., 2012). Sprint interval training (SIT) is a version of HIIT consisting of brief bursts (30 s) of maximal-intensity exercise (Little et al., 2011). SIT induces adaptations in skeletal muscle which improve oxidative metabolism (Gibala et al., 2012) and, in some studies, enhances whole-body insulin sensitivity and glycaemic control (Richards et al., 2010; Cocks et al., 2015). These adaptations are likely to be of benefit for individuals with NAFLD, but the influence of SIT on IHTG and tissue-specific (muscle, adipose tissue, liver) insulin sensitivity remains unknown.

This study investigated the feasibility and efficacy of SIT as a therapeutic strategy in overweight or obese men with NAFLD. We sought to determine the effect of six weeks of SIT on IHTG, visceral (VAT) and subcutaneous adipose tissue (ScAT), as well as hepatic and peripheral (skeletal muscle and adipose tissue) insulin sensitivity. We hypothesised that SIT would reduce IHTG, VAT and ScAT, and increase insulin sensitivity.
6.3 Participant and Methods

6.3.1 Ethical approval

This study was approved by the research ethics committees of Loughborough University and the University of Nottingham and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (World Health Organisation, 2013).

6.3.2 Participants

Nine white European men were recruited from the general population and gave informed, written consent to participate (see Section 3.1). Although no power calculation was performed for this study, this sample size was chosen based on studies reporting significant improvements in cardiorespiratory fitness and indices of glycaemic control with HIIT (Little et al., 2011; Cocks et al., 2015). Eligibility criteria included inactive but weight-stable individuals aged 25 to 55 years with a body mass index (BMI) between 27 and 40 kg·m⁻² and a waist circumference ≥ 94 cm. Participants were considered inactive if they did not complete any form of regular structured exercise. Participants were identified as exhibiting NAFLD in that they had IHTG ≥ 5.56%, determined during screening using magnetic resonance (MR) spectroscopy (¹H-MRS), and did not report excessive alcohol consumption (>21 units·week⁻¹; Appendix VIII) or other secondary causes of hepatic steatosis (Marchesini et al., 2016). Participants were excluded if they: a) had any form of diagnosed chronic metabolic disease, b) were taking prescribed medications for hypertension, dyslipidaemia or glucose regulation or c) had contraindications to exercise or MR procedures.

6.3.3 Study design

This study utilised a repeated measures longitudinal design in which, following screening, participants completed two consecutive six week phases (control and SIT). This study design was chosen over an RCT design to reduce the number of participants recruited and the number of measurement sessions required. Our within-measures design also meant that we avoided additional heterogeneity that would occur through the recruitment of different individuals into two separate groups. Notably, our control phase acted to monitor the variation in study outcomes over a similar period to that of the exercise intervention, but with participants maintaining their usual lifestyle. All participants completed the control phase followed by the exercise intervention, in order to avoid the confounding effects of detraining during the control phase. All study assessments were performed on consecutive days at baseline, pre-training and
post-training: day 1) IHTG, VAT and ScAT; day 2) hepatic and peripheral insulin sensitivity and systemic metabolic biomarkers; day 3) cardiorespiratory fitness. Post-training assessments began 48 h after the final SIT session to eliminate the confounding influence of the final exercise training session on insulin sensitivity (Sylow et al., 2017).

Dietary intake was standardised for 24 h before metabolic assessments through provision of all food and energy-containing drinks. This diet provided a balanced macronutrient profile and was tailored to each participant’s estimated energy requirement (Mifflin et al., 1990) using a multiplication factor of 1.45 to account for the physical activity level of an inactive group (FAO, WHO and UNU, 2001). Participants were instructed and regularly reminded to maintain their usual lifestyle habits throughout both the control and SIT phases of the study. This included instructions to maintain dietary habits. Energy intake was not recorded due to concerns that monitoring may prompt dietary changes and given documented concerns regarding the accuracy of self-reported energy intake data (Dhurandhar et al., 2015).

6.3.4 Imaging and metabolic assessments

All metabolic assessments were performed after an overnight fast. MR measurements were performed on a Philips Achieva 3T system with 32 channel XL-Torso coil. IHTG was measured from a 20x20x20 mm voxel within the right lobe of the liver using 1H-MRS with Stimulated Echo Acquisition Mode (STEAM) localization (repetition time = 2046 ms) (Stephenson et al., 2013; Bawden, Scott and Aithal, 2017). VAT and ScAT were assessed using a two-point modified Dixon technique (Philips) (Nakai et al., 2010) and an in-house algorithm to generate fat boundaries of visceral and subcutaneous regions.

Hepatic and peripheral insulin sensitivity were assessed using a modified version of the hyperinsulinaemic, euglycaemic clamp with two stages of insulin infusion, low- (20 mU·m⁻²·min⁻¹) and high-dose (50 mU·m⁻²·min⁻¹), each lasting 120 min. A continuous infusion of [6,6-D2] glucose tracer was initiated 120 min before the first hyperinsulinaemic stage and continued throughout for the quantification of endogenous glucose production (EGP) (Johnston et al., 2013). Blood glucose was clamped at 4.5 mmol·L⁻¹ (coefficient of variation: mean (± SD) = 1.6 ± 0.9 and 2.7 ± 1.1 % at steady-state low- and high-dose insulin infusion, respectively). Further details of ¹H-MRS, MRI and dual-step hyperinsulinaemic, euglycaemic clamp procedures can be found in the General Methods section of this thesis (see Sections 3.9 and 3.10).
The hepatic insulin sensitivity index (HISI) (Matsuda and DeFronzo, 1999) and the percentage suppression of EGP by low-dose insulin infusion (%EGP supp) were calculated as indices of hepatic insulin sensitivity in the fasted and insulin-stimulated states, respectively, as outlined in General Methods (see Section 3.14). Peripheral insulin sensitivity was assessed as whole-body glucose uptake, which was assumed to be equal to the exogenous glucose infusion rate required to maintain euglycaemia at high-dose insulin infusion, during which EGP was negligible.

6.3.5 Assessment of cardiorespiratory fitness and habitual physical activity

\( \dot{V}O_2 \) peak and PPO were measured using a ramped (+16 Watt·min\(^{-1}\)) cycling test on an electromagnetically-braked cycle ergometer (Excalibur Sport, Lode BV, The Netherlands) (see Sections 3.6 to 3.8 of General Methods for further details). \( \dot{V}O_2 \) peak and PPO are presented as both absolute units (L·min\(^{-1}\) and W) and relative to the participant’s body weight (mL·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\) and W·kg\(^{-1}\)). Participants were familiarised with this test one week before baseline assessments.

To assess the impact of SIT on habitual physical activity levels, participants wore a tri-axial accelerometer (GT3x, Actigraph LLC, USA) for seven consecutive days before each assessment (baseline, pre-training and post-training). Data were analysed using computer software (Kinesoft 3.3.80, USA) (Troiano et al., 2008) and are presented as absolute minutes per day in each activity domain (sedentary time, light, moderate and vigorous physical activity) as well as percentages of accelerometer wear time. Further details of data collection and analysis can be found in Appendix VIII)

6.3.6 Exercise training

Participants completed a SIT program consisting of three exercise sessions per week for six weeks. Sessions consisted of a low-intensity warm-up (5 min cycling at 50W), followed by 30 s intervals of maximal sprint cycling on a stationary ergometer (Ergomedic 894E, Monark Exercise AB, Sweden), which was separated by periods of active recovery (4.5 min of low intensity cycling at 50W). The braking resistance of the ergometer was increased during intervals through the application of a load equivalent to 6.5% of lean body mass, determined using bioelectrical impedance analysis (BC-418, TANITA Europe BV, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Participants were instructed to cycle ‘all-out’ during intervals whilst members of the research team provided verbal encouragement (Whyte, Gill and Cathcart, 2010). Four
intervals were completed per session in the first two weeks with an additional interval added to each session every two weeks. Participants therefore completed 90 intervals over the six weeks of supervised training.

6.3.7 Biochemical analyses

Plasma glucose isotope enrichment (atoms percent excess) was quantified as the oxime/trimethylsilyl derivative via gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS; 7890B, MSD 5977A; Agilent Technologies, UK) using selected ion monitoring of the ions at m/z 319 and 321 (CV = 6.4%). Fasted circulating concentrations of total cholesterol, HDL, LDL, TG and NEFA (All CV ≤ 1.5%), and FGF21, follistatin, fetuin-A and LECT2 (all CV ≤ 7.1%) were analysed from plasma aliquots, collected before the start of hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamps (as outlined in General Methods, Sections 3.11 & 3.12) (All CV ≤ 1.5%). Serum insulin was quantified using radioimmunoassay (Millipore, USA) (CV = 7.3%), and HOMA-IR and Adipo-IR were calculated (see Section 3.14) (Matthews et al., 1985; Gastaldelli et al., 2007).

6.3.8 Tracer calculations

Rates of EGP in the basal state and at low-dose insulin infusion were calculated (Wolfe and Chinkes, 2005; Vella and Rizza, 2009), accounting for non-steady-state during low-dose insulin infusion and assuming a fractional volume of distribution of 160 mL·kg⁻¹. Further details of glucose tracer calculations can be found in Appendix VIII.

6.3.9 Statistical analyses

Normally distributed data are presented as mean with standard deviation (SD) and one-way repeated measures ANOVA was used to assess changes in outcomes across assessment visits (main effect of phase). The homogeneity of variance between data collected at each visit was assessed and a Greenhouse-Geisser or Huynh-Feldt correction was applied, where appropriate. Statistically significant main effects were explored post-hoc using paired samples t-tests. Non-normally distributed data are presented as the median with interquartile range (IQR) and Friedman tests were used to assess the main effect of phase. Wilcoxon matched pairs tests were used for post-hoc pairwise comparisons on non-normally distributed data. Probability (P-) values for post-hoc tests were adjusted using the Holm-Bonferroni correction (Holm, 1979) to account for multiple comparisons. In text, the changes from pre- to post-training are presented as relative percentage change along with 95% confidence interval [CI] and effect size (adjusted Hedges’ g*). Cohen’s descriptors were used to interpret the magnitude of effect (Cohen, 1988).
For clarity, the change in IHTG is presented as both the absolute and relative percentage change. The association between changes in IHTG and whole-body glucose uptake from pre- to post-SIT was assessed using Pearson’s bivariate correlation analysis.
6.4 Results

6.4.1 Participant recruitment

One-hundred and thirty-five men expressed an interest to participate and underwent pre-screening via face-to-face, telephone or email contact. Of these, 32 progressed to formal screening, nine of whom were eligible for inclusion in the study. A flowchart of study recruitment, along with reasons for exclusion, can be found in Figure 6.1.

![Flowchart of study recruitment process](image)

**Interest expressed and pre-screen completed**

n=135

**Excluded following pre-screen**

n=103

**Informed, written consent received**

n=32

**Excluded during full screening**

n=23

**Entered full study testing**

n=9

**Reasons for exclusion:**

- Age (n=8)
- BMI < 27 kg·m⁻² (n=18)
- BMI > 40 kg·m⁻² (n=6)
- Medications (n=12)
  - Antihypertensives (n=4)
  - Statins (n=4)
  - Diabetic (n=2)
  - Other (n=2)
- Declined having read and discussed participant information sheet (n=23)
  - Time (n=10)
  - Phobia of needles (n=2)
  - Other / Reason not stated (n=11)
- Lost contact / no response (n=31)
- Other (n=5)

**Reasons for Exclusion:**

- Liver fat < 5.56 % (n=5)
- High blood pressure (n=6)
- Abnormal ECG (n=3)
- BMI < 27 kg·m⁻² (n=2)
- BMI > 40 kg·m⁻² (n=1)
- Failed medical (n=2)
- Withdrew (n=4)
  - Claustrophobia (n=1)
  - Could no longer commit time (n=1)
  - Lost contact / moved away (n=2)

*Figure 6.1 Study recruitment process*
6.4.2 Participant characteristics and exercise training compliance

All assessments made at baseline, pre- and post-training can be found in Table 6.1. The median self-reported alcohol intake of recruited participants was four units per week (range: 1 to 14). One participant was unable to attend hyperinsulinaemic, euglycaemic clamp assessments. Participant characteristics specific to these eight individuals can be found in Appendix IX. All participants completed exercise training and attended all 18 sessions. Due to fatigue, one participant failed to complete two intervals in their first session and one interval in session two, but completed all prescribed intervals thereafter (total intervals: 87 = 96.7%).

There were no significant differences in any measured outcome between baseline and pre-training assessments, determined as a non-significant main effect of phase or, where appropriate, post-hoc comparison. However, from baseline to pre-training assessments, there was a tendency for increased fasted serum insulin and HOMA-IR, and reduced relative $\dot{V}O_2$ peak (uncorrected $P = 0.06, 0.07$ and $0.07$, respectively; all other outcomes $P \geq 0.13$).

6.4.3 Effects of SIT on cardiorespiratory fitness and habitual physical activity

Training improved absolute and relative $\dot{V}O_2$ peak by 11.2% [95% CI: 6.4 to 16.0%] ($g^* = 0.83$) and 13.6% [8.8 to 18.2%] ($g^* = 0.78$; Figure 6.2a), respectively ($P \leq 0.001$). This was alongside improvements in absolute (14.7% [10.7 to 18.7%], $g^* = 0.75$) and relative (16.2% [11.1 to 21.2%], $g^* = 0.64$; Figure 6.2b) peak power output ($P \leq 0.001$). As outlined in Table 6.2, there were no differences in sedentary time or light, moderate or vigorous physical activity throughout the duration of the study when analysed either as minutes per day or as a percentage of accelerometer wear time (main effect of phase: $P \geq 0.24$).
### Table 6.1 Participant characteristics and study outcomes (continued overleaf)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Pre-training</th>
<th>Post-training</th>
<th>P-value (main effect of phase)</th>
<th>Effect size (Hedges’ g*)</th>
<th>Baseline-Pre-Training</th>
<th>Pre-to Post-Training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anthropometry</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>41 ± 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg·m⁻²)</td>
<td>31.7 ± 3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>111.3 ± 7.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>102.5 ± 10.6</td>
<td>102.4 ± 10.1</td>
<td>101.2 ± 10.4</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trivial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT (mL) (n=8)</td>
<td>1094 ± 238</td>
<td>1220 ± 395</td>
<td>995 ± 281</td>
<td><strong>0.01</strong></td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ScAT (mL) (n=8)</td>
<td>2801 ± 847</td>
<td>2800 ± 653</td>
<td>2658 ± 655</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHTG (%)</td>
<td>15.6 ± 8.4</td>
<td>14.4 ± 8.7</td>
<td>12.4 ± 8.2</td>
<td><strong>0.001</strong></td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiorespiratory Fitness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute $\dot{V}_\text{O}_2$ peak (L·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.23 ± 0.41</td>
<td>3.18 ± 0.41</td>
<td>3.53 ± 0.45&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative $\dot{V}_\text{O}_2$ peak (mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>31.8 ± 4.8</td>
<td>31.0 ± 4.7&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>35.1 ± 5.4&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute peak power output (W)</td>
<td>239 ± 42</td>
<td>237 ± 42</td>
<td>270 ± 43&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative peak power output (W·kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.36 ± 0.49</td>
<td>2.34 ± 0.54</td>
<td>2.71 ± 0.57&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insulin Sensitivity (n=8)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasted serum insulin (mU·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>17.6 ± 4.5</td>
<td>21.8 ± 8.1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18.2 ± 6.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td><strong>0.04</strong></td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasted blood glucose (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.5 ± 1.7&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td><strong>0.03</strong></td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole-body glucose uptake (mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>5.2 ± 1.1</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1.1</td>
<td>6.4 ± 1.8&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td><strong>0.02</strong></td>
<td>Trivial</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasted plasma NEFA (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adipo-IR*</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>(44.6 – 83.2)</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>(46.9 – 101.8)</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>(45.8 – 73.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal EGP (μmol·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>± 1.5</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>± 2.2</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSI* (mg·m⁻²·min⁻¹ per mU·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>(0.54 – 0.76)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>(0.50 – 0.62)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>(0.49 – 0.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-dose EGP (μmol·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>± 2.17</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>± 1.31</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>± 1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%EGP_sup (%)</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>± 17.4</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>± 11.3</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>± 14.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Circulating Lipids**

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Triacylglycerol (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>± 0.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>± 0.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cholesterol (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>± 0.59</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>± 0.54</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>± 0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol·L⁻¹)*</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>(0.83 – 1.11)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>(0.84 – 1.08)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>(0.88 – 1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>± 0.61</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>± 0.54</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>± 0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hepatokines**

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FGF21 (pg·mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>(110 – 505)</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>(131 – 408)</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>(99 – 463)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follistatin (pg·mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>2310</td>
<td>(1534 – 3389)</td>
<td>2104</td>
<td>(1626 – 2683)</td>
<td>2143</td>
<td>(1557 – 2442)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetuin-A (µg·mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>± 138</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>± 176</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>± 156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LECT2 (ng·mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>± 5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>± 5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>± 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± SD and for n=9 unless otherwise stated. * indicates that data are not normally distributed and thus presented as median (IQR). a the difference between baseline and pre-training values approached statistical significance (uncorrected P-values; FPI P=0.06, HOMA-IR P=0.07, Relative VO2 peak P=0.07), b significantly different from pre-training values (P<0.05), c significantly different from pre-training values (P<0.01), d significantly different from pre-training values (P<0.001), e the difference between pre-training and post-training values approached statistical significance (uncorrected P=0.09); Trivial effect sizes were considered those of magnitude < 0.2. To convert serum insulin from mU·L⁻¹ to pmol·L⁻¹, multiply by 6.
Table 6.2 Habitual sedentary time and physical activity during baseline, pre- and post-training assessment periods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Pre-training</th>
<th>Post-training</th>
<th>P-value (main effect of phase)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>General</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Device wear time (minutes per day)</td>
<td>1027 ± 131</td>
<td>989 ± 119</td>
<td>1027 ± 166</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counts per wear minute</td>
<td>293 ± 111</td>
<td>316 ± 156</td>
<td>294 ± 92</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sedentary Behaviour</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minutes per day</td>
<td>719 ± 92</td>
<td>693 ± 139</td>
<td>726 ± 155</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of wear time #</td>
<td>70.3 (64.2 – 74.8)</td>
<td>74.9 (59.6 – 76.6)</td>
<td>66.5 (65.6 – 77.3)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Light Activity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minutes per day</td>
<td>270 ± 74</td>
<td>256 ± 82</td>
<td>262 ± 59</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of wear time</td>
<td>26.1 ± 5.5</td>
<td>26.0 ± 8.1</td>
<td>25.8 ± 5.5</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Moderate Activity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minutes per day #</td>
<td>23.0 (18.0 – 50.5)</td>
<td>31.0 (19.5 – 63.0)</td>
<td>30.0 (13.5 – 52.5)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of wear time #</td>
<td>2.4 (1.6 – 5.5)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.9 – 7.2)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.3 – 6.3)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vigorous Activity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minutes per day #</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0 – 2.0)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0 – 1.5)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0 – 1.5)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of wear time #</td>
<td>0.03 (0.00 – 0.18)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00 – 0.13)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.00 – 0.13)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Moderate-Vigorous Activity (MVPA)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minutes per day #</td>
<td>23.0 (18.5 – 55.0)</td>
<td>31.0 (19.5 – 67.0)</td>
<td>32.0 (13.5 – 59.0)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of wear time #</td>
<td>2.4 (1.7 – 6.1)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.9 – 7.8)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.3 – 7.1)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± SD, unless otherwise stated. n=9. # indicates that data are not normally distributed and thus presented as median (IQR). P-values represent the main effect of phase.
Despite no change in body weight across study visits (main effect of phase: $P = 0.17$; Table 6.1; Figure 6.3a), SIT elicited a reduction in IHTG ($P = 0.03$; Figure 6.3b). From pre- to post-training, the mean absolute reduction was 2.1% [-3.4 to 0.8%], which equated to a relative reduction of 12.4% [-31.6 to 6.7%] ($g^* = -0.23$). One MR-image was found to be corrupted at the point of analysis so changes in VAT and ScAT are presented for $n=8$ (characteristics specific to these individuals can be found in Appendix IX). These data do not correspond to the same eight individuals who completed the hyperinsulinaemic, euglycaemic clamp assessments. Training reduced VAT by 16.9% [-24.4 to -9.4%] ($g^* = -0.62$, $P = 0.02$; Figure 6.3c), but there were no changes in ScAT (main effect; $P = 0.16$; Figure 6.3d). Training increased circulating HDL by 8.4% [4.6 to 12.2%] ($g^* = 0.44$, $P = 0.02$;) but total cholesterol, LDL, TG were unchanged throughout the study ($P \geq 0.19$; Table 6.1). Likewise, circulating hepatokine concentrations were similar at baseline, pre- and post-training ($\geq 0.37$; Table 6.1).
Figure 6.3 a) Body weight, b) intrahepatic triglyceride (IHTG), c) visceral adipose tissue (VAT) and d) subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue (ScAT) measured at baseline, pre- and post-training. Data presented as mean ± SD. Data for body weight and IHTG are n=9. Data for VAT and ScAT are n=8. * indicates significantly different from pre-training value (P ≤ 0.03).

6.4.5 Effects of SIT on peripheral and hepatic insulin sensitivity

Figure 6.4 displays mean circulating insulin concentrations measured throughout dual-step hyperinsulinaemic, euglycaemic clamp assessments. There were no significant differences in the serum insulin concentrations reached at steady state low-dose (P = 0.55) or high-dose (P = 0.64) insulin infusions between baseline, pre-training and post-training assessments.
There was a significant main effect of phase for the increase in whole-body glucose uptake, which is indicative of peripheral insulin sensitivity, across the three study visits \((P = 0.02; \text{Figure 6.5a})\). However, responses to SIT were highly variable between individuals (range: -18.5% to +58.7%; Figure 6.5b) and, despite a medium effect size \((g^* = 0.63)\), the change from pre- to post-training (18.1% [-3.0 to 39.2%]) was not statistically significant (unadjusted \(P = 0.09\)). There was an association between the change in IHTG and the change in whole-body glucose uptake from pre- to post-training \((r = -0.83, P = 0.01)\). EGP in the basal state and during low-dose insulin infusion, HISI (Figure 6.5c) and %EGP_{supp} (Figure 6.5d), each markers of hepatic insulin sensitivity in the fasted or insulin-stimulated states respectively, did not differ across study visits \((P \geq 0.37; \text{Table 6.1})\).

Fasted blood glucose, plasma NEFA and Adipo-IR remained unchanged across the study visits \((P \geq 0.13; \text{Table 6.1})\). From pre- to post-training, fasted serum insulin \((-13.9\% [-24.9 \text{ to } -2.9\%], \ g^* = -0.48, P = 0.04)\) and HOMA-IR \((-16.6\% [-27.5 \text{ to } -5.6\%], \ g^* = -0.56, P = 0.02)\) were reduced. However, these reductions were similar in magnitude to the increases from baseline to pre-training (unadjusted \(P = 0.06\) and \(P = 0.07\), respectively) such that post-training values were no different from those measured at baseline \((P \geq 0.68; \text{Table 6.1})\).
Figure 6.5 a-b) Peripheral and c) basal and d) insulin-stimulated hepatic insulin sensitivity measured at baseline, pre- and post-training. Data in ‘A’ and ‘D’ presented as mean ± SD (n=8). "Data in ‘C’ are not normally distributed and thus presented as median (IQR). Data in ‘B’ are % change from pre- to post-training measurements for each participant.
6.5 Discussion

The principal findings of this study are that a six-week SIT intervention is feasible for individuals with NAFLD and is well adhered to in a controlled laboratory setting. Furthermore, six weeks of SIT reduces IHTG and VAT in the absence of body weight change and, whilst hepatic insulin sensitivity appears to be unaffected, changes in peripheral insulin sensitivity are highly variable between individuals.

This study reports almost perfect adherence to a six-week SIT intervention in nine individuals. Specifically, every participant completed the exercise programme, attending all 18 training sessions. Eight participants completed all 90 of the prescribed intervals whilst the remaining participant completed 87 intervals, with the three missing intervals contained within the first two training sessions. The implication is that individuals with NAFLD are able and willing to perform exercise training sessions composed of bursts of maximal exercise. This is important because observational evidence (Kistler et al., 2011) and experimental data (Cho et al., 2015; Oh et al., 2017) suggest that high-intensity exercise may be more potent in attenuating IHTG accumulation and NAFLD progression than moderate-intensity exercise. This SIT intervention may, therefore, represent a model of exercise that facilitates the completion of more intense exercise in individuals with NAFLD. This intervention was performed in a tightly controlled laboratory setting, with specialist equipment and where participants were individually supported by the research team. The participants recruited to this study were also screened thoroughly to ensure the absence of advanced cardiometabolic disease and may, therefore, not be representative of the majority of individuals with NAFLD. Given that the risk of an acute cardiac event during exercise is elevated in previously inactive individuals with established cardiometabolic disease (Thompson et al., 2007), the implementation of SIT requires additional scrutiny. The necessity for medical clearance and acclimatisation to exercise must be considered in this context (Riebe et al., 2015).

This study reports a mean absolute reduction in IHTG of 2.1% after six weeks of SIT in individuals with elevated IHTG (relative change from baseline: -12.4%). Previous studies employing aerobic and resistance exercise, combined or in isolation, report a reduction of similar magnitude (10 to 21%) in the absence of significant weight loss (Johnson et al., 2009; Hallsworth et al., 2011; Sullivan et al., 2012; Keating et al., 2015; Pugh et al., 2016; Houghton et al., 2017). However, greater reductions in IHTG (27 to 42%) have been reported when significant weight loss occurs as a result of exercise training (Hallsworth et al., 2015; Keating
et al., 2015; Cuthbertson et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016). Therefore, whilst the independent effects of exercise on IHTG are recognised (Brouwers et al., 2016), the greatest benefits occur when exercise contributes to a negative energy balance.

It was beyond the scope of this study to examine the mechanisms through which SIT reduced IHTG. However, some speculative inferences can be made. There was a small reduction in mean body weight from pre- to post-SIT (mean 1.2 kg) which, whilst not statistically significant, may have impacted on the reduction in IHTG reported. Using the established, albeit simplified, rule of thumb that a 3500 kcal energy deficit corresponds to an approximate 1 lb loss of fat mass (Guth, 2014), and assuming that substantial changes in lean body mass over the 6 week SIT intervention are unlikely, the 1.2 kg (2.65 lbs) weight loss reported in this study corresponds to a total energy deficit of approximately 9275 kcal. Previous studies have reported that the energy expenditure of a single SIT session is approximately 143 kcal (Deighton et al., 2013). Therefore, the total energy expenditure that can be attributed to our intervention (18 sessions) is approximately 2574 kcal. Consequently, the intervention alone does not account for the weight loss reported in this study. Habitual physical activity was consistent across the duration of our study, but it may be that changes in energy intake or other components of daily energy balance (such basal metabolic rate) may have occurred. The exact nature of these potential changes is unclear at present, with the exiting literature reporting large heterogeneity in compensatory responses to SIT at both the individual and study level (Taylor et al., 2018; Schubert et al., 2017).

