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ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to describe the results of theoretical and experimental investigation of environmental low-frequency noise and vibration. The main objective of this investigation was to check up the popular belief that this noise is being generated by underground gas pipes. Theoretical hypothesis has been developed which shows that under certain circumstances underground gas pipes may be one of the sources of low-frequency noise.

Experimental investigations of the low-frequency noise and vibration were carried out in several locations over the East Midlands (UK) and included high resolution measurements of noise and vibration spectra. Records were taken inside and outside the houses, as well as near buried gas distribution lines. In 50% of cases the low frequency noise complained of has been detected. However, the presence of ground vibrations was not observed in any location. Thus, at least during this series of experiments, there was no evidence of underground gas pipes being a source of low frequency noise.

The measured characteristics of the air-borne noise show that as a rule its level is below the average threshold of human sensitivity. Thus, only exceptionally sensitive people can be affected. Nevertheless, even though a number of people sensitive to low-frequency noise is relatively small, the technical and legal aspects of this problem deserve further consideration.

1. INTRODUCTION

The phenomenon of environmental low-frequency noise, also called the low-frequency hum, has been known in the United Kingdom and in some other countries for at least two decades (see, e.g. [1,2]). However, in many aspects its nature and even the existence itself are still questionable. In particular, despite the established facts of devastating effects of low-frequency noise on people [3,4], many representatives of the medical profession deny the objective existence of the environmental low-frequency noise and link all the complaints to human aural disorders, such as tinnitus. Although a current number of complaints about environmental low-frequency noise (around 500 a year in the UK along) makes just a small fraction of a total number of noise complaints, the phenomenon deserves careful consideration. In particular, the questions need to be answered whether the environmental low-frequency noise objectively exists and, if so, what are its main physical mechanisms and sources.

Several studies have been carried out so far to assess the environmental low-frequency noise. The latest measurements were performed by British Gas [5] and jointly by Building Research Establishment (BRE) along with Sound Research Laboratories (SRL) on behalf of
Department of the Environment [6,7]. The British Gas report states that in seven cases out of thirty-three the disturbing noise sources were identified. In one case the noise was caused by the pipework at a British Gas installation. Others were related to a refrigerator unit in a nearby plant, a power unit on a ship, an industrial burner, a distant shipyard, machinery in a factory, and a resonating flue liner in the complainant's home. Unfortunately, no information is given in [5] about the frequencies and the amplitudes of sound from the identified sources. The results of the recent BRE/SRL study [6,7] are generally negative: only in three cases out of thirty-one the noise was detected. In two cases it was the 100 Hz noise caused by electric substations, and in one case it was the 104 Hz noise of unknown origin which could be heard by the investigator only inside the house. In all these three cases of BRE/SRL study one can speak about comparatively high-frequency noise which is not the main subject for complaints of low-frequency noise sufferers. Regarding such complaints, one should admit that neither low-frequency noise itself, nor its sources have been identified in [6,7], although it has been mentioned there that in seven cases "there was some evidence to suggest that a low level low frequency noise may occasionally be present that could be related to the noise complained of". Note that in all existing investigations only air-borne noise has been measured. Despite a lot of suspicions shared by many sufferers that "noise comes from the ground" no measurements of ground vibrations have been made.

In the present paper we concentrate on the most popular belief among the low-frequency noise sufferers on the possible sources of the noise. Namely, that the main sources of the disturbing low-frequency noise (hum) are underground gas pipes. In addition to the theoretical estimate of the expected noise and vibration levels under the mechanism responsible for such generation, we report the results of our recent experimental investigations carried out in several locations over the East Midlands (UK). In addition to measurements of air-borne noise, these measurements also included measurements of ground vibrations, as well as vibrations of the house walls. Finally, we discuss the obtained results and derive the main conclusions.

