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The influence of the interface coefficient of friction upon the propensity to judder in automotive clutches
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Abstract: This paper presents an investigation of the driveline torsional vibration behaviour, referred to as judder, which takes place during the clutch engagement process, particularly on small trucks with diesel engines. A non-linear multibody dynamic model of the clutch mechanism is employed to study the effect of various clutch system and driveline components on the clutch actuation performance. The paper demonstrates that judder is affected by driveline inertial changes, variation in the coefficient of friction, \( \mu \), of the friction disc linings with slip speed, \( v \), and the loss of clamp load. The results of the simulations show that various friction materials with different \( \mu-v \) characteristics produce torsional self-excited vibrations of the driveline. The results also show that loss of clamp load relating to the speed of clutch actuation also contributes to judder. Furthermore, it is shown that the simulation results conform closely to the experimental findings.
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NOTATION

- \( c \) effective drivetrain damping
- \( F_t \) friction force
- \( F_n \) clamp force
- \( i_{\text{dif}} \) differential ratio
- \( i_{\text{gbx}} \) first gear ratio
- \( J \) mass moment of inertia
- \( J_{\text{red}} \) reduced mass moment of inertia
- \( k \) effective drivetrain stiffness
- \( m \) mass of vehicle
- \( M_c \) friction torque
- \( R \) mean radius of friction lining
- \( R_e \) outer radius of friction lining
- \( R_i \) inner radius of friction lining
- \( v \) slip speed
- \( \theta \) relative angle between the friction disc and the hub
- \( \mu \) coefficient of friction
- \( \omega \) relative angular slip velocity

Abbreviations

- brg bearing
- cbll clutch cable
- crks crankshaft
- cvr clutch cover
- diff differential
- fdsc friction disc
- flw flywheel
- gbx gearbox
- hsg bell housing
- lvr release lever
- prpl pressure plate
- qua clutch pedal quadrant
- sft transmission input shaft
- slv sleeve
- tors_damp torsional damper
- vhc vehicle
- whe wheel

Joints/constraints

- coup coupler
- cvcv curve to curve constraint
- cy cylindrical
- fx fixed
- inp in-plane
- rv revolute
- tr translational
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1 INTRODUCTION

The combustion process in engine cylinders induces a torsional fluctuation on the crankshaft rotational speed. Engine vibrations are transmitted to the passenger compartment through the engine mounts and through the driveline components. The clutch system, mounted between the flywheel and the gearbox, influences the driveline vibrations and noise perceived by the driver. These cannot be totally eliminated. However, it is expected that clutch design should make the necessary provisions in order to reduce noise and vibrations to an acceptable level.

Clutch judder is a back and forth vibration of a vehicle in the frequency range 5–20 Hz, caused by the torsional oscillations of the driveline that occur during the clutch engagement process, usually in the start-up process. Judder is essentially considered to be influenced by frictional characteristics of the clutch. It is also related to the inertia of the driveline. The severity of the clutch judder phenomenon is influenced by the way the vehicle is driven.

The modelling of the engagement process has been studied by different authors. Jania [1] presents equations of the transmitted torque during clutch engagement and an analysis of the performance of friction clutches. Lucas and Mizon [2, 3] built a model of clutch engagement that incorporated the coefficient of friction as a function of rubbing speed, temperature and load and represented driver behaviour in the manner in which the clutch is operated and the engine throttle is applied. However, their study does not deal with the clutch judder problem.

The vibrations induced by dry friction have been studied by Jarvis and Mills [4]. By means of numerical analysis they showed, theoretically, that the variation in the coefficient of friction with the relative velocity is insufficient to cause vibrations and that the instability is due to the manner in which the motions of the components take place. The self-excited oscillations that occur when two elastic half-spaces are sliding against each other with a constant coefficient of friction has been studied by Adams [5]. He concluded that self-excited oscillations exist for a wide range of material combinations, friction coefficients and sliding speeds. The self-oscillations of a mechanical system containing an engine and a friction clutch can be simulated using the theoretical model proposed by Plakhienko and Yasinskii [6], whose results were confirmed by computer simulations.

The relationship between the coefficient of friction and the relative velocity has been studied extensively by Armstrong-Hélouvry [7, 8]. Heap [9] considers the coefficient of static friction only as a function of pressure, while the coefficient of kinetic friction is considered as a function of pressure and velocity. The static and the dynamic coefficients of friction and their variation have also been studied by Herscovici [10]. Raghavan and Jayachandran [11] considered that the coefficient of friction varies with the sliding velocity, as well as with the number of clutch engagements, the generated contact pressure and temperature.

