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Abstract

Bone drilling is widely used in orthopaedics and surgery; it is a technically demanding surgical procedure. Recent technological improvements in this area are focused on efforts to reduce forces in bone drilling. This study focuses on forces and a torque required for conventional and ultrasonically-assisted tool penetration into fresh bovine cortical bone. Drilling tests were performed with two drilling techniques, and the influence of drilling speed, feed rate and parameters of ultrasonic vibration on the forces and torque was studied. Ultrasonically-assisted drilling (UAD) was found to reduce a drilling thrust force and torque compared to conventional drilling (CD). The mechanism behind lower levels of forces and torque was explored using high-speed filming of a drill-bone interaction zone and was linked to the chip shape and character of its formation. It is expected that UAD will produce holes with minimal effort and avoid unnecessary damage and accompanying pain during the incision.
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1. Introduction
Bone cutting is one of the oldest surgical procedures in the history of medicine. Nowadays, knee and hip implant surgeries are performed around the world and considered one of the most common operations in clinical practice. A total of 300,000 knee arthroplasties are performed each year in the United States alone with the number increasing every year [1]. Different methods of bone cutting include scraping, grooving, sawing, drilling, boring, grafting, shearing etc. Among these methods, drilling is a surgical operation, most discussed in literature. A considerable manual force on a drill is required by a surgeon to produce a hole for fixation purposes. A major concern in bone drilling is the penetration force, which may induce unnecessary damage that may result in trauma. The process can also be inefficient because of the flutes clogging. Studies found that cortical bone overheating that can cause thermal necrosis, are strongly linked to the level of drilling force [2, 3]. A force transmitted to a bone in drilling is not always appropriate for generation of the required cut. Hence, there is an increasing demand to minimize the cutting force in order to avoid injuries to nerves in the treated area. Another concern is the torque in drilling that can result in drill’s breakage.

Recent technological improvements, aimed at achieving minimal invasion in bone drilling, are mainly focused on the design of drills [4, 5]. Another approach is to use a robot-assisted surgery system, where a sensitive force feed-back system controls the tool action. A concept of mechatronic drill for automatical detection of breakthroughs at bone/soft tissue interfaces in order to avoid unnecessary damage was proposed in [6–8]. Improved drill designs, reducing the thrust force and allowing efficient removal of bone chips, were reported in [9, 10]. It was noted that drilling a predrilled hole significantly reduced thrust forces due to elimination of the chisel edge thrust at the tip of the drill. Those results demonstrated that a point angle of 118° and a helix angle of 36° with parabolic flutes decreased the thrust load by 45 percent compared with other existing surgical drill bits. It was also shown that a twist drill
with the same point angle and a $28^\circ$ helix angle required a much lower torque per unit area of hole and energy per unit volume of bone drilled at a given feed rate, compared to a drill with a $60^\circ$ point angle [11].

A bone-tool interaction in conventional and ultrasonically-assisted cutting modes has been of interest to researchers for the last few decades. Presently, a mechanical rotary drill is the main type of drilling equipment used in clinical practice. Various drilling techniques have been introduced to improve the cutting process in order to minimise invasiveness of the operation. One of such modern drilling techniques utilises high-frequency (ultrasonic) vibration of the drill along its longitudinal axis and is called \textit{ultrasonically-assisted drilling} (UAD). Another technique is laser drilling but it has been shown to induce severe tissue burning [12, 13]. Ultrasonic vibration has been already successfully applied on a wide scale in cutting high-strength aerospace alloys [14], composites [15] and soft materials [16]. In medical applications an ultrasonic tool can reduce cutting forces and provide a surgeon with better control to cut the bone tissue [17].

In previous research into bone drilling, the focus was largely on either the selection of drilling parameters or design of surgical drills. Also, research conducted was mainly focused on forces in conventional drilling (CD) [10, 18, 19]. Studies pertinent to the improvement of the process itself are limited. The ultrasonic drill cuts the bone in a different way as compared to a conventional one, and the process should be studied in detail. Despite the benefits of UAD in materials other than bone, no attention has been paid to its application in orthopaedics. The goal of this paper was to realize the benefits of UAD compared to CD. An experimental programme was performed to measure and compare, quantitatively, the drilling thrust force and torque for both types of drilling. The difference in forces for CD and UAD is explained by the process of chip formation using high-speed filming.

