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Transient high-frequency elasto-acoustic response of a vehicular drivetrain to sudden throttle demand

S Vafaei¹, M Menday² and H Rahnejat¹*
¹Wolfson School of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK
²Powertrain Engineering, Ford Engineering and Research Centre, Dunton, UK

Abstract: This paper presents an experimental investigation of the high-frequency structural response of vehicular powertrain systems when subjected to sudden surge in output torque demand as in throttle tip-in from coast to drive condition. The lightly damped drivetrain system has many lash zones represented by low stiffness components and thin shell elements with large modal densities. The high-frequency noise and structural dynamic modes excited under impact conditions through low lash rate zones are of short transitory nature but a major concern to vehicle drivers and other road users alike. An investigation of the root cause of these transitory events requires state-of-the-art signal processing techniques at reasonably high sampling rates. This paper presents the results of an investigation into the driveline clonk problem and establishes the relationship between this phenomenon and the low-frequency shuffle of the vehicle.

Keywords: vehicular powertrain systems, transient high-frequency response, drivetrain shuffle, autoregression moving average method, wavelets, clonk phenomenon

NOTATION

\( a_k \) autoregressive coefficients
\( b_k \) moving average coefficients
\( E \) expectation
\( f \) frequency
\( h \) coefficient
\( n \) input to filtration process
\( N \) number of samples for a random process
\( N_s \) number of given data segments
\( p \) autoregression order
\( q \) order for the moving average process
\( R_{xx}(\tau) \) autoregression function
\( S^b_p \) sum of the forward or backward squared prediction errors
\( t \) time
\( x(t) \) time series function
\( \hat{x} \) reversal of \( x \)
\( x^* \) complex conjugate of \( x \)
\( x^T \) transpose of \( x \)
\( ||x|| \) Euclidean norm of \( x \)
\( \mathbf{\gamma}_p \) forward and backward reflection coefficients for order \( p \)
\( \delta_{n} \) delta function
\( e_p(n) \) prediction error of the autoregression order \( p \)
\( \delta^b_p(n) \) backward prediction error for order \( p \)
\( \rho(f) \) power spectral density
\( \sigma^2 \) prediction error variance estimate
\( \nabla_a \) gradient with respect to the vector parameter \( a \)

1 INTRODUCTION

The vehicular powertrain system comprises engine, clutch, transmission system, driveshafts, rear axle and the connections to the vehicle body. It is a complex assembly of active and reactive dynamic elements. The drivetrain is highly non-linear and lightly damped, and thus readily excited by engine and road inputs. The driveline is a source of noise, vibration and harshness (NVH) concerns with a large spectrum of response frequencies. This paper is primarily concerned with high-
frequency structural–acoustic modes, commonly referred to as driveline clonk, a short-duration, audible, high-frequency transient response. This phenomenon occurs as a result of load reversals and in the presence of lashes in the system. The load reversal is usually caused by torsional impact of contacting elements as in meshing gear teeth through take-up of backlash. The low-frequency torsional oscillations of the powertrain system are referred to as shuffle. This is coupled with the longitudinal fore and aft motions of the vehicle, known as shunt.

Traditionally, there has been an assortment of powertrain NVH problems that have received attention to varying degrees. These include transmission problems such as rattle and whine, driveline shuffle and vehicle shunt [1–3] and clutch judder [4, 5]. Some other problematic NVH concerns have received much less attention, although their appearance has had a profound effect upon customers’ perception of vehicle driveability. These include in-cycle clutch pedal vibration and the associated audible noise (250–600 Hz), referred to in industry as whoop [6, 7], and the almost infinite structural modal response of the drivetrain components, manifested by audible, ringing, radiated noise, onomatopoetically referred to as clonk (300–4000 Hz) [8, 9].