In addition to the small degree of weight loss reported, metabolic factors may also underpin the reported change in IHTG (Brouwers et al., 2016). Neither fasted circulating NEFA nor Adipo-IR differed throughout the current study, suggesting that an improvement in adipose tissue insulin sensitivity in the fasted state is unlikely to be responsible for the reduction in IHTG with training. However, the possibility that changes in postprandial adipose tissue insulin sensitivity occurred cannot be dismissed (Brouwers et al., 2016). Circulating glucose stimulates hepatic de novo lipogenesis (Ameer et al., 2014) and, although fasted blood glucose was unchanged throughout the study, post-prandial glucose is likely to have been reduced in those with improved peripheral insulin sensitivity. Therefore, particularly in individuals who displayed improvements in whole-body glucose uptake, a reduction in hepatic de novo lipogenesis may have contributed to post-training reductions in IHTG (Linden et al., 2015). Lastly, altered very low-density lipoprotein metabolism is unlikely to be responsible for
exercise-induced reductions in IHTG (Sullivan et al., 2012) but enhanced capacity to oxidise hepatic lipid is possible (Linden et al., 2015).

Peripheral insulin sensitivity increased throughout this study but individual changes from pre- to post-SIT were highly variable and, despite a mean relative increase of 18.1% and a moderate effect size, this change was not statistically significant. In obese but otherwise healthy men, four weeks (Cocks et al., 2015), but not two weeks (Whyte, Gill and Cathcart, 2010), of SIT increased peripheral insulin sensitivity. However, 15 to 20% of individuals may display minimal, or even adverse, responses after exercise training in outcomes related to glucose homeostasis (Stephens and Sparks, 2015) and insulin sensitivity improved in only 10 out of 12 healthy individuals who completed a two-week SIT intervention, remaining unchanged in one and decreasing in another (Richards et al., 2010). This degree of variation in response to exercise is consistent with our findings where peripheral insulin sensitivity improved in 75% of participants after SIT, yet was reduced in 25%. Given this variation, a greater sample size may be required to detect significant differences in peripheral insulin sensitivity following SIT. A retrospective sample size calculation indicates that, with the current change in whole-body glucose uptake (mean ± SD = 0.99 ± 1.41 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹; thus standardised difference = 0.70; within-person correlation = 0.63), 14 individuals would have been required to detect a statistically significant difference from pre- to post-training with 80% power and an alpha error rate of 0.05. A number of factors, including genetic polymorphisms, epigenetics and baseline participant characteristics, may impact on individual responses (Böhm et al., 2016).

Neither basal nor insulin-stimulated hepatic insulin sensitivity are changed after six weeks of SIT. Our findings agree with data showing no change in hepatic insulin sensitivity after 12 weeks of aerobic training in patients with NAFLD, despite significant reductions in body weight (Cuthbertson et al., 2016). EGP during low-dose insulin infusion is reduced after aerobic or combined aerobic-plus-resistance exercise training in sedentary, healthy individuals and in patients with T2DM (Shojaee-Moradie et al., 2007; Meex et al., 2010). However, neither of these studies report changes in basal EGP or HISI, and the change at low-dose insulin infusion reported by Meex et al. was no longer statistically significant when presented as %EGPsupp. EGP in both the basal state and during low-dose insulin infusion was reduced in overweight, older women after a 9-month moderate-intensity aerobic exercise intervention (DiPietro et al., 2006). However, this may have been due to notably higher rates of EGP in this group at baseline compared to both the control group, and a separate group completing a higher-intensity exercise programme. The high-intensity exercise training had no effect on EGP in
either the basal or insulin-stimulated states (DiPietro et al., 2006). The lack of improvements in hepatic insulin sensitivity in the current study may be due to insufficient intervention duration or because IHTG at the end of the intervention remained elevated (Cuthbertson et al., 2016). Hepatic insulin sensitivity, assessed as %EGP_{supp}, may be impaired with as little as 1.5% IHTG, with no further deterioration as IHTG increases (Bril, Barb, et al., 2017). Post-training IHTG values in the present study ranged from 4.3 to 25.9% (mean 12.4%).

The favourable changes in IHTG, VAT, HDL and cardiorespiratory fitness in response to SIT are important for individuals with NAFLD. NAFLD is intricately related to the metabolic syndrome and associated with an elevated risk of T2DM, cardiovascular and renal disease (Byrne and Targher, 2015). Ectopic lipid and dyslipidaemia are components of the metabolic syndrome and improvements in these risk factors are important in the treatment of NAFLD. Additionally, cardiorespiratory fitness is a marker of metabolic health and inversely associated with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality (Blair et al., 1989; Kodama et al., 2009). The large increase following SIT most likely reflects metabolic adaptations within skeletal muscle (Gibala et al., 2012), which may provide benefit via improved substrate metabolism (Rabol et al., 2011; Brouwers et al., 2016). Collectively, the present study demonstrates the potential of SIT to elicit relevant metabolic improvements in men with NAFLD, but it is notable that the magnitude of response over this intervention was insufficient to re-establish values in an optimal range. Furthermore, we report no effect of SIT on other cardiovascular risk factors, such as circulating TG, total cholesterol and LDL. Each of these have been previously shown to be reduced by exercise training (Garber et al., 2011). Whilst our null findings may be the result of a lack of statistical power, we report a small effect size from pre- to post-SIT for each of these outcomes (g* = -0.21 to -0.35). Alternatively, it may be that the intervention was insufficient in duration or that more traditional forms of exercise training, such as CME, which are associated with greater energy expenditure and weight loss, may be required to elicit potent benefits on these outcomes.

It should also be noted that we saw no significant change in circulating hepatokines as a result of our SIT intervention and the effect size for each hepatokine measured was trivial. As stated previously, however, changes in body weight, IHTG and indices of metabolic health were also small in this study. It may be larger changes in metabolic parameters than those seen in the current study are required to modulate circulating hepatokine concentrations.
A strength of this study is the use of the most precise techniques available to assess key outcomes. Conversely, this study was conducted in a relatively small and homogenous sample of white European men with no other chronic metabolic disease. We may have lacked statistical power to detect differences in some of our outcomes following training and null-findings may be the result of type II error. The findings of this study may also not be generalisable to women, individuals of different ethnicity or those with metabolic co-morbidities. Furthermore, participants did not have a formal diagnosis of NAFLD so we have no information regarding disease severity. An RCT design would also have been preferred. However, the inclusion of a control phase within our within-measures study design was chosen to monitor variation in study outcomes over a period of no intervention, whilst avoiding the additional recruitment of a non-exercise control group.

In this study we have shown that men with NAFLD are compliant with SIT which, over six weeks, improves cardiorespiratory fitness and reduces IHTG and VAT, without altering body weight. Furthermore, changes in peripheral insulin sensitivity with training are highly variable between individuals, whilst hepatic insulin sensitivity remains unchanged. These results support the potential for interval-based, high-intensity exercise as an alternative to continuous moderate-intensity exercise in the management of NAFLD. However, larger RCTs are required to test the effectiveness of SIT in diverse populations, as well as its applicability in a clinical setting, sustainability over time and efficacy in individuals with advanced NAFLD.
CHAPTER 7

THE EFFECT OF EXERCISE TRAINING ON INTRAHEPATIC TRIGLYCERIDE AND HEPATIC INSULIN SENSITIVITY: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS

This study presented in this chapter has been published and has the following citation:


This chapter presents the published manuscript.
7.1 Abstract

This systematic review and meta-analysis determined the impact of structured exercise training, and the influence of associated weight loss, on intrahepatic triglyceride (IHTG) in individuals with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). It also examined its effect on hepatic insulin sensitivity in individuals with or at increased risk of NAFLD. Analyses were restricted to studies using magnetic resonance spectroscopy or liver biopsy for the measurement of IHTG and isotope-labelled glucose tracer for assessment of hepatic insulin sensitivity. Pooling data from 17 studies (373 exercising participants), exercise training for one to 24 weeks (mode: 12 weeks) elicits an absolute reduction in IHTG of 3.31% (95% CI: -4.41 to -2.22%). Exercise reduces IHTG independent of significant weight change (-2.16 [-2.87 to -1.44]%), but benefits are substantially greater when weight loss occurs (-4.87 [-6.64 to -3.11]%). Furthermore, meta-regression identified a positive association between percentage weight loss and absolute reduction in IHTG ($\beta = 0.99 [0.62 to 1.36], P<0.001$). Pooling of six studies (94 participants) suggests that exercise training also improves basal hepatic insulin sensitivity (mean change in hepatic insulin sensitivity index: 0.13 [0.05 to 0.21] mg·m$^{-2}$·min$^{-1}$ per µU·mL$^{-1}$), but available evidence is limited and the impact of exercise on insulin-stimulated hepatic insulin sensitivity remains unclear.
7.2 Introduction

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a leading cause of chronic liver disease worldwide (Younossi et al., 2016) and a prominent risk factor for cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) (Byrne and Targher, 2015). Insulin resistance promotes hepatic lipid accumulation, which is most commonly assessed via the measurement of intrahepatic triglyceride (IHTG) (Samuel et al., 2004; Taylor, 2008; Kumashiro et al., 2011; Shulman, 2014). The associated accumulation of lipid intermediates, such as diacylglycerol (DAG), may in turn perpetuate insulin resistance (Samuel et al., 2004; Taylor, 2008; Kumashiro et al., 2011; Shulman, 2014); providing a mechanistic link between NAFLD and impaired metabolic regulation. As such, strong associations exist between excess IHTG and insulin resistance in multiple tissues, including the liver (Korenblat et al., 2008; Shulman, 2014; Bril, Barb, et al., 2017). Defects in hepatic insulin signalling contribute to elevated endogenous glucose production (EGP) which is integral to the pathophysiology of impaired glucose regulation and T2DM (Taylor, 2008; Rizza, 2010; Petersen, Vatner and Shulman, 2017).

Prompted by reports that exercise training has the capacity to reduce IHTG in the absence of weight loss (Johnson et al., 2009; Sullivan et al., 2012), the independent effects of exercise in the treatment of NAFLD have been examined (Keating et al., 2012; Orci et al., 2016; Smart et al., 2016; Hashida et al., 2017; Katsagoni et al., 2017). These reviews confirm the ability of exercise to reduce IHTG without significant weight change; however, the importance of the exercise-related energy deficit and subsequent weight loss has not been investigated thoroughly. Acute and sustained energy restriction and weight loss potently reduces IHTG in individuals with NAFLD (Kirk et al., 2009; Hickman et al., 2013) and therefore logic dictates that weight loss associated with exercise training would be an important mediator of the IHTG response to exercise training. This issue has practical implications for the prescription of exercise in the management of NAFLD and thus deserves explicit attention.

Previous reviews highlight a range of different methods to estimate IHTG, including non-invasive imaging by ultrasound (US) or computed tomography (CT), proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (1H-MRS) and invasive liver biopsy. The inclusion of multiple methods within these reviews has the benefit of broadening study eligibility and thus increasing pooled participant sample size. However, it also adds an additional source of heterogeneity. US and CT are also limited by a lack of sensitivity to detect mild-to-moderate accumulation of
IHTG and to quantify subtle changes resulting from experimental interventions (Festi et al., 2013). $^1$H-MRS has much greater precision (Bawden, Scott and Aithal, 2017), making it a more suitable method for experimental research, whilst the necessity to characterise histological features beyond steatosis make liver biopsy the standard tool in clinical practice (Marchesini et al., 2016; Chalasani et al., 2018).

The effect of exercise training on hepatic insulin sensitivity has not been reviewed. A number of indices exist which assess insulin resistance using simple circulating biomarkers (Matsuda and DeFronzo, 1999) but stable or radioactive isotope-labelled tracers are required to obtain the most accurate measurement of insulin sensitivity in individual tissues (Kim et al., 2016). Glucose tracers can be used to quantify EGP (primarily attributed to hepatic glucose production) (Petersen, Vatner and Shulman, 2017) to accurately assess hepatic insulin sensitivity in the basal (fasted) and insulin-stimulated (post-prandial) states; using the hepatic insulin sensitivity index (HISI) and percentage suppression of EGP (%EGP supp) by low-dose insulin infusion, respectively (DeFronzo, Simonson and Ferrannini, 1982; Matsuda and DeFronzo, 1999).

This systematic review and meta-analysis had two primary aims. First, we investigated the effects of structured exercise training on IHTG in individuals with NAFLD, with a particular focus on the impact of concurrent weight loss, whilst restricting analyses to studies using $^1$H-MRS and liver biopsy. Second, we explored the effects of exercise training on basal and insulin-stimulated hepatic insulin sensitivity.
7.3 Methods

The current systematic review and meta-analysis (PROSPERO ID: CRD42014007268) was conducted in accordance with the “Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions” and PRISMA guidelines (Moher et al., 2009; Higgins and Green, 2011). All aspects of the literature search, study selection and risk of bias assessment were completed by two researchers independently (Jack Sargeant and James King/Scott Willis). Data extraction and analysis were performed by a single researcher (Jack Sargeant) before being checked, independently, by another (extraction: James King; analysis: Danielle Bodicoat/Laura Gray).

7.3.1 Primary outcomes

This review had two outcome measures:

- IHTG
- Hepatic insulin sensitivity (basal and insulin-stimulated)

Eligible studies were restricted to those using $^1$H-MRS or liver biopsy for the measurement of IHTG and using isotope-labelled glucose tracer to quantify EGP in the fasted state and following low-dose ($\leq 20$ mU·m$^{-2}$·min$^{-1}$ or $\leq 0.5$ mU·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$) insulin infusion, for the calculation of HISI and %EGP$_{supp}$, respectively.

7.3.2 Literature search

Six electronic online databases (EMBASE, MEDLINE, PubMed, Scopus, Sport Discus and Web of Science) were searched from inception to July 2017 using the following terms related to exercise, IHTG and hepatic insulin sensitivity (all terms within brackets were combined using ‘OR’):

(exercise, physical activity, training) AND [(liver fat, hepatic fat, intrahepatic triglyceride, IHTG, intrahepatocellular lipids, intrahepatic lipids, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver, fatty liver, hepatic steatosis, non-alcoholic steatosis, liver steatosis, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, NASH, hepatic steatohepatitis, liver steatohepatitis, liver function) OR (hepatic insulin sensitivity, hepatic insulin resistance, liver insulin sensitivity, liver insulin resistance, hepatic IR, liver IR, hepatic glucose production, liver glucose production, endogenous glucose production, glycolysis, gluconeogenesis)].

Reference lists of all included manuscripts were screened for further eligible studies.
7.3.3 **Study selection**

Human experimental studies written in the English language were included. Conference abstracts were considered but underwent the same eligibility and risk of bias assessments as full articles. Eligible studies were those in which participants with overweight or obesity completed an exercise training programme of at least three exercise sessions. All types of study design were considered. Studies investigating changes in IHTG were only eligible if participants had diagnosed NAFLD or where baseline characteristics met diagnostic criteria (IHTG > 5% in the absence of secondary steatogenic sources, including excessive alcohol intake and viral infection) (Marchesini *et al.*, 2016; Chalasani *et al.*, 2018). NAFLD was not an inclusion criterion for studies investigating changes in hepatic insulin sensitivity as it became apparent that very few studies have examined this outcome exclusively in this patient group and several otherwise eligible studies did not measure IHTG. Studies investigating exercise in combination with dietary intervention were eligible only when data were available for matched, independent groups prescribed exercise training with or without diet. In studies measuring hepatic insulin sensitivity, it was essential that participants refrained from strenuous exercise for at least 48 hours before assessments (Sylow *et al.*, 2017).

7.3.4 **Data extraction**

Descriptive information (first author and year of publication), details of study design, participant and intervention characteristics and outcome data were extracted from eligible manuscripts. Outcome data were extracted as mean change and standard deviation from pre-to post-intervention for all exercise groups, as well as for the control groups of randomised controlled trials (RCTs). Where possible, outcome data presented in alternative forms were converted as outlined in Appendix X. When data were presented in graphical form only, values were estimated using commercially available software (Digitizeit, Version 2.2, Bormann, I., Braunschweig, Germany). When incomplete or insufficient data were reported, the authors were contacted and if the required data were unavailable the study was removed. When characteristics of exercise interventions (such as the frequency, intensity or duration of exercise sessions) progressed over the course of a programme, a weighted mean was calculated. Further details of data extraction can be found in Appendix X.

A number of variations of HISI exist, including the statistical inverse (the hepatic insulin resistance index; HIRI). Studies reporting these alternatives were included in qualitative review
but were only included in quantitative meta-analysis when raw data were available for the calculation of HISI as originally described (Matsuda and DeFronzo, 1999):

\[
1000 / (\text{EGP \ [mg\cdot m^{-2}\cdot min^{-1}] \times fasted \ plasma \ insulin \ [\mu U\cdot mL^{-1}]})
\]

Studies that reported EGP and fasted plasma insulin (FPI) separately were also considered, but were excluded from all analyses unless raw data were available for the calculation of HISI as above. Mean values of EGP and FPI were not combined. Similarly, when study design allowed the calculation of %EGP_{supp} but it was not reported, raw data were requested.

7.3.5  Risk of bias assessment

Studies were assessed for risk of bias using a modified Downs and Black scale (Downs and Black, 1998). This checklist includes 26 items divided into categories of (i) reporting, (ii) external validity, (iii) internal validity – bias, (iv) internal validity – confounding and (v) power. The Downs and Black scale was modified in two ways. Blinding participants and experimenters to group allocations is a difficult task in exercise trials. It is possible, however, to blind experimenters who are conducting data analysis. As such, item 14 of the original scale (concerning participant blinding) was removed, whilst item 15 (concerning experimenter blinding) was scored according to whether attempts were made to blind experimenters during data analysis. Item 27, concerning statistical power, was modified as follows:

- Formal power calculation performed based on detecting a significant change in IHTG = score of two awarded
- Formal power calculation performed based on detecting a significant difference in a relevant and related outcome but which was not IHTG = score of one awarded
- No formal power calculation performed = score of zero awarded.

Consensus between the two independent assessors (Jack Sargeant/James King) was ensured. Publication bias was assessed using funnel plots.

7.3.6  Meta-analyses

Pooled characteristics of study participants are presented as weighted means, accounting for differences in sample size, along with the range. Quantitative analysis was conducted using commercially available software (Stata IC, Version 14.1, StataCorp LP, Texas, USA). Pooled mean differences with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated for primary
outcomes using random effects models and heterogeneity was assessed quantitatively using the $I^2$ statistic.

Where possible, primary outcomes were analysed in two ways:

- **Within-group analysis:** The change from pre- to post-intervention measurements in all exercise groups of all eligible studies.
- **Between-group analysis:** The difference in the change from pre- to post-intervention between exercise and control groups in RCTs only.

In RCTs with multiple intervention groups, the intervention groups were combined, where possible, using appropriate statistical formulae (Higgins and Green, 2011) and, for the purpose of exercise programme description, the exercise intensity, duration and frequency of the combined group were calculated as the weighted mean of the individual groups. When groups were not suitable to be combined (for example, aerobic and resistance exercise training groups), data from the aerobic intervention group was used.

### 7.3.7 Subgroup analyses and meta-regression

Subgroup analyses were performed to investigate whether the presence of significant weight loss (defined as a statistically significant reduction in body weight from pre- to post-intervention) explained heterogeneity in the response of IHTG to exercise training. The influence of the exercise mode (aerobic, high-intensity interval (HIIT), resistance or combined aerobic/HIIT-plus-resistance training) was also investigated, as was the exercise intensity (moderate- or high-intensity) of aerobic and HIIT interventions. Exercise intensity was categorised according to published criteria (Garber et al., 2011), which are summarised in Table 7.1. One study (Meex et al., 2010) prescribed exercise relative to maximal workload. This study was categorised using the same percentage categories as those of $\dot{V}O_2$ peak. Meta-regression was also performed to explore the effects of intervention duration and the magnitude of body weight change on changes in IHTG. All subgroup analyses and meta-regressions were performed using the mean change from pre- to post-training in all exercise groups of eligible studies. Secondary analyses of hepatic insulin sensitivity were not performed due to the limited number of studies identified.
Table 7.1 Categories of exercise intensity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RPE</th>
<th>%HR max</th>
<th>%HRR</th>
<th>% $\dot{V}O_2$ peak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate-intensity</td>
<td>12 – 13</td>
<td>64 – 76</td>
<td>40 – 59</td>
<td>46 – 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-intensity</td>
<td>14 – 17</td>
<td>77 – 95</td>
<td>60 – 89</td>
<td>64 – 90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from (Garber et al., 2011); %HR max: percentage of maximal heart rate; %HRR: percentage of heart rate reserve; % $\dot{V}O_2$ peak: percentage of peak oxygen uptake; RPE: rating of perceived exertion (Borg, 1970).
7.4 Results

7.4.1 Literature search

Figure 7.1 presents a flowchart of the literature search and study selection processes. To summarise, 20,055 records were returned by the six online databases, along with five from reference lists of eligible manuscripts. Of these, 111 manuscripts underwent full assessment and 21 were eligible for inclusion (20 for meta-analyses). Twenty were complete articles and one was a conference abstract, although the latter has since been published in full (Sargeant, Bawden, et al., 2018). Table 7.2 presents an overview of all eligible studies and readers are directed to Appendix XI for more detailed description of participant and intervention characteristics for each study.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Author (Year of Publication)</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Sample Size (M/F)</th>
<th>Exercise Mode</th>
<th>Intervention Duration</th>
<th>Session Frequency</th>
<th>Exercise Intensity (Category)</th>
<th>Technique used for Assessment of IHTG</th>
<th>Index of Basal Hepatic IS Originally Reported</th>
<th>Details of Low-Dose Insulin Infusion</th>
<th>Weight Change with Exercise Training (mean % change from baseline)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cassidy (2016)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Ex: 12 (10/2) Con: 11 (8/3)</td>
<td>HIIT</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>3 times per week</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>^1H-MRS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.1 ( \beta )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuthbertson (2016)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Ex: 30 (23/7) [12 (8/3/1 NR)]</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>16 weeks</td>
<td>3 – 5 times per week</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>^1H-MRS</td>
<td>HIRI</td>
<td>0.3 mU•kg(^{-1})•min(^{-1}) for 120 min</td>
<td>-2.5 ( \alpha, \beta )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallsworth (2011)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Ex: 11 (NR) Con: 8 (NR)</td>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>3 times per week</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>^1H-MRS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallsworth (2015)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Ex: 12 (6/6) Con: 11 (10/1)</td>
<td>HIIT</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>3 times per week</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>^1H-MRS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.6 ( \alpha, \beta )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haus (2013)</td>
<td>Uncontrolled Intervention</td>
<td>Ex: 17 (NR)</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>7 times per week</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>^1H-MRS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hickman (2013)</td>
<td>RCT*</td>
<td>Ex: 9 (7/2) [13 (9/4)]</td>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>3 times per week</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Biopsy†</td>
<td>HIRI</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houghton (2017)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Ex: 12 (7/5) Con: 12 (7/5)</td>
<td>Combined (HIIT + Resistance)</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>3 times per week</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>^1H-MRS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson (2009)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Ex: 12 (NR) Con: 7 (NR)</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>3 times per week</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>^1H-MRS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Intensity</td>
<td>Other Measures</td>
<td>Change</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keating (2015)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Ex1: 12 (6/6)</td>
<td>Ex2: 11 (5/6)</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>3 sess per week</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Ex1: 1H-MRS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Ex1: -1.2 α,β, Ex2: -1.5 α,β</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Con: 12 (3/9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langleite (2016)</td>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>Ex: 11 (11/0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>4 sess per week</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Ex1: 1H-MRS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee (2013)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Ex1: [16 (0/16)]</td>
<td>Ex2: [16 (0/16)]</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>3 sess per week</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Ex1: 1H-MRS</td>
<td>HISI†</td>
<td>Ex1: -1.3, Ex2: -0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Con: [12 (0/12)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malin (2013)</td>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>Ex: 13 (6/7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>7 sess per week</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Ex1: 1H-MRS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meex (2010)</td>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>Ex1: [20 (20/0)]</td>
<td>Ex2: [17 (17/0)]</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>3 sess per week</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>HISI‡</td>
<td>Ex1: -1.1, Ex2: -1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oh (2014)</td>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>Ex: 18 (4/14)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>3 sess per week</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Ex1: 1H-MRS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.4 α</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pugh (2014)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Ex: 13 (7/6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>16 weeks</td>
<td>3 – 5 sess per week</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Ex1: 1H-MRS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Con: 8 (4/4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sargeant (2018)</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>Ex: 9 (9/0)</td>
<td>Ex: [8 (8/0)]</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>3 sess per week</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Ex1: 1H-MRS</td>
<td>HISI</td>
<td>20 mU•m⁻²•min⁻¹ for 120 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Longitudinal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shojaee-Moradie (2007)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Ex: [10 (10/0)]</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>3 sess per week</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>HISI‡</td>
<td>0.3 mU•kg⁻¹•min⁻¹ for 120 min</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Intensity</td>
<td>Modality</td>
<td>Change in HISI ±α</td>
<td>Change in Body Weight ±β</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan (2012)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>16 weeks</td>
<td>5 times per week</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>1H-MRS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.2α</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van der Heijden (2010a)‡</td>
<td>Uncontrolled Intervention</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>4 times per week</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>1H-MRS</td>
<td>HISI</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.5α</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van der Heijden (2010b)</td>
<td>Uncontrolled Intervention</td>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>2 times per week</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1H-MRS</td>
<td>HISI</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.6α</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang (2016)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>5 times per week</td>
<td>Ex1: Moderate</td>
<td>Ex2: High</td>
<td>1H-MRS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Ex1: -2.8α,β</td>
<td>Ex2: -6.0α,β</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample sizes in squared brackets represent the number of participants included in hepatic insulin sensitivity outcomes; Mean changes in body weight with exercise are as reported in the original manuscript (α indicates significantly different from baseline, β indicates significantly different from non-exercise control group); Exercise intensity is categorised according to published criteria (Garber et al., 2011), which are summarised in Table 7.1; †Study did not include a ‘standard care’ or ‘no intervention’ group, exercise was compared with hypocaloric diet; ‡Study was excluded from one or more meta-analyses - Hickman et al (2013) was removed from meta-analyses of changes in hepatic steatosis to reduce heterogeneity as it was the only study using liver biopsy to assess IHTG. Lee et al (2013) was removed from meta-analysis of changes in basal hepatic insulin sensitivity because raw data were not available to allow re-calculation of HISI as outlined by Matsuda and DeFronzo (1999); #Published manuscript contained no index of hepatic insulin sensitivity. HISI was calculated after authors provided raw data; †Manuscript refers to the same study as van der Heijden et al (2009); Con: Control group; Ex1/2: Exercise group 1/2; N/A: not applicable; NR: not reported.
7.4.2 Risk of bias assessment

Full results of the risk of bias assessment can be found in Table 7.3. Studies scored highly on items related to reporting, with the exception of reporting adverse events, and internal validity. Seven studies (Johnson et al., 2009; Hallsworth et al., 2011; Hickman et al., 2013; Cassidy et al., 2016; Cuthbertson et al., 2016; Langleite et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016) blinded the investigators performing assessments of IHTG, but allocation concealment was only performed in three out of 10 RCTs (Cassidy et al., 2016; Langleite et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016). Conversely, studies scored poorly in relation to external validity, primarily due to an inability to determine whether recruited participants were representative of the entire population or of those who were approached to participate. One study (Keating et al., 2015) performed a power calculation on IHTG to detect change between groups indicating that 116 participants were required per group. However, due to limited data to inform this power calculation and the difficulties in performing a study of that design in such large numbers they did not recruit to this extent. Given that 1) the purpose of this meta-analysis was not to determine differences between groups, 2) they had attempted to perform an appropriate calculation and 3) the numbers they did recruit were similar to those of other suitably powered studies, a score of two was awarded for item 27 (statistical power). Funnel plots suggested minimal evidence of publication bias (Figure 7.2a-c), although the plot of all intervention groups investigating IHTG (Figure 7.2a) suggested a small bias towards studies reporting small effects. This would, if anything, result in an attenuated pooled effect.