2. POSSIBLE SOURCES OF LOW-FREQUENCY NOISE

2.1. General information.

In our opinion, there may be different sources and mechanisms of low-frequency noise which may cause annoyance and distress of some people. In addition to the above mentioned sources identified in the British Gas report [5], one can mention railway and road traffic [8-11], flow machines [12], railway and highway bridges [13,14], numerous industrial installations, long chimneys, wind farms, etc. Apart from this variety of man-made sources, there might be different mechanisms of low-frequency noise generation in the natural environment, e.g., sounds caused by wind blowing over the mountains, infrasound generated by water waves during storms (the so called "voice of the sea"), etc. However, the most popular belief among people affected by the low-frequency noise is that sources of this noise are underground gas pipes, especially those related to National Distribution System.

2.2. Underground gas pipes as possible sources.

2.2.1. Hypothetical generation mechanism.

To inspect the idea that underground gas pipes can be the sources, we developed the hypothesis which employs the most likely generation mechanism associated with pipes.
According to this hypothesis, the low-frequency noise inside the houses is structure-borne sound caused by ground vibrations propagating to buildings as surface Rayleigh waves. We analyse the possibility when the sources of these surface waves are buried gas pipes in which turbulent flows of gas or liquid generate sound waves of high amplitude propagating in pipelines as in waveguides.

The suggested mechanism of generation is as follows. The velocities of sound $c_0$ inside the pipes (450 m/s for methane) may be often higher than the velocities of Rayleigh surface waves $c_R$ in the ground at the frequencies of interest (5-50 Hz). Typical values of $c_R$ are 300 - 600 m/s. If $c_0 > c_R$, then ground Rayleigh waves are expected to be effectively generated by sound waves propagating inside the pipes [15]. The physical nature of this phenomenon is similar to that of sound boom from supersonic jets or to that of recently predicted Rayleigh ground wave boom from high-speed trains [16,17]. In the following paragraphs we discuss the feasibility of this generation mechanism and give theoretical estimate of the expected noise and vibration.

2.2.2. Theoretical background.

We assume that a gas or petrol pipe of radius $a$ and wall thickness $d$ is buried at a depth $h$. Let us consider propagation of a time-harmonic sound wave inside this pipe (the lowest waveguide mode):

$$ p(x,t) = p_0 \exp[i(k_0 x - \omega t)] , $$

(1)

Here $p(x,t)$ is time- and space-dependent sound pressure, $p_0$ is the sound pressure amplitude, $k_0 = \omega/c_0$ is the sound wavenumber, $\omega = 2\pi F$ is circular frequency, and $c_0$ is the velocity of sound in pipe gas. We recall that total pressure inside the pipe is $P(x,t) = P_a + p(x,t)$, where $P_a$ is a static pressure. The sources of sound wave excitation inside pipes may be of different nature. These may be, for example, powerful compressors in gas compressor stations or instabilities of gas flows in pipes themselves. We will not discuss these particular reasons here, considering the sound amplitude $p_0$ as a given value.

Propagation of a sound wave in the pipe causes displacements of the pipe walls which in turn can generate elastic waves in the adjacent ground. Ignoring reaction of the ground on pipe deformations and using quasi-static solution of thin shell equations [18,19], one can obtain the expressions for sound-induced radial and horizontal displacements of the pipe walls respectively

$$ w = (a^2/Ed) p(x,t) , $$

(2)

$$ v = i(a\sigma Edk_0) p(x,t) , $$

(3)

where $E$ and $\sigma$ are Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio of the pipe material.