Kani et al. [12] have proposed that judder is significantly related to the $\mu - v$ characteristics (where $\mu$ is the coefficient of friction and $v$ is the slip speed) of an interface friction material. Using an experimental tester, they found that $d\mu/dv$ has a negative gradient when judder occurs and that the value of $d\mu/dv$ depends on the type and the amount of film formed on the friction surface. Maucher [13] also studied the basic principles governing the vibrations that occur in the clutch system owing to the frictional characteristics of the clutch facing, i.e., the damping value, the clamp load, the mass moment of inertia and the torsional spring rate of the drivetrain. He concluded that frictional vibrations occur in the presence of low drivetrain damping values and a negative gradient of the coefficient of friction. Drexl [14] found that, when judder occurred, the lowest natural frequency of his rigid body model was excited. The simulation results showed that a negative value of the variation in the coefficient of friction with slip speed induced self-excited oscillations, while a positive gradient of the coefficient of friction versus slip speed (or differential speed) exhibited a damped vibration response. Newcomb and Spurr [15] agree that, although most published work shows that judder has generally been attributed to a particular type of variation in the coefficient of friction with slip speed, this is not a necessary condition for judder to occur. Using a dynamic model of the clutch, Jarvis and Oldershaw [16] concluded that judder was a resonance of the system that was excited at the frequency of slipping of the driven plate. Rabeih and Crolla [17, 18] developed a mathematical model including torsional vibrations of the driveline, vehicle body fore-aft vibrations and vertical vehicle vibrations and concluded that high values of system damping tend to discourage self-excited vibrations and that a decreasing gradient of friction causes system instability. Centea [19] describes a multiple degrees of freedom non-linear dynamic model of a diesel engine light truck clutch system that incorporates the non-linear friction characteristics of the clutch lining and engine torque characteristics. The numerical investigations reported in [19] were instigated by the Ford Motor Company whose extensive on-vehicle observations have shown that judder is a complex phenomenon affected by the gradient of the $\mu - v$ characteristics, as also observed in references [12] to [14], [17] and [18]. However, these observations show that although these characteristics play a significant role in judder, they are not a necessary condition for judder to occur, as also observed in references [4] and [15]. In practice, judder has been observed even with a positive gradient of $\mu - v$ characteristics, depending on the manner in which the
clutch is engaged by the driver and the associated loss of clamp load. Therefore, it is clear that, to study the clutch judder problem, a multibody mechanism model is required in order to incorporate both the clutch pedal effort and the generated clamp load, as well as the transmission route for the clamp load to the pressure plate during the take-up process and in the presence of stick–slip oscillations at the friction material interface. Such a detailed model, not hitherto reported in the literature, is necessary in order to be able to compare on-vehicle observations with simulation results.

This paper reports on some of the findings in reference [19] and introduces driver behaviour in the speed of clutch actuation and its effect on the propensity to judder. A simple analytic model is also presented which is used to explain the validity of the simulation results for both the \( \mu-v \) characteristics and the loss of clamp load.

2 TORSIONAL VIBRATIONS OF CLUTCH

The energy necessary for the motion of a vehicle is transmitted by the engine to the wheels through the flywheel, clutch and the driveline. The clutch takes the energy from the flywheel and transmits it to the driveline. During the engagement process, on the friction surfaces of the clutch the friction torque acts as an engaging force for the driveline. A part of the energy transmitted through the driveline is transformed into other forms of energy by positive damping effects. If for some reason the damping becomes negative, a part of the energy transmitted by the clutch could induce self-excited torsional vibrations of the driveline, contributing to judder.

In the Coulomb friction region, the friction torque, \( M_r \), can be defined as

\[
M_r = 2F_n R \mu \tag{1}
\]

where \( \mu \) is the coefficient of friction, \( F_n \) is the clamp load (normal force acting on the friction surfaces) and \( R \) is the mean radius of the friction surface, defined by Wilson [20] and by Herscovici [10] as

\[
R = \frac{2}{3} \frac{R_1^3 - R_2^3}{R_2^3 - R_1^3} \tag{2}
\]

Equation (1) shows that the friction torque \( M_r \) depends on the coefficient of friction \( \mu \), the clamp load \( F_n \) and the mean radius of the friction surface \( R \). The damping coefficient of the driveline can become negative only if the friction torque has a variation caused by changes in \( \mu, F_n \) or \( R \). For a constant mean friction radius, the torsional vibrations of the driveline can be caused by a loss of clamp load or by variations in the interface coefficient of friction. For a constant clamp load, a cause of variation for the friction torque \( M_r \) is the change in the value of the coefficient of friction during the engagement process.