Seven studies recorded energy intake using self-reported food diaries (Johnson et al., 2009; Haus et al., 2013; Oh et al., 2014; Pugh et al., 2014; Keating et al., 2015; Cuthbertson et al., 2016) or a validated food frequency questionnaire (Langleite et al., 2016). All of these reported no change in energy intake from pre- to post-intervention, although one (Keating et al., 2015) reported a small increase in carbohydrate intake in participants completing a high-intensity, low-volume exercise programme. One study (Lee et al., 2013) prescribed a weight maintenance diet to participants. A further 10 studies instructed participants to maintain their dietary habits throughout the duration of study involvement without formally monitoring diet (Shojaee-Moradie et al., 2007; van der Heijden, Wang, Chu, Sauer, et al., 2010; van der Heijden, Wang, Chu, Toffolo, et al., 2010; Hickman et al., 2013; Malin, Mulya, et al., 2013; Hallsworth et al., 2015; Cassidy et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016; Houghton et al., 2017; Sargeant, Bawden, et al., 2018), whilst three manuscripts make no reference to the control of dietary intake (Meex et al., 2010; Hallsworth et al., 2011; Sullivan et al., 2012).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Author (Publication Year)</th>
<th>Reporting 1</th>
<th>Reporting 2</th>
<th>Reporting 3</th>
<th>Reporting 4</th>
<th>Reporting 5</th>
<th>Reporting 6</th>
<th>Reporting 7</th>
<th>Reporting 8</th>
<th>Reporting 9</th>
<th>Reporting 10</th>
<th>External Validity 11</th>
<th>External Validity 12</th>
<th>External Validity 13</th>
<th>Internal Validity: Bias* 15</th>
<th>Internal Validity: Confounding 21</th>
<th>Internal Validity: Confounding 22</th>
<th>Internal Validity: Confounding 23</th>
<th>Internal Validity: Confounding 24</th>
<th>Internal Validity: Confounding 25</th>
<th>Internal Validity: Confounding 26</th>
<th>Power 27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cassidy (2016)</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 2 1 1 0 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 0 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuthbertson (2016)</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 2 1 1 0 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 0 1 0 0 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallsworth (2011)</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 2 1 1 0 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 0 1 0 0 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallsworth (2015)</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 2 1 1 0 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>0 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 1 1 0 0 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haus (2013)</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 2 1 1 0 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>0 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hickman (2013)</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 1 1 0 0 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houghton (2017)</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>0 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 0 1 0 0 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson (2009)</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 2 1 1 0 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 0 1 0 1 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keating (2015)</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 2 1 1 0 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>0 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 1 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langleite (2016)</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 2 1 1 0 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 0 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee (2013)</td>
<td>0 1 1 1 2 1 1 0 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>0 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 1 1 0 1 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malin (2013)</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 2 1 1 0 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>0 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 1 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meex (2010)</td>
<td>0 1 1 1 2 1 1 0 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>0 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 1 0 0 1 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oh (2014)</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 2 1 1 0 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>0 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 1 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pugh (2014)</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 2 1 1 0 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>0 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 1 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sargeant (2018)</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 2 1 1 0 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>0 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 1 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shojaee-Moradie (2007)</td>
<td>0 1 1 1 2 1 1 0 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>0 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 1 1 0 0 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan (2012)</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 0 1 0 0 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van der Heijden (2009 &amp; 2010a)</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 2 1 1 0 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>0 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 1 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van der Heijden (2010b)</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 2 1 1 0 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>0 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang (2016)</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 0 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Full marking criteria can be found in the appendix of the original article by Downs and Black (Downs and Black, 1998). * Item 14 of the Downs and Black scale (concerning participant blinding) was not scored in this meta-analysis as blinding participants to group allocation in exercise studies is very difficult and uncommon. Item 27 (concerning power) was amended as outlined above. A higher number represents a more positive score. ‡ Two manuscripts reporting separate outcomes of the same study.
Figure 7.2 Funnel plots for assessment of publication bias in studies included in the following analyses: a) within-group change in IHTG, b) difference between groups in change in IHTG, and c) within-group change in HISI
7.4.3 The effects of exercise training on IHTG

Eighteen studies reported the effects of exercise training on IHTG (Johnson et al., 2009; van der Heijden, Wang, Chu, Sauer, et al., 2010; van der Heijden, Wang, Chu, Toffolo, et al., 2010; Hallsworth et al., 2011, 2015; Sullivan et al., 2012; Malin, Mulya, et al., 2013; Haus et al., 2013; Hickman et al., 2013; Oh et al., 2014; Pugh et al., 2014; Keating et al., 2015; Cassidy et al., 2016; Cuthbertson et al., 2016; Langleite et al., 2016; Houghton et al., 2017; Sargeant, Bawden, et al., 2018) (Table 7.2). Only one of these used paired liver biopsy (Hickman et al., 2013), reporting no significant effect of 6-months resistance exercise on the percentage of hepatocytes affected by steatosis in individuals with NAFLD. The remaining 17 studies used $^1$H-MRS to measure IHTG so, to reduce heterogeneity, only these studies were included in subsequent meta-analyses. These studies contained 19 exercise groups and a combined total of 373 participants (male: 151 [40.5%]; female: 182 [48.8%]; data not reported: 40 [10.7%]). Participants had a weighted mean age of 50 [range 15.5 to 60] years and were overweight or obese (body mass index: 30.6 [27.8 to 37.1] kg·m$^{-2}$; body fat percentage: 35.6 [28.7 to 43.7]%; waist circumference: 101.2 [95.2 to 111.9] cm). Participants were reported as sedentary and/or inactive and had low aerobic capacity (peak oxygen uptake: 25.2 [21.8 to 38.7] ml·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$). Two studies actively recruited individuals with T2DM (Cassidy et al., 2016) or dysregulated glucose metabolism (Langleite et al., 2016), whilst the mean baseline characteristics of seven other studies (Johnson et al., 2009; Hallsworth et al., 2011, 2015; Haus et al., 2013; Malin, Mulya, et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2016; Houghton et al., 2017) met diagnostic criteria for impaired fasted glucose (weighted mean fasted glucose: 5.61 [4.09 to 6.80] mmol·L$^{-1}$) (American Diabetes Association, 2018). The weighted mean IHTG at baseline was 15.8 [6.9 to 23.1] %.

Interventions included aerobic ($n = 11$), HIIT ($n = 3$), resistance ($n = 2$), combined aerobic/HIIT-plus-resistance ($n = 2$) and acceleration/vibration ($n = 1$) exercise training, ranging from seven days to 24 weeks (mode: 12 weeks). Session frequency ranged from two to seven times per week (mode: three times per week) for 30 to 60 minutes. Six aerobic interventions used moderate-intensity exercise whilst the remaining five, along with all of the HIIT interventions, were categorised as high-intensity.

Ten of the included studies were RCTs, containing a combined 283 and 169 participants in exercise and control groups, respectively (Table 7.2). Pooled participant and intervention characteristics of RCTs only can be found in Tables 7.4 and 7.5. There were no significant
differences between the pooled characteristics of RCTs and those of all eligible studies \((P \geq 0.13)\). Participants in RCT control groups were instructed to maintain standard care \((n = 5)\) or habitual lifestyle activities \((n = 1)\), prescribed a low-intensity stretching programme \((n = 2)\) or attended sessions providing education on the health benefits of exercise \((n = 2)\).

### Table 7.4 Pooled participant characteristics of RCTs included in meta-analysis of the effects of exercise training on IHTG \((n = 10\) studies)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Exercise Groups</th>
<th>Control Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total participant number</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/Female/NR</td>
<td>111/149/23</td>
<td>77/77/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>52 (45 to 61)</td>
<td>52 (39 to 62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>82.7 (71.4 to 103.0)</td>
<td>84.0 (72.1 to 113.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg·m(^{-2}))</td>
<td>30.2 (28.0 to 37.1)</td>
<td>30.2 (28.0 to 40.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>34.4 (30.4 to 38.9)</td>
<td>34.8 (31.0 to 42.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>99.9 (95.5 to 110.0)</td>
<td>99.2 (93.7 to 109.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasted glucose (mmol·L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>5.63 (4.30 to 6.80)</td>
<td>5.67 (4.00 to 7.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\bar{V}O_2) peak (ml kg(^{-1})·min(^{-1}))</td>
<td>23.6 (21.8 to 26.4)</td>
<td>22.3 (18.5 to 27.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHTG (%)</td>
<td>16.2 (6.9 to 21.3)</td>
<td>14.5 (7.1 to 21.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as weighted mean with range.

### Table 7.5 Pooled intervention characteristics of RCTs included in meta-analysis of the effects of exercise training on IHTG \((n = 10\) studies)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intervention duration (weeks)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4 to 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session frequency (times per week)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3 to 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session duration (min)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30 to 53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

~ 140 ~
Fourteen of the 17 studies reported a statistically significant benefit of exercise on IHTG either within-group (van der Heijden, Wang, Chu, Sauer, *et al.*, 2010; Oh *et al.*, 2014; Pugh *et al.*, 2014; Langleite *et al.*, 2016; Sargeant, Bawden, *et al.*, 2018), between-group (Johnson *et al.*, 2009; Sullivan *et al.*, 2012), or both (Hallsworth *et al.*, 2011, 2015; Keating *et al.*, 2015; Cassidy *et al.*, 2016; Cuthbertson *et al.*, 2016; Zhang *et al.*, 2016; Houghton *et al.*, 2017). In the two studies with multiple exercise groups, exercise elicited a significant reduction in both groups (Keating *et al.*, 2015; Zhang *et al.*, 2016). One RCT reported a significant reduction from baseline, but this was not significant when compared to the change in the control group (Pugh *et al.*, 2014). Of the three studies that reported no benefit of exercise, two were short interventions (performing exercise on seven consecutive days) (Haus *et al.*, 2013; Malin, Mulya, *et al.*, 2013), whilst the other was a resistance exercise programme in obese adolescents (van der Heijden, Wang, Chu, Toffolo, *et al.*, 2010).

When data from pre- to post-exercise in all interventions were pooled, a statistically significant benefit of exercise training was found (Figure 7.3), but high heterogeneity was also apparent. In a sensitivity analysis of RCTs only, the significant benefit of exercise was strengthened (mean difference in change between groups [95% CI]: -3.61 [-4.68 to -2.54] %; Figure 7.4), and results were highly homogeneous ($I^2 < 0.1\%$, $P = 0.84$). Therefore, to allow the inclusion of maximum data, subgroup analyses and meta-regressions were performed using the within-group change in all interventions.
Figure 7.3 Meta-analysis of the pooled effect of exercise training on IHTG from pre- to post-training in all exercise groups of all eligible studies. Studies are grouped by exercise mode.
Figure 7.4 Meta-analysis of the pooled effect of exercise training on IHTG using eligible RCTs only
Exercise training significantly reduced IHTG in the absence of weight loss. However, when significant weight loss occurred, the pooled effect was substantially greater (Figure 7.5). Furthermore, meta-regression displayed a significant positive relationship between the change in body weight (relative to baseline) and the absolute change in IHTG ($\beta = 0.99$ [95% CI: 0.62 to 1.36], $P < 0.001$; Figure 7.6). A significant relationship was also apparent between intervention duration and change in IHTG ($\beta = -0.27$ [95% CI: -0.35 to -0.19], $P < 0.001$; Figure 7.7), suggesting that as the duration of intervention increases, so does the magnitude of reduction in IHTG. The duration of interventions that elicited significant weight loss versus those that did not were similar (median [range]: 12 [8 to 24] vs. 12 [1 to 16] weeks), but bivariate Pearson’s correlation analysis showed a significant positive relationship between the duration of intervention and magnitude of weight loss elicited ($r^2 = 36\%$, $P < 0.01$).

**Figure 7.5 Meta-analysis of the pooled effect of exercise training on IHTG from pre- to post-training in all exercise groups of all eligible studies when studies are grouped into those that elicited weight loss versus those that did not.**
Figure 7.6 Meta-regression between the magnitude of weight loss, relative to baseline, elicited by exercise intervention and the absolute change in IHTG. Each circle represents a study, with larger circles indicating greater weight within the meta-regression analysis.

Figure 7.7 Meta-regression between duration of exercise intervention in weeks and the absolute change in IHTG from baseline elicited in all eligible studies. Each circle represents a study, with larger circles indicating greater weight within the meta-regression analysis.
Figure 7.3 displays the mean change from baseline in IHTG for all interventions categorised by exercise type. The pooled effect on IHTG for aerobic exercise interventions was greater than that for each other mode of exercise, as well as the pooled mean for all interventions. However, the high prevalence of aerobic interventions in comparison to other types of intervention should be noted. When interventions were grouped according to exercise intensity, the pooled effect for moderate-intensity interventions was greater than that of the high-intensity exercise programmes (\(-4.82 [-7.00 \text{ to } -2.65] \%\), \(I^2 = 75.5\%\), \(P = 0.001\) vs. \(-2.89 [-4.25 \text{ to } -1.53] \%\), \(I^2 = 73.2\%\), \(P < 0.001\)). The intervention duration of the moderate and high-intensity interventions were similar (median [range]: 14 [4 to 24] vs. 12 [1 to 24] weeks).

7.4.4 Overview of studies investigating the effects of exercise on hepatic insulin sensitivity

Eight studies, containing a total of 10 exercise groups, reported the effects of exercise training on hepatic insulin sensitivity (Shojaee-Moradie et al., 2007; van der Heijden et al., 2009; Meex et al., 2010; van der Heijden, Wang, Chu, Toffolo, et al., 2010; Hickman et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2013; Cuthbertson et al., 2016; Sargeant, Bawden, et al., 2018) (Table 7.2). Four studies reported HISI (van der Heijden, Wang, Chu, Sauer, et al., 2010; van der Heijden, Wang, Chu, Toffolo, et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2013; Sargeant, Bawden, et al., 2018), although three presented EGP (and thus HISI) in different units to those outlined above. Two studies reported HIRI (Hickman et al., 2013; Cuthbertson et al., 2016). Four studies were able to provide raw data for the re-calculation of HISI (van der Heijden, Wang, Chu, Sauer, et al., 2010; van der Heijden, Wang, Chu, Toffolo, et al., 2010; Hickman et al., 2013; Cuthbertson et al., 2016), along with two that reported EGP and FPI separately (Shojaee-Moradie et al., 2007; Meex et al., 2010). As such, seven studies (eight exercise groups) were included in meta-analysis of changes in HISI with exercise training. Only two of these (Shojaee-Moradie et al., 2007; Cuthbertson et al., 2016) were RCTs with a non-intervention/standard care control group (containing a combined 22 and 14 participants in exercise and control groups, respectively) so only within-group analysis was conducted. Three studies also reported %EGP with low-dose insulin infusion (Shojaee-Moradie et al., 2007; Cuthbertson et al., 2016; Sargeant, Bawden, et al., 2018) but, due to this limited number, meta-analysis was not performed. Seven further studies were found that utilised a study design allowing the calculation of at least one of HISI or %EGP, but neither were reported (DeFronzo, Sherwin and Kraemer, 1987; Segal et al., 1991; Hughes et al., 1993; Coker et al., 2006, 2009; DiPietro et al., 2006; Kirk, Sullivan and Klein, 2010). Whilst the authors of five of these studies kindly replied to requests for raw data, none were able to provide it.
7.4.5 The effects of exercise training on basal hepatic insulin sensitivity

The study that was excluded from meta-analysis of changes in HISI reported a tendency for a reduction in HIRI in obese adolescent girls following 12 weeks of resistance exercise training, but not after 12 weeks of moderate- to high-intensity aerobic exercise (Lee et al., 2013). The remaining eight exercise groups were pooled and had a combined total of 105 participants (male: 84 (80%); female: 20 (19%); data not available: 1 (1%)). Participants had a weighted mean age of 43 [range 15.5 to 59] years and, as per study selection criteria, were overweight or obese (body mass index: 31.3 [27.6 to 35.3] kg·m⁻²; body fat percentage: 34.0 [25.5 to 40.8] %). Participants were reported as sedentary and/or inactive and had low aerobic capacity (maximal or peak oxygen uptake: 24.7 [21.6 to 32.0] ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹). Five studies (five exercise groups) were conducted in individuals with diagnosed NAFLD or in those with baseline characteristics that met diagnostic criteria as outlined above (van der Heijden et al., 2009; van der Heijden, Wang, Chu, Toffolo, et al., 2010; Hickman et al., 2013; Cuthbertson et al., 2016; Sargeant, Bawden, et al., 2018). One study included separate groups of patients with impaired fasted glucose and T2DM (Meex et al., 2010) but, according to mean baseline characteristics, all other studies recruited individuals with normal fasted glycaemia (weighted mean fasted glucose for all studies was 5.36 [4.70 to 9.00] mmol·L⁻¹) (American Diabetes Association, 2018). The weighted mean HISI at baseline was 0.99 [0.58 to 2.09] mg·m⁻²·min⁻¹ per μU·mL⁻¹.

Studies included aerobic (n = 3), HIIT (n = 1), resistance (n = 2) and combined (n = 2) exercise interventions for six (n = 2), 12 (n = 5) or 24 (n = 1) weeks. Participants exercised on two to four days per week with sessions ranging from 20 to 60 minutes. One aerobic intervention, and the aerobic component of the combined exercise programmes, utilised moderate-intensity exercise, whilst the remaining aerobic interventions and the HIIT intervention were categorised as high-intensity.

Two of the eight exercise groups displayed a statistically significant improvement in HISI with exercise, both of which were in adolescents (van der Heijden et al., 2009; van der Heijden, Wang, Chu, Toffolo, et al., 2010). One study reported a tendency for reduced HIRI after exercise training, but this effect was statistically significant when HISI was re-calculated as above. Neither RCT reported a significant difference between exercise and control groups (Shojaee-Moradie et al., 2007; Cuthbertson et al., 2016). However, when data were pooled, a statistically significant benefit of exercise was found (Figure 7.8). Based on the weighted mean
HISI at baseline, this pooled effect represents a relative improvement of approximately 13%. Two studies in obese adolescents reported a reduction in basal EGP after aerobic or resistance exercise training (van der Heijden et al., 2009; van der Heijden, Wang, Chu, Toffolo, et al., 2010), but EGP was unaffected in all other studies. In contrast, FPI was significantly reduced in five of the exercise groups (van der Heijden et al., 2009; Meex et al., 2010; Cuthbertson et al., 2016; Sargeant, Bawden, et al., 2018), whilst the mean reduction in another (Hickman et al., 2013) approached statistical significance. One study (Cuthbertson et al., 2016) reported a statistically significant reduction in body weight from pre- to post-exercise training (2.5%) but this was not associated with an improvement in HISI. Another study (Hickman et al., 2013) reported a mean reduction in body weight of similar magnitude (2.6%) which, although not statistically significant, was associated with a significant improvement in HISI.
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Figure 7.8 Meta-analysis of the pooled effect of exercise training on HISI from pre- to post-training in all exercise groups of all eligible studies

7.4.6 The effects of exercise training on insulin-stimulated hepatic insulin sensitivity

Participant and intervention characteristics for the three studies examining the effects of exercise on %EGP<sub>supp</sub> can be found in supplementary materials (Appendix XI). The two RCTs that reported HISI also reported %EGP<sub>supp</sub>. One RCT reported a significant improvement in %EGP<sub>supp</sub>, compared to the control group, after six weeks of aerobic exercise training, despite no change in total body weight or IHTG (Shojaee-Moradie et al., 2007). In contrast, %EGP<sub>supp</sub> was reportedly unaffected by either twelve weeks of aerobic training (Cuthbertson et al., 2016) or six weeks of HIIT (Sargeant, Bawden, et al., 2018).
7.5 Discussion

The prominent findings of this review are that structured exercise training, independent of dietary intervention, reduces IHTG in individuals with NAFLD. Importantly, whilst this effect is apparent without weight loss, the magnitude of reduction increases in direct proportion to the amount of weight loss induced by the intervention. Furthermore, our analyses indicate that exercise training for six to 24 weeks may also improve basal hepatic insulin sensitivity by approximately 13%.

Previous reviews report that exercise training significantly reduces IHTG with a moderate to large pooled effect size (Keating et al., 2012; Orci et al., 2016; Katsagoni et al., 2017). The restriction of the current meta-analysis to a single technique (1H-MRS) allowed us to report this pooled effect as the absolute mean difference and our findings suggest that exercise training for between one and 24 weeks (mode 12 weeks) elicits an absolute reduction in IHTG of approximately 2.2 to 4.7% (mean ~3.5%); re-enforcing the meaningful therapeutic role of exercise for individuals with NAFLD. An important finding to emerge from this meta-analysis is the impact of weight loss as a mediator of the reduction in IHTG associated with exercise training interventions. This outcome is consistent with previous reviews (Brouwers et al., 2016; Golabi et al., 2016), which have highlighted the more potent impact of weight loss per se (primarily through dietary energy restriction) than the independent effect of exercise in the absence of body weight reduction. Specifically, our data show that whilst IHTG is significantly reduced in the absence of exercise-induced weight loss, the magnitude of effect is more than two-fold greater when weight loss occurs (-2.16 vs. -4.87%). Meta-regression suggests that each 1% relative reduction in body weight is associated with approximately 1% absolute reduction in IHTG. Interestingly, an almost identical relationship can be seen in studies that have examined IHTG responses to short-, medium- and long-term dietary energy restriction interventions (Browning et al., 2011; Wong et al., 2013; Patel et al., 2015). These data therefore illustrate that relative changes in IHTG are several-fold greater than associated alterations in body weight regardless of physiological stimulus. This overriding influence of weight loss may also explain why previous reviews have not found additive benefits of exercise when combined with dietary intervention (Keating et al., 2012; Smart et al., 2016). In these scenarios, large energy deficits created by dietary modification may dilute the much smaller contribution of exercise (Ross, Freeman and Janssen, 2000). Consequently, whilst exercise alone may be effective at reducing IHTG, the greatest therapeutic benefits will be realised when exercise interventions contribute to weight loss in combination with dietary energy restriction.
These findings have direct implications for exercise prescription in NAFLD. Firstly, given that weight loss appears to be the dominant factor mediating changes in IHTG (as demonstrated in our meta-regression analyses), and the capacity of dietary energy restriction to induce large amounts of weight loss is greater than that of exercise training (Browning et al., 2011; Wong et al., 2013; Patel et al., 2015), structured exercise training should be seen as a valuable addition, rather than an alternative, to dietary energy restriction in the majority of individuals. That said, whilst the magnitude of effects may be comparatively smaller, there is evidence to suggest weight-independent effects of exercise training on IHTG, including beneficial changes in skeletal muscle and hepatic substrate metabolism (Brouwers et al., 2016). Therefore, the benefits of exercise on IHTG should not be completely dismissed and structured exercise training may be an effective alternative for individuals where prolonged energy restriction has proved ineffective. No studies to date have directly compared the impact of the diet- versus exercise-induced weight loss, matched for magnitude, on IHTG. Notably, the wider benefits of exercise training on metabolic health beyond IHTG should also not be forgotten (Garber et al., 2011).

When recommending exercise training for individuals with NAFLD, the greatest impact of exercise training on IHTG is likely to occur with exercise that is associated with the greatest weight loss (Smart et al., 2016). Evidence suggests that aerobic exercise interventions typically evoke a higher amount of weight loss than anaerobic exercise modalities (Yumuk et al., 2015). The superiority of aerobic exercise interventions for reducing IHTG in individuals with NAFLD is supported by the present results and those of a previous meta-analysis (Orci et al., 2016). Consequently, although resistance exercise training and HIIT promote a reduction in IHTG in patients with NAFLD, greater benefits will likely be achieved through continuous aerobic exercise protocols such as running, swimming and cycling.

Exercise volume may also be an important variable which helps to explain why our analyses documented a larger reduction in IHTG with moderate- compared to high-intensity exercise interventions. Specifically, compared with shorter intense bouts of exercise, continuous moderate-intensity protocols commonly exhibit a greater total exercise volume and, consequently, energy expenditure. This higher level of energy expenditure may elicit a greater impact on metabolism, energy balance and IHTG. It is, however, important to consider that exercise-related energy expenditure may not necessarily translate into greater total daily energy expenditure (Pontzer et al., 2016; Melanson, 2017). Furthermore, given the inability to accurately calculate exercise-related energy expenditure in our review, further research is
needed to investigate the precise relationship between exercise-related energy expenditure and IHTG.

Our analyses also demonstrate a significant relationship between the duration of exercise interventions and the change in IHTG, and a positive relationship between the duration of exercise training and the magnitude of weight loss. Each week of exercise training is associated with a reduction in IHTG of approximately 0.27%. Collectively, our findings highlight the importance of developing sustainable exercise interventions, which, in combination with dietary strategies, may elicit the greatest benefits on IHTG in individuals with NAFLD.

The second part of this review examined the influence of exercise training on hepatic insulin sensitivity. Hepatic insulin resistance, which is strongly correlated with elevated IHTG (Korenblat et al., 2008; Shulman, 2014; Bril, Barb, et al., 2017), contributes to impaired glycaemic control in the pathogenesis of T2DM (Taylor, 2008; Rizza, 2010; Petersen, Vatner and Shulman, 2017). We assessed the impact of exercise interventions on HISI and %EGPsupp, which are measures of hepatic insulin sensitivity in the basal and insulin-stimulated states, respectively. The paucity of studies examining these effects meant that our analyses were conducted using any study that recruited individuals with overweight or obesity, who are thus at increased risk of NAFLD, in addition to studies exclusively in patients with NAFLD.