The next step in the solution is to determine the amplitudes of elastic fields generated in the bulk of the ground due to the sound-induced displacements of pipe walls (2), (3). Introducing cylindrical coordinates $r, x$, associated with the pipe axle, and expressing radial and horizontal displacements in the ground in terms of the elastic potentials $\varphi$ and $\psi$: $u_r = \partial \varphi/\partial r + \partial \psi/\partial \theta$, and $u_x = \partial \varphi/\partial x - (1/r) \partial \psi/\partial r$, we can write the solution of the corresponding boundary value problem in the form

$$ \varphi = A H_0^{(1)}(kr) \exp[i(k_0 x - i\omega t)] , $$

(4)
\[ \psi = B H_0^{(1)}(v_r)\exp[it(k_0 - i\alpha)t], \]  

where \( H_0^{(1)}(v_r) \) is the Hankel function of the first kind and zero order, \( v_r = (k^2 - k_0^2)^{1/2} \), \( k = \alpha c_l \) and \( k = \alpha c_s \) are the wavenumbers of longitudinal and shear bulk waves, \( A \) and \( B \) are the coefficients determined from the boundary conditions as

\[ A = [-i\pi a/2\pi k_0] \ln (v_a)\rho_{0} \]  

\[ B = [\pi a/2\pi k_0] \ln (v_a) - (\pi a/2\pi k_0)\rho_{0}. \]

Obviously, if \( k_{1,2}^2 > k_0^2 \), then \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) in (4), (5) describe conical longitudinal and shear elastic waves propagating away from the pipe. In the opposite case, \( k_{1,2}^2 < k_0^2 \), the expressions (4), (5) describe localised quasi-static elastic fields accompanying the sound wave and travelling along the pipe at speed \( c_0 \).

It is easy to see from (4)-(7) that contributions of \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) to the ground displacement field are of the same order. Both these potentials are equally important and contribute additively to generating Rayleigh surface waves at the ground surface. However, to demonstrate the effect in principle, we consider here only contribution of the potential \( \varphi \). This essentially simplifies the problem yet allowing to achieve satisfactory estimation for the order of amplitudes of generated Rayleigh waves.

To calculate the amplitudes of elastic fields generated at the ground surface by the waves (4)-(7) one should solve the corresponding boundary-value problem for the elastic half-space. Excitation of longitudinal and shear elastic waves in the elastic half space by longitudinal conical waves in the form (4) has been earlier considered in the paper [20]. According to this paper, the general integral representation of the ground surface vertical displacement field associated with the excited elastic field has the form

\[ u_z = D \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2k_{1,2}^2(2k^2 + k_0^2) - k_{1,2}^2}{F(\sqrt{k^2 + k_0^2})} \exp[i(ky + k_0x + is_1) - \omega t] \, dk, \]

where \( k \) is a current wavenumber, \( D = -2iA/\pi \) is the amplitude coefficient, \( F(\sqrt{k^2 + k_0^2}) = [2(k^2 + k_0^2) - k_{1,2}^2]s_{1,2} \) is the Rayleigh determinant as a function of \( \sqrt{k^2 + k_0^2} \) (instead of \( k \) in usual notation), and \( s_{1,2} = (k^2 + k_0^2 - k_{1,2}^2)^{1/2} \). Evaluation of the integral (8) with regard to calculation of generated bulk elastic waves has been carried out in the complex \( k \)-plane by the method of steepest descents [20]. Note that calculations in [20] were performed for high-frequency bulk longitudinal and shear elastic waves, with applications to active acoustic detection of leaks in underground gas distribution lines.

From the point of view of examining possible mechanisms of low-frequency hum, we need to investigate generation of Rayleigh surface waves which carry most of the energy of generated low-frequency ground vibrations. To calculate radiated Rayleigh waves we have to take contribution of a residue of the integrand in (8) at \( k \) corresponding to \( F(k^2 + k_0^2) = 0 \), i.e., at \( k = \sqrt{k_R^2 - k_0^2} \), where \( k_R = \alpha c_R \) is the Rayleigh wavenumber and \( c_R \) is Rayleigh wave velocity. After simple manipulations, this results in the following expression for the vertical component of the surface ground vibration velocity, \( v_z = \partial u_z/\partial t \), associated with generated Rayleigh waves:
\[ v_z = \frac{2 p_0 \pi a \sigma}{E d k_0^2 \ln (v_i a)} \frac{2 k^2 \left( 2 k_R^2 - k_1^2 \right)}{F' \left( k_R^{-1} / k_R \right) \sqrt{k_R^2 \left( 1 + 2i \gamma \right) - k_0^2}} \exp \left( -h(k_R^2 - k_1^2)^{1/2} + i k_0 x \pm i k_R \left( 1 + 2i \gamma \right) - k_0 \right)^ {1/2} y. \]