Clutch engagement occurs gradually, bringing the driveline (through the friction disc) and the crankshaft (through the flywheel and the pressure plate) to the same rotational speed. During engagement, the relative angular velocity of the discs diminishes. It is therefore important to study the variation in the coefficient of friction \( \mu \) with the relative angular velocity of the clutch discs, \( \omega \), by finding the variation in \( d\mu/d\omega \) during the development of the friction torque \( M_r \).

The gradient of the friction torque against the relative angular velocity \( dM_r/d\omega \) can be obtained using equation (1), assuming that the clamp load \( F_n \) is independent of the slip speed:

\[
\frac{dM_r}{d\omega} = \frac{dF_n R}{d\omega} = 2F_n R \frac{d\mu}{d\omega} \tag{3}
\]

where \( M_r \) is the friction torque, \( \omega \) is the relative rotational speed, \( \mu \) is the coefficient of friction, \( F_n \) is the clamp load and \( R \) is the mean friction radius. For a constant mean friction radius \( R \), the gradient of the coefficient of friction against relative rotational velocity \( d\mu/d\omega \) can be expressed through the variation in the gradient of the coefficient of friction with slip speed \( d\mu/dv \):

\[
\frac{d\mu}{d\omega} = \frac{d\mu}{dv} \frac{dv}{d\omega} = \frac{d\mu}{dv} R \tag{4}
\]

Using equations (3) and (4), the variation in the friction torque against the relative angular velocity \( dM_r/d\omega \) can be obtained:

\[
\frac{dM_r}{d\omega} = 2F_n R \frac{d\mu}{dv} = 2F_n R \frac{d\mu}{dv} \tag{5}
\]

where \( M_r \) is the friction torque, \( \omega \) is the relative rotational speed, \( v \) is the relative linear velocity at the mean friction radius \( R \), \( \mu \) is the coefficient of friction and \( F_n \) is the clamp load. Equation (5) shows the variation in the friction torque during the engagement process (after the moment when the clamp load reaches a constant value). This can be studied by means of the gradient of the coefficient of friction with slip speed. According to Kani et al. [12] the general equation of motion of the vehicle during clutch slipping is

\[
m \ddot{x} + \left[ c + \frac{dF(v)}{dv} \right] \dot{x} + kx = 0 \tag{6}
\]

where \( m \) is the vehicle mass, \( c \) is the damping coefficient of the vehicle, \( k \) is the total stiffness, \( v \) is the relative speed and \( F(v) \) is the friction force that depends on the slip velocity. The term \( dF(v)/dv \) represents the damping created by the variation in the coefficient of friction \( \mu \) with relative velocity \( v \) between the clutch facings. The friction force \( F \) depends on the value of the coefficient of friction \( \mu \) and also on the clamp load \( F_n \).
Assuming that the clamp load $F_a$ is constant, the variation in the friction force $F_t$ with the slip speed $v$ becomes

$$\frac{dF_t}{dv} = \frac{d(\mu F_a)}{dv} = F_a \frac{d\mu}{dv}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The free vibrations of a damped system can be studied using Newton's law, which yields the equation of motion:

$$m \ddot{x} + c \dot{x} + k x = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $m$ is the mass, $\ddot{x}$ is the acceleration, $c$ is the viscous damping coefficient, $\dot{x}$ is the velocity, $k$ is the system stiffness and $x$ is the mass displacement due to spring deflection. The solution of equation (9) can be found assuming that it is in the form

$$x(t) = C e^{at}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $C$ is a constant, $s$ is an exponential coefficient and $t$ is time. Substitution of equation (10) in equation (9) gives the characteristic equation

$$ms^2 + cs + k = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

The solution to equation (11) is provided by

$$s = -\frac{c}{2m} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{c}{2m}\right)^2 - \frac{k}{m}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Substitution of equation (12) in equation (10) gives two solutions. The general solution of equation (9) is obtained by superposition of these two solutions:

$$x(t) = C_1 e^{\left(-\frac{c}{2m} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{c}{2m}\right)^2 - \frac{k}{m}}\right)t}$$

$$+ C_2 e^{\left(-\frac{c}{2m} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{c}{2m}\right)^2 - \frac{k}{m}}\right)t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $C_1$ and $C_2$ are constants that can be determined from the initial conditions of system vibrations.