In total, we identified eight studies (two of which had two exercise groups) which assessed the impact of exercise on basal hepatic insulin sensitivity (Shojaee-Moradie et al., 2007; van der Heijden et al., 2009; Meex et al., 2010; van der Heijden, Wang, Chu, Toffolo, et al., 2010; Hickman et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2013; Cuthbertson et al., 2016; Sargeant, Bawden, et al., 2018). Seven studies had sufficient data for inclusion in our meta-analysis but only two of which were RCTs. Therefore, our analysis was restricted to within-group changes in eight exercise groups. Interestingly, despite only three exercise groups displaying significant improvements in HISI from pre- to post- intervention (van der Heijden et al., 2009; van der Heijden, Wang, Chu, Toffolo, et al., 2010; Hickman et al., 2013), our pooled analysis identified a statistically significant increase of approximately 13%. In adults, basal rates of EGP were unaffected by exercise, but this improvement in basal hepatic insulin sensitivity may be reflected by a reduction of FPI. The clinical relevance of this magnitude of improvement in basal hepatic insulin sensitivity for individuals that are overweight and obese is not immediately clear. However, this novel finding suggests that exercise training favourably modifies this important parameter in individuals at risk of NAFLD and T2DM.
Three studies reported the effects of exercise on %EGP_{supp} (Shojaee-Moradie et al., 2007; Cuthbertson et al., 2016; Sargeant, Bawden, et al., 2018), two of which were RCTs employing aerobic exercise interventions of six and 12 weeks (Shojaee-Moradie et al., 2007; Cuthbertson et al., 2016). While one study reported significant improvement in %EGP_{supp}, no change was reported in the other. Therefore, we cannot draw firm conclusions on the impact of exercise training on insulin-stimulated hepatic insulin sensitivity at this time.

It is notable that we identified a further seven studies whose methods permitted the calculation of HISI or %EGP_{supp} but data were not presented or available. Furthermore, when hepatic insulin sensitivity was reported, the precise details of the experimental methods employed, units used for presentation of outcomes and calculation of indices of hepatic insulin sensitivity, varied between studies. This review, therefore, highlights the need for greater methodological and reporting consistency when assessing hepatic insulin resistance (e.g. standardisation of low-dose insulin infusion when undertaking dual-stepped hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamps and consistent use of units).

Our meta-analysis of the effects of exercise training on IHTG in individuals with NAFLD, and the mediating influence of weight loss, is the most precise quantitative synthesis to date. Furthermore, this is the first systematic review and meta-analysis assessing the impact of exercise interventions on hepatic insulin sensitivity. However, a few important considerations are noteworthy. A range of exercise interventions are identified and our analyses of the effects of exercise on IHTG display significant heterogeneity. Whilst we explore potential sources of this heterogeneity, it is assumed that these studies are suitable for data pooling. Secondly, although most included studies attempt to control habitual diet, this is notoriously difficult (Dhurandhar et al., 2015) and the potential for dietary changes to influence study outcomes must, therefore, be recognised. Additionally, our subgroup analyses investigating the influence of weight loss on IHTG were performed with studies categorised according to statistical, rather than clinical, significance. This has the potential to exclude studies from the weight loss group that demonstrate physiologically relevant, but not statistically significant, weight loss. Notably, however, this is unlikely in our analyses because, in the ‘no weight loss’ studies, the largest mean relative reduction in body weight after exercise training was 1.2%. Furthermore, it is assumed that weight loss resulting from exercise training is primarily reflective of a reduction in fat mass but some exercise regimens may promote the synthesis of skeletal muscle; attenuating any reduction in total body weight. It should also be noted that while a reduction in IHTG may be indicative of improved metabolic health, these may be mediated by a reduction
in hepatic lipid intermediates rather than IHTG *per se* (Samuel *et al.*, 2004; Kumashiro *et al.*, 2011; Shulman, 2014). Finally, the limited number of studies investigating hepatic insulin sensitivity means that our findings should be taken with caution. Large RCTs are required to further investigate the effects of exercise training on hepatic insulin sensitivity, particularly in individuals with NAFLD and T2DM.

In conclusion, this systematic review and meta-analysis has shown that exercise training reduces IHTG in individuals with NAFLD and, whilst benefits can be realised in the absence of weight loss, reductions in IHTG are proportionally related to the magnitude of weight loss induced. Furthermore, exercise training may improve basal hepatic insulin sensitivity in individuals that are overweight or obese, which may have beneficial implications for the management of NAFLD and T2DM.
CHAPTER 8

GENERAL DISCUSSION
8.1 Principal findings and chapter overview

Collectively, the body of work presented in this thesis had three primary aims:

1. To examine the effects of acute exercise on several circulating hepatokines, and their associations with anthropometric and circulating clinical biomarkers in individuals of different weight status and glycaemic control.
2. To test the feasibility and efficacy of SIT as a novel intervention for patients with NAFLD, particularly exploring its effects on IHTG and tissue-specific (hepatic and peripheral) insulin sensitivity.
3. To collate the existing literature and summarise the effects of exercise training on IHTG and hepatic insulin sensitivity, exploring the mediating influence of weight loss.

The principal findings of this thesis are that:

1. In volunteers free from chronic metabolic disease and with normal glucose regulation, circulating FGF21 and LECT2 are higher in individuals with overweight or obesity compared to normal weight healthy controls (Chapter 4).
2. In all these individuals, a single bout of CME elicits a transient increase in circulating FGF21 and follistatin (Chapter 4), but whilst the follistatin response is maintained in individuals with dysglycaemia, the response of FGF21 is abolished (Chapter 5).
3. In a controlled, supervised environment, SIT is feasible for individuals with NAFLD and reduces IHTG without significant reduction in body weight. However, hepatic insulin sensitivity is unaffected by SIT and the response of peripheral insulin sensitivity is highly variable (Chapter 6).
4. Whilst exercise training has the potential to reduce IHTG in the absence of weight loss, the greatest benefits are apparent when training is associated with significant reductions in body weight (Chapter 7).
5. However, there is limited evidence examining the effects of exercise training on hepatic insulin sensitivity. The effects in the insulin-stimulated state (%EGPsupp) are particularly unclear, but exercise training may improve basal hepatic insulin sensitivity, as assessed using HISI (Chapter 7).

This chapter discusses these findings collectively and, where appropriate, their impact on clinical practice. Some additional analyses are also presented, pooling hepatokine data from Chapters 4, 5 and 6, before potential directions for future investigations are highlighted.
8.2 Intrahepatic triglyceride

The studies in Chapters 6 and 7 of this thesis demonstrate that supervised exercise training alone has the capacity to reduce IHTG in individuals with NAFLD. Importantly, whilst this may occur in the absence of significant weight loss, the magnitude of reduction in IHTG increases in direct proportion with the magnitude of weight loss elicited. It is important to note, however, that the reduction in IHTG with exercise training is modest, even when weight loss occurs.

The systematic review and meta-analysis presented in Chapter 7 collated studies examining the effects of exercise training on IHTG in patients with NAFLD, restricting eligible studies to those using $^1$H-MRS. This restrictive inclusion criteria was a strength of the study in Chapter 7 because $^1$H-MRS has much greater precision than alternative methods of assessment, such as US or CT, to assess small changes in IHTG. Furthermore, the use of a single common technique allowed estimates of the pooled effect to be presented in absolute units (% change in IHTG), rather than a standardised effect size. Of the studies identified in Chapter 7, the greatest reduction with exercise training was reported by Cuthbertson and colleagues, where 16 weeks of aerobic exercise training elicited a median absolute reduction in IHTG of 9.3% (Cuthbertson et al., 2016). However, when data from all eligible studies were pooled (total 373 patients with NAFLD), the mean reduction in IHTG over 1 to 24 weeks of exercise training was approximately two-thirds lower (3.3%). This pooled effect was increased in a subgroup analysis of studies reporting associated weight loss, but remained less than 5%. Moreover, the largest (n = 220) and longest study identified in Chapter 7 randomised individuals to one of two 12-month aerobic exercise interventions or a standard-care control (6-month data were used for quantitative data pooling; see Appendix X for justification) (Zhang et al., 2016). This study reported approximately 6.5 to 7% absolute reduction in IHTG at 12 months in both exercise groups (Zhang et al., 2016).

The pooled mean baseline IHTG in Chapter 7 was approximately 16%, which was similar to that reported in the studies by Cuthbertson et al (17%) and Zhang et al (19%) (Cuthbertson et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016). Consequently, the magnitude of reduction in IHTG with exercise training alone (as outlined above) would be insufficient to bring the typical NAFLD patient below diagnostic criteria (5.56%) (Marchesini et al., 2016; Chalasani et al., 2018), at least within 12 months of training. Furthermore, many of the studies identified in Chapter 7 recruited individuals with relatively low disease severity and IHTG may be even higher in individuals...
with advanced NAFLD. Accordingly, only one study in Chapter 7 reported a mean IHTG post-training below 5.56% (Cassidy et al., 2016). The baseline IHTG of individuals in this study was comparatively lower (6.9%) than many of the other eligible studies in Chapter 7. Furthermore, in Chapter 6 of this thesis, only two of the nine participants reduced IHTG below 5.56% after six weeks of SIT, and these individuals had pre-intervention IHTG of 5.8% and 8.6%, respectively, which was much lower than the group mean of 14.4%. These data suggest that the independent effect of exercise training alone on IHTG is modest and unlikely to be sufficient to bring the majority of patients with NAFLD below clinical diagnostic criteria.

The modest effect of exercise training on IHTG could be explained, at least in part, by the fact that the weight loss associated with exercise training alone is also low. For example, in the studies by Cuthbertson et al. and Zhang et al., the mean relative reduction in body weight from pre- to post-training was 2.5% and 4%, respectively (Cuthbertson et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016). In contrast, dietary energy restriction has the capacity to impart a much greater influence on daily energy balance and is thus associated with much greater reductions in body weight (Ross, Freeman and Janssen, 2000). Accordingly, dietary energy restriction in patients with NAFLD elicits much greater reductions in IHTG than that of exercise training (Petersen et al., 2005; Villareal et al., 2011; Hickman et al., 2013; Washburn et al., 2014). For example, in patients with NASH, approximately 10% weight loss through dietary energy restriction reduces the number of hepatocytes affected by steatosis, determined by histological assessment of liver biopsy tissue, (from 73% to 23%; P = 0.04; n = 5) and this reduction is much greater than that of a matched group undergoing resistance exercise training without weight loss (71% to 54%, P = 0.12; n = 9) (Hickman et al., 2013). Furthermore, in patients with T2DM (mean baseline IHTG of 12%), daily energy restriction (total energy intake 1200 kcal per day) elicits 8% weight loss in just seven weeks and this is associated with an approximate 10% absolute reduction in IHTG (Petersen et al., 2005).

Importantly, in Chapter 7, meta-regression analyses suggest that each 1% relative reduction in body weight through exercise training alone is associated with approximately 1% absolute reduction in IHTG. This relationship is similar to that shown previously with dietary restriction-induced weight loss (Petersen et al., 2005; Browning et al., 2011; Wong et al., 2013; Patel et al., 2015). Therefore, whilst the absolute independent effect of exercise training on IHTG may be modest, exercise-associated weight loss may contribute towards substantial reductions in IHTG, when combined with dietary energy restriction. The role of exercise type in modulating reductions in IHTG is discussed in more detail below (see Section 8.5).
8.3 **Effects of exercise training beyond IHTG**

Given the dominance of energy restriction compared to exercise training in its potential to mediate energy balance and reduce IHTG, it is understandable that some individuals may consider the promotion of structured exercise as a lesser priority in patients with NAFLD. However, whilst weight loss remains a core component in the management of NAFLD (and many other chronic metabolic diseases), the importance of exercise should not be readily dismissed. Firstly, dietary approaches may not be tolerated or sustained by all individuals so, whilst less than optimal, exercise training may constitute the primary treatment approach for these patients (alongside pharmacological treatment of other associated co-morbidities). Secondly, exercise has several important benefits beyond its impact on body weight and IHTG, many of which are clinically relevant for patients with NAFLD (Garber *et al.*, 2011; Fiuza-Luces *et al.*, 2013; Keating, George and Johnson, 2015).

Exercise training elicits several beneficial effects on cardiovascular risk factors, such as improved endothelial function, reduced blood pressure and a more favourable circulating lipid profile (Garber *et al.*, 2011; Pugh *et al.*, 2014; Zhang *et al.*, 2016). Whilst some of these benefits may not impact directly on the clinical severity of NAFLD *per se*, CVD remains the leading cause of death in patients with NAFLD, so reduction in cardiovascular risk is an important clinical outcome (Targher, Day and Bonora, 2010; Targher *et al.*, 2016). In Chapter 6, circulating HDL was improved after six weeks of SIT in patients with NAFLD. There were no other statistically significant changes in circulating cardiovascular risk factors, but this may have been a result of the small sample size recruited. Exercise training has also been shown to improve cardiorespiratory fitness and physical function (Garber *et al.*, 2011; Fiuza-Luces *et al.*, 2013; Keating, George and Johnson, 2015). Both of these benefits may mediate improvements in health-related quality of life (Gillison *et al.*, 2009; Awick *et al.*, 2015), whilst cardiorespiratory fitness remains a leading predictor of both cardiovascular and all-cause mortality (Blair *et al.*, 1989; Kodama *et al.*, 2009). This list is not exhaustive and an active lifestyle has several other benefits that are beyond the scope of discussion in this thesis. Notably, however, the potential psychosocial benefits of engaging in regular exercise and a physically active lifestyle should not be forgotten (Castellani *et al.*, 2003; Cadmus-Bertram *et al.*, 2014).

Exercise training also improves glycaemic control and much of this benefit may be mediated by improvements in insulin sensitivity (Garber *et al.*, 2011; Umpierre *et al.*, 2011; Fiuza-Luces *et al.*, 2013; Keating, George and Johnson, 2015). Insulin resistance is heavily implicated in
the pathogenesis of NAFLD, with consequential hyperglycaemia, hyperinsulinaemia and hyperlipidaemia all factors contributing to the development of hepatic steatosis (Fabbrini, Sullivan and Klein, 2010; Birkenfeld and Shulman, 2014; Brouwers et al., 2016). However, insulin resistance is equally implicated in several other obesity-associated metabolic co-morbidities, including T2DM, which are common in patients with NAFLD (Armstrong et al., 2014; Byrne and Targher, 2015). As such, improved insulin sensitivity and glycaemic control are clinically important outcomes for patients with NAFLD. The effects of exercise training on tissue-specific insulin sensitivity in patients with or at risk of NAFLD were explored in Chapters 6 and 7 of this thesis.

8.4 Insulin sensitivity

The study presented in Chapter 6 examined the effects of a 6-week SIT intervention on peripheral and hepatic insulin sensitivity in patients with NAFLD. Hepatic insulin sensitivity in both the basal and insulin-stimulated states was unaffected by SIT, but there was a tendency for improved peripheral insulin sensitivity. The latter is assumed to be primarily reflective of improved insulin sensitivity in skeletal muscle. Chapter 7 collated existing published literature exploring the effects of exercise training on HISI and %EGP_{supp} in patients with or at high risk of NAFLD. This included the data reported in Chapter 6. Despite limited evidence however, particularly with regards to the effects of training on %EGP_{supp}, data pooling suggested that exercise training may improve HISI.

In Chapter 6, the response of peripheral insulin sensitivity to training was highly variable and ranged from a 59% increase in one individual to an 18% reduction in another (mean 18% improvement). Six individuals demonstrated an improvement (minimum 11%), whilst the remaining two showed a decline (11% and 18%, respectively). Several reasons may be responsible for this variability, including genetic factors and baseline characteristics (Böhm et al., 2016). Furthermore, whilst (a) adherence to the training intervention was over 99%, (b) participants were instructed to make no changes to dietary habits and (c) no change in habitual physical activity was identified using hip-worn accelerometry, it cannot be discounted that variable responses may result from differences in participant motivation during training or compliance outside of the controlled environment. It should also be noted that the lack of response in some individuals may have been due to the short intervention duration and individuals showing no improvements after six weeks may have displayed an increase in peripheral insulin sensitivity had a longer intervention been utilised.
Improvements in peripheral insulin sensitivity will contribute to improved glucose tolerance and consequently lower postprandial hyperglycaemia and hyperinsulinaemia. In turn, lower circulating glucose and insulin may contribute to reductions in IHTG by reducing activation of ChREBP and SREBP-1c, respectively, thus lowering hepatic DNL (Yamashita et al., 2001; Ameer et al., 2014). Improved insulin sensitivity would also be of clinical benefit for many of the 22% of patients who have NAFLD and diabetes (particularly those with T2DM) (Younossi et al., 2016) and may also reduce the risk of T2DM incidence in those without (Balkau et al., 2010; Sung, Wild and Byrne, 2013; Mantovani et al., 2018).

As mentioned previously, very few studies ($n = 3$) have investigated the effect of exercise training on hepatic insulin sensitivity in the insulin-stimulated state. Furthermore, this evidence remains contradictory. One study reported an improvement in %EGP$_{supp}$ after six weeks of aerobic exercise training (Shojaee-Moradie et al., 2007). However, it is notable that many of these individuals did not have NAFLD (median IHTG approximately 4%). The remaining two studies showed no effect of aerobic exercise or SIT on %EGP$_{supp}$ (Cuthbertson et al., 2016; Sargeant et al., 2018; Chapter 6). The lack of studies exploring the effects of exercise on %EGP$_{supp}$ may be the result, at least in part, of the technical expertise required to perform dual-step hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamps with labelled glucose tracer, and the high financial cost. However, the results of the study in Chapter 6 and that of Cuthbertson et al (Cuthbertson et al., 2016) are in accordance with existing evidence demonstrating that %EGP$_{supp}$ may become substantially impaired at a very low level of IHTG; possibly as low as 1.5% (Bril, Barb, et al., 2017). As such, in patients with NAFLD, a large reduction in IHTG, likely in association with substantial weight loss (see above), may be required to elicit improvements in insulin-stimulated hepatic insulin sensitivity.

Whilst the number of studies investigating the effects of exercise training on HISI is also limited, there was sufficient data to perform a small meta-analysis. This analysis demonstrated that, when data were pooled, exercise training elicits approximately 13% improvement in basal hepatic insulin sensitivity. This was despite very few studies reporting a statistically significant benefit within individual manuscripts. Notably, the HISI index is made up of two components, EGP and circulating insulin, and improvements with exercise training may be realised by reductions in the latter. Very few studies reported a reduction in basal rates of EGP after exercise training. This might be expected, however, given that in most of the studies participants were not hyperglycaemic. As such, improvements in basal hepatic insulin sensitivity may not result in lower rates of EGP because, in many individuals, these were
already normal. However, the concentration of circulating insulin required to maintain these rates, and thus fasted euglycaemia, may be reduced. These findings are supported by previous literature demonstrating that, in contrast to the relationship with $\%\text{EGP}_{\text{supp}}$, the relationship between IHTG and HISI may be linear (Korenblat et al., 2008). Thus, any reduction in IHTG (such as the small reductions seen with exercise) may elicit improvements in basal hepatic insulin sensitivity.

8.5 Implementation of exercise training in the management of NAFLD

The findings of Chapters 6 and 7 demonstrate that exercise training has an important role in the management of NAFLD but its implementation alongside dietary energy restriction, with the aim of reducing total body weight, may be required to elicit the greatest benefits. Given that weight loss appears to be a critical modulator of change in IHTG, and dietary energy restriction has a greater capacity to induce large amounts of weight loss than exercise alone (Browning et al., 2011; Wong et al., 2013; Patel et al., 2015), exercise training should not be considered a complete replacement to energy restriction for the majority of individuals. However, this does not mean that exercise training should be readily dismissed. Whilst the absolute effects may be smaller in comparison to energy restriction, the evidence from Chapters 6 and 7 of this thesis suggests that exercise training may contribute to reductions in IHTG. Identifying the precise mechanisms underlying these benefits was beyond the scope of this thesis but existing evidence suggests that both weight-dependent and independent effects may play a role (Brouwers et al., 2016). It is also important to remember that exercise training provides a wide array of benefits to individuals with NAFLD beyond effects on IHTG (Garber et al., 2011). Some of these benefits, which include improved glycaemic control and other components of cardiovascular disease risk, are discussed in more detail below.

Whilst the data presented in this thesis are novel, the promotion of a combined lifestyle intervention approach is not. In fact, the findings of this thesis support current guidelines for the management of NAFLD from the European Associations for the study of Liver (EASL), Diabetes (EASD) and Obesity (EASO), and the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) (Marchesini et al., 2016; Chalasani et al., 2018). These guidelines endorse the promotion of structured exercise in conjunction with dietary energy restriction with the aim of reducing body weight by a minimum of 7-10%. Underpinning these guidelines is evidence that weight loss of at least 7% may be required to improve histological outcomes of NASH, in addition to reductions in IHTG (Promrat et al., 2010; Vilar-Gomez et al., 2015).
It is important to remember that, in similarity to pharmacological and surgical treatments, many forms of structured exercise exist and no ‘one size fits all’. Consequently, there is the need to develop a variety of sustainable, evidence-based exercise interventions in order to provide health care professionals with a range of safe, effective options when formulating a treatment plan for a given individual. Importantly, with the ever-increasing focus on personalised medicine and prominence of patient preferences at the centre of treatment plans, having multiple potential exercise interventions also gives patients the chance to explore several different approaches throughout the ongoing management of their chronic condition. Data from Chapter 7, demonstrating that greater reductions in IHTG are elicited with interventions of longer duration, support the need to identify effective, sustainable exercise interventions.

The systematic review presented in Chapter 7 identified that aerobic and resistance exercise training, and several forms of HIIT (as well as combinations of two or more), have all been examined in individuals with NAFLD. Whilst the subgroup analysis performed in Chapter 7 is limited by the number of available studies, aerobic, HIIT and combined exercise training were each found to effectively reduce IHTG. These studies also include data presented in Chapter 6, where individuals with NAFLD were compliant with a SIT intervention involving repeated maximal exercise. However, the results of Chapter 7, along with previous reviews (Orci et al., 2016), indicate that the greatest benefits on IHTG may be realised with aerobic exercise training and this may be mediated by a greater energy expenditure and subsequently greater potential for weight loss (Keating et al., 2012; Yumuk et al., 2015; Smart et al., 2016).

Other studies (which did not utilise 1H-MRS and therefore were not eligible for the review in Chapter 7) have shown that resistance exercise training may also reduce IHTG in patients with NAFLD (Bacchi et al., 2013; Zelber-Sagi et al., 2014). A recent review suggested that resistance exercise training may, in fact, be an important option for individuals with low cardiorespiratory fitness, as it may elicit a reduction in hepatic steatosis at a lower energy consumption (Hashida et al., 2017). However, evidence for the direct benefits of resistance exercise training on hepatic outcomes in NAFLD remain inconclusive (Slentz et al., 2011; Hickman et al., 2013; Keating, George and Johnson, 2015). As previously discussed, however, patients with NAFLD will gain clinical benefits from factors beyond simply IHTG and resistance exercise may elicit many of these (Garber et al., 2011; Fiuza-Luces et al., 2013). Furthermore, evidence suggests that approximately one-third of weight loss may be attributed to the loss of metabolically and functionally important lean tissue mass (Heymsfield et al., 2014; Weiss et al., 2017). Resistance exercise training may attenuate this loss of lean mass and
help achieve a more favourable composition of weight loss (Weiss et al., 2007; Villareal et al., 2017). Resistance exercise has also been shown to elicit improvements in insulin sensitivity and glycaemic control (Garber et al., 2011; Hallsworth et al., 2011; Um pierre et al., 2011; Strasser et al., 2013).

Collectively, the findings in Chapters 6 and 7 of this thesis demonstrate that, where possible, the greatest benefits for individuals with NAFLD may be realised when structured exercise training is combined with dietary energy restriction with the aim of reducing body weight by at least 7%. However, studies directly comparing dietary energy restriction alone with combined diet and exercise training on IHTG, insulin sensitivity and other outcomes of clinical relevance in NAFLD would be valuable. Aerobic exercise is strongly endorsed as part of a structured exercise intervention to contribute to weight loss and improve cardiorespiratory fitness, whilst a resistance exercise component is firmly advised to induce wider metabolic benefits, promote the preservation of lean mass and improve physical function. Importantly, however, treatment approaches should be configured around patient preferences, motivations and individual circumstances to encourage sustainable changes in lifestyle behaviours. Furthermore, clinically relevant outcomes in NAFLD beyond IHTG and insulin sensitivity should also be considered.

8.6 Hepatokines

As mentioned previously, IHTG and insulin resistance are highly associated and the magnitude of IHTG is strongly predictive of insulin resistance not only in the liver, but also in skeletal muscle and adipose tissues (Korenblat et al., 2008; Bril, Barb, et al., 2017). Insulin resistance is heavily implicated in the pathogenesis of both NAFLD and T2DM and it is therefore unsurprising that these conditions commonly co-exist (Williamson et al., 2011; Portillo Sanchez et al., 2015; Cusi et al., 2017). Individuals with both NAFLD and T2DM also have poorer prognosis (Vernon, Baranova and Younossi, 2011; Loomba et al., 2012; Lomonaco et al., 2016). In experimental rodent models, however, hepatic steatosis precedes the development of systemic insulin resistance during periods of high-fat overfeeding (Kraegen et al., 1991; Davis et al., 2010; Turner et al., 2013) and in humans the presence of NAFLD is an independent predictor of T2DM incidence (Balkau et al., 2010; Armstrong et al., 2014; Mantovani et al., 2018). This evidence suggests that the relationships between NAFLD and glucose regulation in peripheral tissues may not be simply associational, but changes in liver metabolism with the development of hepatic steatosis may act to modulate metabolic processes in the periphery.
(Meex and Watt, 2017). Consequently, there is increasing interest into the potential mechanisms that may mediate cross-talk between the liver and peripheral tissues with the secretion of hepatokines proposed as one such mechanism (Stefan and Häring, 2013; Takamura, Misu and Kaneko, 2016; Meex and Watt, 2017).

Many hepatokines exist (Meex et al., 2015), but five (FGF21, follistatin, fetuin-A, LECT2 and SeP) have been investigated in this thesis due to their reported influence on several aspects of glycaemic control (Misu et al., 2010; Camporez et al., 2013; Malin, Mulya, et al., 2013; Lan et al., 2014; Hansen, Rutti, et al., 2016). Specifically, FGF21 and follistatin have positive actions by augmenting insulin signalling, increasing pancreatic β-cell proliferation or promoting the synthesis of skeletal muscle (Gilson et al., 2009; Yaden et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2015; Hansen, Rutti, et al., 2016), whilst fetuin-A, LECT2 and SeP have deleterious effects on insulin signalling (Auberger et al., 1989; Srinivas et al., 1993; Misu et al., 2012; Lan et al., 2014).

Observational evidence in humans suggests that fasted concentrations of each of these hepatokines correlate with markers of insulin resistance, whilst all except follistatin correlate with adiposity (Chavez et al., 2009; Brix et al., 2010; Ishibashi et al., 2010; Misu et al., 2010; Yang, Hwang, et al., 2011; Mashili et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2012; Ismail et al., 2012; Ou, Yang, et al., 2012; Hansen et al., 2013; Okumura et al., 2013; Lan et al., 2014). Data presented in Chapter 4 of this thesis support these findings in part, with circulating concentrations of FGF21 and LECT2 reportedly higher in individuals with overweight or obesity (but normal glycaemic control), compared to normoglycaemic normal weight individuals. Furthermore, circulating FGF21 and LECT2 were positively correlated with markers of adiposity and insulin resistance, and negatively with cardiorespiratory fitness (VO2 peak).

