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Overview

- Aim of Research.
- Diagnostic method - Digraphs.
- Application - Aircraft Fuel System.
- Results.
- Conclusions.
Aim of Research

- Method to deal with key characteristics: DIGRAPHS.

- Qualitative causal model which illustrates the cause and effect behaviour in a system.

- Digraphs comprise:
  i. Set of nodes, representing system process variables.
  ii. Edges (lines) illustrating the inter-relationships which exist between process variables.
  iii. Deviations: 0, +/-1, +/-5, +/-10.
An Example of a Simple Digraph

- M1: mass flow at location 1 - independent variable.
- M2: mass flow at location 2 - dependant variable.
- Two arcs:
  - ‘+1’ signed - normal.
  - ‘0: V1 closed’ signed - conditional.
1) Define system to be analysed.

2) Compile list of system component failures.

3) Separate system into sub-units.

4) Identify control loops, if present.

5) Generate digraph models for the sub-units.

6) Form system digraph by connecting any common variables from the sub-unit models.
Fuel System

- Represents aircraft fuel system.
- Active supply tanks: main, wing and collector.
- Engine tank treated as ‘tanker’.
- System behaviour:
  - 7 flow transmitters.
  - 6 pressure transmitters.
  - 4 level transmitters.
Main Tank Schematic

Operating Modes: Dormant & Active

Component Failure Modes: 43
Fuel System Digraph

- The unit model for main tank:
  - 242 nodes
    - 43 process variables
    - 199 component failure modes
    - 140 of 199 being pipe failures

- Full system digraph:
  - 3 tanks combined.
  - 842 nodes;
    - 151 are process variable nodes
    - 691 are component failure mode nodes
1) Diagnostics is based on comparing retrieved sensor readings with those expected.

2) Given the presence of a deviation, diagnosis involves:
   - Noting the location of the given deviation.
   - Noting the location of non-deviations.
   - Back-trace to find deviation causes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVE</th>
<th>LT0110</th>
<th>FT0100</th>
<th>FT0110</th>
<th>PT0110/PT0120</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retrieved</td>
<td>&gt;PSO</td>
<td>No flow</td>
<td>Flow</td>
<td>Pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;PSO</td>
<td>No flow</td>
<td>No flow</td>
<td>Pressure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- PFT110(-10) $\Rightarrow$ M117(-10) \\
  $\Rightarrow$ P117B, P117R.

- M117(-10) \\
  $\Rightarrow$ M108(-10) AND M116(-10).

- M108(-10) \\
  $\Rightarrow$ P108B/R, BP110B/C.

- 83 failure mode options: \\
  - 2 single order. \\
  - 81 second order.
Component failure mode results are consistent with recorded sensor readings.

Flagging of non-deviating sections removes conflicting results, also reduces number of determined fault combinations.

Digraph suitable method for steady state diagnostic analysis for fuel tank system.

Future work: Specific fault identification, dynamics.