2. Experimental methods
2.1. Specimen preparation

Fresh dead cortical bones were cut from bovine femur. The bovine bone was of interest since it replicated the properties of human bone according to [20]. The bones were obtained from a local butcher and were stored frozen at –10°C before the experiment. Epiphysis was then cut off with a hacksaw thus leaving bone’s diaphysis to be tested. The bone pieces were approximately 80 mm in height with average thickness of the cortical wall of 8–9 mm. The shape of the bone was not suitable to be gripped in a holding device for drilling operation. To eliminate this problem, the bone was cut into two parts along its longitudinal axis. One part of the bone (specimen) was glued to the surface of a metal block with David Isopen P40 kit, with the bone’s top surface facing the drill. A total of eight test specimens were prepared from the bone pieces with each accommodating approx. forty drilled holes. Main stages of specimen preparation are shown in Fig. 1.

2.2. Experimental equipment

A test rig for ultrasonically-assisted machining with autoresonant control system has been designed by Nonlinear Dynamics Group, Wolfson School of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, Loughborough University, UK. The ultrasonic system can be attached to a standard lathe or a milling machine for various machining operations such as turning, drilling and plane cutting with specially designed attachments. Transducers used in ultrasonic machining convert electrical energy into mechanical motion and can be based on piezoelectric or magnetostrictive principles [21]; piezoelectric ceramic disks were used in our test rig. An ultrasonic transducer was designed with two piezoelectric plates fixed between two non-piezoelectric materials. A sevenfold increase in vibration amplitude of these plates
may be obtained with a suitably shaped concentrator, transferring this vibration to a cutting tool. The schematic ultrasonic cutting system is shown in Fig. 2.

The reaction force and torque generated during CD and UAD were studied using the experimental arrangement shown in Fig. 3. The experiments were carried out on a three-axis CNC milling machine (Wadkin Machine Tools, UK) with an ultrasonic transducer gripped in its chuck. The maximum spindle speed of the machine is 10,000 rpm and the feed rate 10 m/min. A two-component dynamometer (Kistler type 9271A), which can measure the thrust force and torque, was used. The force and torque signals generated by the Kistler dynamometer were conditioned using Kistler charge amplifiers, captured using a digital oscilloscope and transferred to a PC for subsequent processing. The Picoscope series 2000 oscilloscope with a maximum frequency of 10 MHz was used to acquire the data for force and torque in a digital format.

2.3. Experimental procedure

All the experiments were performed at room temperature without cooling (apart of a light spray of water near the drilling site to avoid dryness of the specimen) as in real orthopaedic surgery; the drilling direction was perpendicular to the bone’s longitudinal axis. The metal plate with the glued sample was clamped on the dynamometer platform to provide rigidity (see Fig. 3a). Prior to the experiments, the dynamometer was calibrated and found to be accurate in responses to both forms of loading (longitudinal and torsional). In the experimental study, a drill bit was feed down into the workpiece upon application of a thrust force along the drill axis. At the first stage of experiments, the drilling force and torque were measured under conventional conditions (no ultrasonic excitation) for a set of drilling parameters. Then, the drilling tests were performed with the ultrasonic transducer switched
on for the same cutting parameters used previously in CD (these parameters are provided in Table 1). The amount of thrust force required to drill a hole in a workpiece is related to the total energy per unit volume required to cut the material and diameter of the drill bit [7].

3. Mechanism of ultrasonically-assisted cutting

In UAC the displacement $x$ of vibrating tool is given by

$$x = a \sin \omega t = a \sin 2\pi ft$$

where $a$, $f$ and $\omega$ are, respectively, the amplitude, frequency and angular velocity of the tool. Thus, the tool vibration speed is $v = \dot{x} = a \omega \cos \omega t$. In UAC, the tool-work piece contact is intermittent, i.e. the tool remains in a contact with a bone only for a certain part of vibration cycle. The vibrational cutting condition is satisfied if the tool speed is more than the work piece cutting velocity, resulting in a separation of the tool from the workpiece in each cycle. The cutting force is produced only in the tool-workpiece contact period of the vibration cycle. A detailed description of models of ultrasonically-assisted machining processes can be found in [22].

4. Results and discussion

Typical force-time graphs obtained for both drilling techniques are shown in Fig. 4. After the initial engagement, the force gradually increased with time and attained a plateau when the drill lip was fully engaged with the bone. Small oscillations recorded at peak values are due to high sensitivity of the measurement system and vibrations in the drilling equipment. The force suddenly vanished when the drill penetrated entire thickness of cortical bone (approx. 9 mm).
4.1. Effect of drilling speed on force