Using equation (8), the solution to equation (6) is in the form given by equation (13):

$$x(t) = C_1 e^{\left(-\frac{c + F_a \frac{d\mu}{dv}}{2m} \right)t}$$

$$+ \sqrt{\left(\frac{c + F_a \frac{d\mu}{dv}}{2m}\right)^2 - \frac{k}{m}}$$

$$+ C_2 e^{\left(-\frac{c + F_a \frac{d\mu}{dv}}{2m} \right)t}$$

$$- \sqrt{\left(\frac{c + F_a \frac{d\mu}{dv}}{2m}\right)^2 - \frac{k}{m}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

The solution should be considered in the case of positive and negative damping. If the damping is positive, then

$$c + F_a \frac{d\mu}{dv} > 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

The solution form given by equation (14) contains negative exponents. Thus, the displacement history forms an oscillatory decay and converges to a stable cycle for all the gradients of the coefficient of friction with slip speed. If the damping is negative, then

$$c + F_a \frac{d\mu}{dv} < 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Solution bifurcation results depend on the sign of the 'quantity' under the radical in equation (14):

1. If this 'quantity' is positive or equals zero, then

$$\left(c + F_a \frac{d\mu}{dv}\right)^2 \geq \frac{k}{m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

The exponents in equation (17) are positive and the solution indicates a diverging motion, leading to system instability.

2. If the 'quantity' is negative, then

$$\left(c + F_a \frac{d\mu}{dv}\right)^2 < \frac{k}{m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

The exponents in equation (18) are complex conjugates and it can be proved that the solution of the equation of motion includes a diverging oscillatory solution and hence an unstable system can emerge. The solution of the equation of motion applied to the driveline indicates that, if the gradient of the coefficient of friction with slip speed is positive, the damping of the driveline and friction disc system as defined in equation (16) is positive and the system is stable. No self-excited oscillations will occur. Thus, no judder will emerge.

If the gradient of the coefficient of friction with slip speed is negative, the damping of the driveline defined by equation (17) can be positive or negative. If

$$\frac{d\mu}{dv} > -\frac{c}{F_a}$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

then the damping is positive and the system is stable. If

$$\frac{d\mu}{dv} < -\frac{c}{F_a}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

then the damping coefficient of the driveline becomes negative and the vibration system becomes unstable. The system will be self-excited, probably inducing judder. The results obtained experimentally by Kani et al. [12], Maucher [13] and Drexl [14] demonstrate that the conclusions obtained from relationships (14) and (15) are correct, showing that, for negative values of the gradient of the coefficient of friction with slip speed, when a certain value is reached the vehicle is more prone to judder.

The value of the damping coefficient for the vehicle $c$ in equation (20) is quite difficult if not impossible to
obtain. Therefore, it is practically impossible, using
equation (20), to find the precise value of the critical
damping coefficient or the value of the critical variation
in the coefficient of friction with slip speed. However,
using simulation techniques it can be shown that, start-
ing from a certain value of the gradient of the coeffi-
cient of friction with relative velocity, the torsional
vibrations of the driveline have a large enough ampli-
tude to be felt in the passenger compartment as fore
and aft vibrations of the entire vehicle.

3 DESCRIPTION OF THE CLUTCH TYPE

The clutch studied is a light truck clutch mounted in
the gearbox housing between the flywheel 2 and the
input shaft 10, as shown in Fig. 1. The main parts of
this clutch are situated between the flywheel and the
diaphragm spring. The pressure plate 4 is mounted by
the clutch manufacturer to the clutch cover 7 with
straps 5. These straps keep the pressure plate and the
clutch cover rotating with the same speed and also,
through their longitudinal compliance, permit an axial
displacement of the pressure plate against the cover.
The friction disc 3 is free to float between the flywheel
and the pressure plate through a hub splined to the
input shaft of the gearbox. The friction disc is pressed
between the pressure plate and the flywheel by the
clamp force \( F_n \) provided by the diaphragm spring 6.
The clutch engagement is obtained through the applica-
tion of the clamp force provided by the diaphragm
spring when the clutch is mounted on to the flywheel.

In the disengagement process, the force applied by
the driver to the pedal is transmitted through the pedal
quadrant to one end of the cable. The other end of the
cable is mounted through a spherical type joint to the
release lever 9. The motion of the cable is transferred to
the release lever, which rotates and pushes the release
bearing 8 against the diaphragm spring fingers. The
diaphragm spring pivots on a fulcrum ring which is
riveted on to the cover and the clamp force is subse-
quently reduced. The cushion spring and the straps pull
back the pressure plate from the friction disc. The
reducing friction torque permits a progressive braking
of the torque transmitted by the engine through the
flywheel to the driveline. The engagement process is
similar to the disengagement process and occurs when
the driver decreases the applied pedal force to zero.