Although these phenomena manifest themselves in completely different manners, their underlying causes can be traced back to the structural compliance of the system components, the assembly configuration and piece-to-piece manufacturing variations. Furthermore, the source of excitation is the same (i.e. the engine power torque) [10], while the transfer paths of propagation remain distinct. However, the solution to one problem can inadvertently give rise to another and indeed accentuate the effect of other drivetrain NVH concerns. The interactions between the various NVH concerns have been largely ignored, such as the clonk response with each cycle of shuffle, when the vehicle is subjected to throttle tip-in and throttle tip-out excitations [8, 9]. Therefore, a holistic solution, involving the fundamental physical understanding of these problems, is essential and indeed long overdue.

The current available solutions have been palliative, such as the inclusion of a ‘fix’ on the clutch release lever to reduce the clutch pedal whoop problem [11] or foam-filled drive shafts to reduce the effect of driveline clonk [12]. These palliative solutions often lead to impairment of ideal functions, as well as increasing the cost of manufacture, while still not eliminating the problem at hand. Therefore, manufacturing competitiveness is adversely affected, not only by increased costs but also by potentially reduced custom.

Rapid throttle demands are converted to system torsional impulses. The driver requires a responsive vehicle. The ill-configured driveline, however, can excite an unwanted low-frequency torsional mode of vibration known as shuffle. Clonk may be heard on the first cycle of the shuffle response. Krenz [1], Hrovat [13] and Tobler and Tsangerides [14] have studied the relationship between audible clonk and shuffle. They have all shown that the first swing on the transient torque, which can be 2–3 times that of the steady state response, is perceived as a short-duration jerk pertaining to clonk. The initial clonk response is then followed by the shuffle cycles. In severe cases, multiple clonks may occur with each cycle of the shuffle response. The shuffle frequency is usually in the range 2–7 Hz, with the clonk duration varying between 0.25 and 5 ms, both depending on driveline configuration and structural materials.

Tsangerides et al. [15] have also made an important contribution in the torsional analysis of drivelines, using a lumped parameter model, including the effect of backlash using zero-rated springs. They defined tip-in jerk as the rate of change in vehicle acceleration, which may be accompanied with an audible clonk. Petri and Heldingsfeld [16] have also observed that sudden throttle change transforms into vehicle acceleration/deceleration, which may excite the system shuffle, accompanied with a hard metallic clonk. They observed such acceleration peaks at the input shaft and the differential for a rear wheel drive (RWD) vehicle.

It is therefore envisaged that the NVH spectrum will contain contributions from low frequencies, mainly owing to rigid response of the entire system to high-frequency responses due to flexure and combined torsion–deflection modes of the system components such as the driveshaft torque tubes. The low-frequency content is accounted for by shuffle and driveline angulation, and these can be obtained by rigid multi-body analysis [15, 16]. The high-frequency content of the spectrum is expected to be due to the response of the elastic members, which can be obtained by structural modal analysis.

Arnold and Warburton [17] have shown that, for thin cylindrical shells, an infinite number of axial vibration modes, m, are theoretically possible, each with a corresponding number of circumferential forms, n. Therefore, to define a mode, the m and n values must be specified. Forsberg [18] used a method outlined originally by Flugge in 1934 and concluded, as did Arnold and Warburton [17], that for any given set of values (m, n) there are three natural frequencies, corresponding to three mode shapes that are obtained by the solution of the cubic energy equation.

2 DESCRIPTION OF THE EXPERIMENTAL RIG

There have been only a few investigations of drivetrain structural–acoustic response. A recent experimental investigation by Biermann and Hagerodt [2] has shown that repeatable clonk conditions can be achieved and measured by experimental rigs that include all elements...
of a drivetrain system, subjected to an instantaneously released preload torque. Their experiments, carried out for a front wheel drive system, have pointed to a cost effective way of investigating clonk. Their approach is extended in this paper to study the clonk response of a two-piece rear-wheel driveline system.

The experimental investigation must consider the movements of every transmission and structural component along the torque path when excited by a torsional input. As high-frequency vibrations are responsible for the clonk response of the system, monitoring of accelerations of structural elements is required at a multitude of locations.