The effect of drilling speed on the thrust force was measured for CD and UAD, and the obtained results are presented in Fig. 5 (here and below, all the data points in the graphs are the mean of five tests). UAD resulted in a significant decrease in thrust forces for the range of speeds and feed rates used. The thrust force decreased with the drilling speed for both drilling techniques (CD and UAD) for magnitudes above 1800 rpm. The decrease of the drilling force can be explained by the reduction of the mean friction coefficient at the drill-bone interface at higher cutting speeds. This may also be due to the change in the chip formation mechanism at higher speeds that can affect the drilling thrust force. The average value of the force measured was 66 N and 48 N for 600 rpm and 3000 rpm, respectively, in CD and was 36 N and 21 N in UAD for the same drilling speeds and feed rate. A decrease in force by 27% was observed in CD when the drilling speed was varied from 600 rpm to 3000 rpm. With the vibrating drill, the force dropped by 45% for 600 rpm and 55% for 3000 rpm compared to conventional technique. Our results for CD are consistent with those obtained in [23], where the thrust force was shown to drop exponentially with speed in drilling bovine cortical bone (for a 3.2 mm drill). In that study the thrust force dropped from 48 N at 400 rpm to 23 N at 2000 rpm.

4.2. Effect of feed rate on force

The influence of feed rate on the drilling thrust force was also studied. The force declined by a significant magnitude with the decreasing feed rate in CD as shown in Fig. 6. Interestingly, but not surprisingly, the force level was significantly less affected in the case of the ultrasonic vibrations for the range of feed rates used. The reason was the large difference between the actual velocity of the tip of the drill in UAD due to high-frequency vibration and the feed rate. Here, as above, UAD consistently demonstrated a lower level of trust force.
4.3. Effect of drilling speed on torque

The effect of drilling speed on torque was also examined. In CD, the torque diminished significantly as the speed was changed from 600 rpm to 1800 rpm as shown in Fig. 7; the effect was negligible for speeds above 1800 rpm. In UAD, the drop was linear up to the drilling speed of 2400 rpm and was not affected by the speed above it. The average drop in the torque was about 30% in CD and UAD for the range of drilling speed used. The magnitude and behaviour of our results for drilling torque against drilling speed in CD was consistent with those reported in [23]. There it was shown that in tests with a 3.2 mm drill, there was an exponential falling-off of the cutting torque from 14.5 N mm at 400 rev/min to an asymptote of 10 N mm at 2000 rev/min.

4.4. Effect of ultrasonics on force and torque

The influence of parameters of ultrasonic vibration on drilling thrust force and torque was studied next. Comparing Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 with the experimental results discussed above, several trends can be deduced. Firstly, the drilling reaction force and torques developed during UAD were lower than those generated during CD throughout the frequency range investigated. With regard to the effect of vibration frequency, it was found that the thrust force decreased by 57% when the frequency was increased from 10 kHz to 30 kHz (Fig. 8). The corresponding drop in torque was 28%. The decrease in drilling force with an increase in ultrasonic frequency was due to the decrease in contact ratio between the drill and the bone. It was expected that the decrease in that ratio reduced the mean friction coefficient at the drill-bone interface.

The force dropped by 46% when the ultrasonic amplitude was increased from 5 µm to 15 µm and was unaffected by a further increase (Fig. 9). The torque diminished slightly (by 14%)
when the amplitude was changed from 5 µm to 10 µm and remained unchanged under further increases in the amplitude. The drilling force was shown to drop linearly with vibration amplitude in drilling ceramics using a diamond core drill [24]. Here, it is important to mention that the largest change in the thrust force and torque was for the maximum drilling speed of 3000 rpm. Hence, different optimum values for vibration frequency and amplitude can exist for higher drilling speeds. The advantage of using UAD is the lower level of torque applied at all times that will prevent the drill from stalling.

4.5. Chip formation in drilling

A study of chip formation in both used drilling techniques can elucidate the reasons for differences in drilling forces. A mechanism of the chip formation process in CD and UAD was visualised using high-speed filming that provided direct observation of the drill-bone interaction zone. The FASTCAM digital video recorder (Photron DVR, Photron Limited, Japan) was utilized for filming of the chip separation process. The videos were recorded at 500 frames per second with resolution of 640×480 pixels for the duration of approximately 10 seconds. A macro zoom (18–108 mm) lens was used to zoom into the drilling location. High-speed filming is capable of recording images of events occurring for short time periods and thus gives an opportunity to study the chip formation of a fast process such as drilling. One of the major limitations of high-speed filming is that recording at high frame rates requires the provision of very intense lighting and proper focus on the area of interest. To illuminate the filming area, two high-intensity lighting sets (quartz flood lighting) of 700 W were used. Due to the light reflection from the tool and specimen, it was difficult to observe clear images of the cutting process on the screen. To eliminate that problem, the drill bit (tip and flutes) were blacked with a marker resulting in a clearer picture of the chip formation in the cutting zone. The chip formation was observed from the moment of initial engagement of
the drill lips with the bone surface till the end of drilling process. In general, the chip morphology in drilling can be categorized as eight types: (1) needle, (2) powder, (3) fan, (4) short ribbon, (5) short spiral, (6) long ribbon, (7) long spiral, and (8) very long ribbon [25]. In CD, the chips were found to follow a spiral path up along the flutes to the bone surface, and the process continued until the drill fully penetrated into the bone tissue. In conventional drilling, drill clogging started at the moment of full lip engagement and continued till the end of drilling the hole (Fig. 10). Those long spiral chips have been shown to cause higher specific cutting energy and rough hole surfaces in drilling cast aluminium alloys [26]. The chips were seen rotating along the drill bit rubbing against the hole surface and blocking the flutes. Beside spiral chips, CD also produced fan-shaped ones. Those chips fractured prior to making a conical shape. The length of spiral cone chips can be considered as a scale to evaluate the difficulty for chip evacuation in drilling [27]. The larger length of chips and flute blockage in CD correspond to higher forces required for drill penetration into bone.