The studies presented in this thesis recruited individuals with a range of adiposity and glycaemic control. In addition to the normal weight, overweight and obese normoglycaemic individuals recruited in Chapter 4, the studies in Chapters 5 and 6 recruited overweight or obese individuals with dysglycaemia (HbA1c 5.7 to 6.5%) and NAFLD (who were also moderately insulin resistant), respectively. By pooling data from each of these chapters, there is the opportunity to explore further the relationships between circulating hepatokine concentrations and markers of adiposity or insulin resistance in a more diverse population than that of any single chapter. Details of pooled data analysis can be found in Appendix XII.
The results of these pooled analyses support previous evidence, including that from Chapter 4, demonstrating that circulating concentrations of FGF21, follistatin and fetuin-A are elevated in association with increased adiposity and/or insulin resistance, as well as other markers of chronic metabolic disease. Interestingly, all of these hepatokines are upregulated in states of excess lipid storage or impaired glycaemic control. Given that FGF21 and follistatin have been shown to exert beneficial effects on glucose and lipid metabolism (Camporez et al., 2013; Hansen, Rutti, et al., 2016), these increased concentrations may represent adaptive responses in attempts to attenuate the decline in metabolic regulation. Conversely, fetuin-A has been shown to impair insulin signalling and may, therefore, be implicated in disease pathogenesis.

Pooled analyses also suggest that, despite correlating with indices of insulin resistance, fasted circulating concentrations of FGF21 may be influenced more by adiposity than glycaemic control. No differences were identified between overweight/obese individuals with normoglycaemia, dysglycaemia or NAFLD, but each of these groups had higher fasted plasma FGF21 than the normal weight individuals. This finding is supported by previous evidence demonstrating that, whilst FGF21 is elevated in individuals with T2DM, this may be the result of increased adiposity rather than impaired glycaemic control per se (Chavez et al., 2009; Mraz et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2011; Mashili et al., 2011). In contrast, follistatin and fetuin-A may only be elevated once a degree of metabolic dysregulation occurs as no differences were found between groups of normoglycaemic individuals irrespective of weight status. No previous study has identified independent relationships between markers of adiposity and circulating follistatin or fetuin-A. In fact, a study by Obuchi and colleagues found no difference in BMI between quartiles of fetuin-A in over 650 individuals (Obuchi et al., 2014).

The primary aim of the studies in Chapters 4 and 5 of this thesis was to explore the effects of acute exercise on circulating hepatokine concentrations. These studies demonstrated that circulating concentrations of FGF21 and follistatin (but not LECT2, fetuin-A or SeP) are transiently elevated by a single bout of CME in both normal and overweight/obese individuals with normal glycaemic control (Chapter 4). However, only the follistatin response was maintained in individuals with dysglycaemia (Chapter 5), and a single bout of LV-HIIT did not affect circulating concentrations of any hepatokine measured in this thesis. Evidence suggests that the exercise response of FGF21 and follistatin to exercise may be mediated by changes in the glucagon to insulin ratio (Hansen et al., 2015; Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016; Hansen, Rutti, et al., 2016) and this is supported by data in Chapter 4. This mechanism may also explain differential responses between different groups of individuals, such as those with normal or
impaired glycaemic control. Unfortunately, glucagon was not measured in Chapter 5 and, therefore, the glucagon to insulin ratio could not be determined. Other mechanisms have also been implicated in the regulation of hepatokines, such as hepatic AMPK (Okumura et al., 2013; Lan et al., 2014) and circulating NEFA (Badman et al., 2007). In Chapter 4, circulating NEFA in the fasted state was higher in overweight/obese individuals, in accordance with higher FGF21 and LECT2. The response of circulating NEFA to acute exercise also mirrored that of FGF21. However, changes in systemic venous blood does not imply causality and more mechanistic studies containing the collection of hepatic and peripheral tissue samples and with isolation of the splanchnic circulation are required to directly investigate hepatokine regulation in humans.

Circulating concentrations of FGF21, follistatin, fetuin-A and LECT2 were also analysed before and after individuals with NAFLD completed a 6-week SIT intervention, but no changes were identified (Chapter 6). These findings, as well as the null findings in Chapters 4 and 5, may have been the results of a lack of statistical power (discussed in more detail below). However, it should also be noted that this is a novel area of research, particularly with regards to the effects of acute and/or chronic exercise on fetuin-A, LECT2 and SeP. No studies have previously investigated the effects of acute exercise on fetuin-A or SeP, whilst the single study investigating LECT2 was conducted in mice and utilised a particularly large volume of exercise (three hours of forced treadmill running) (Lan et al., 2014). It could be, therefore, that hepatokine regulation differs between humans and rodent models or that the single bouts of exercise employed in Chapters 4 and 5 were simply insufficient to elicit a response.

Collectively, the data presented in this thesis support suggestions that circulating hepatokines may be altered with the development of chronic metabolic diseases such as NAFLD and T2DM, which are both associated with increased adiposity and an insulin-resistant state (Stefan and Häring, 2013; Takamura, Misu and Kaneko, 2016; Meex and Watt, 2017). Furthermore, some of these hepatokines (FGF21 and follistatin) may be modulated transiently by perturbations in energy metabolism such as a single bout of exercise. These hepatokines may, therefore, have a role in metabolic regulation, being secreted from the liver as a signal of metabolic stress (Hansen and Plomgaard, 2016). Given that FGF21 and follistatin also elicit favourable metabolic effects in peripheral tissues, repeated increases in these hepatokines with regular exercise may be implicated in mediating the metabolic benefits of an active lifestyle. However, further studies exploring the associations between hepatokines and chronic metabolic disease in clinical populations are required. Specifically, longitudinal studies examining changes in
circulating hepatokines over time with the development (or reversal) of metabolic dysfunction would be of interest. Furthermore, of specific relevance to the work conducted in this thesis, it would be interesting to explore whether the acute hepatokine response to a single bout of exercise changes with repeated bouts over an extended period (i.e. exercise training), and whether any changes in this response, or in fasted concentrations, are associated with improvements in metabolic parameters such as IHTG or insulin sensitivity.

It is important to acknowledge some limitations of the studies contained in this thesis. Firstly, the cross-sectional analyses presented in this thesis are exploratory and, as such, inferences remain speculative. Importantly, whilst the inclusion criteria for each experimental chapter of this thesis were varied in terms of weight status and glycaemic control, the pooled sample size remains small ($n = 43$) and most of these individuals were men (86%; $n = 37$). Moreover, all individuals recruited in this thesis were adults of white European ethnicity. Therefore, null findings may be the result of insufficient statistical power and these findings may not be generalizable to women, adolescents or children and different ethnic groups. Furthermore, the cross-sectional and correlational analyses presented in Chapter 4 and this General Discussion cannot be used to assess causality. Simple bivariate correlations were performed and many of the independent variables explored are strongly associated with one another. These analyses do not account for this shared variance and therefore the independent effects of the examined variables cannot be determined. Secondly, all hepatokine analyses conducted throughout this thesis were performed on plasma isolated from systemic venous blood. Cannulation of an antecubital vein is not optimal to assess changes in hepatokine secretion from the liver. Collection of systemic blood has been previously shown to be suitable to assess changes in FGF21 and follistatin after acute exercise (Hansen et al., 2011; Hansen, Pedersen, et al., 2016). However, the potential for changes in other circulating hepatokines (fetuin-A, LECT2 and SeP) to have been missed due to the location or timing of blood sampling cannot be dismissed. Finally, the hepatokine analyses presented may be limited by statistical power given that none of the studies reported in this thesis were formally powered to assess hepatokine outcomes. This may be particularly relevant for the data presented in Chapter 6. At the time of designing the studies in this thesis, there was very limited data available which investigated changes in circulating hepatokines with exercise. However, the data collected in this thesis may be used to inform future power calculations for larger studies in which changes in circulating hepatokines constitute the primary outcome.
Another interesting topic for discussion is that of adjusting for changes in plasma volume. In Chapter 4 of this thesis, the concentrations of all of our circulating biomarker outcomes (including hepatokines) were adjusted for changes in plasma volume; this was also the intention in Chapter 5 but large amounts of missing data for haematocrit and haemoglobin prevented this approach. The rationale for plasma volume adjustment during exercise studies comes from an observed haemoconcentration with exercise (Kargotich et al., 1998). As a result, changes in circulating concentrations of any given biomarker of interest may be due to changes in the plasma volume, rather than any change in biomarker production and secretion or clearance. This issue is, however, a widely debated one, with no definitive consensus currently apparent.

One reasonable argument underlying the rationale not to adjust circulating biomarker concentrations, is that many homeostatic mechanisms appear to be based on the regulation of plasma biomarker concentration per se, regardless of any reason for change. For example, in individuals with normal glycaemic control, circulating glucose is regulated to a target concentration of approximately 4.5 mmol·L\(^{-1}\).

It is interesting to note that repeating statistical analyses using unadjusted data from the study in Chapter 4 has no impact on the results for FGF21, follistatin or fetuin-A. However, when using unadjusted data, significant interactions between trial and time are apparent for LECT2 \((P = 0.002)\) and SeP \((P < 0.001)\). In post-hoc tests LECT2 is significantly higher in the exercise trial at 1, 1.5 and 4 h (all \(P \leq 0.03\)), whilst SeP is significantly greater in the exercise trial at 1 h \((P < 0.001)\) (Figure 8.1). Given that LECT2 and SeP are each associated with negative metabolic effects (Lan et al., 2014; Misu et al., 2010), an increase in these hepatokines after exercise is in contrast to that hypothesised in Chapter 4, and the physiological relevance or impact of these increased concentrations is currently unclear. The discrepancies between analyses performed on adjusted or unadjusted data do, however, highlight the important nature of this issue and the decision of which approach to take. In reality, the best approach may differ depending on any given biomarker of interest. When making this decision in future studies, researchers should consult the available literature to explore whether one approach or the other is more suitable in any given instance. If neither are, they may wish to present both adjusted and unadjusted data, or should at least be aware of the implications of the approach that they take.
Figure 8.1 Circulating concentrations of LECT2 and SeP during control and exercise trials in the combined population of Chapter 4, using data adjusted (a & c) or unadjusted (b & d) for changes in plasma volume. Meals were provided at 1.5 and 4 h. Exercise was performed between 0 and 1 h in the exercise trial only. Data presented as mean ± SEM. * indicates significant difference from control trial at the same time point (all $P \leq 0.03$).
8.7  Future investigations

Whilst accepting their limitations, the findings in Chapters 4 and 5 provide sufficient rationale to explore the interaction between exercise (acute and chronic) and circulating hepatokines further. Investigating responses in different populations is warranted and it would be interesting to explore the relationship between changes in circulating hepatokines and changes in IHTG in patients with NAFLD. Furthermore, in addition to the long-term modulation of circulating hepatokines with the development or treatment of chronic metabolic disease, there is growing interest into their acute and short-term (days to weeks) regulation. Extending the findings presented in Chapter 4, the effect of exercise intensity on acute hepatokine responses is currently being explored within our research group (Willis et al., 2018), as are the effects of other metabolic perturbations, such as short periods (1-7 d) of high-fat overfeeding (an abstract containing preliminary data has been submitted to BASES Conference 2018).

Whilst the findings of Chapters 6 and 7 are interesting, analyses are limited to the effects of exercise training on IHTG and hepatic or peripheral insulin sensitivity. The impact of exercise on other clinical outcomes relevant to the development and management of NAFLD (as well as associated metabolic co-morbidities) would also be welcomed. Specifically, studies exploring the effects of exercise training on hepatic inflammation and fibrosis would be particularly valuable (Keating and Adams, 2016), as the development of these hepatic complications (i.e. advanced NAFLD) is associated with poorer clinical prognosis (Ekstedt et al., 2006; Söderberg et al., 2010; D. Kim et al., 2013; Younossi et al., 2016). It is also possible to quantify indices of hepatic lipid quality (saturated vs unsaturated) using magnetic resonance procedures, which may be linked to a greater likelihood of developing hepatic insulin resistance (Johnson et al., 2008). Furthermore, adipose tissue insulin sensitivity is heavily implicated in NAFLD, yet few studies have investigated the effects of exercise training on this outcome (Fabbrini et al., 2008; Korenblat et al., 2008; Lomonaco et al., 2012; Bril, Barb, et al., 2017).

Multiple studies, including that presented in Chapter 6, have demonstrated that individuals with NAFLD are able to complete various HIIT protocols in a controlled laboratory environment and these are associated with significant reductions in IHTG. This is particularly encouraging given that high-intensity exercise may be important in protecting individuals from the advanced NAFLD (Kistler et al., 2011; Cho et al., 2015). However, the development of high-intensity exercise interventions that are implementable in a clinical setting is essential if HIIT is to have any long-term clinical application in NAFLD.
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Re-issue Further Information Favourable Opinion, 22 October 2013

Health Research Authority
NRES Committee East Midlands - Nottingham 1
The Old Chapel
Royal Standard Place
Nottingham
NG1 6FS

07 October 2013

Professor Melanie Davies
Leicester Diabetes Centre
Leicester General Hospital
Leicester
LE5 4PW

Dear Professor Davies,

| Study title: | The acute effects of exercise on appetite regulatory hormones, appetite perceptions and ad libitum energy intake in lean vs. obese men and women. |
| REC reference: | 13/EM/0290 |
| Protocol number: | 1 |
| IRAS project ID: | 124117 |

Thank you for your letter of 26 September 2013, responding to the Committee’s request for further information on the above research and submitting revised documentation.

The further information has been considered on behalf of the Committee by the Chair.

We plan to publish your research summary wording for the above study on the NRES website, together with your contact details, unless you expressly withhold permission to do so. Publication will be no earlier than three months from the date of this favourable opinion letter. Should you wish to provide a substitute contact point, require further information, or wish to withhold permission to publish, please contact the REC Assistant Rebecca Morledge, NRESCommittee.EastMidlands-Nottingham1@nhs.net.

Confirmation of ethical opinion

On behalf of the Committee, I am pleased to confirm a favourable ethical opinion for the above research on the basis described in the application form, protocol and supporting documentation as revised, subject to the conditions specified below.
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Ethical review of research sites

NHS sites

The favourable opinion applies to all NHS sites taking part in the study, subject to management permission being obtained from the NHS/HSC R&D office prior to the start of the study (see "Conditions of the favourable opinion" below).

Non-NHS sites

Conditions of the favourable opinion

The favourable opinion is subject to the following conditions being met prior to the start of the study.

Management permission or approval must be obtained from each host organisation prior to the start of the study at the site concerned.

Management permission ("R&D approval") should be sought from all NHS organisations involved in the study in accordance with NHS research governance arrangements.

Guidance on applying for NHS permission for research is available in the Integrated Research Application System or at http://www.rdforum.nhs.uk.

Where a NHS organisation’s role in the study is limited to identifying and referring potential participants to research sites ("participant identification centre"), guidance should be sought from the R&D office on the information it requires to give permission for this activity.

For non-NHS sites, site management permission should be obtained in accordance with the procedures of the relevant host organisation.

Sponsors are not required to notify the Committee of approvals from host organisations

Registration of Clinical Trials

All clinical trials (defined as the first four categories on the IRAS filter page) must be registered on a publically accessible database within 6 weeks of recruitment of the first participant (for medical device studies, within the timeline determined by the current registration and publication trees).

There is no requirement to separately notify the REC but you should do so at the earliest opportunity e.g when submitting an amendment. We will audit the registration details as part of the annual progress reporting process.

To ensure transparency in research, we strongly recommend that all research is registered but for non clinical trials this is not currently mandatory.

If a sponsor wishes to contest the need for registration they should contact Catherine Biewett (catherinebiewett@nhs.net), the HRA does not, however, expect exceptions to be made. Guidance on where to register is provided within IRAS.
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It is the responsibility of the sponsor to ensure that all the conditions are complied with before the start of the study or its initiation at a particular site (as applicable).

Approved documents

The final list of documents reviewed and approved by the Committee is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advertisement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28 February 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence of insurance or indemnity</td>
<td></td>
<td>15 May 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigator CV</td>
<td>Melanie Jane Davies</td>
<td>26 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigator CV</td>
<td>Jessica Anne Douglas</td>
<td>13 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter from Statistician</td>
<td></td>
<td>24 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter of invitation to participant</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Preliminary Appointment Letter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Familiarisation Appointment Letter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Exercise Trial Appointment Letter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Resting Trial Appointment Letter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Letter from funder</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Joint Office Sponsor Peer Review Form</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12 February 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Food Record Diary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Reply Slip</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27 March 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: GP Results Letter</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26 September 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Participant Results Letter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26 September 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant Consent Form</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26 September 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant Information Sheet</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26 September 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protocol</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questionnaire: INTAKE IPAQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questionnaire: INTAKE PARQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28 February 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questionnaire: Three Factor Eating Questionnaire</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28 February 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questionnaire: Health Screen</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13 June 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questionnaire: Food Preference</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28 February 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC application</td>
<td>124117/472008/1/239</td>
<td>02 July 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response to Request for Further Information</td>
<td></td>
<td>26 September 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statement of compliance

The Committee is constituted in accordance with the Governance Arrangements for Research Ethics Committees and complies fully with the Standard Operating Procedures for Research Ethics Committees in the UK.
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After ethical review

Reporting requirements

The attached document “After ethical review – guidance for researchers” gives detailed guidance on reporting requirements for studies with a favourable opinion, including:

- Notifying substantial amendments
- Adding new sites and investigators
- Notification of serious breaches of the protocol
- Progress and safety reports
- Notifying the end of the study

The NRES website also provides guidance on these topics, which is updated in the light of changes in reporting requirements or procedures.

Feedback

You are invited to give your view of the service that you have received from the National Research Ethics Service and the application procedure. If you wish to make your views known please use the feedback form available on the website.

Further information is available at National Research Ethics Service website > After Review

13/EM/0290 Please quote this number on all correspondence

We are pleased to welcome researchers and R & D staff at our NRES committee members’ training days – see details at http://www.hra.nhs.uk/hra-training/

With the Committee’s best wishes for the success of this project.

Yours sincerely,

Mr Robert Johnson
Chair

Email:NRESCommittee.EastMidlands-Nottingham1@nhs.net

Enclosures: “After ethical review – guidance for researchers”

Copy to: Ms Carolyn Maloney, University Hospitals Leicester NHS Trust
James King
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Professor Melanie Davies
Leicester Diabetes Centre
Leicester General Hospital
Leicester
LE5 4PW

Dear Professor Melanie Davies

Ref: UHL 124117
Title: The acute effects of exercise on appetite regulatory hormones, appetite perceptions and ad libitum energy intake in lean vs. obese men and women.
Project Status: Approved
End Date: 07/12/2015

I am pleased to confirm that with effect from the date of this letter, the above study has Trust Research & Development permission to commence at University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust. The research must be conducted in line with the Protocol and fulfill any contractual obligations agreed with the Sponsor. If you identify any issues during the course of your research that are likely to affect these obligations you must contact the R&D Office.

In order for the UHL Trust to comply with targets set by the Department of Health through the ‘Plan for Growth’, there is an expectation that the first patient will be recruited within 30 days of the date of this letter. If there is likely to be a problem achieving this target, please contact the office as soon as possible. You will be asked to provide the date of the first patient recruited in due course. In addition, the Title, REC Reference number, local target recruitment and actual recruitment for this study will be published on a quarterly basis on the UHL Trust external website.

All documents received by this office have been reviewed and form part of the approval. The documents received and approved are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Title</th>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>REC Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REC favourable opinion letter</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>07.10.13</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Advertisement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.02.13</td>
<td>07.10.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Evidence of insurance or indemnity</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>15.05.13</td>
<td>07.10.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Investigator CV</td>
<td>MJ Davies</td>
<td>26.06.13</td>
<td>07.10.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Investigator CV</td>
<td>JA Douglas</td>
<td>13.06.13</td>
<td>07.10.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Letter from Statistician</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>24.06.13</td>
<td>07.10.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Letter of invitation to participant</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.06.13</td>
<td>07.10.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Preliminary appointment letter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.06.13</td>
<td>07.10.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Familiarisation appointment letter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.06.13</td>
<td>07.10.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Exercise Trial appointment letter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.06.13</td>
<td>07.10.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Version 11, 16/10/2012
Please be aware that any changes to these documents after approval may constitute an amendment. The process of approval for amendments should be followed. Failure to do so may invalidate the approval of the study at this trust.

Undertaking research in the NHS comes with a range of regulatory responsibilities. Please ensure that you and your research team are familiar with, and understand the roles and responsibilities both collectively and individually.

Documents listing the roles and responsibilities for all individuals involved in research can be found on the R&D pages of the Public Website. It is important that you familiarise yourself with the Standard Operating Procedures, Policies and all other relevant documents which can be located by visiting www.leicestershospitals.nhs.uk/aboutus/education-and-research

The R&D Office is keen to support and facilitate research where ever possible. If you have any questions regarding this or other research you wish to undertake in the Trust, please contact this office. Our contact details are provided on the attached sheet.

This study has been reviewed and processed by the Leicestershire, Northamptonshire & Rutland Comprehensive Local Research Network (LNR CLRN) using the Coordinated System for gaining Trust Permission (CSP). If you require any further information on the approval of this study please contact the LNR CLRN office on 0116 258 6185 making reference to the CSP number which is located at the top of this letter.

We wish you every success with your research.

Yours sincerely,

Carolyn Maloney
R&D Manager

Encs: .R&D Office Contact information

Version 11, 16/10/2012
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26th March 2014

Professor Melanie Davies
University of Leicester
Leicester Diabetes Centre
Leicester General Hospital

Dear Professor Davies,

Study: 0388
The acute effects of exercise on appetite regulatory hormones, appetite perceptions and ad libitum energy intake in lean vs. obese men and women.
End of Study Date: 07/12/2015

I am pleased to advise you that following confirmation of a Favourable Opinion from an Ethics Committee, NHS Trust R&D Approval and where relevant regulatory authority agreements have been received, the University are able to confirm sponsorship for the above research.

Please note you are required to notify the Sponsor and provide copies of:

- Changes in personnel to the Study
- Changes to the end date
- All substantial amendments and provisional and favourable opinions
- All minor amendments
- All serious adverse events (SAEs) and SUSARS
- Annual progress reports
- Annual MHRA (DSUR) safety reports (if applicable)
- End of study declaration form
- Notifications of significant breaches of Good Clinical Practices (GCP) or Protocol

Please copy the Sponsor into all correspondence and emails by using uolsponsor@le.ac.uk.

I would like to wish you well with your study and if you require further information or guidance please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely,

Mrs Wendy Gamble
Research Governance Manager
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Health Research Authority  
NRES Committee East Midlands - Nottingham 1  
Royal Standard Place  
Nottingham  
NG1 6FS  
Telephone: 0115 8839697

28 July 2015

Professor Melanie Davies  
Leicester Diabetes Centre  
Leicester General Hospital  
Leicester  
LE5 4PW

Dear Professor Davies,

| Study title: | The effect of a single bout of high-intensity interval training on glucose responses in white European and south Asian patients at risk of type 2 diabetes. |
| REC reference: | 15/EM/0259 |
| Protocol number: | UNOLE 0521 |
| IRAS project ID: | 167328 |

Thank you for your letter of 15th July 2015, responding to the Committee's request for further information on the above research and submitting revised documentation.

The further information has been considered on behalf of the Committee by the Chair.

We plan to publish your research summary wording for the above study on the HRA website, together with your contact details. Publication will be no earlier than three months from the date of this favourable opinion letter. The expectation is that this information will be published for all studies that receive an ethical opinion but should you wish to provide a substitute contact point, wish to make a request to defer, or require further information, please contact the REC Manager, Ms Rachel Nelson, at NRESCommittee.EastMidlands-Nottingham1@nhs.net. Under very limited circumstances (e.g. for student research which has received an unfavourable opinion), it may be possible to grant an exemption to the publication of the study.

Confirmation of ethical opinion

On behalf of the Committee, I am pleased to confirm a favourable ethical opinion for the above research on the basis described in the application form, protocol and supporting documentation as revised, subject to the conditions specified below.

Conditions of the favourable opinion

The favourable opinion is subject to the following conditions being met prior to the start of the
Management permission or approval must be obtained from each host organisation prior to the start of the study at the site concerned.

Management permission ("R&D approval") should be sought from all NHS organisations involved in the study in accordance with NHS research governance arrangements.

Guidance on applying for NHS permission for research is available in the Integrated Research Application System or at http://www.rdforum.nhs.uk.

Where a NHS organisation’s role in the study is limited to identifying and referring potential participants to research sites ("participant identification centre"), guidance should be sought from the R&D office on the information it requires to give permission for this activity.

For non-NHS sites, site management permission should be obtained in accordance with the procedures of the relevant host organisation.

Sponsors are not required to notify the Committee of approvals from host organisations.

Registration of Clinical Trials

All clinical trials (defined as the first four categories on the IRAS filter page) must be registered on a publically accessible database. This should be before the first participant is recruited but no later than 6 weeks after recruitment of the first participant.

There is no requirement to separately notify the REC but you should do so at the earliest opportunity e.g. when submitting an amendment. We will audit the registration details as part of the annual progress reporting process.

To ensure transparency in research, we strongly recommend that all research is registered but for non-clinical trials this is not currently mandatory.

If a sponsor wishes to request a deferral for study registration within the required timeframe, they should contact hra.studyregistration@nhs.net. The expectation is that all clinical trials will be registered, however, in exceptional circumstances non registration may be permissible with prior agreement from NRES. Guidance on where to register is provided on the HRA website.

It is the responsibility of the sponsor to ensure that all the conditions are complied with before the start of the study or its initiation at a particular site (as applicable).

Ethical review of research sites

NHS sites

The favourable opinion applies to all NHS sites taking part in the study, subject to management permission being obtained from the NHS/HSC R&D office prior to the start of the study (see "Conditions of the favourable opinion" below).
Non-NHS sites

The Committee has not yet completed any site-specific assessment (SSA) for the non-NHS research site(s) taking part in this study. The favourable opinion does not therefore apply to any non-NHS site at present. We will write to you again as soon as an SSA application(s) has been reviewed. In the meantime no study procedures should be initiated at non-NHS sites.

Approved documents

The final list of documents reviewed and approved by the Committee is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copies of advertisement materials for research participants [GO for IT Study Poster]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11 June 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covering letter on headed paper [REC review response letter]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>08 July 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence of Sponsor insurance or indemnity (non NHS Sponsors only) [UCL letter of indemnity]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>05 May 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP/consultant information sheets or letters [GO for IT GPIL]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20 May 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructions for use of medical device [GO for IT CGM instruction leaflet]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>06 July 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRAS Checklist XML [Checklist_15072015]</td>
<td></td>
<td>15 July 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter from funder [LDC funding confirmation]</td>
<td></td>
<td>02 April 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letters of invitation to participant [GO for IT database PIL]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20 March 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letters of invitation to participant [GO for IT GP PIL]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-validated questionnaire [GO for IT PA QA]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20 March 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-validated questionnaire [GO for IT Pre-screening QA]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20 March 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-validated questionnaire [GO for IT Food Diary]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20 March 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant consent form [GO for IT ICF]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23 June 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant information sheet (PIS) [GO for IT PIS]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23 June 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC Application Form [REC_Form_26052015]</td>
<td></td>
<td>26 May 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referee’s report or other scientific critique report [GO for IT Peer Review JG]</td>
<td></td>
<td>21 March 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referee’s report or other scientific critique report [GO for IT Peer Review KT]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research protocol or project proposal [GO for IT Trial Protocol V1 April 15]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24 April 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research protocol or project proposal [GO for IT Trial Protocol V1 April 15]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>06 July 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary CV for Chief Investigator (CI) [MJD CI CV]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12 March 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary CV for student [Jelleyman CV 2015]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23 June 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary CV for supervisor (student research) [Yates SUpervisor CV]</td>
<td></td>
<td>04 January 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validated questionnaire [Three factor eating QA]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validated questionnaire [Mood, Affect &amp;amp; Sleepiness QA]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validated questionnaire [Satiety QA]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statement of compliance

~ 220 ~
The Committee is constituted in accordance with the Governance Arrangements for Research Ethics Committees and complies fully with the Standard Operating Procedures for Research Ethics Committees in the UK.