Figure 1a depicts an experimental static drivetrain rig for the typical two-piece drivetrain of a light diesel truck. The rig includes a flywheel, clutch system, transmission, a two-piece driveshaft with the centre journal bearing, the differential and the rear axle assembly including hubs and drums. Therefore, it includes all the lash zones in the drivetrain system. The transmission casing is fixed to the ground through compliant mounts, and the bell housing, the driveshafts and the rear axle are mounted upon bed plates fixed to the ground. The driveshaft assembly universal joint angles are set to nominal with no suspension test latitude. The clamped clutch transmits the flywheel impulse torque to the transmission input shaft via the torsional friction disc springs when a preload torque is released. The transmission is engaged in the second gear. Figure 1b is a schematic representation of the drivetrain rig, indicating the positions of fixed piezo-accelerometers and the mounted microphones.

A specified preload torque was applied via a low inertial disc brake system to the drivetrain rig to take up all the lash elements and fully compress the clutch disc springs. The stored energy was then instantaneously released in the form of a ramp sawtooth pulse. The duration of the torsional pulse was varied in the range 80–180 ms as required, inducing a generated lash take-up of the order of 1–2 ms, of sufficiently short period to excite the higher-frequency structural modes. The 1–2 ms impact was followed by approximately 30 ms of driveshaft tube ringing (see Fig. 2). The input ramp torque is found to be repeatable and reproducible.

The cycle can repeat itself (be it at lower oscillation levels) as cycles of shuffle, the frequency of which is around 3–7 Hz in this case. Very good correlation is
found between the vibration and noise responses between the rig and vehicle condition (see Fig. 3). In Fig. 3, the results related to the experimental rig were obtained by a microphone placed near a flange which acts as a floor mounting for the transmission bell housing. The results corresponding to the road test data were obtained using a microphone mounted on the vehicle chassis in the vicinity of the transmission. Low-frequency vehicle shunt and the coupled powertrain shuffle can occur when the test vehicle is jacked up under the rear axle, while driven in second gear (in this case) on a chassis dynamometer. The handbrake is usually lightly applied to provide some resistance and the clutch is abruptly applied. The results are deemed acceptable if the clonk response is subjectively rated to be the same as that discerned when driving on the road.

It is thought that the driver perceives airborne noise when the door windows are lowered. Other road users also perceive the sound reverberation by the bouncing back echo from the roadside boundaries or by reflection from the parked vehicles. When the window is closed, noise is perceived as a thud through the cabin by the structure-borne noise. To corroborate this, it was found necessary to undertake acoustic modal analysis of driveshaft tubes, using a modified boundary element technique reported in reference [19]. This study corroborated the elasto-acoustic coupling in the driveshaft pieces. It was shown that the acoustic modes occur at regular intervals of 390–400 Hz for the rear torque tube. In fact, there are significant contributions at or around 390, 780, 1170 and 1560 Hz. These fall very close to the spectrum of vibration obtained experimentally (see Fig. 4). It can therefore be hypothesized that torque reversals may be responsible for the torsional–deflection response of elastic members (such as the driveshaft pieces) and that such torque reversals occur owing to impact loads with sudden throttle demand. To substantiate this hypothesis it was necessary to devise the experimental rig reported above.

Calibrated accelerometers are located and adhered to the various locations shown in Fig. 1b. In order to place an accelerometer pick-up at the ring gear position, the axle was tested without lubrication. Microphones were also placed at the transmission and the rear axle locations. Twelve-channel data acquisition was carried out at a data sampling rate of 12 500 samples per second, corresponding to a time interval of 80 ms.

Figure 4 shows a typical spectral composition for a driveshaft tube, obtained by an impact test and indicating high-frequency contents in the range 1.5–5 kHz. In fact, significant contributions occur in the 1–1.5, 2.25 and 2.75–3.25 kHz regions for the front tube and in the 1.75, 2.5–2.75 and 3.5–3.75 kHz regions for the rear tube. Note that the figure indicates three different spectra. One is referred to as the baseline response for unfilled hollow tubes. The other two indicate the reduction in amplitudes of vibration when the tubes are either filled with foam or wound with steel strip.
3 METHOD OF SIGNAL PROCESSING

