Evolving readable Perl

This item was submitted to Loughborough University’s Institutional Repository by the/an author.


Additional Information:

- This is a conference paper [© Morgan Kaufmann Publishers (Elsevier)] and the definitive version is available from: www.elsevierdirect.com

Metadata Record: https://dspace.lboro.ac.uk/2134/3910

Publisher: © Morgan Kaufmann Publishers (Elsevier)

Please cite the published version.
This item was submitted to Loughborough’s Institutional Repository (https://dspace.lboro.ac.uk/) by the author and is made available under the following Creative Commons Licence conditions.

![Creative Commons Licence Deed]

**Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 2.5**

You are free:

- to copy, distribute, display, and perform the work

Under the following conditions:

**Attribution.** You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor.

**Noncommercial.** You may not use this work for commercial purposes.

**No Derivative Works.** You may not alter, transform, or build upon this work.

- For any reuse or distribution, you must make clear to others the license terms of this work.
- Any of these conditions can be waived if you get permission from the copyright holder.

Your fair use and other rights are in no way affected by the above.

This is a human-readable summary of the Legal Code (the full license).

For the full text of this licence, please go to:
http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/
1 INTRODUCTION

A program is informally deemed readable, for the purpose of this experiment, if it is easy for a person to follow the steps that the program takes to solve the problem. In this experiment, readability is achieved by constraining the available syntax for generating solutions.

The Genetic Programming (GP) system created uses the target language Perl because it is an interpreted, untyped, robust procedural language which has good error handling and recovery.

2 GENETIC PROGRAM

The genotype and phenotype have been separated to make genetic manipulation simpler. Each program is represented as a fixed-length integer array and then mapped onto Backus-Naur Form (BNF). The program statements used are shown in Figure 1a. The BNF is designed to minimise the size of the genome that describes a program. The mapping, between the genotype and phenotype, is similar to Grammatical Evolution [2].

The GP was tested using the symbolic regression problem $X^4 + X^3 + X^2 + X[1]$. A population size of 500 and mutation rate of 1 gene in 5000 were used for the test problem. The population was initialised randomly and each test run was of 100 generations. The fitness values for the programs were given as the absolute difference between the target value and the actual value.

3 RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

All results were of the correct order ($X^4$) and 5 out of the 8 test runs produced entirely correct solutions. An example of an optimal program is given in Figure 1b.

The results of the experiment were encouraging. As a comparison the solution evolved by Koza [1] is given in Figure 1c, which is only really understandable by LISP users.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>_STMT</th>
<th>FORMAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assign</td>
<td>$X = Y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add</td>
<td>$X = Y + Z$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub</td>
<td>$X = Y - Z$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mul</td>
<td>$X = Y \times Z$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If</td>
<td>if($X$ cmp $Y$){</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for $X$(0..$Y$){</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$res = \text{Evolved Code}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$res = \text{Evolved Code}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End</td>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) STMT FORMAT

(b) Example of code produced to solve the problem.

Figure 1: (a) List of program statements used. (b) Example of code produced to solve the problem. (c) LISP result from Koza[1].
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