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ABSTRACT

Constant Modulus algorithms based on a deterministic error criterion are presented. Soft constraint satisfaction methods yield a general family of blind equalization algorithms employing nonlinear functions of the equalizer output which must satisfy certain conditions. The algorithms are also extended to cover fractionally-spaced blind equalization. A normalization factor which appears as a result of the deterministic formulation of the problem helps the blind equalizer improve its performance. Also, the family supports a wide range of nonlinear functions. Extensive simulations are presented to reveal convergence characteristics which also include signals from the Signal Processing Information Base (SPIB).

1. INTRODUCTION

Blind equalization has been the focus of extensive research effort [1, 2, 3] because the need to transmit a training sequence so that the equalizer can remove the effect of Inter-Symbol-Interference (ISI). Unless combatted, ISI causes decision errors in receivers. So far numerous problems, the most serious of which is the existence of undesirable local minima [4], have impeded the exploitation of blind equalizers in many commercial systems. Recently, it has been shown that [5] globally convergent blind equalizers can be built within the fractionally-spaced configuration. However, important aspects such as the numerical conditioning for various nonlinear functions of the output and stability associated with the power level of the input require further investigation.

In this paper, we develop a general family of blind equalization algorithms which is less sensitive to input power, local variations at the channel output and has flexibility in choosing the nonlinear function. Figure 1 shows a general blind equalizer. We assume that the rate of transmitted symbols, $s_k$, is $T$. If $p = 1$, then the equalizer is single channel and termed “$T$-spaced” since the channel output is sampled at the symbol rate. If $p > 2$, we have a fractionally-spaced equalizer which corresponds to sampling the channel output at a rate $T/p$. In general, it is necessary to oversample the channel output at a frequency greater than the bandwidth of the transmit pulses, which is generally higher than the Nyquist rate due to pulse shaping, so that undesirable effects in $T$-sampled equalization do not exist.

2. DETERMINISTIC OPTIMIZATION CRITERION

Blind equalization algorithms can be derived from a deterministic optimization criterion. Consider the following optimization problem, also known as the principle of minimum disturbance [6]: Determine the tap-weight vector of dimension $N$ at time $k$, $W_k$, given the tap-input vectors $x_k, x_{k-1}, \ldots$, where $X^H_k = [x_k \ldots x_{k-N+1}]$, and desired responses $d_k, d_{k-1}, \ldots$, so as to minimize the squared Euclidean norm of the change in the tap-weight vector $W_k$,

$$\delta W_k = W_k - W_{k-1}$$

subject to the constraints

$$X^H_k W_k = d_k$$
$$X^H_{k-1} W_k = d_{k-1}$$

$$\vdots$$
$$X^H_{k-m+1} W_k = d_{k-m+1},$$

where $m < N$ and $(\cdot)^H$ denotes Hermitian transpose. If the training sequence is known to the receiver, the solution of the optimization problem leads to the Under-determined Recursive Least-Squares (URLS) algorithm [7], also known as the Affine Projection algorithm. In blind equalization the training sequence is not known to the receiver, and hence the desired response must be obtained from pertinent measurements in the receiver. In this paper, we propose the following choice
for \( d_k \ldots d_{k-m+1} \):

\[
d_k = \psi(R, y_{k-1}) \quad \ldots \quad d_{k-m+1} = \psi(R, y_{k-1}, k-m+1)
\]

where \( y_{k,j} = W_k^H X_j \), \( \psi(\cdot) \) is a nonlinear function which satisfies certain conditions and \( R \) is a constant chosen appropriately for the particular modulation scheme employed in the transmission. In the sequel we use \( y_k \) to denote \( y_{k-1,k} \). The solution of the above optimization problem via Lagrange multipliers yields

\[
W_k = W_{k-1} + \mathbf{X}_{m,k}(\mathbf{X}_{m,k}^H \mathbf{X}_{m,k})^{-1} \mathbf{E}_k
\]

where the \( m \times 1 \) error vector \( \mathbf{E}_k \) and the \( N \times m \) matrix (vector aggregate of \( X_k \)) \( \mathbf{X}_{m,k} \) are

\[
\mathbf{X}_{m,k} \triangleq [X_k \ X_{k-1} \ \ldots \ X_{k-m+1}],
\]

\[
\mathbf{E}_k \triangleq \mathbf{D}_k - \mathbf{X}_{m,k}^H W_{k-1},
\]

\[
\mathbf{D}_k \triangleq [\psi(R, y_{k-1}) \ \ldots \ \psi(R, y_{k-1}, k-m+1)]^H.
\]

A stepsise is also introduced to maintain the stability of the algorithm. Hence, we have the update

\[
W_k = W_{k-1} + \mu \mathbf{X}_{m,k}(\mathbf{X}_{m,k}^H \mathbf{X}_{m,k})^{-1} \mathbf{E}_k.
\]

A similar formulation has also appeared in [3] where only the signum function is considered in \( \mathbf{D} \) and the resulting algorithm is interpreted as a projection onto a circle. The family of functions which satisfy the requirements for convergence constitutes the soft-constraint satisfaction algorithms because at each iteration the constraints in (2) are dynamically changed. Some special cases may be identified: For \( m = 1 \), \( R = E \{ |s_k|^2 \} / E \{ |s_k|^4 \} \) and \( \psi(R, y_k) = y_k (R) y_k^2 - |y_k|^2 + 1 \) we can identify the normalized version of the Godard algorithm [1]. For \( m = 1 \), \( R = E \{ |s_k|^2 \} / E \{ |s_k|^4 \} \) and \( \psi(R, y_k) = R \ sgn(y_k) \), we have the normalized version of the Sato algorithm [2]. New nonlinear functions can also be introduced. For example, when \( m = 1 \), \( R = E \{ |s_k|^2 \} / E \{ |s_k|^3 \} \) and \( \psi(R, y_k) = (2 - |y_k|/R)y_k \), the SCS-1 algorithm in [8] results. Another choice could be \( R^{1/2} = E \{ |s_k|^2 \} / E \{ |s_k|^3/2 \} \) and \( \psi(R, y_k) = R^{1/2} sgn(y_k)|y_k|^{1/2} \), which will be termed as the Square-root SCS (SqSCS) algorithm.