3.1 Estimation of power spectral density

Estimation of the power spectral density (PSD), or simply the spectrum of discretely sampled deterministic or stochastic processes, is usually based upon procedures that employ Fourier transformation. This approach is computationally efficient in many applications, but there are some inherent limitations. The most prominent shortcoming of this approach is that of frequency resolution, i.e. the ability to distinguish between the spectral contributions. The other limitation is due to the implicit windowing of the sampled data, which occurs when processing with fast Fourier transformation (FFT). Windowing can manifest itself as ‘leakage’: the spectral domain energy in the main lobe of a spectral response leaks into side lobes, obscuring and distorting other spectral contributions which may be present there. These limitations become significant when studying the short transient response of systems, particularly at high frequencies. It can then be concluded that, for ‘stiff’ systems with widely split eigenvalues, spectral decomposition techniques based upon FFT are unsafe.

For the case of stationary processes, the autocorrelation function can provide the basis for spectral analysis, rather than the random process $x(t)$ itself. Therefore

$$R_{xx}(\tau) = E[x(t + \tau)x^*(t)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The autocorrelation function $R_{xx}(\tau)$ for a stochastic wide-sense discrete process $x_n$ is defined in this paper as the expectation of the product $x_{n+k}x_n^*$, where $x_n$ is assumed to have a zero mean value. The Wiener–Khinchin theorem relates the autocorrelation function via the Fourier transform to the power spectral density (PSD), $\rho(f)$, as

$$\rho(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_{xx}(\tau) e^{-j2\pi f \tau} \, d\tau$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

In practical circumstances, the statistical autocorrelation function is not usually known. Thus, an additional assumption is often made by considering the random process as ergodic in the first and second moments. This property allows for the substitution of time averages for ensemble averages. For an ergodic process, therefore, the statistical autocorrelation function may be represented as

$$R_{xx}(\tau) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T}^{T} x(t + \tau)x^*(t) \, dt$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

An obvious companion autocorrelation estimate, based upon the above equation, is the unbiased estimator

$$R_{xx}(m) = \frac{1}{N - m} \sum_{n=0}^{N-m-1} x_{n+m}x_n^*$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

for $m = 1, 2, \ldots, M$, where $M \leq N - 1$.

The negative lag estimates are determined from the positive lag estimates, in accordance with the conjugate symmetric property of the autocorrelation function of a stationary process, as

$$R_{xx}(-m) = R_{xx}^*(m)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
The autocorrelation estimate proposed by Jenkins and Watts [20] and Parzen [21, 22] tends to have a lesser least-square error than equation (4) and is employed in the current analysis as

\[ R_{xx}(m) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-m-1} x_{n+m} x_n \]  

where \( n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots, M \).

### 3.2 The Autoregression moving average (ARMA) method

The short transient high-frequency responses such as the clonk phenomenon described above should be investigated using the autoregression moving average (ARMA) method. In this case the model assumes that a time series, \( x_n \), can be represented as the output of a \( p \)-pole and \( q \)-zero filter, excited by white noise:

\[ x_n = \sum_{k=1}^{p} a_k x_{n-k} + \sum_{k=0}^{q} b_k n_{n-k} \]  

The poles of the filter are assumed to be within the unit circle of the \( Z \) plane. This most general linear model is termed an ARMA model. Once the parameters of the ARMA \((p, q)\) model are identified, their spectral estimate can be obtained as

\[ \rho_{\hat{f}}(f) = \frac{\sigma^2 \Delta t \left| 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{q} b_k e^{j2\pi f \Delta t} \right|^2}{\left| 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{p} a_k e^{j2\pi f \Delta t} \right|^2} \]  

The relationship for the ARMA parameters with the autocorrelation function is obtained by multiplying the above equation by the term \( x_{n-k}^* \) and taking the expectation \( E[n_n x_{n-k}^*] \) to yield

\[ R_{xx}(l) = -\sum_{k=1}^{p} a_k R_{xx}(l-k) + \sum_{k=0}^{q} b_k R_{nk}(l-k) \]  

where \( R_{nn}(k) = E[n_n x_{n-k}^*] \).