**After ethical review**

**Reporting requirements**

The attached document "After ethical review – guidance for researchers" gives detailed guidance on reporting requirements for studies with a favourable opinion, including:

- Notifying substantial amendments
- Adding new sites and investigators
- Notification of serious breaches of the protocol
- Progress and safety reports
- Notifying the end of the study

The HRA website also provides guidance on these topics, which is updated in the light of changes in reporting requirements or procedures.

**User Feedback**

The Health Research Authority is continually striving to provide a high quality service to all applicants and sponsors. You are invited to give your view of the service you have received and the application procedure. If you wish to make your views known please use the feedback form available on the HRA website:

http://www.hra.nhs.uk/about-the-hra/governance/quality-assurance/

**HRA Training**

We are pleased to welcome researchers and R&D staff at our training days – see details at http://www.hra.nhs.uk/hra-training/

| 15/EM/0259 | Please quote this number on all correspondence |

With the Committee's best wishes for the success of this project.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Dr Carl Edwards
Chair

Email: NRESCommittee.EastMidlands-Nottingham1@nhs.net
Enclosures:  "After ethical review – guidance for researchers"

Copy to:  
Ms. Wendy Gamble

Mrs. Carolyn Maloney
10.2.5 Chapter 5: R&I approval

DIRECTORATE OF RESEARCH & INNOVATION

Director: Professor Nigel Brunskill
Assistant Director: Dr David Helmski
Head of Research Operations: Carolyn Maloney

University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust
Research & Innovation Office
Leicester General Hospital
Gwendolen Road
Leicester
LE5 4PW

Direct Dial: (0116) 258 8351
Fax No: (0116) 258 4226

22nd October 2015

Dr Tom Yates
Leicester Diabetes Centre
Leicester General Hospital
Leicester
LE5 4PW

Dear Dr Tom Yates

Ref: UHL 157328
Title: The effect of a single bout of high intensity interval training on glucose responses in white European and south Asian patients at risk of type 2 diabetes.

Project Status: Approved
End Date: 30/09/2016

Date of Valid Application: 20th October 2015
Days remaining to recruit first patient: 68 days

I am pleased to confirm that with effect from the date of this letter, the above study has Trust Research & Development permission to commence at University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust. The research must be conducted in line with the Protocol and fulfill any contractual obligations agreed between UHL & the Sponsor. If you identify any issues during the course of your research that are likely to affect these obligations you must contact the R&I Office.

In order for the UHL Trust to comply with targets set by the Department of Health through the “Plan for Growth”, there is an expectation that the first patient will be recruited within 70 days of receipt of a Valid Application. The date that a Valid application was received is detailed above, along with the days remaining to recruit your first patient. It is essential that you notify the UHL Data Management Team as soon as you have recruited your first patient to the study either by email to RIData@uhl-tr.nhs.uk or by phone 0116 258 4573.

If we have not heard from you within the specified time period we will contact you not only to collect the data, but also to record any issues that may have arisen to prevent you from achieving this target. It is essential that you get in touch with us if there is likely to be a problem in achieving this target so that we can discuss potential solutions. The Trust is contractually
obliged to meet the 70 day target and if an adequate reason acceptable to the NIHR has not been submitted to explain the issues preventing the recruitment of your first participant, the Trust will be financially penalised.

In addition, we are required to publish the Title, REC Reference number, local target recruitment and actual recruitment as well as 70 days data for this study on a quarterly basis on the UHL publicly accessed website.

All documents received by this office have been reviewed and form part of the approval. The documents received and approved are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Title</th>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>REC Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REC favourable opinion letter</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>28.07.15</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of advertisement materials for research participants [GO for IT Study Poster]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.05.15</td>
<td>23.07.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP/consultant information sheets or letters [GO for IT PIL]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.05.15</td>
<td>28.07.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructions for use of medical device [GO for IT CGM instruction leaflet]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>06.07.15</td>
<td>28.07.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letters of invitation to participant [GO for IT database PIL]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.03.15</td>
<td>28.07.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letters of invitation to participant [GO for IT GP PIL]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28.07.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-validated questionnaire [GO for IT VQA]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.03.15</td>
<td>28.07.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-validated questionnaire [GO for IT Pre-screening QA]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.03.15</td>
<td>28.07.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-validated questionnaire [GO for IT Food Diary]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.03.15</td>
<td>28.07.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant consent form [GO for IT ICF]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23.06.15</td>
<td>28.07.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant information sheet (PIS) [GO for IT PIS]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23.06.15</td>
<td>28.07.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research protocol or project proposal [GO for IT Trial Protocol]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>06.07.15</td>
<td>28.07.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validated questionnaire [Three factor eating QA]</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>28.07.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validated questionnaire [Mood, Affect &amp; Sleepiness QA]</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>29.07.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validated questionnaire [Safety QA]</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>29.07.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please be aware that any changes to these documents after approval may constitute an amendment. The process of approval for amendments should be followed. Failure to do so may invalidate the approval of the study at this trust.

Undertaking research in the NHS comes with a range of regulatory responsibilities. Please ensure that you and your research team are familiar with, and understand the roles and responsibilities both collectively and individually.

Documents listing the roles and responsibilities for all individuals involved in research can be found on the R&I pages of the Public Website. It is important that you familiarise yourself with the Standard Operating Procedures, Policies and all other relevant documents which can be located by visiting www.leicestershospitals.nhs.uk/aboutus/education-and-research

Version 14, 03/08/2015
The R&I Office is keen to support and facilitate research where ever possible. If you have any questions regarding this or other research you wish to undertake in the Trust, please contact this office. Our contact details are provided on the attached sheet.

This study has been reviewed and processed by the East Midlands Clinical Research Network (EM CRN) (Leicester Office) using the Coordinated System for gaining Trust Permission (CSP). If you require any further information on the approval of this study please contact the EM CRN office on 0116 256 6185 making reference to the CSP number which is located at the top of this letter.

We wish you every success with your research.

Yours sincerely

Carolyn Maloney
Head of Research Operations

Encs: R&I Office Contact Information
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University of Leicester
College of Medicine, Biological Sciences & Psychology
Research Governance Office
Academic Department, Ground Floor
Leicester General Hospital
Gwendolen Road
Leicester, LE5 4PW
Email: uolsponsor@le.ac.uk
Tel: 0116 258 4099/4867

12 November 2015

Dr Thomas Yates
Leicester Diabetes Centre
Leicester General Hospital
LE5 4PW

Dear Dr Thomas Yates

Study: UOLE 0521
Title: The effect of a single bout of high intensity interval training on glucose responses in white European and South Asian patients at risk of Type 2 Diabetes
Study Status: Approved
End Date: 30/09/2016

I am pleased to advise you that following confirmation of a Favourable Opinion from an Ethics Committee, NHS Trust R&D Approval and where relevant regulatory authority agreements have been received, the University are able to confirm sponsorship for the above research.

Please note you are required to notify the Sponsor and provide copies of:

- Changes in personnel to the Study
- Changes to the end date
- All substantial amendments and provisional and favourable opinions
- All minor amendments
- All serious adverse events (SAEs) and SUSARS
- Annual progress reports
- Annual MHRA (DSUR) safety reports (if applicable)
- End of study declaration form
- Notifications of significant breaches of Good Clinical Practices (GCP) or Protocol

Please copy the Sponsor into all correspondence and emails by using uolsponsor@le.ac.uk.

I would like to wish you well with your study and if you require further information or guidance please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

Mrs Wendy Gamble
Research Governance Manager
10.2.7 Chapter 6: Research ethics committee approvals

Ref No: R14-P39

LOUGHBOROUGH UNIVERSITY
ETHICS APPROVALS (HUMAN PARTICIPANTS) SUB-COMMITTEE

RESEARCH PROPOSAL
INVOLVING HUMAN PARTICIPANTS

Title: High Intensity Training & Insulin Sensitivity: A Tissue Specific Assessment
Applicant: Prof M Nimmo, Z Amir, Dr J King, Dr J Viana, L Simpson, Prof P Gowland, Prof I Macdonald
Department: SSEHS
Date of clearance: 26 February 2014

Comments of the Sub-Committee:
The Sub-Committee agreed to issue conditional approval, subject to the following conditions:

- That confirmation was provided that the University of Nottingham had also provided ethical approval for this study.
- That a return visit health check was used for each additional visit.
- That the advertisement was amended to remove the amount of money provided to the participants.
Dear Professor Gowland,

**Ethics Ref No:** A28022014 SPMMRC Lboro Ref: R14-P39 – please always quote

**Study Title:** High Intensity Training & Insulin Sensitivity: A Tissue Specific Assessment.

**Chief Researcher/Supervisor:** Professor M Nimmo, School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences (SSEHS), University of Loughborough.

**Lead Researcher/Student:** Zainab Amir, PhD Student, SSEHS, University of Loughborough

**Co-Researchers/Supervisor:** Dr James King, Senior Research Associate, Dr Joao Viana, Research Associate, SSEHS, University of Loughborough, Professor Penny Gowland, SPMMRC, School of Physics and Astronomy Dr Liz Simpson, Senior Experimental Officer, Professor Ian Macdonald, Metabolic Physiology School of Life Sciences Professor Guruprasad Aithal, Hepatology School of Medicine, University of Nottingham.

**Duration of Study:** March 2014-March 2016 2 yrs  **No of Subjects:** 8

Thank you for your letter and application dated 28th February 2014 for a study which was given conditional approval by the Loughborough University Ethics Approvals (Human Participants) Sub-Committee Ref No: R14-P39 on 26th February 2014 and the following documents were received:

1. Letter of conditional Approval dated 26th February 2014 Loughborough University Ethics Approvals (Human Participants) Sub-Committee Ref No: R14-P39.

2. Loughborough University Ethics Approvals (Human Participants) Sub-Committee: Research Proposal for Studies involving Human Participants form dated 05/02/2014.

These have been reviewed and are satisfactory and the study has been approved.

Please can you submit copies of the Participant Information Sheet, Consent Form, Health Screen Questionnaire, Questionnaires and Advertisement/Recruitment material which have been approved for our files please.

Approval is given on the understanding that the Conditions of Approval set out below are followed.
1. You must follow the protocol agreed and inform the Committee of any changes using a notification of amendment form (please request a form).

2. You must notify the Chair of any serious or unexpected event.

3. This study is approved for the period of active recruitment requested. The Committee also provides a further 5 year approval for any necessary work to be performed on the study which may arise in the process of publication and peer review.

4. An End of Project Progress Report is completed and returned when the study has finished (Please request a form).

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

Dr Clodagh Dugdale
Chair, Faculty of Medicine & Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee
10.2.8 Chapter 6: Confirmation of amendment to change lead research student

From: Jacqueline Green  
Sent: 20 October 2014 12:09  
To: James King  
Cc: Jack Sargeant  
Subject: RE: R14-P39

Hi James,

I can confirm that R14-P39 has been amended as your email below and has full ethical approval.

Kind regards,

Jackie

Ms Jacqueline Green  
Secretary, Ethics Approvals (Human Participants) Sub-Committee  
Research Office  
Tel: 01509 222423  
Email: J.A.Green@lboro.ac.uk

From: James King  
Sent: 20 October 2014 10:04  
To: Jacqueline Green  
Cc: Jack Sargeant  
Subject: R14-P39

Dear Jackie,

We would like to make two small amendments to the ethics for the Project R14-P39 (High Intensity Training and Insulin Sensitivity: A Tissue Specific Assessment).

1) Jack Sargeant to be added to the ethics and Zainab Amir removed – Jack is the new PhD student leading the project who is replacing Zainab who left us.
2) We would like to change the HIT exercise protocol; from the 1 min on/min off protocol (repeated 10 times) to 30 second maximal bursts with 4 min recovery (repeated up to 6 times per session). The background to this second change is that there are several ‘HIT’ protocols implemented within the literature and our group have used the 1 min on/off protocol recently and not seen any beneficial changes. From the literature the 30 second burst protocol seems to be highly effective at improving the primary outcome in this study.

Please can you confirm whether these changes are ok?

Many thanks,

James

James King PhD  
Senior Research Associate  
Leicester-Loughborough Diet, Lifestyle & Physical Activity BRU  
School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences
10.3 Appendix III: Details of risk definition and screening procedures for inactive individuals as detailed in the Leicester-Loughborough BRU risk SOP/RA for exercise testing.

SECTION 2 – RISK DEFINITION AND MANAGEMENT

Extensive participant pre-participation evaluation must be undertaken before individuals participate in exercise testing or training in any research encompassed within the Leicester-Loughborough Diet, Lifestyle & Physical Activity BRU. This applies to all participants, regardless of age.

DISCUSSION OF CRITERIA – ACTIVITY STATUS

- DEFINITION OF ACTIVITY STATUS

The EACPR identifies two separate pre-exercise evaluation work flows which establish more stringent pre-exercise evaluation procedures for those who are currently inactive compared with those who are active. This reflects the greater risk in those who are routinely inactive (Siscovick et al., 1984; Willich et al., 1993; Mittelman et al., 1994). The EACPR classifies participants’ activity status based on whether they perform more or less than 2 MET-h/week of physical activity. In essence, this definition of activity only categorises individuals as inactive if they habitually undertake a very low amount of physical activity. In addition, the risk of an adverse event is highly dependent on whether or not participants engage in regular vigorous physical activity; habitual vigorous physical activity (> 6 METs) substantially reduces the risk of sudden cardiac death during exercise (Siscovick et al., 1984; Willich et al., 1993; Mittelman et al., 1994). Therefore, a more robust definition of activity status is proposed here. Only individuals habitually performing exercise at a vigorous intensity on three or more days per week for a period of at least 20 minutes will be classified as active. Published data detailing the MET equivalents of diverse activities (Ainsworth et al., 2000) will be used to determine the intensity of activities reported by prospective participants.

In instances where there is ambiguity in the categorisation of an individual, a judgement will be made by the responsible investigator. A hard copy of the questionnaire used to classify activity status can be found in Appendix 1. Appendices 2-6 contain all of the forms needed for pre-exercise evaluation.

DISCUSSION OF CRITERIA – EXERCISE INTENSITY

- STRATIFICATION FOR THOSE WHO ARE INACTIVE/UNTRAINED (FIGURE 1)

The risk stratification algorithm will be dependent on the nature of the physical activity protocol proposed for each study.

Light intensity exercise (see Figure 1): Individuals due to engage in light intensity exercise (identified as < 3 METs) must first complete the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (PAR-Q). If responses to this questionnaire are negative (individuals answer no to all seven questions) individuals are eligible to participate in an exercise intervention involving low-intensity exercise without further evaluation. One or more positive response dictates that further evaluation is necessary, which in the first instance, should involve consultation with a physician (which could be either the individual's GP or a BRU physician). At this point a judgment must be made by the physician/GP on whether the issue identified by the PAR-Q necessitates further in-depth evaluation (medical history, physical exam, risk SCORE assessment and 12 lead resting ECG) before the individual can take part in light intensity exercise. If the issue identified by the PAR-Q is deemed to be insufficient to warrant such further evaluation then the physician/GP can give permission for the individual to participate in light intensity exercise.

Moderate/Vigorous intensity exercise (see Figure 1): Inactive/ untrained individuals being recruited to studies involving moderate (3-6 METs) or high (> 6 METs) intensity exercise must undergo a detailed stepped evaluation before participation. This evaluation should begin with completion of the PAR-Q, a medical history, physical exam, risk SCORE assessment, and 12 lead resting ECG. Following this, individuals will be classified into one of 3 groups which will determine whether nor not an additional exercise ECG is needed and where this should be conducted. Definitions of risk category are highlighted below and shown in Figure 2.

Low risk

Low risk individuals are those that are negative for all assessment criteria (PAR-Q, history of CVD or other chronic disease, physical exam, Risk SCORE, resting ECG). Low risk individuals will be eligible to participate in exercise training without further assessment.

Moderate risk

Those failing to meet the criteria for low risk, but are outside the criteria for high risk. Moderate risk indicates the need to conduct an exercise ECG, which can be undertaken in any setting, subject to the presence of suitable trained individuals and resuscitation equipment and processes.

High risk

Those with any of the following criteria will be classified as high risk

- Those with a diagnosed chronic disease
- Those with a 10 year risk of CVD of > 10%
- Raised total cholesterol (> 8 mmol/L), LDL-C (> 6 mmol/L)
- Raised blood pressure (180/110 mmHg)
- Individuals with a strong family history of CVD in first degree relatives under 50 years of age
- Individuals with a BMI > 35 kg/m²

High risk indicates the need for an exercise ECG which, due to the high risk nature of the individuals, should be undertaken in a clinical setting by trained staff with access to a resuscitation team and post arrest management.
REFERENCES


FIGURE 1: PRE-PARTICIPATION EVALUATION PROTOCOL FOR INACTIVE/UNTRAINED INDIVIDUALS.
10.4 Appendix IV: The international physical activity questionnaire (short version).

INTERNATIONAL PHYSICAL ACTIVITY QUESTIONNAIRES

IPAQ: SHORT LAST 7 DAYS SELF-ADMINISTERED FORMAT

FOR USE WITH YOUNG AND MIDDLE-AGED ADULTS

The International Physical Activity Questionnaires (IPAQ) comprises a set of 4 questionnaires. Long (5 activity domains asked independently) and short (4 generic items) versions for use by either telephone or self-administered methods are available. The purpose of the questionnaires is to provide common instruments that can be used to obtain internationally comparable data on health-related physical activity.

Background on IPAQ

The development of an international measure for physical activity commenced in Geneva in 1998 and was followed by extensive reliability and validity testing undertaken in 12 countries (14 sites) across 6 continents during 2000. The final results suggest that these measures have acceptable measurement properties for use in many settings and in different languages. IPAQ is suitable for use in regional, national and international monitoring and surveillance systems and for use in research projects and public health program planning and evaluation. International collaboration on IPAQ is on-going and an international prevalence study is under development.

Using IPAQ

Worldwide use of the IPAQ instruments for monitoring and research purposes is encouraged.

It is strongly recommended, to ensure data quality and comparability and to facilitate the development of an international database on health-related physical activity, that

- no changes be made to the order or wording of the questions as this will affect the psychometric properties of the instruments,
- if additional questions on physical activity are needed they should follow the IPAQ items,
- translations are undertaken using the prescribed back translation methods (see website)
- new translated versions of IPAQ be made available to others via the web site to avoid duplication of effort and different versions in the same language,
- a copy of IPAQ data from representative samples at national, state or regional level be provided to the IPAQ data storage center for future collaborative use (with permission) by those who contribute.

More Information

Two scientific publications presenting the methods and the pooled results from the IPAQ reliability and validity study are due out in 2002.

More detailed information on the IPAQ process, the research methods used in the development of the IPAQ instruments, the use of IPAQ, the published papers and abstracts and the on-going international collaboration is available on the IPAQ web-site.

www.ipaq.ki.se

This is the final SHORT LAST 7 DAYS SELF-ADMINISTERED version of IPAQ from the 2000.01 Reliability and Validity Study. Completed May 2001.
INTERNATIONAL PHYSICAL ACTIVITY QUESTIONNAIRE

IPAQ: SHORT LAST 7 DAYS SELF-ADMINISTERED FORMAT

FOR USE WITH YOUNG AND MIDDLE-AGED ADULTS

NOTE: EXAMPLES OF ACTIVITIES MAY BE REPLACED BY CULTURALLY RELEVANT EXAMPLES WITH THE SAME METS VALUES (SEE AINSWORTH ET AL., 2000).
INTERNATIONAL PHYSICAL ACTIVITY QUESTIONNAIRE

We are interested in finding out about the kinds of physical activities that people do as part of their everyday lives. This is part of a large study being conducted in many countries around the world. Your answers will help us to understand how active we are compared with people in other countries.

The questions are about the time you spent being physically active in the last 7 days. They include questions about activities you do at work, as part of your house and yard work, to get from place to place, and in your spare time for recreation, exercise or sport.

Your answers are important.

Please answer each question even if you do not consider yourself to be an active person.

THANK YOU FOR PARTICIPATING.

In answering the following questions,
- **vigorous** physical activities refer to activities that take hard physical effort and make you breathe much harder than normal.

- **moderate** activities refer to activities that take moderate physical effort and make you breathe somewhat harder than normal.
1a. During the last 7 days, on how many days did you do **vigorous** physical activities like heavy lifting, digging, aerobics, or fast bicycling? Think about only those physical activities that you did for at least 10 minutes at a time.

_________ days per week ⇒ 1b. How much time in total did you usually spend on one of those days doing vigorous physical activities?

or

none

_____ hours _____ minutes

2a. Again, think only about those physical activities that you did for at least 10 minutes at a time. During the last 7 days, on how many days did you do **moderate** physical activities like carrying light loads, bicycling at a regular pace, or doubles tennis? Do not include walking.

_________ days per week ⇒ 2b. How much time in total did you usually spend on one of those days doing moderate physical activities?

or

none

_____ hours _____ minutes

3a. During the last 7 days, on how many days did you **walk** for at least 10 minutes at a time? This includes walking at work and at home, walking to travel from place to place, and any other walking that you did solely for recreation, sport, exercise or leisure.

_________ days per week ⇒ 3b. How much time in total did you usually spend walking on one of those days?

or

none

_____ hours _____ minutes

The last question is about the time you spent **sitting** on weekdays while at work, at home, while doing course work and during leisure time. This includes time spent sitting at a desk, visiting friends, reading traveling on a bus or sitting or lying down to watch television.

4. During the last 7 days, how much time in total did you usually spend sitting on a week day?

_____ hours _____ minutes

This is the end of questionnaire, thank you for participating.

This is the final **SHORT LAST 7 DAYS SELF-ADMINISTERED** version of IPAQ from the 2003/01 Reliability and Validity Study. Completed May 2001.
Appendix V: The physical activity readiness questionnaire

PAR-Q & YOU

(A Questionnaire for People Aged 15 to 69)

Regular physical activity is fun and healthy, and increasingly more people are starting to become more active every day. Being more active is very safe for most people. However, some people should check with their doctor before they start becoming much more physically active.

If you are planning to become much more physically active than you are now start by answering the seven questions in the box below. If you are between the ages of 15 and 69, the PAR-Q will tell you if you should check with your doctor before you start. If you are over 69 years of age, and you are not used to being very active, check with your doctor.

Common sense is your best guide when you answer these questions. Please read the questions carefully and answer each one honestly. Check YES or NO.

YES NO

1. Has your doctor ever said that you have a heart condition and that you should only do physical activity recommended by a doctor?

2. Do you feel pain in your chest when you do physical activity?

3. In the past month, have you had short pain when you were not being physical activity?

4. Do you lose your balance because of dizziness or do you ever lose consciousness?

5. Do you have a bone or joint problem (for example, back, knee or hip) that could be made worse by a change in your physical activity?

6. Is your doctor currently prescribing drugs (for example, water pills) for your blood pressure or heart condition?

7. Do you know of any other reason why you should not do physical activity?

If you answered YES to one or more questions:

Talk with your doctor by phone or in person before you start becoming much more physically active or before you have a fitness appraisal. Tell your doctor about the PAR-Q and which questions you answered YES.

- You may be able to do any activity you want — as long as you start slowly and build up gradually. Or, you may need to restrict your activities to those which are safe for you. Talk with your doctor about the kinds of activities you wish to participate in and follow his/her advice.
- Find out which community programs are safe and helpful for you.

If you answered NO to all questions:

- Start becoming much more physically active — begin slowly and build up gradually. This is the safest and easiest way to go.
- Take part in a fitness appraisal — this is an excellent way to determine your basic fitness so that you can plan the best way for you to live actively. It is also highly recommended that you have your blood pressure evaluated. If your reading is over 144/84, talk with your doctor before you start becoming much more physically active.

DELAY BECOMING MUCH MORE ACTIVE:

- If you are not feeling well because of a temporary illness such as a cold or a fever — wait until you feel better; or
- If you are or may be pregnant — talk to your doctor before you start becoming more active.

PLEASE NOTE: If your health changes so that you then answer YES to any of the above questions, tell your fitness or health professional. Ask whether you should change your physical activity plan.

Informed Use of the PAR-Q: The Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology, Health Canada, and their agents assume no liability for persons who undertake physical activity and if in doubt after completing this questionnaire, consult your doctor prior to physical activity.

No changes permitted. You are encouraged to photocopy the PAR-Q but only if you use the entire form.

NOTE: If the PAR-Q is being given to a person before he or she participates in a physical activity program or a fitness appraisal, this section may be used for legal or administrative purposes.

"I have read, understood and completed this questionnaire. Any questions I had were answered to my full satisfaction."

NAME:

SIGNATURE:

SIGNATURE OF PARENT or GUARDIAN (for participants under the age of majority)

DATE:

WITNESS:

Note: This physical activity clearance is valid for a maximum of 12 months from the date it is completed and becomes invalid if your condition changes so that you would answer YES to any of the seven questions.
Appendix VI: The ‘RISK’ scoring system

As part of the participant evaluation it is recommended that the SCORE system is used to estimate the absolute risk of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease in the next 10 years. This algorithm is based on:

1. Age
2. Sex
3. Blood pressure
4. Cholesterol (total)
5. Smoking history

High risk is defined as possessing multiple cardiac risk factors resulting in a 10 year risk greater than 5%, or if extrapolated to 80 years in the SCORE chart.