UAD was observed to produce needle-type (segmented) chips, which were found to clear the flutes as the drill advanced into the bone material. Also the chips were noticed to clear the hole with significant speed. Due to the small size and high speed of chip removal, a dust-like condition was observed in high-speed videos when ultrasonic drill touched the bone and continued till the full drill engagement (Fig. 10). Hence, the use of ultrasonic drill will reduce undesirable heat build-up during drilling. Spiral chips and their slow evacuation in CD could result in a higher bone temperature potentially leading to bone necrosis.

5. Conclusion

The obtained experimental results for drilling of cortical bone revealed that the penetration force and torque dropped significantly when ultrasonic vibration was superimposed along the drill’s longitudinal axis without cooling. The drilling force was nearly halved in the case of
vibration for the range of drilling speeds used. The lower drilling torque in ultrasonically-assisted drilling reduces the chance of drill skidding and breakage. In addition, the risk of damage caused by the drilling torque may also be minimized. Another advantage of UAD compared to conventional drilling is improved chip removal from the drilling site. The intermittent contact between the drill and bone in UAD is expected to produce lower heat since it diminishes chip rubbing against the drill hole leading to reduced friction. The obtained decrease in the force will allow surgeons to penetrate the tool with lower effort and will have a better control over the cutting process. Further research is also needed to evaluate the integrity of the drilled hole’s surface produced in both types of drilling techniques in order to ensure that interfacial strength is not affected.
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Figures Captions

Fig. 1. (a) Fresh bovine femur bone; (b) sample cut from mid diaphysis; (c) specimen for drilling

Fig. 2. Components of ultrasonically-assisted cutting system

Fig. 3. (a) Experimental arrangement for measurement of thrust force and torque in drilling; (b) schematic of ultrasonic device for drilling

Fig. 4. Evolution of force, measured with dynamometer, in CD and UAD. Drilling speed $N=1800$ rpm; $f_r=40$ mm/min (I – drill engagement stage; II – drilling; III – drill exit)

Fig. 5. Influence of drilling speed on thrust force in CD and UAD ($f_r=40$ mm/min, frequency $f=20$ kHz, amplitude $a=10$ micrometers)

Fig. 6. Influence of feed rate on thrust force in CD and UAD. Drilling speed $N=1800$ rpm, frequency $f=20$ kHz, amplitude $a=10$ micrometers

Fig. 7. Influence of drilling speed on torque in CD and UAD. Frequency $f=20$ kHz, amplitude $a=10$ micrometers, $f_r=40$ mm/min

Fig. 8. Variation of thrust force and torque with vibration frequency in UAD. Drilling speed $N=1800$ rpm, $f_r=40$ mm/min, amplitude $a=10$ micrometers

Fig. 9. Variation of thrust force and torque with vibration amplitude in UAD. $N=1800$ rpm, $f_r=40$ mm/min, frequency $f=20$ kHz

Fig. 10. Images obtained with high-speed video system showing chip formation in drilling fresh bone with both drilling modes. First row: CD, second row: UAD.
column – initial engagement of drill lips; middle column – half-lip engagement; right column – full lip engagement
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Table 1. Parameters used in bone drilling experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>CD</th>
<th>UAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drilling speed, N (rpm)</td>
<td>600 – 3000</td>
<td>600 – 3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drill diameter, D (mm)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drill cutting edge angle (degrees)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed rate, $f_r$ (mm/min)</td>
<td>10 – 50</td>
<td>10 – 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibration amplitude, $a$ (µm)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>5 – 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibration frequency, $f$ (kHz)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>10 – 30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>