As a future input to a causal, stable filter cannot affect the present output, and as \( n_n \) is considered as white noise, then \( R_{yn}(k) = 0 \) for \( k > 0 \). Therefore, using the Yule–Walker equations gives

\[ E[n_n x_{n-k}^*] = \begin{cases} 0 & k > 0 \\ \sigma^2 h_{-k}^* & k = 0 \end{cases} \]

and the following relations can be obtained:

\[ R_{xx}(l) = \begin{cases} -\sum_{k=1}^{p} a_k R_{xx}(l-k) + \sigma^2 \sum_{k=0}^{q} b_k h_{-k}^* & \text{for } l = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots, q \\ -\sum_{k=1}^{p} a_k R_{xx}(l-k) & \text{for } l = q + 1, q + 2, \ldots, q + p \end{cases} \]  

Many different techniques have been proposed for estimation of the autoregression moving average (ARMA) parameters. These usually require matrix computation methods with or without iterative optimization. With the above Yule–Walker equations, it is possible first to estimate the autoregression (AR) parameters independently of the moving average (MA) parameters for \( l > q \) [23]. The solution to this matrix equation can be obtained by a number of methods, including autocorrelation, covariance and modified covariance techniques, as well as by the proposed Burg [24–31] and Levinson recursive [32, 33] algorithms. The Burg method is employed in this paper. The method is set out in Appendix 1.

Once the AR parameters, \([a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p]\), have been determined, an appropriate technique may be employed to determine the parameters \([b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_q]\). This is performed here using the Shanks method [34] (see Appendix 2).

The most important action is to determine the order of the ARMA model. In most applications, the denominator order in equation (8), \( p \), is more critical than the numerator order, \( q \), as this determines the number of ‘modes’ or natural frequencies present in the signal [35]. It is desired to obtain \( p \) and \( q \) through a stochastic process. A common method of achieving this is through the use of AIC (Akaike information criterion) [36–42], in order to minimize the function

\[ \text{AIC}(p, q) = N_s \ln \sigma^2 + 2(p + q) \]  

### 3.3 Wavelet analysis

With wavelet analysis, an arbitrary square integrable function can be decomposed into, or constructed from, shifted and dilated versions of another square integrable function. Therefore, for a particular application, there may exist optimal wavelet representations that would behave better than others. Given that for a complex vibration signal a suitable wavelet form is identified, it may be possible to narrow around a given frequency range [43]. This is particularly useful in the cases of
Localized effects, where resonant frequencies become dominant with given events [44].

It is possible to decompose any arbitrary signal \( f(x) \) into its wavelet components. The approach is the same as in harmonic analysis, except that, instead of breaking a signal down into harmonic functions of different frequencies, the signal is broken down into wavelets of different scale (different level) and different positions along the abscissa. For example, consider a finite length of a signal \( f(x) \), assumed to be known over the interval \( 0 \leq x < 1 \). The signal can be represented as a constant level (for all wavelets of levels less than zero), plus wavelets of all levels above zero, and thus

\[
f(x) = a_0 + a_1 w(x) + a_2 w(2x) + a_3 (2x - 1) + a_4 w(4x) \\
+ a_5 w(4x - 1) + a_6 w(4x - 2) + a_7 w(4x - 3) \\
+ a_8 w(8x) + a_9 w(8x - 1) + a_{10} w(8x - 2) + \cdots
\]

(12)

\[
f(x) = a_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} a_{2^j+k} w(2^j x - k), \quad 0 \leq x < 1
\]

(13)

The discrete wavelet transform (DWT) is an algorithm for computing \( a_0, a_{2^j+k} \), where \( f(x) \) is sampled at equally spaced intervals over \( 0 \leq x < 1 \). The DWT algorithm was first proposed by Mallat [45] and is called Mallat’s pyramid algorithm or sometimes Mallat’s tree algorithm.

The wavelet ‘level’ is determined by the number of wavelets fitting into the unit interval \( x = 0–1 \). At level zero there is a \( 2^0 = 1 \) wavelet, while at level 1 there are \( 2^1 = 2 \) wavelets and so on. The mathematical basis for wavelet transform is described more fully in references [46] to [49].