4 CLUTCH JUDDER MODEL

The model of the clutch engagement, built in order to
study the take-up judder problem, is a multibody non-
linear dynamic model. The parts incorporated in the
model, according to the clutch components described in
Section 3, are detailed in Table 1. The parts subjected
to torsional motion are characterized by their inertial
properties. The inertia of the differential has to be
reduced to the input shaft of the gearbox according to
a first gear ratio of 3.89 using the equation

\[
J_{\text{red}} = \frac{J}{i_{\text{gbx}}} \quad (21)
\]

where \( J \) is the inertia, \( J_{\text{red}} \) is the reduced inertia at the
input shaft of the gearbox and \( i_{\text{gbx}} \) denotes the first gear
ratio.

The inertias of the road wheel and of the vehicle are
also reduced to the input shaft according to a first gear
ratio \( i_{\text{gbx}} \) of 3.89 and a differential ratio \( i_{\text{diff}} \) of 4.11 using
the equation

\[
J_{\text{red}} = \frac{J}{i_{\text{gbx}}^2 i_{\text{diff}}} \quad (22)
\]

In multibody formulation, constraint functions have to
be formulated in order to assemble the mechanism. For
this purpose the constraint functions, in the form of
joints and joint primitives, have to be chosen in a
manner that restricts undesired motions. For the clutch
and driveline system studied here and subjected to
torsional vibrations in the engagement process, the
constraints that have been chosen for the model are
described in Table 2. Two motion constraints are spe-
cified in the dynamic model: the release motion of the
pedal (the driver behaviour) and the rotation of the
flywheel. The release motion is transmitted in the en-
gagement process to the quadrant, cable, lever, release
bearing, pressure plate and to the friction disc material
(which in the model is attached to the pressure plate). It
starts at the position where the pedal is totally
depressed. The displacement of the pedal takes 5 s,
allowing a translational displacement of the pressure plate by 4.5 mm. The engagement starts only in the last 0.7 mm of the pressure plate travel, when the cushion spring is compressed and the induced clamp load (see Fig. 2a) produces the necessary friction torque. The speed of actuation has a profound effect on the history of the clamp load application and, as can be seen later on, can increase the propensity to judder, even with a desired positive slope for the $\mu - v$ characteristics. The model incorporates sources of compliance as well as forces and torques, as described in Table 3.

The characteristics of the springs mounted in the friction disc are usually provided by the clutch manufacturer. The characteristics show two levels of stiffness. In order to represent these, the model includes a frictional torque which is dependent on the relative angle $\theta$ between the friction disc and the hub and is defined as follows:

$$M = -k_{1-} \theta - k_{2-} \theta \quad \text{if} \quad \theta < -\theta_\text{max}$$

$$M = -k_{1-} \theta \quad \text{if} \quad -\theta_\text{max} < \theta < 0$$

$$M = k_{1+} \theta \quad \text{if} \quad 0 < \theta < \theta_\text{max}$$

$$M = k_{1+} \theta_\text{max} + k_{2+} \theta \quad \text{if} \quad \theta > \theta_\text{max}$$

where $M$ is the torque, $k_{1-}$ is the stiffness of the torsional springs (situated between the friction disc and the hub) on the negative side of the characteristic curve when the angle varies between zero $\theta_\text{max}$, $k_{2-}$ is the torsional stiffness on the negative side of the characteristic when the angle is smaller than zero, $k_{1+}$ and $k_{2+}$ are the corresponding values on the positive side of the characteristic curve and $\theta_\text{max}$ and $\theta_\text{max}$ are the angles where the characteristics change. The values for all four stiffnesses and both angles are defined as input values in the model. The characteristics obtained by running the model with the torque function described above is shown in Fig. 2b. The parts of the vehicle that have a torsional displacement are presented in Fig. 3.

The values for all of the stiffness components in the model are given in Table 3. The described model has seven degrees of freedom: the angular displacements of the flywheel, friction disc, hub, gearbox, differential, and the translational displacement of the pressure plate.