The high risk factor profile for developing a fatal cardiovascular event is also defined as one of the following which represent exceptionally high individual risk factors:

- Previous history of, or diagnosed chronic disease
- Raised total cholesterol (> 8 mmol/L), LDL-C (> 6mmol/L)
- Raised blood pressure (180/110 mmHg)
- Individuals with a strong family history of CVD (myocardial infarction or stroke) in first degree relatives under 50 years of age
- Individuals with a BMI > 35 kg/m²
### 10.7 Appendix VII: Supplementary results for Chapter 4

#### Table 10.1 Associations between fasted hepatokine concentrations and metabolic biomarkers.

| | Age | Body mass | BMI | BF% | WC | Relative VO₂ peak | TG | NEFA | FPI | Fasted plasma glucagon | HOMA-IR | Adipo-IR | AST | ALT | AST/ALT | FGF21 |
| **FGF21** | Corr. | 0.74 | 0.71 | 0.68 | 0.76 | -0.52 | 0.72 | 0.79 | | 0.44* | 0.70* | | | | | | | <0.001 | | | | |
| | P-value | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.01 | <0.001 | <0.001 | | 0.04 | <0.001 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **LECT2** | Corr. | 0.47* | 0.49 | 0.60* | 0.42* | -0.53 | 0.55* | 0.52* | 0.64* | 0.49* | 0.66* | 0.70* | 0.44* | | | | | | | | | |
| | P-value | 0.03 | 0.02 | <0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 | <0.01 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.02 | 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.04 | | | | | | | | | <0.01 |
| **Follistatin** | Corr. | -0.50* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | P-value | 0.02 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Fetuin-A** | Corr. | -0.43* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | P-value | 0.05 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **SeP** | Corr. | -0.44* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | P-value | 0.04 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Corr: correlation coefficient (\( r \) or \( \rho \) for Pearson’s and Spearman’s analysis, respectively; Data are analysed using parametric Pearson’s correlation analysis unless otherwise stated. * indicates non-parametric Spearman Rank correlation analysis used. # indicates data were log transformed prior to analysis.
10.8 Appendix VIII: Supplementary methods for Chapter 6

10.8.1 Self-report alcohol intake questionnaire

Alcohol Intake Questionnaire:
Participant code: ........

Do you drink Alcohol? Yes No
(If yes, Please answer the questions below)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Your Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How often do you have a drink that contains alcohol?</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>Monthly or less</td>
<td>2-4 times a month</td>
<td>2-3 times per week</td>
<td>4+ times per week</td>
<td>Your Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many standard alcohol units do you have on a typical day when you are drinking?</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>7-9</td>
<td>10+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How often do you have 6 or more standard alcohol units on one occasion?</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>Less than monthly</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Daily or almost daily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If you score a total of 5 or more on the above questions, please complete the further questions below.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How often in the last year have you found that you were not able to stop drinking once you have started?</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>Less than monthly</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Daily or almost daily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How often in the last year have you failed to do what was expected of you because of drinking?</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>Less than monthly</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Daily or almost daily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How often in the last year have you needed an alcoholic drink in the morning to get you going?</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>Less than monthly</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Daily or almost daily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How often in the last year have you had a feeling of guilt or regret after drinking?</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>Less than monthly</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Daily or almost daily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How often in the last year have you not been able to remember what happened when drinking the night before?</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>Less than monthly</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Daily or almost daily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you or someone else been injured as a result of your drinking?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes, but not in the last year</td>
<td>Yes, during the last year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has a relative/friend/doctor or health worker been concerned about your drinking or advised you to cut down?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes, but not in the last year</td>
<td>Yes, during the last year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Your total score for all ten questions indicates the following:
0-7 = sensible drinking
8-15 = hazardous drinking
16-19 = harmful drinking
20+ = possible dependence

This questionnaire is based the NHS alcohol self-assessment tool found online at:
http://www.nhs.uk/Tools/Pages/Alcoholcalculator.aspx
Loughborough Study 2014

Clamp Sheet

Subject ID: ....................................................

Date: ........../........./.......... Visit No: 1 2 3

Height: ........... cm Weight: ........... kg Surface area: ...........m²

Calculations:

15mls of stock solution are provided by pharmacy @ 200mg/ml

_Tracer Bolus;_
Take 5ml of stock solution (1000mg glucose) and make up to 50ml with 0.9% saline in a 50ml syringe to give a 20mg/ml solution

4mg x ........... kg = ......... mg / 20 = ........... ml bolus delivered over 1min

_Tracer Infusion;_
Take 5ml of stock solution (1000mg) and make up to 50ml with 0.9% saline in a 50ml syringe

0.04mg x ........... kg x 60min = .............. / (20mg/ml) = .............. ml/hr

6 hour Infusion @

_Glucose Infusion;_
Take 5ml of stock solution (1000mg) and add to a 500ml bag of 20% glucose to give ~1% enrichment

.......... m² x 20 = ........... x 7.52 = ........... mls/hr

0.5 40

x 3.28 = ........... mls/hr

x 2.99 = ........... mls/hr

x 2.82 = ........... mls/hr

x 2.57 = ........... mls/hr

x 2.40 = ........... mls/hr

.......... m² x 50 = ........... x 7.52 = ........... mls/hr

0.5 40

x 3.28 = ........... mls/hr

x 2.99 = ........... mls/hr

x 2.82 = ........... mls/hr

x 2.57 = ........... mls/hr

x 2.40 = ........... mls/hr

~ 242 ~
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Ins Inf(^n)</th>
<th>Blood G</th>
<th>G Inf(^n)</th>
<th>Ins / tracer sample</th>
<th>Calorimetry</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Ins Inf°</td>
<td>Blood G</td>
<td>G Inf°</td>
<td>Ins / tracer sample</td>
<td>Calorimetry</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>fed</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>insulin discontinued</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
10.8.2 Further details of accelerometry data collection and analysis

To assess the impact of SIT on habitual physical activity levels, participants wore a tri-axial accelerometer (GT3x, Actigraph LLC, USA) for seven consecutive days in the week before study assessments. Participants were encouraged to wear the device at all times, with the exception of showering/bathing or other water-based activities such as swimming. Participants were provided with a diary (see below) to note any points at which they removed the device, waking and sleeping times, physical activity performed and whether days were work or non-work days. Participants were free to remove the device overnight, but were asked to note this on the diary and to remove the device as late as possible and put it back on as soon as they woke up. Data processing methods have been described in-depth previously (Troiano et al., 2008) and were facilitated using computer software (Kinesoft 3.3.80, USA). A period of monitoring was considered valid for analysis when data was available for at least 600 minutes of wear time on at least three days of the week. In all but one period of monitoring, data included at least one weekend day. Non-wear time was considered 60 minutes of consecutive zero counts, allowing for two minutes of interruption. The cut-points in counts per minute (CPM) that were used to determine different categories of activity are presented in Table 10.2.

Table 10.2 Troiano cut-points for categories of physical activity in counts per minute using tri-axial accelerometry analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counts per minute</th>
<th>Sedentary Behaviour</th>
<th>Light Physical Activity</th>
<th>Moderate Physical Activity</th>
<th>Vigorous Physical Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>100 to 2019</td>
<td>2020 to 5999</td>
<td>&gt; 5999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LIVE Study - How to wear the hip monitor

- The hip monitor or Actigraph measures the intensity of your physical activity (light, moderate or vigorous activity).
- It is to be worn for 7 days. However, it should be removed when bathing, showering and/or swimming. If you find it uncomfortable to sleep with, you can take it off but it is very important that you put it on as soon as you wake up in the morning.
- The monitor should be placed on, or as close to, your waistband as possible and rest on your hip bone, either side is OK.
- The monitor can be worn either underneath or on top of your clothing, just as long as it fits snugly around your waistband.
- The black button on top of the device should be facing up when being worn.

How to fill in the daily log

- The log is divided into 7 days. Please complete each day's questions as accurately as possible – record the exact times or to the nearest 5 minutes.

1. Indicate the date.
2. Record the time that you woke up and when you put the waist device on.
3. State if it's a work or non-work day.
4. Indicate if you trained or not on that day
5. Indicate if you slept with the device on
6. Indicate the time you went to bed
7. Finally, say whether you took the device off at any point in the day and in the box to the right add detail about this

If you have any problems or concerns regarding this device don't hesitate to contact me.

Jack Sargeant – 07904 688 848
or alternatively:
James King – 07951 523 959
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>What time did you put the waist device on?</th>
<th>Is today a work or non-work day?</th>
<th>Did you train today? If yes, what time?</th>
<th>Did you go to sleep with the waist device on?</th>
<th>At what time did you go to bed?</th>
<th>Did you remove the waist device at any time in the day?</th>
<th>Detail regarding device removal i.e. 11:30 for 20 mins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01/04/14</td>
<td>7:30 am/ pm</td>
<td><strong>Work</strong></td>
<td>e.g. 17:00 am/ pm</td>
<td>Yes / no</td>
<td>23:30 am/ pm</td>
<td>20:20 am/ pm</td>
<td>20:50 am/ pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>AM/PM</td>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>AM/PM</td>
<td>Answer</td>
<td>AM/PM</td>
<td>AM/PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Work</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/1/1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/1/1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Work</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/1/1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/1/1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Work</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/1/1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/1/1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Work</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/1/1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/1/1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Participant ID: ________________  Unit Serial No: ________________

Do you have any comments about the daily log?

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________
10.8.3 Full tracer calculations used in Chapter 6

10.8.3.1 Basal EGP

EGP was calculated using the following equations at both -20 and 0 min. The mean of the two values was then calculated and reported as basal EGP.

\[
APE = \frac{(TTR_{measured} - TTR_{baseline})}{[1 + (TTR_{measured} - TTR_{baseline})]} \times 100
\]

Where \( APE \) = atoms percent excess, \( TTR_{measured} \) = tracer to tracee ratio at timepoint of interest (-20 and 0 min samples) and \( TTR_{baseline} \) = tracer to tracee ratio at baseline (prior to tracer infusion).

\[
EGP (\mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}) = Ra = \frac{F}{APE} \times 100
\]

Where \( F \) = the continuous tracer infusion rate (\( \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \)).

10.8.3.2 EGP at low-dose insulin infusion:

The following calculations were used to calculate EGP during low-dose insulin infusion:

\[
\text{Tracer } Ra_{\text{continuous}} = \frac{\{F - pV \times [(C_1 + C_2) / 2] \times [(APE_2 - APE_1) / (t_2 - t_1)]\}}{[(APE_1 + APE_2) / 2]}
\]

Where \( Ra_{\text{continuous}} \) is the \( Ra \) contribution from the continuous tracer infusion (\( \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \)), \( pV \) is the fractional pool of distribution (a constant of 160mL·kg\(^{-1}\) was used in this study), \( C_1, C_2, APE_1, APE_2, t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) are glucose concentrations (mmol·L\(^{-1}\)), enrichment (APE) and time (min) at consecutive timepoints of interest.

\[
\text{Tracer } Ra_{\text{variable}} = \frac{TTR_{bag} \times GIR_2}{[(TTR_1 + TTR_2) / 2]}
\]

Where \( Ra_{\text{variable}} \) is the \( Ra \) contribution from the tracer-spiked variable dextrose infusion (\( \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \)), \( TTR_{bag} \) is the assumed TTR within the dextrose bags, \( GIR_2 \) is the variable infusion rate at \( t_2 \) (\( \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \)), and \( TTR_1 \) and \( TTR_2 \) are the TTR and \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) respectively.

\[
EGP (\mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}) = Ra_{\text{continuous}} + Ra_{\text{variable}} - GIR_2
\]
### 10.9 Appendix IX: Supplementary results for Chapter 6

Table 10.3 Participant characteristics specific to those completing hyperinsulinaemic, euglycaemic clamps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anthropometry</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>40 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>102.1 ± 11.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg.m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>31.5 ± 3.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>110.9 ± 8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>28.1 ± 2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHTG (%)</td>
<td>16.0 ± 8.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolic Risk Factors</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol Intake (units.week$^{-1}$)$^#$</td>
<td>4 (3 – 10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cholesterol (mmol.L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>4.74 ± 0.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol.L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mmol.L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>2.70 ± 0.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardiorespiratory Fitness</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absolute $VO_2$ peak (L.min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>3.24 ± 0.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative $VO_2$ peak (mL.kg$^{-1}$.min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>32.0 ± 5.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute PPO (W)</td>
<td>243 ± 44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative PPO (W.kg$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>2.40 ± 0.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± SD for $n=8$. $^#$Data not normally distributed and thus presented as median (IQR).
Table 10.4 Participant characteristics specific to those for which full VAT and ScAT data are available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anthropometry</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>42 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>99.9 ± 7.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg.m⁻²)</td>
<td>31.0 ± 2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>110.0 ± 6.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>28.4 ± 3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHTG (%)</td>
<td>14.2 ± 7.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolic Risk Factors</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol Intake (units.week⁻¹)#</td>
<td>4 (3 – 10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cholesterol (mmol.L⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.88 ± 0.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol.L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mmol.L⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.83 ± 0.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardiorespiratory Fitness</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absolute (\dot{V}O_2) peak (L.min⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.24 ± 0.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative (\dot{V}O_2) peak (mL.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹)</td>
<td>32.5 ± 4.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute PPO (W)</td>
<td>241 ± 45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative PPO (W.kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.42 ± 0.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± SD for n=8. *Data not normally distributed and thus presented as median (IQR).
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10.10.1 Data extraction

10.10.1.1 Imputation of the mean and standard deviation (SD) during data extraction

When the variation of change from pre- to post-intervention was reported as standard error or confidence intervals, established statistical equations were used to convert these to SD. When only pre- and post-intervention data were available, the mean change and SD were imputed as previously reported (Higgins and Green, 2011), assuming a correlation coefficient for change in IHTG of 0.80 (Pugh et al., 2014; Hallsworth et al., 2015; Houghton et al., 2017).

10.10.1.2 Data presented as median and interquartile range

When original data were reported as median and interquartile range, the median change was extracted and used in place of the mean, whilst the IQR was divided by 1.35 as an estimate SD (Higgins and Green, 2011). A sensitivity analysis removing these studies suggested that using data in this manner had no substantial impact on the results reported.

10.10.1.3 Converting data to a consistent unit of measurement

Data presented in this meta-analysis are, as much as possible, presented in a consistent format using similar units of measurement. When IHTG was reported as the ratio between intracellular lipid and water (lipid:water), this was converted to liver fat fraction using the following calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{lipid:water}}{1 + \text{lipid:water}} \times 100
\]

In some cases, data were converted during extraction using conversion factors as follows:

- Insulin: 1 mU = 6 pmol
- Glucose: 1 mmol·L⁻¹ = 18 mg·dL⁻¹
- EGP: 1 µmol·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ = 0.18 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹

When data were also normalised, such as when presenting aerobic capacity in ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ rather than L·min⁻¹, the mean value for the whole study group at baseline was used.
When a paper reported one of the primary outcomes of this review in a subset of individuals (or when only a subset of individuals met the inclusion criteria), only these individuals were included. If descriptive data specific to this subset were available, they were extracted. If not, the sample size was adjusted to represent the subset of individuals but the mean descriptive data for the whole study population was used. This applies to the following studies:

Cuthbertson *et al.*, 2016 (Cuthbertson *et al.*, 2016): only 19 individuals (ex: 12; con: 7) underwent hyperinsulinaemic, euglycaemic clamp and were thus included in HISI and percentage suppression of EGP analyses.

Hickman *et al.*, 2013 (Hickman *et al.*, 2013): only nine of the 13 patients in the exercise group underwent post-training liver biopsy and were thus included in IHTG analyses. Furthermore, complete data to allow calculation of HISI were only available for 11 patients.

Keating *et al.*, 2015 (Keating *et al.*, 2015): three separate exercise groups completing different exercise interventions were included in this study. One of these groups was ineligible as the mean baseline IHTG was < 5.56%.

Langleite *et al.*, 2016 (Langleite *et al.*, 2016): only the dysglycaemic individuals had IHTG > 5.56% at baseline.

Meex *et al.*, 2010 (Meex *et al.*, 2010): Raw data was collected for the calculation of HISI. One participant was removed from analysis because no basal EGP data was available.

Sargeant *et al.*, 2018 (Sargeant, Bawden, *et al.*, 2018): only eight of the nine participants completed hyperinsulinaemic, euglycaemic clamps and so only these participants were included in HISI and percentage suppression of EGP analyses.

van der Heijden *et al.*, 2010 (van der Heijden, Wang, Chu, Toffolo, *et al.*, 2010): only seven individuals had NAFLD (defined as liver fat > 5.6%) and so only this subset was included in the hepatic steatosis analysis. All participants were included in the HISI analysis as NAFLD diagnosis was not an inclusion criterion for this outcome.
10.10.1.5 *Inclusion of studies with multiple follow-up measurements*

One manuscript (Zhang *et al.*, 2016) reported data after six months and 12 months of intervention in two separate exercise groups. The interventions differed only during the first six months and, therefore, these data were extracted and used.
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Table 10.5 Participant characteristics and outcome measures of studies assessing changes in IHTG (continued overleaf)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref. Study Design</th>
<th>Intervention Overview</th>
<th>Participant Characteristics at Baseline</th>
<th>Relative Change in Body Weight (%)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cassidy et al. (2016)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>HIIT 3 times per week for 12 weeks.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ex: 12 (10/2)</td>
<td>N: 61 ± 9, F: 31 ± 5</td>
<td>BMI: 21.8 ± 5.4, Body Fat: 6.9 ± 6.9</td>
<td>Hepatic steatosis according to mean baseline measures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Con: 11 (8/3)</td>
<td>N: 59 ± 9, F: 32 ± 6</td>
<td>BMI: 20.3 ± 6.1, Body Fat: 7.1 ± 6.8</td>
<td>Diagnosed T2DM with stable control for ≥ 6 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age: 61 ± 9, BMI: 35.4 (est.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuthbertson et al. (2016)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Aerobic 3 – 5 times per week for 12 weeks.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ex: 30 (23/7)</td>
<td>N: 50, BMI: 30.6 (29.0–32.9)</td>
<td>BMI: 23.7, Body Fat: 19.4</td>
<td>Clinically defined but non-advanced NAFLD (defined as IHTG &gt; 5% with NAFLD fibrosis score &lt; -1.445).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Con: 20 (16/4)</td>
<td>F: 29.7, BMI: 30.4 (25.9-32.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallsworth et al. (2011)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Resistance 3 times per week for 8 weeks.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ex: 11 (NR)</td>
<td>N: 52 ± 13, BMI: 32.3 ± 4.9</td>
<td>BMI: 21.8 ± 3.8, Body Fat: 14.0 ± 9.1</td>
<td>Clinically defined but non-advanced NAFLD (defined as IHTG &gt; 5% with NAFLD fibrosis score &lt; -1.445).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Con: 8 (NR)</td>
<td>F: 37 ± 8, BMI: 37 ± 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallsworth et al. (2015)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>HIIT 3 times per week for 12 weeks.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ex: 12 (6/6)</td>
<td>N: 54 ± 10, BMI: 31.0 ± 4.0</td>
<td>BMI: 21.9 ± 6.2, Body Fat: 10.6 ± 4.9</td>
<td>Clinically defined but non-advanced NAFLD (defined as IHTG &gt; 5% with NAFLD fibrosis score &lt; -1.445).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Con: 11 (10/1)</td>
<td>F: 38.4 ± 6.4, BMI: 41.5 ± 7.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haus et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Uncontrolled Intervention</td>
<td>Aerobic Daily for 1 week.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ex: 17 (NR)</td>
<td>N: 54 ± 2, BMI: 34.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>BMI: 24.3 ± 1.5, Body Fat: 19.4 ± 3.3</td>
<td>Clinically defined but non-advanced NAFLD (defined as IHTG &gt; 5% with NAFLD fibrosis score &lt; -1.445).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study (Year)</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Exercise Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hickman et al. (2013)</td>
<td>RCT*</td>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td>3 times per week for 24 weeks.</td>
<td>Ex: 9 (7/2) 48 ± 9 33.6 ± 5.8 38.8 ± 7.6 21.6 ± 7.3 71 ± 32†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houghton et al. (2017)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Combined (HIIT + Resistance)</td>
<td>3 times per week for 12 weeks.</td>
<td>Ex: 12 (7/5) 54 ± 12 33.0 ± 7.0 33.0 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson et al. (2009)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>3 times per week for 4 weeks.</td>
<td>Ex: 12 (NR) 49 ± 8 32.2 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keating et al. (2015)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>3-4 times per week for 8 weeks.</td>
<td>Ex1(HI:LO): 12 (6/6) 44 ± 10 36.3 ± 5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langleite et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Uncontrolled Intervention</td>
<td>Combined (Aerobic + HIIT + Resistance)</td>
<td>4 times per week for 12 weeks</td>
<td>Ex: 11 (11/0) 53 (10) 27.8 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malin et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Uncontrolled Intervention</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>Daily for 1 week.</td>
<td>Ex: 13 (6/7) 51 ± 12 33.3 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notable exclusion criteria:**
- Diabetes
- Alcohol consumption > 40 and 20 g•day\(^{-1}\) for men and women respectively.
- ≥60 minutes moderate-vigorous physical activity per week
- Insulin sensitising treatments
- Cardiac or renal diseases.
- Lipid lowering medications
- FPG ≥ 7.0 mmol\(\cdot\)L\(^{-1}\)
- Anti-hypertensive medications (n=5)
- Note – medications were unaltered for the duration of the study except when participants refrained for 72 hours prior to study assessments.
- Structured exercise performed > once per week
- Hypertension, other liver or kidney diseases, chronic inflammatory disease or medications known to affect glucose metabolism.
- None
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Intervention Type</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Exercise Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Change in IHTG</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oh et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>3 times per week for 6 weeks.</td>
<td>Ex: 18 (4/14)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>28.2 (25.5–33.2)</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pugh et al. (2014)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>3 – 5 times per week for 16 weeks.</td>
<td>Ex: 13 (7/6)</td>
<td>50 ± 3</td>
<td>30.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sargeant et al. (2018)</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>3 times per week for 6 weeks.</td>
<td>Ex: 9 (9/0)</td>
<td>41 ± 8</td>
<td>31.7 ± 3.1</td>
<td>28.7 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan et al. (2012)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>5 times per week for 16 weeks.</td>
<td>Ex: 12 (4/8)</td>
<td>49 ± 8</td>
<td>37.1 ± 3.8</td>
<td>38.9 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van der Heijden et al. (2010a)‡</td>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>4 times per week for 12 weeks.</td>
<td>Ex: 15 (7/8)</td>
<td>16 ± 2</td>
<td>33.7 ± 4.3</td>
<td>38.3 ± 5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Intervention Type</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Exercise Group 1</td>
<td>Exercise Group 2</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al (2016)</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>5 times per week for 24 weeks.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ex1(Mod): 73 (22/51)</td>
<td>Ex2(Vig): 73 (21/52)</td>
<td>Con: 74 (28/46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van der Heijden et al. (2010b)</td>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td>2 times per week for 12 weeks.</td>
<td>Ex: 7 (NR)</td>
<td>16 ± 1</td>
<td>35.3 ± 1.9</td>
<td>42.6 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± SD or median (IQR); Sample sizes represent the number of individuals entered into analyses; \(^*\) Study did not include ‘standard care’ or ‘no intervention’ group. Patients were randomised to either exercise or dietary interventions; † Liver biopsy used. This number represent the percentage of hepatocytes affected by steatosis; ‡ Manuscript refers to same study as van der Heijden et al (2009); \(^\alpha\) significant difference from baseline \((P < 0.05)\); \(^\beta\) significant interaction between exercise and control groups \((P < 0.05)\).
### Table 10.6 Participant characteristics and outcome measures of studies assessing changes in HISI and %EGP<sub>supp</sub> (continued overleaf)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref. Study Design</th>
<th>Ref. Study Design</th>
<th>Intervention Overview</th>
<th>Participant Characteristics at Baseline</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N (M:F)</td>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>BMI (kg•m&lt;sup&gt;-2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hickman et al. (2013)</td>
<td>RCT&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td>Ex: 13 (9/4)</td>
<td>50 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 times per week for 24 weeks.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuthbertson et al. (2016)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>Ex: 12 (8/3/1NR)</td>
<td>44 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 – 5 times per week for 12 weeks.</td>
<td>Con: 7 (3/4)</td>
<td>50 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al. (2013)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Ex 1: Aerobic</td>
<td>Ex1: 16 (0/16)</td>
<td>15 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ex 2: Resistance</td>
<td>Ex2: 16 (0/16)</td>
<td>15 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Con: 12 (0/12)</td>
<td>15 ± 2</td>
<td>35.3 ± 4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: %EGP<sub>supp</sub> was reported at high-dose insulin infusion (1 mU•kg<sup>-1</sup>•min<sup>-1</sup>) and was improved by exercise training.

**See Table 4.2 for Notable exclusion criteria**
- Insulin Dose and Infusion Duration: 0.3 mU•kg<sup>-1</sup>•min<sup>-1</sup> for 120 minutes.

**Potential Confounding Variables:**
- Significant reduction in body weight and IHTG in the exercise group.
- Endocrine disorders (PCOS, T2DM)
- Medication known to influence glucose metabolism or body composition.

**Potential Confounding Variables:**
- No change in body weight in either group.
- IHTG significantly reduced in aerobic exercise group only.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meex et al. (2010)</th>
<th>Uncontrolled Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combined (Aerobic + Resistance)</td>
<td>3 times per week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2 Aerobic, 1 Resistance) for 12 weeks.</td>
<td>Ex: 20 (20/0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ex2: 17 (17/0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59 ± 1</td>
<td>29.7 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Measured</td>
<td>Ex1: None reported.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notable exclusion criteria:**
- Cardiac disease, impaired liver or renal function, BMI > 35 kg/m².
- Exogenous insulin therapies.

**Medications:**
- All T2DM participants were on oral antidiabetic agents.
- Medication was unchanged throughout the duration of the study but discontinued for 7 days prior to each clamp assessment.

**Potential Confounding Variables:**
- No change in body weight in either group.
- IHTG not measured.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sargeant et al. (2018)</th>
<th>Controlled Longitudinal Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIIT</td>
<td>3 times per week for 6 weeks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex: 8 (8/0)</td>
<td>40 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAFLD defined as IHTG ≥ 5.56 percent in the absence of reported secondary causes as determined during participant screening.</td>
<td>Ex1: None reported.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notable exclusion criteria:**
- Cardiac disease, impaired liver or renal function, BMI > 35 kg/m².
- Exogenous insulin therapies.

**Medications:**
- All T2DM participants were on oral antidiabetic agents.
- Medication was unchanged throughout the duration of the study but discontinued for 7 days prior to each clamp assessment.