Wavelet analysis involves a fundamentally different approach. Instead of seeking to break down a signal into its harmonics, which are global functions that continue indefinitely, the signal is broken down into a series of local basic functions called wavelets. Each wavelet is located at a different position on the time axis and is local in the sense that it decays to zero when sufficiently far from its centre. At the finest scale, wavelets may be very short indeed, and at a coarse scale they may be very long [47]. In this analysis, the form ‘db10’ has been employed [48, 49].

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 5 shows the low-frequency region of the power spectrum of vibration of the drivetrain system, obtained by processing the output signal from the piezoelectric accelerometer position at location 13 (i.e. the transmission flange) in Fig. 1b. There are two significant
peaks in this region of the spectrum: one at 3 Hz and the other at 8–9 Hz. The former is the lowest rigid-body motion of the drivetrain system, commonly referred to as shuffle [2, 3, 8, 9, 12]. The latter occurs as torsional oscillations of the clamped clutch inertia and is thought to be related to clutch disc springs. To verify this hypothesis, time domain analysis has been carried out using the wavelet decomposition technique described above.

Figure 6a shows the first cycle of the raw signal, while Fig. 6b is the wavelet decomposition of this signal down to a frequency range of approximately 0–8.5 Hz. It can be observed that the wavelet results show oscillations commencing from the instant of preload torque release.

It should be noted that cycles of shuffle do not commence at the outset of tests, but after a period of time. This is shown in Figs 7a and b, with the raw signal and the corresponding decomposed wavelet in the range 0–3 Hz respectively. Cycles of shuffle commence after 0.24 s. These observations indicate that the frequency contribution at 8.5 Hz in the spectrum of Fig. 5 is not related to shuffle or shunt of the experimental rig. As the preload torque is instantaneously released, with the vehicle transmission engaged in the first gear, take-up slip (judder) at the clutch friction interface is not likely to occur. However, the first mode of torsional vibration of the clamped clutch system about the friction disc springs has been found to occur in the region of 8–9 Hz for light

![Wavelet Analysis](image)

**Fig. 6** (a) Raw sampled signal from the outset of preload torque release and (b) wavelet amplitude purporting to torsional oscillations about the friction disc springs
trucks. Such torsional oscillations about these springs are likely to ensue prior to the cycles of shuffle of the drivetrain system.

Figure 8a shows the high-frequency structural response spectrum of vibration obtained from the same location. The first significant contribution is at approximately 520 Hz, corresponding to the first structural mode of the bell housing, which usually occurs for most designs in the region 490–550 Hz, depending upon the geometry of the incorporated ribs [12]. This mode corresponds to the axial and lateral contraction–expansion (i.e. the breathing mode) of the bell housing. The next significant structural mode of vibration takes place at around 700 Hz, relating to shear deformation of the bell housing. The third mode, corresponding to the combined torsion–deflection mode of the bell housing, usually occurs in the region 1100–1300 Hz, shown in Fig. 8b at approximately 1200 Hz. The structural deformation power is considerably higher than for the previous modes. The large values for power spectral density are due to the very low structural damping of the driveshaft tubes, subjected to an impulse torque in the region of 145 Nm. The amount of energy applied to the system by release of the preload torque is clearly large enough predominantly to excite the higher modal response of the bell housing structure.

Figure 9 shows three different spectra obtained from
Fig. 8 Spectral composition of the bell housing
accelerometer outputs, positioned at the beginning, mid-span and at the end of the front driveshaft torque tube. All spectra are windowed in the region 175–300 Hz in order to verify the first coupled structural–acoustic cavity response of the tube, usually occurring in this region. Numerical analysis carried out elsewhere, using finite

Fig. 9 (continued over)
element analysis or the boundary element method [3, 8, 12], has shown the first significant mode at 190–250 Hz. It can be seen from all the three spectra that this mode of vibration takes place at around 240 Hz. This first structural mode (i.e. at 240 Hz) is almost discernible in Fig. 10, which shows the entire spectrum of vibration up

![Graph](http://example.com/graph1.png)