### Table 1: Inertial parts in the clutch multibody model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Part name</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Mass (kg)</th>
<th>Inertia (kg m²)</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Referred inertia (kg m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Crankshaft</td>
<td>crks</td>
<td>(10)*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Flywheel</td>
<td>flw</td>
<td>(14.5)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cover</td>
<td>cvr</td>
<td>(1.6)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pressure plate</td>
<td>prpl</td>
<td>(4.15)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Friction disc</td>
<td>fsdc</td>
<td>(1.45)</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hub</td>
<td>hub</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>sft</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Gearbox</td>
<td>gbx</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Differential</td>
<td>dif</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Wheels</td>
<td>whe</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>vhc</td>
<td>(2900)</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>hsg</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Sleeve</td>
<td>slv</td>
<td>(0.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Bearing</td>
<td>brg</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Lever</td>
<td>lvr</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Cable lvr</td>
<td>cbll</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Cable guide</td>
<td>gid</td>
<td>~0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Cable qua</td>
<td>cblq</td>
<td>~0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Quadrant</td>
<td>qua</td>
<td>~0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Pedal</td>
<td>pdl</td>
<td>~1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Ground</td>
<td>gnd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The numbers in parenthesis provide representative values.

### Table 2: Constraints in the multibody model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Part I</th>
<th>Part J</th>
<th>Constraint</th>
<th>Constraint type</th>
<th>Constraint name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>Ground</td>
<td>Fix</td>
<td></td>
<td>fx_hsg_flw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Flywheel</td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>Revolute</td>
<td></td>
<td>rv_flw_hsg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Friction disc</td>
<td>Flywheel</td>
<td>Inplane</td>
<td></td>
<td>inpl_fldsc_flw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Hub</td>
<td>Friction disc</td>
<td>Revolute</td>
<td></td>
<td>rv_fldsc_hub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>Translational</td>
<td></td>
<td>tr_hub_sft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Gearbox</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>Revolute</td>
<td></td>
<td>rv_gbx_sft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Differential</td>
<td>Gearbox</td>
<td>Revolute</td>
<td></td>
<td>rv_dif_gbx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Wheels</td>
<td>Differential</td>
<td>Revolute</td>
<td></td>
<td>rv_whe_dif</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>Wheels</td>
<td>Revolute</td>
<td></td>
<td>rv_vhc_whe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cable lvr</td>
<td>Cable</td>
<td>Coupler</td>
<td></td>
<td>cou_brg_flw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Cable guide</td>
<td>Cover</td>
<td>Translational</td>
<td></td>
<td>tr_prpl_cvr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Pressure plate</td>
<td>Bearing</td>
<td>Coupler</td>
<td></td>
<td>cou_brg_flw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Bearing</td>
<td>Sleeve</td>
<td>Translational</td>
<td></td>
<td>tr_slv_flw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Bearing</td>
<td>Lever</td>
<td>Curve-curve</td>
<td></td>
<td>cvev_brg_lvr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Sleeve</td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>Cylindrical</td>
<td></td>
<td>cy_lvr_hsg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Cable lvr</td>
<td>Lever</td>
<td>Cylindrical</td>
<td></td>
<td>cy_cblv_lvr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Cable guide</td>
<td>Cable guide</td>
<td>Translational</td>
<td></td>
<td>tr_cblv_gnd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Cable guide</td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td></td>
<td>sph_gid_hsg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Cable lvr</td>
<td>Cable pdl</td>
<td>Coupler</td>
<td></td>
<td>cou_brg_cblp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Cable pdl</td>
<td>Ground</td>
<td>Translational</td>
<td></td>
<td>tr_cblp_gnd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Cable pdl</td>
<td>Quadrant</td>
<td>Rack.Pin</td>
<td></td>
<td>rp_cblp_qua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Quadrant</td>
<td>Pedal</td>
<td>Fix</td>
<td></td>
<td>fx_cblp_flw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Pedal</td>
<td>Ground</td>
<td>Revolute</td>
<td></td>
<td>rv_cblp_gnd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Crankshaft</td>
<td>Flywheel</td>
<td>Fix</td>
<td></td>
<td>fx_cblp_flw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>Pedal</td>
<td>Ground</td>
<td></td>
<td>mo_cblp_gnd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2  (a) The clamp load–time history. (b) Characteristics of the torsional spring dampers in the model