The methodology in this section is reminiscent of Bussgang techniques for blind equalization, for which the memoryless nonlinear function \( \psi(\cdot) \) is thought of estimating the conditional mean \( E \{ s_k | y_k \} \) [9]. If a deterministic formulation of the problem is adopted, the factor \( (\mathbf{X}_{m,k}^H \mathbf{X}_{m,k})^{-1} \) can significantly affect the performance as shown in [8]. The simulations presented in this paper also illustrate this fact.

### 3. Fractionally-Spaced Equalizers

The concept of soft constrained satisfaction can be extended to fractionally-spaced equalizers. The Single-Input-Multiple-Output (SIMO) system of Figure 1 represents a fractionally sampled equalizer. The optimization problem of the previous section can be extended to cover the multichannel setup. In this case, let \( W_k^H = [W_k^{(1)H} \ldots W_k^{(p)H}] \), \( X_k^H = [X_k^{(1)H} \ldots X_k^{(p)H}] \) and \( y_k = X_k^H W_{k-1} \). The nonlinear functions can be used without any alteration.

### 4. Simulations

The proposed algorithms have been tested on the artificially created data and real data sets which are being placed in the Signal Processing Information Base. In particular, the simulations with the Godard \((p = 2)\), normalized Godard \((p = 2)\), normalized Sato, SCS-1 and SqSCS algorithms with \( m = 1 \) have been presented. The step-sizes of all algorithms have been chosen to give the fastest convergence in each case.

#### 4.1. Artifically Created Data Sets

We have used a 2-channel SIMO structure to simulate a fractionally-spaced blind equalizer which has an oversampling factor of 2. BPSK modulation technique is assumed.

**Experiment I:** The mixed-phase subchannels in the upper and lower branches of the communication channel are respectively chosen to be 0.242, -0.204, -0.159, 0.142, 0.157 and 0.216, 0.508, 0.848, 0.530, 0.311. The equalizer has 4 taps in each subchannel. All algorithms start from the same arbitrary initial condition. The signal-tonoise-ratio in each subchannel is set to 10 dB and the results of 20 independent trials are averaged to obtain the Open-Eye Measure (OEM) which is defined as

\[
\text{OEM}(k) \triangleq \frac{||T_k||_1 - ||T_k||_\infty}{||T_k||_\infty}
\]

where \( T_k \) represents the combined channel and equalizer. If OEM(\( k \)) < 0dB then the eye is open and ISI has no effect in the decision process. If OEM(\( k \)) > 0dB, the eye is closed and hence the ISI left after equalization will cause decision errors. The evolution of the OEMs for the proposed algorithms is shown in Figure 2.

**Experiment II:** In this experiment a common zero is assumed between the channels. The upper and lower
subchannels are assumed to be 0.197, 0.586, 0.960, 0.705, 0.217 and 0.179, 0.422, 0.706, 0.440, 0.2588 respectively. There are common zeros at 1.5e+7r. The equalizer has 4 taps in each subchannel. Center-tap initialization is used in each subchannel. The results of 20 independent trials are averaged to obtain the OEM curves presented in Figure 3.

When common zeros exist between channels, the problem is equivalent to T-spaced equalization of the common transfer function [10]. Hence, the Godard algorithm may fail to converge to the global optimum which is the possible cause of slow convergence of this case in the simulations.

The experiments show that SCS algorithms perform better than the unnormalized algorithms. Also a discontinuous nonlinearity as in the Sato or Normalized Sato algorithm is not desirable. It can also be concluded that the performance is unlikely to depend on the choice of the nonlinear function. Therefore, the one with better numerical properties could be chosen.

4.2. SPIB Signals

In this part, the algorithms are tested with the real data sets obtained from the SPIB database defined in the appendix of [11]. A V.29 constellation modem sequence is chosen. The channel output is sampled twice faster than the symbol period. The power spectral density and constellation of the channel output are shown in Figure 4. The equalizer has 8 taps in each subchannel. The constellations at the equalizer output in the steady-state for the Godard, Normalized Godard, SCS-1 and SqSCS algorithms can be seen in Figure 5. Although some carrier offset remains in the data set, all algorithms are able to open the channel eye.

5. CONCLUSIONS

A family of blind equalization algorithms is proposed for T-spaced and fractionally spaced equalizers. Better performance in realistic situations, flexibility in choosing the nonlinear function and less sensitivity to the input power level are the essential features of the new family.
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Figure 2: OEMs of all algorithms for Experiment I.

Figure 3: OEMs of all algorithms for Experiment II.

Figure 4: Characteristics of the SPIB modem channel.

Figure 5: Output Constellations for the Godard, Normalized Godard, SCS-1 and SqSCS algorithms.