**Fig. 9** First coupled structural–acoustic mode of the front driveshaft tube (a) at its transmission end, (b) at its mid-span and (c) at its splined joint end

![Graph](http://example.com/graph2.png)

**Fig. 10** Structural response spectrum of the front driveshaft tube (0–4 kHz)
to a frequency of 4000 Hz. This spectrum shows much higher contributions at 3000 and 3450 Hz. Hollow thin shell tubes, having a wall thickness in the region 1.5–3 mm, have a very high modal density (see, for example, Fig. 4). There are many combined torsional-deflection and compression-axial structural modes. These have been obtained experimentally with hammer impact tests, as well as by finite element modal analysis [12]. The results of analyses show that the high-frequency modes are a combination of circumferential and axial structural waves that can excite the acoustic modes of the cavity [8].

Figures 11a to c show regions of the spectrum of vibration for the rear torque tube. The contributions in the region 350–400 Hz correspond to the first acoustic modes.

![Graph](image1)

![Graph](image2)

Fig. 11 (continued over)
of the rear tube (see Fig. 11a), predicted numerically by the boundary element method at 390 Hz [8, 9, 19]. The mid-range of structural spectral response at around 1700 Hz (see Fig. 10b) has also been obtained by finite element analysis in reference [10], and referred to previously (also see the contribution at 1860 Hz in Fig. 4). The higher structural modes in Fig. 11c correspond to the axial compressioning modes, reported above in the region 3250–3750 Hz.
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APPENDIX 1

Burg’s method

Burg’s method is based on the Levinson recursion and the lattice structure for the model and has proven to be effective in a number of practical applications. The Burg procedure is a recursive procedure, where at each step in the recursion process a single reflection coefficient is estimated. The \( p \)th reflection coefficient is chosen in order to minimize the sum of the forward and backward squared prediction errors:

\[
S_p^{\text{fb}} = \sum_{n=p}^{N_p-1} \left| e_p[n] \right|^2 + \left| e_p^b[n] \right|^2
\]  

(14)

It should be noted that the forward and backward prediction problems are statistically identical and that there is no reason to favour one over the other in estimating the prediction error. Therefore, both \( e_p \) and \( e_p^b \) should be included for the minimization criterion.

Burg’s method can be derived as follows. Consider running the \( p \)th order forwards and backwards over the available data in order to generate the error terms needed in equation (14). According to the lattice equation, these terms satisfy the order recursions as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
e_{p}[p] \\
e_{p}[p+1] \\
\vdots \\
e_{p}[N_p-1]
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
e_{p-1}[p] \\
e_{p-1}[p+1] \\
\vdots \\
e_{p-1}[N_p-1]
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
e^b_{p-1}[p-1] \\
e^b_{p-1}[p] \\
\vdots \\
e^b_{p-1}[N_p-2]
\end{bmatrix} - \gamma_p^f
\]  

(15)

where

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
e_p[f] \\
e_p[b]
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
e_p[p] \\
e_p[p+1] \\
\vdots \\
e_p[N_p-1]
\end{bmatrix} - \gamma_p^f, \quad \begin{bmatrix}
e_p^b[f] \\
e_p^b[b]
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
e_p^b[p] \\
e_p^b[p+1] \\
\vdots \\
e_p^b[N_p-1]
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Since \( \gamma_p^f = \gamma_p^b \), the lattice relations (15) and (16) can be written as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
e_p[f] \\
e_p^b[f]
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
e_{p-1}[f] - \gamma_p^f e_{p-1}[b] \\
e_{p-1}^b[f] - \gamma_p^b e_{p-1}^f
\end{bmatrix} \times
\]

(17)