Table 3  Forces and stiffnesses from the multibody dynamic model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Part I</th>
<th>Part J</th>
<th>Stiffness type</th>
<th>Stiffness name</th>
<th>Stiffness (N m/deg–N/mm)</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Referred stiffness (N m/deg–N/mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hub</td>
<td></td>
<td>Torsional</td>
<td>k_tensors_damp</td>
<td>Equation (23)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Equation (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Gearbox</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>Torsional</td>
<td>k_gearbox</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Differential</td>
<td>Gearbox</td>
<td>Torsional</td>
<td>k_driveshaft</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Wheels</td>
<td>Differential</td>
<td>Torsional</td>
<td>k_halfshafts</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>3.89 x 4.56</td>
<td>0.868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>Wheels</td>
<td>Torsional</td>
<td>k_tires</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>3.89 x 4.56</td>
<td>2.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pressure_plate</td>
<td>Friction_disc</td>
<td>Transl.</td>
<td>k_cushion</td>
<td>Non-linear</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pressure_plate</td>
<td>Cover</td>
<td>Transl.</td>
<td>k_straps</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pressure_plate</td>
<td>Cover</td>
<td>Transl.</td>
<td>k_diaphragm</td>
<td>Non-linear</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Bearing</td>
<td>Cover</td>
<td>Transl.</td>
<td>k_fingers</td>
<td>Non-linear</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cover</td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>Transl.</td>
<td>k_cover</td>
<td>32 000</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

wheels and the fore–aft motion of the vehicle (see Fig. 4). The crankshaft, clutch cover and pressure plate have the same displacements as the flywheel. The transla-

tional displacement of the actuation route formed by the pedal, quadrant, cable, lever, bearing and pressure plate is not an independent motion because it is governed
results indicate a decreasing value for the angular velocity of the flywheel and a corresponding rise in the angular velocity of the friction disc until the two members move in concert with the same angular velocity. The portion of the response prior to the stick region of the friction torque characteristics indicates fluctuations or judder of the driven inertia's angular velocity (i.e. from the friction disc to the vehicle inertia in Fig. 5a). The amplitude of oscillations in this take-up region are governed by the damping characteristics at the friction material interface and the clutch pedal effort, determining the corresponding clamp load history. The required value should include the damping characteristics of all the inertial members of the drivetrain. The amplitude of oscillations is therefore larger than would otherwise be expected (since not all damping characteristics of the drivetrain system are included in the model). However, drivetrain inertia components are usually quite low, thus not significantly affecting the frequency response characteristics of the model but affecting the amplitude of oscillations owing to a gradual logarithmic decrement effect.

Maucher [13] has measured a negative gradient of the coefficient of friction $d_{m}$:

$$d_{m} = 0.0075 \text{s}^{-1}$$

The dependence of the coefficient of friction on slip speed is shown to be

$$\mu = -0.0075v + 0.43$$

where $\mu$ is the coefficient of friction and $v$ is the slip speed (m/s).

In order to ascertain the influence of the friction interface on the amplitude of torsional vibrations during the engagement process, eleven analyses have been carried out. The gradients of the coefficient of friction with slip speed that are used in the simulations have been chosen around the value found by Maucher. Therefore, a constant coefficient of friction of 0.43 is considered, as well as positive and negative values of $d_{m}$ of 0.004, 0.008, 0.012 and 0.016 s/m.

Figure 5a shows the results of the analysis carried out using a constant value of 0.43 for the coefficient of friction. There are some torsional vibrations of the driveline at a frequency of around 7 Hz (this also being the same frequency obtained experimentally for the modelled 'judder vehicle'). This value can easily be deduced from the time response history of oscillations. Figure 5b shows the results obtained using an increasing slope for of the coefficient of friction with slip speed of 0.004 s/m. The take-up oscillations in the engagement
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Fig. 5 (continued over)
Fig. 5 Engagement process for a material (a) with a constant coefficient of friction of 0.43 and with a coefficient of friction with a positive gradient of (b) 0.004, (c) 0.008, (d) 0.012 and (e) 0.016 s/m process are still there, but the amplitude is smaller than the case for constant $\mu$ (see Fig. 5a) occurring at the same frequency. Obviously a positive gradient of $\mu$ produces a damping effect. Therefore, the propensity to judder is diminished. However, it should be noted that a positive gradient for the coefficient of friction with slip speed is not the only condition required to alleviate the clutch judder problem. It should be noted that the overall drivetrain damping and the driver clutch actuation behaviour also play important roles.

The simulations obtained for higher positive gradients of the coefficient of friction [0.008 s/m (see Fig. 5c) and 0.012 s/m (see Fig. 5d)] do not exhibit any significant improvement when compared with a positive gradient of 0.004 s/m (see Fig. 5b). However, the results for the highest positive gradient exhibit a deviation from this trend. At first glance this deviation may be regarded as anomalous. However, it should be noted that the propensity to judder is also directly affected by the driver clutch actuation effort, affecting the clamp load application history. This can be seen by referring to equation (19), where with a certain combination of actuation speed and drivetrain damping (the latter affected by the friction characteristics) the slope $d\mu/dv$ can become less than the ratio $-c/F_n$ as $F_n$ is reduced with hasty driver behaviour. This is best illustrated by comparison of results for various driver actuation speeds, which are described later on. This trend demonstrates that positive gradients of the coefficient of friction reduce the torsional oscillations of the driveline during the engagement process. These findings are in agreement with equation (15) obtained analytically in Section 2. However, it should be noted that a positive gradient for the $\mu-v$ characteristics is not the only condition guarding against the propensity to judder, as described below.