**Potential Confounding Variables:**
- No change in body weight.
- IHTG significantly reduced from pre- to post-training.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Sample Sizes</th>
<th>Ex:</th>
<th>Con:</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>12 Weeks</th>
<th>24 Weeks</th>
<th>HISI</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>12 Weeks</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>12 Weeks</th>
<th>Notable Exclusion Criteria</th>
<th>Potential Confounding Variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shojaee-Moradie et al. (2007) RCT</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>3 times per week for 6 weeks.</td>
<td>Ex: 10 (10/0)</td>
<td>Con: 7 (7/0)</td>
<td>47 ± 9</td>
<td>27.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>24.4 (est.)</td>
<td>27.0 ± 5.3</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>10.6 ± 6.3</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2.09 ± 1.03</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>57.0 ± 15.1</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van der Heijden et al. (2009)‡ Uncontrolled Intervention</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>4 times per week for 12 weeks.</td>
<td>Ex: 15 (7/8)</td>
<td>16 ± 2</td>
<td>33.2 ± 3.5</td>
<td>38.3 ± 5.8</td>
<td>27.5 ± 6.3</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>20.2 ± 9.6</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.43</td>
<td>Not Measured</td>
<td>Hepatic steatosis according to mean baseline measures.</td>
<td>See Table 4.2 for Notable exclusion criteria</td>
<td>Potential Confounding Variables: No change in body weight. IHTG not measured.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van der Heijden et al. (2010b) Uncontrolled Intervention</td>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td>2 times per week for 12 weeks.</td>
<td>Ex: 12 (6/6)</td>
<td>16 ± 2</td>
<td>35.3 ± 2.4</td>
<td>42.6 ± 5.3</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>5.10 ± 0.35</td>
<td>23.0 ± 6.4</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.18</td>
<td>Not Measured</td>
<td>Hepatic steatosis according to mean baseline measures.</td>
<td>See Table 4.2 for Notable exclusion criteria</td>
<td>Standardisation: Post-intervention assessments were performed 3 days after the final exercise training session. Potential Confounding Variables: Body weight significantly increased from baseline. Much of this was accounted for by an increase in LBM. IHTG not measured.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± SD or median (IQR); Samples sizes represent the number of individuals entered into analyses; *Study did not include ‘standard care’ or ‘no intervention’ group. Patients were randomised to either exercise or dietary interventions; † HISI presented as mg•kg⁻¹•min⁻¹ per µU•mL⁻¹; ‡ Manuscript refers to same study as van der Heijden et al (2010a); º baseline values not reported and unavailable upon request.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref. Study Design</th>
<th>Exercise Type</th>
<th>Intervention Duration</th>
<th>Session Frequency</th>
<th>Details of Exercise intervention</th>
<th>Exercise Supervision</th>
<th>Instructions to Control Groups / Details of Placebo Intervention</th>
<th>Other Instructions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cassidy et al. (2016)</td>
<td>HIIT</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>3 times per week</td>
<td>Sessions consisted of 5 cycling intervals at an intensity equating to ‘very hard’ (16-17) on a Borg RPE scale. Interval length progressed by 10 seconds per week from 2 minutes in week 1 to 3 minutes 50 seconds by week 12. Intervals were interspersed with 3 minutes consisting of 90 seconds passive recovery, 60 seconds upper body resistance band exercise and 30 seconds preparation for the subsequent interval.</td>
<td>The initial exercise session was supervised by a member of the research team after which instructions were provided via voice-recordings loaded onto an iPod (Apple, CA, USA). Adherence was monitored via exercise diaries.</td>
<td>Continued standard care.</td>
<td>Participants were instructed to continue their normal routine and medical care, making no changes to diet, habitual activity or medication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuthbertson et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>3 – 5 times per week</td>
<td>Participants were given the choice of exercising on a treadmill, cross-trainer, cycle ergometer or rower. Intensity increased from 30% HRR at week 1 to 60% HRR by week 12. Frequency and duration progressed from 30 minutes, 3 times per week during week 1 to 45 minutes, 5 times per week by week 12.</td>
<td>One session per week was supervised by a trained exercise physiologist. The remaining sessions monitored via Wellness System™ (Technogym U.K. Ltd.) or by repeated telephone/email contact.</td>
<td>Education and advice about the health benefits of exercise in NAFLD.</td>
<td>Participants in the exercise groups were instructed to make no dietary modifications (confirmed by 3-day self-report food diaries) To avoid disturbance to behaviour, participants in the control group were given no instructions regarding diet or lifestyle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallsworth et al. (2011)</td>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>3 times per week</td>
<td>Sessions consisted of 8 whole-body exercises targeting large muscle groups performed as a circuit. Sessions progressed from 2 circuits at 50% 1RM during week 1 to 3 circuits at 70% 1RM by week 7. Participants were encouraged to increase the resistance each week if possible.</td>
<td>Sessions were supervised biweekly. Heart rate was recorded during every session and exercise logs were completed to monitor adherence.</td>
<td>Continued standard care.</td>
<td>None reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallsworth et al. (2015)</td>
<td>HIIT</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>3 times per week</td>
<td>Sessions consisted of 5 intervals on a cycle ergometer at an intensity equating to ‘very hard’ (16-17) on a Borg RPE scale. Interval length progressed by 10 seconds per week from 2 minutes in week 1 to 3 minutes 50 seconds by week 12. Intervals were interspersed with 3 minutes consisting of 90 seconds passive recovery, 60 seconds upper body resistance band exercise and 30 seconds preparation for the subsequent interval.</td>
<td>The first 2 exercise sessions were supervised by members of the research team after which instructions were provided via voice-recordings loaded onto an iPod (Apple, CA, USA). Exercise diaries were completed and reported completion of 33 out of 36 prescribed sessions was considered ‘adequate adherence’.</td>
<td>Continued standard care.</td>
<td>Participants were instructed to continue their normal routine and medical care, making no changes to diet, habitual activity or medication. Participants were asked to monitor and maintain body weight within 1% of baseline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Session Details</td>
<td>Supervision</td>
<td>Dietary Changes</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haus et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Uncontrolled Intervention</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>7 consecutive days&lt;br&gt;Sessions lasted 50-60 minutes consisting of 40-50 minutes of walking or running on a treadmill at 80-85% HRmax with appropriate warm-up and cool-down.</td>
<td>All exercise sessions were supervised by an exercise physiologist.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Participants were instructed to maintain normal dietary habits and habitual physical activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hickman et al. (2013)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>3 times per week&lt;br&gt;Circuit-based sessions consisting of 15 moderate-intensity (50% 1RM) resistance exercise covering the main muscle groups. Each exercise was performed for 30 seconds with 30 seconds rest, during which participants moved to the next exercise. Sessions consisted of 1 circuit (12 min) during week 1 and progressed to 5 circuits (60 min) by week 12. 1RM was re-assessed every 4 weeks.</td>
<td>All exercise sessions were supervised</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>There were no prescribed dietary changes for the exercise intervention group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houghton et al. (2017)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Combined (HIIT + Resistance)</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>3 times per week&lt;br&gt;A short HIIT session was performed made up of a 5 minute warm-up followed by 3 x 2 minute intervals at an intensity equating to ‘very hard’ (16-18) on a Borg RPE scale. Intervals were interspersed with 1 minute rest. This was immediately followed by a resistance exercise circuit that comprised of 5 whole-body exercise targeting large muscle groups. Participants lifted a weight that equated to an RPE of 14-16 (‘hard’).</td>
<td>All exercise sessions were supervised by an accredited exercise specialist and recorded to ensure adherence.</td>
<td>Continued standard care with maintenance of baseline weight.</td>
<td>Participants were instructed to maintain normal dietary habits and habitual physical activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson et al. (2009)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>3 times per week&lt;br&gt;Each session lasted 30-45 minutes consisting of 15 minute bouts of cycling with 5 minute recovery periods. Intensity was increased from 50% of pre-training VO2 peak during week 1 to 60% in week 2 and 70% in weeks 3 and 4.</td>
<td>All exercise sessions were supervised</td>
<td>30 minute home-based whole-body stretching routine.</td>
<td>Participants were instructed to maintain habitual diet throughout the study. 24 hour food records were collected on the first and final three training sessions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Exercise Details</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keating et al. (2015)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>3 sessions per week</td>
<td>Ex1 (HI:LO): 2 laboratory-based cycling sessions and 1 home-based brisk walking session per week all at the same intensity and duration. The programme progressed as follows: Week 1: 45 minutes at 50% ( \dot{V}O_2 ) peak. Week 2: Individual progression. Weeks 3-8: 60 minutes at 50% ( \dot{V}O_2 ) peak. Ex2 (LO:HI): 3 laboratory-based cycling sessions and 1 home-based brisk walking session per week all at the same intensity and duration. The programme progressed as follows: Week 1: 30 minutes at 50% ( \dot{V}O_2 ) peak. Week 2: Individual progression. Weeks 3-8: 45 minutes at 70% ( \dot{V}O_2 ) peak.</td>
<td>All laboratory exercise sessions were supervised by an accredited exercise physiologist. Adherence was 90, 96 and 94% in the HI:LO, LO:HI and control groups respectively.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langleite et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Uncontrolled Intervention</td>
<td>Combined (Aerobic + HIIT + Resistance)</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>3 times per week</td>
<td>Participants completed two whole-body strength training sessions and two sessions on a spinning bike per week. One bike session consisted of aerobic intervals for seven minutes at 85% ( HR_{max} ) with three minutes rest of active recovery against a light load between intervals. Participants completed three intervals in week one, four in weeks two-to-five and five from week six onwards. The second session consisted of two minute intervals at &gt; 90% ( HR_{max} ) with two minutes rest of active recovery against a light load between intervals. Participants completed six intervals in week one, seven in weeks two-to-five and ten from week six onwards.</td>
<td>All exercise sessions were supervised Mean attendance was 90%.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al. (2013)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Ex1: Aerobic Ex2: Resistance</td>
<td>13 weeks</td>
<td>3 times per week</td>
<td>Ex1: Aerobic exercise were performed on treadmill and/or elliptical. The programme progressed as follows: Week 1: 40 minutes at HR equating to ~50% ( \dot{V}O_2 ) peak. Weeks 2-8: 60 minutes at HR equating to ~70% ( \dot{V}O_2 ) peak. Ex2: 10 whole-body exercises targeting large muscle groups. All sessions were 60 minutes in duration. The programme progressed as follows: Weeks 1-4: 1-2 sets of 8-10 reps at 60% 1RM. Weeks 4-13: 2 sets of 8-12 reps to fatigue.</td>
<td>All exercise sessions were supervised by exercise physiology graduates. 4 participants did not complete exercise training (2 from each group). Mean (± SD) attendance was 95% (± 4.3%) and 97% (± 2.8%) in aerobic and resistance groups respectively.</td>
<td>Participants were instructed to maintain habitual diet throughout the study.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Participants completed 24 hour food records on 3 non-exercise days at baseline and during the final week of the intervention. Participants also wore a tri-axial accelerometer for 2 weeks before and after the intervention. | N/A | Participants recorded habitual diet before and after the intervention. | Participants consumed a weight-maintenance diet throughout the duration of the study. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Exercise Details</th>
<th>Study Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malin et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>7 consecutive days</td>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>Sessions lasted for approximately 60 minutes consisting of treadmill running at 85% HRmax.</td>
<td>All exercise sessions were supervised and 100% adherence was reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meex et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>Combined (Moderate Aerobic + Resistance)</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>3 times per week (2 aerobic, 1 resistance)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Aerobic: 30 minutes at 55% Wmax. Resistance: 8 whole-body exercises targeting large muscle groups with 2 sets of 8 reps at 55% MVC.</td>
<td>Training sessions were supervised with 4 participants exercising per session.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oh et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>Vibration / Acceleration</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>3 times per week</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Whole-body exercises were performed using a vertical vibration machine. Sessions lasted 40 minutes with 30 seconds in between each exercise. Each week, one ‘movement preparation’, one ‘strength and power’ and one ‘massage’ session were performed.</td>
<td>Trained staff supervised all exercise sessions to ensure correct execution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pugh et al. (2014)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>16 weeks</td>
<td>3 – 5 times per week</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Sessions consisted of a combination of treadmill and cycling exercise and progressed as follows: Weeks 1-4: 30 minutes at 30% HRR, 3 times per week. Weeks 4-8: 30 minutes at 45% HRR, 3 times per week. Weeks 8-12: 45 minutes at 45% HRR, 3 times per week. Weeks 12-16: 45 minutes at 60% HRR, 5 times per week.</td>
<td>Weekly sessions were supervised by a trained exercise physiologist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sargeant et al. (2018)</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>HIIT</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>3 times per week</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Sessions consisted of 30-second maximal sprints on cycle ergometer interspersed with 4.5-minute periods of active recovery at 50W. In addition participants completed a five-minute warm up and three-minute cool-down at 50W. Participants completed four intervals per session for the first two weeks after which an additional interval was added every two weeks, such that six intervals were completed per session in weeks five and six.</td>
<td>All exercise sessions were supervised and session attendance was 100%.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Participants were instructed to maintain normal dietary habits and habitual physical activity.

None reported

Participants received lifestyle counselling regarding diet and physical activity for NAFLD for 12 weeks prior to the intervention. This ceased at the beginning of the intervention. Participants completed 24 hour food records for 3 consecutive days and wore a uniaxial accelerometer for 2 weeks at baseline and during the final week of the intervention.

Participants in the exercise group were instructed to make no dietary modifications throughout the duration of the study, confirmed by 24 hour food diaries completed for 3 days before and after the intervention.

Participants were instructed to maintain habitual diet and lifestyle throughout the duration of the study.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Supervision</th>
<th>Compliance</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shojaee-Moradie et al. (2007)</td>
<td>Aerobic (Vigorous)</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>3 times per week</td>
<td>Exercise performed at 60-85% VO$_2$ max for a minimum of 20 minutes,</td>
<td>1 session per week was supervised by an exercise physiologist</td>
<td>Participants were asked to continue their normal diet and lifestyle habits.</td>
<td>Participants were instructed not to change dietary habits throughout the study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan et al. (2012)</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>16 weeks</td>
<td>5 times per week</td>
<td>Sessions consisted of treadmill walking.</td>
<td>1 session per week was performed under direct supervision from a member of the research team. The remaining sessions were completed at home.</td>
<td>Participants were instructed to maintain current activities of daily living and were contacted once per week to review compliance.</td>
<td>None reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van der Heijden et al. (2010a)</td>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>4 times per week</td>
<td>Sessions consisted of treadmill, elliptical or cycle ergometer exercise.</td>
<td>2 exercise sessions per week were supervised by an exercise physiologist and the remaining were performed at home with adherence monitored by recording of heart rate. On average, participants completed 91 ± 2% of prescribed sessions.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Participants were instructed to make no changes to dietary or physical activity habits during the duration of the study. Body weight was monitored two times per week to assure weight stability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van der Heijden et al. (2010b)</td>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>2 times per week</td>
<td>Sessions lasted 60 minutes and consisted of 10 whole-body resistance exercises targeting large muscle groups. The programme progressed as follows: Weeks 1-2: 2-3 sets of 8-12 reps at 50% of 3RM. Weeks 3-8: individual progression increasing firstly by number of reps followed by weight. Weeks 9-12: 3 sets of 15-20 reps at 80-85% 3RM.</td>
<td>All exercise sessions per week were supervised by an exercise physiologist. On average, participants completed 96 ± 1% of the prescribed sessions.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Participants were instructed to make no changes to dietary or physical activity habits during the duration of the study. Body weight was monitored two times per week to assure weight stability.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Zhang et al. (2016)**

| RCT | Aerobic | 24 weeks | 5 times per week | Sessions lasted 30 minutes.  
In the moderate exercise group, participants walked briskly at approximately 120 steps per minutes so that their heart rate was 45 to 55% of predicted HR\textsubscript{max}.  
In the vigorous exercise group, participants jogged at an intensity that elicited 65 to 80% of their predicted HR\textsubscript{max}.  
Participants were supervised during the first two to four weeks of training to familiarise themselves with the correct exercise intensity. Participant also attended bi-weekly health education sessions.  
Participants then performed sessions at a local community centre and received twice-weekly telephone calls to assess adherence. Participants in the moderate group were given pedometers to monitor training step rate.  
Participants were instructed to maintain physical activity habits and attended bi-weekly health education sessions that were held separately to those attended by the intervention groups.  
Participants were instructed to make no changes to their diet throughout the duration of the study. |

*Study did not include ‘standard care’ or ‘no intervention’ group. Patients were randomised to either exercise or dietary interventions; ‡ Manuscript refers to same study as van der Heijden et al (2009).*
10.12 Appendix XII: Details of pooled hepatokine analyses

10.12.1 Methods

FGF21, follistatin and fetuin-A were measured in each laboratory-based study of this thesis (Chapters 4, 5 and 6), whilst LECT2 was investigated in Chapters 4 and 6 only. Samples pre-treated with lithium heparin, which are required for the LECT2 ELISA used in this thesis, were not collected in Chapter 5. SeP was measured in Chapter 4 only and therefore could not be included pooled analyses.

Fasted plasma hepatokine concentrations and other common descriptive variables were pooled from each laboratory-based experimental chapter and were assessed for their suitability for parametric statistical testing as outlined in General Methods (see Section 3.16). Due to heterogeneous variances between groups, Kruskal-Wallis tests were used to explore differences in participant characteristics and fasted hepatokine concentrations between the groups of individuals recruited in each chapter. The two groups in Chapter 4 were included separately. Significant main effects were explored post hoc using Mann-Whitney U tests and P-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Holm-Bonferroni correction (Holm, 1979). Pearson’s and Spearman’s correlations were performed, as appropriate, to explore relationships between fasted hepatokine concentrations and markers of adiposity or insulin resistance, as well as circulating lipids (TG and total cholesterol) and cardiorespiratory fitness.

10.12.2 Results

Participant characteristics of each group are presented in Table 10.8. By design, the individuals in each of the overweight/obese groups had greater BMI, BF% and WC, compared to the normal weight individuals (Figure 10.1a-b; all post-hoc pairwise comparisons \( P \leq 0.004 \)). BF% was greater still in the individuals with overweight or obesity and dysglycaemia compared to those with overweight or obesity alone \( (P = 0.045) \) and circulating lipids were also higher in each of the overweight/obese groups \( (P < 0.04) \). HOMA-IR was greater in individuals with dysglycaemia or NALFD compared to those without \( (P \leq 0.003) \) and there was a progressive increase in Adipo-IR throughout the groups, with the highest value detected in the individuals with NAFLD \( (P < 0.04) \).

Absolute \( \dot{V}O_2 \) peak was lower only in the overweight/obese dysglycaemic individuals compared to the other three groups \( (P < 0.04) \) but these individuals were also significantly older \( (P < 0.001) \). Conversely, individuals with dysglycaemia or NAFLD had lower relative
\( V'\text{O}_2 \) peak (normalised to total body weight) compared with the normal weight normoglycaemic participants \((P < 0.01)\). It should be noted that relative \( V'\text{O}_2 \) peak was significantly lower in the overweight/obese normoglycaemic individuals compared to the normal weight normoglycaemic group when these data were analysed in isolation (Chapter 4). Furthermore, in these pooled analyses, the uncorrected \( P \)-value for the pairwise comparison between these groups was also statistically significant \((P = 0.027)\), but became non-significant \((P = 0.08)\) after correction for multiple comparisons.

Compared to normal weight individuals, FGF21 was greater in each of the overweight or obese groups (Figure 10.1c; \( P \leq 0.024)\), but there were no further differences between overweight/obese individuals with normoglycaemia, dysglycaemia or NAFLD \((P \geq 0.81)\). As reported in Chapter 4, fasted LECT2 was higher in the overweight/obese participants than the normal weight controls, when all individuals were normoglycaemic \((P = 0.023)\). However, fasted concentrations in individuals with NAFLD were not significantly different from either of the normoglycaemic groups (unadjusted \( P = 0.07)\). Conversely, fasted concentrations of follistatin were greater in individuals with dysglycaemia or NAFLD (Figure 10.1e; \( P < 0.0001)\) but, as presented in Chapter 4, there were no differences between normal weight or overweight/obese individuals with normal glycaemic control \((P = 0.19)\). Fasted concentrations of plasma fetuin-A were greater in individuals with dysglycaemia compared to overweight/obese individuals with normal glycaemic control (Figure 10.1f; \( P = 0.01)\) but there were no other differences between groups, including the normal weight individuals (unadjusted \( P \geq 0.07)\).

Table 10.9 presents details of significant correlations between fasted circulating hepatokine concentrations (FGF21, follistatin and fetuin-A only) and markers of cardiometabolic health. Circulating concentrations of FGF21, follistatin and fetuin-A were positively associated with one another and each were positively correlated with markers of adiposity (BMI, WC and/or BF\%). There were no relationships between fasted hepatokine concentrations and fasted circulating glucose (\(|r| \leq 0.26, P \geq 0.10)\) and only follistatin was positively correlated with circulating NEFA. However, each hepatokine was positively associated with circulating insulin and, in turn, with HOMA-IR and Adipo-IR (all \( P < 0.01)\). Circulating fetuin-A was negatively associated with absolute \( V'\text{O}_2 \) peak, whilst the relationships with follistatin and FGF21 approached statistical significance (Table 10.9). Each hepatokine was, however, significantly negatively correlated with \( V'\text{O}_2 \) peak normalised relative to body weight.
Table 10.8 Participant characteristics, including fasted circulating hepatokines, in participants recruited in exercise laboratory-based experimental chapter of this thesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Chapter 4 Normal Weight Normoglycaemic</th>
<th>Chapter 4 Overweight/Obese Normoglycaemic</th>
<th>Chapter 5 Overweight/Obese Dysglycaemic</th>
<th>Chapter 6 Overweight/Obese NAFLD</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>11/0</td>
<td>11/0</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>9/0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>30 (24 – 52)</td>
<td>51 (33 – 56)</td>
<td>69 (67 – 71)</td>
<td>39 (35 – 50)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anthropometry</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg·m⁻²)</td>
<td>23.4 (22.4 – 24.0) †</td>
<td>29.2 (27.0 – 31.5)</td>
<td>28.8 (28.4 – 32.5)</td>
<td>32.0 (29.1 – 33.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>16.9 ± 3.6 a</td>
<td>26.4 ± 4.0</td>
<td>35.7 ± 6.0 †</td>
<td>28.7 ± 3.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>81.6 ± 5.3 a</td>
<td>96.0 ± 7.8</td>
<td>101.7 ± 6.4</td>
<td>111.3 ± 7.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insulin Sensitivity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasted circulating glucose (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.5 c</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasted plasma NEFA (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasted circulating insulin (mU·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>26.1 (22 – 33.8)</td>
<td>32.9 (23.0 – 39.1)</td>
<td>63.7 (50.5 – 99.1)</td>
<td>101.2 (83.3 – 127.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.39</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.67</td>
<td>2.89 ± 1.19 †</td>
<td>3.67 ± 0.96 †</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adipo-IR</td>
<td>12.6 ± 9.0 a</td>
<td>22.0 ± 13.0 b</td>
<td>35.2 ± 12.5 †</td>
<td>61.8 ± 20.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiorespiratory Fitness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute VO₂ peak (L·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.74</td>
<td>3.21 ± 1.21</td>
<td>2.19 ± 0.50 †</td>
<td>3.23 ± 0.41</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative VO₂ peak (mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>50.1 ± 11.9</td>
<td>38.5 ± 9.7</td>
<td>26.5 ± 4.6 h</td>
<td>31.8 ± 4.8 h</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Circulating Lipids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.64 – 1.33) a</td>
<td>1.79 (1.11 – 2.31)</td>
<td>1.36 (1.19 – 2.31)</td>
<td>2.18 (1.27 – 2.60)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cholesterol (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.12 ± 0.73 a</td>
<td>4.91 ± 0.89</td>
<td>5.18 ± 0.91</td>
<td>4.88 ± 0.59</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hepatokines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF21 (pg·mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>64 (32 – 144) a</td>
<td>183 (133 – 266)</td>
<td>143 (133 – 386)</td>
<td>176 (110 – 505)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follistatin (pg·mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>795 ± 257</td>
<td>670 ± 154</td>
<td>2077 ± 480 h</td>
<td>2381 ± 909</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetuin-A (µg·mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>541 ± 137</td>
<td>497 ± 99</td>
<td>682 ± 141 h</td>
<td>608 ± 138</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LECT2 (ng·mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>30.8 ± 9.9 a</td>
<td>47.6 ± 17.2</td>
<td>Not Measured</td>
<td>40.0 ± 4.9</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In Chapter 4 and 5, glucose and insulin are measured in plasma. In Chapter 6, glucose and insulin were measured in whole blood and serum, respectively. a normal weight normoglycaemic group significantly different from each other group (P < 0.05); b overweight/obese normoglycaemic group significantly different from each other group (P < 0.05); c overweight/obese dysglycaemic group significantly different from each other group (P < 0.05); d overweight/obese NAFLD group significantly different from each other group (P < 0.05); e significantly different from normal weight normoglycaemic and overweight/obese NAFLD groups (P < 0.05); f significantly different from both normoglycaemic groups (P < 0.05); g significantly different from normal weight normoglycaemic group (P < 0.01); h significantly different from overweight/obese dysglycaemic group.
Figure 10.1 Comparisons of adiposity, insulin resistance and fasted hepatokine concentrations in laboratory-based experimental studies (Chapters 4, 5 and 6). Data presented as mean ± SD unless otherwise stated; # indicates that one of more groups were not normally distributed and thus data presented as median (IQR). To allow consistent presentation, some data may appear as a different summary statistic to that reported in the respective chapter of this thesis.
### Table 10.9 Statistically significant relationships (and selected others) between fasted hepatokines concentrations and markers of cardiometabolic health in the pooled population of individuals recruited in this thesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fasted Circulating FGF21 Correlation Co-efficient (P-value)</th>
<th>Fasted Circulating Follistatin Correlation Co-efficient (P-value)</th>
<th>Fasted Circulating Fetuin-A Correlation Co-efficient (P-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anthropometry</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>rho = 0.37 (P = 0.014)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Body weight</strong></td>
<td><strong>r = 0.57 (P &lt; 0.001)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI</strong></td>
<td><strong>r = 0.58 (P &lt; 0.001)</strong></td>
<td><strong>rho = 0.21 (P = 0.009)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BF%</strong></td>
<td><strong>r = 0.47 (P = 0.002)</strong></td>
<td><strong>rho = 0.52 (P &lt; 0.001)</strong></td>
<td><strong>r = 0.43 (P = 0.005)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WC</strong></td>
<td><strong>r = 0.66 (P &lt; 0.001)</strong></td>
<td><strong>rho = 0.54 (P &lt; 0.001)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insulin Sensitivity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fasted Circulating Glucose</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fasted Circulating Insulin</strong></td>
<td><strong>rho = 0.46 (P = 0.002)</strong></td>
<td><strong>rho = 0.70 (P &lt; 0.001)</strong></td>
<td><strong>r = 0.46 (P = 0.002)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HOMA-IR</strong></td>
<td><strong>rho = 0.46 (P = 0.002)</strong></td>
<td><strong>rho = 0.71 (P &lt; 0.001)</strong></td>
<td><strong>r = 0.45 (P = 0.003)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEFA</strong></td>
<td><strong>r = 0.55 (P &lt; 0.001)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adipo-IR</strong></td>
<td><strong>r = 0.67 (P &lt; 0.001)</strong></td>
<td><strong>rho = 0.65 (P &lt; 0.001)</strong></td>
<td><strong>r = 0.42 (P = 0.006)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Circulating Lipids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fasted Plasma Triglyceride</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>rho = 0.35 (P = 0.02)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cholesterol</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiorespiratory Fitness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute $\dot{V}O_2$ peak</td>
<td>[<strong>rho = -0.30 (P = 0.053)</strong>]</td>
<td>[<strong>rho = -0.28 (P = 0.071)</strong>]</td>
<td><strong>r = -0.34 (P = 0.003)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative $\dot{V}O_2$ peak</td>
<td><strong>r = -0.59 (P &lt; 0.001)</strong></td>
<td><strong>rho = -0.44 (P = 0.003)</strong></td>
<td><strong>r = -0.36 (P = 0.002)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hepatokines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasted Circulating FGF21</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>rho = 0.36 (P = 0.02)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasted Circulating Follistatin</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>rho = 0.51 (P = 0.001)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shaded areas represent no significant correlations between variables. FGF21 and fetuin-A data were log transformed prior to parametric analyses; follistatin data were not normally distributed even when log transformed so non-parametric analyses were performed; # indicates independent variable was log transformed prior to analysis.