With these definitions and relations, equation (17) can be expressed as

\[
S_p^{\text{fb}} = \| e_p[f] \|^2 + \| e_p^b[b] \|^2
\]

\[
= (e_{p-1}[f] - \gamma_p^f e_{p-1}[b])^T (e_{p-1}[f] - \gamma_p^f e_{p-1}[b]) + (e_{p-1}^b[b] - \gamma_p^b e_{p-1}^f)^T (e_{p-1}^b[b] - \gamma_p^b e_{p-1}^f)
\]

\[
= (1 + \gamma_p^f (\| e_{p-1}^b[b] \|^2 + \| e_{p-1}[f] \|^2)) - 2 \gamma_p^f (e_{p-1}^b[b])^T e_{p-1}^f - 2 \gamma_p^b (e_{p-1}^f)^T e_{p-1}^b
\]

Then, using the expressions in a scalar form of the complex gradient provides the necessary condition:

\[
\nabla_{\gamma_p} S_p^{\text{fb}} = \gamma_p^f (\| e_{p-1}^f \|^2 + \| e_{p-1}^b[b] \|^2) - 2 (e_{p-1}^b[b])^T e_{p-1}^f = 0
\]
or

\[ \gamma_p = \frac{2(e_p^T e_p)}{\|e_p\|^2 + \|e_p\|^2} \]  

(18)

The form of this equation guarantees that the estimated reflection coefficient satisfies the condition \( |\gamma_p| \leq 1 \). These are iterated for \( p = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, P \), starting from the initial conditions

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\times \\
\vdots \\
e_0^T
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
e^b_0 \\
\vdots \\
x[N_t - 1]
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(19)

The algorithm is quite simple in this form. At each stage of iteration the reflection coefficient is formed from equation (18). The vectors are then combined as in (17), the elements denoted by \( \times \) are omitted and the steps are repeated. Thus, the vectors \( e_p^b \) and \( e_p^b \) decrease in size at each iteration. An estimate for the prediction error variance can be computed by the additional recursion

\[
\sigma^2_e = (1 - |\gamma_p|^2) \sigma^2_{e_{p-1}}
\]  

(20)

and then the MA coefficients can be computed from the recursion

\[
a_p = \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_{p-1} \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{bmatrix} - \gamma_p^* \begin{bmatrix}
a_{p-1} \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(21)

APPENDIX 2

Shanks’ method

This next procedure is due to Shanks [34] and the combination of this with the least-squares procedure for finding the AR parameters is sometimes referred to as Shanks’ method. The goal is to represent the given data in the form

\[
X(z) \approx B(z) \frac{1}{A(z)}
\]  

(22)

where \( A(z) \) has already been determined using Burg’s method, set out in Appendix 1. Define

\[
H_A(z) = \frac{1}{A(z)} \quad \text{and} \quad X(z) \approx B(z)H_A(z)
\]  

(23)

Let \( h_A[n] \) be the sequence corresponding to \( H_A(z) \). This sequence is actually the impulse response, corresponding to the partial system \( 1/A(z) \). The approximation problem can now be thought of as shown in Fig. 12.

The error in the signal domain is given by

\[
e_B[n] = x[n] - h_A[n] * b[n]
\]  

(24)

If the filter \( B(z) \) is chosen in order to minimize the sum of squared errors, then

\[
S_B = \sum_{n=0}^{N_t-1} |e_B[n]|^2
\]  

(25)

In fact, this is exactly the least-squares Wiener filtering problem. The problem can be represented as

\[
H_A b = x
\]  

(26)

where

\[
H_A = \begin{bmatrix}
h_A[0] & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
h_A[1] & h_A[0] & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
h_A[Q] & h_A[Q-1] & \ldots & h_A[0] \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(27)

Fig. 12 The approximation problem
and

\[ x = \begin{bmatrix}
  x[0] \\
  x[1] \\
  \vdots \\
  x[Q] \\
  \vdots \\
  x[N_k - 1]
\end{bmatrix} \]  

(28)

will be missing from the first \( Q \) rows. The solution is then obtained as

\[ b = H_A^{-1} x \]  

(29)

The terms needed in the sequence, \( h_A[n] \), can be obtained from the recursive difference equation

\[ h_A[n] = -a_1 h_A[n - 1] - \cdots - a_p h_A[n - P] + \delta[n] \]  

(30)

Alternatively, it may be feasible to find the roots of \( A(z) \) and develop an analytic expression for \( h_A[n] \) (at least for some simple cases).