Figure 6a shows the numerical output using the negative gradient $d\mu/dv = -0.004$ s/m. The amplitudes of oscillations are considerably larger than those for the
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Fig. 6 (continued over)
case of a constant coefficient of friction (see Fig. 5a), indicating a strong tendency to judder. The oscillations that occur in the engagement process can be seen in any part of the driveline modelled. The vehicle undergoes torsional oscillation at tyre contact patches at the same frequency of 7 Hz. These vibrations will also be felt by the driver and physically occur under judder conditions.

The simulations obtained for negative gradients of the coefficient of friction \[-0.008 \text{ s/m}\] (see Fig. 6b), \[-0.012 \text{ s/m}\] (see Fig. 6c) and \[-0.016 \text{ s/m}\] (see Fig. 6d) show that the amplitude of the torsional vibrations of the driveline occur around 7 Hz during the engagement process and increase with larger negative values of the gradient of the coefficient of friction with slip speed. These findings are in agreement with equation (20) obtained analytically in Section 2.

Clutch judder is also considered to be dependent upon the manner in which the clutch is actuated. In order to see the response of the multibody model in respect of different clutch actuations, two analyses have been carried out. In one, the actuation speed of the clutch pedal is halved. The simulation results for a positive gradient of the coefficient of friction (see Fig. 7a) show that the amplitude of the take-up torsional oscillations has a much lower value than for the case of the higher actuation speed (see Fig. 5e). Similar results are also obtained in the case of a negative gradient of the coefficient of friction (see Fig. 7b), when compared with the results obtained for the same gradient but with the normal clutch actuation speed. However, even if in both cases the amplitude of torsional vibration is found to be lower, the simulations show that in the case of positive gradients the amplitude of oscillations is quite low and is unlikely to be transmitted through the drivetrain and therefore will not induce judder. Now, referring back to the argument that a positive gradient of the coefficient of friction is one, but not the only condition for the diminution of judder, one can observe that the results for the highest value of \(d\mu/dv\), which can be regarded as anomalous by itself, are in fact indicative of the interactive nature of the driver behaviour and the friction interface conditions. These findings are in keeping with the suggestions made in references [4] and [15] and with on-vehicle observations. Furthermore, it is commonly experienced by most drivers when the clutch is engaged in a hasty manner. A partial loss of clamp load can ensue under these conditions. The numerical results presented here conform well with a significant amount of on-vehicle tests, showing the influence of both friction lining material and driver behaviour upon judder on the basis of subjective ratings given by test drivers.

6 CONCLUSIONS

The current model indicates clutch take-up judder when the engaging inertias are slipping with respect to one another. Judder can be initiated by the friction material characteristics owing to an overall reduction in the driveline damping. This argument is corroborated by the fact that, in all simulations obtained numerically here and experimentally measured in tests at Ford, the response frequency is found to be 7 Hz.

The response frequency of 7 Hz is readily transmitted to the vehicle, as shown by the results of all the simulations, and is uncomfortably close to many other significant vehicle driveline frequencies such as that of tip-in and back-out at approximately 5–6 Hz and driveline shuffle at around 3–5 Hz. In a sister study carried out on driveline vibration for the same vehicle, a coupling action of axial and torsional modes was
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The choice of the friction material can be quite significant in order to damp out the effect of clutch judder in as short a window of oscillations as possible. It shows that friction materials with a positive gradient of coefficient of friction with slip speed provide a better damping effect and little or no self-excited vibrations occur and that friction material characteristics with negative slopes increase the propensity to judder. The test procedure reported here can therefore be employed as a 'sign-off' quality test for the choice of friction materials.

The limitations of the multibody approach are threefold:

1. The development of a mechanism model has traditionally been a long process but can now be managed through a parameterization process, although this approach does not lend itself to the inclusion of non-linear functions such as splines describing the clamp load variation.

2. The computation time is necessarily long as small time steps are required to describe the sharp variations in some parameters of the model such as the sharp rise in the clamp load time history.

3. The problem can best be observed by the simulation of quite fast clutch actuation speeds which lead to integration problems with very small time steps required at the onset of stick–slip motion.
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