Here \( F'(k_R) \) is the derivative \( dF/dk \) of the “usual” Rayleigh determinant \( F(k) = (2k^2 - k_1^2)^2 - 4k^2(k^2 - k_1^2) \)\((2k^2 - k_1^2)^{1/2} \) taken at \( k = k_R \), signs “+” and “-“ in the exponential of (14) correspond to the positive and negative values of \( y \) respectively, the factor \( \exp(-i \alpha \varepsilon) \) is omitted. In (9) we also have taken into account ground attenuation of Rayleigh waves where it is essential: in the exponential and in the denominator (otherwise a singularity could be expected when \( k_R = k_0 \)). We have accounted for attenuation in a traditional way: via replacing real wavenumber \( k_0 \) by its complex value \( k_R(l + iy) \), where \( y \) is the attenuation constant of the ground. It is assumed that sound waves inside the pipe propagate without attenuation.

2.2.3. Generated Rayleigh waves.

According to formula (9), radiation of Rayleigh waves in the ground takes place only for \( k_R > k_0 \), i.e., for \( c_R < c_0 \), i.e., similarly to a sonic boom from supersonic jets or to a ground Rayleigh wave boom from superfast trains [16,17]. This may happen quite often since, e.g., the velocity of sound inside the pipe filled with methane is 450 m/s and the velocities of Rayleigh surface waves in the ground are typically 300 - 600 m/s. It is seen that generated Rayleigh waves are quasi-plane waves propagating symmetrically with respect to the \( x \)-axis at the angles \( \Theta = \cos^{-1}(c_R/c_0) \). If \( c_0 < c_R \) then Rayleigh waves are not generated and formula (9) describes the quasi-static elastic field accompanying the sound wave and exponentially decaying with distance \( y \) from the pipe. The presence of the “resonance” expression \( \sqrt{k_R^2 \left( 1 + 2i \gamma \right) - k_0^2} \) in the denominator of (9) implies that for \( k_R > k_0 \) the most efficient generation of Rayleigh waves is expected at \( k_R = k_0 \), i.e., at \( c_R = c_0 \). Maximum achievable Rayleigh wave amplitudes in this case are determined by the ground attenuation factor \( \gamma \).

We recall that formula (9) describes radiation caused by time-harmonic sound wave (1) propagating in a pipe. If the sound wave in the pipe is not time-harmonic, as for example in the case of sound generation by turbulent flows [21,22], then multiplication of (9) by the frequency spectrum of sound gives the spectral density of generated Rayleigh waves. One can assume that in the frequency range of interest (5 - 50 Hz) the spectrum of sound in the pipe is approximately uniform with a spectral density \( p_0 \). Then formula (9) represents straightway the spectrum of Rayleigh waves generated by the pipe.

2.2.4. Results of the numerical calculations.

Numerical calculations of the ground vibration amplitudes \( V = \mid v_z \mid \) have been carried out according to the formula (9) for the following parameters of the pipe and ground: \( a = 0.5 \) m, \( d = 0.005 \) m, \( E = 20 \times 10^{10} \) N/m, \( \sigma = 0.31 \) (tempered steel), \( c_0 = 450 \) m/s, \( p_0 = 100 \) dB (relative to the amplitude of \( 2 \times 10^5 \) N/m²); Poisson ratio of the ground was taken as 0.25; pipe depth \( h \) varied from 0.5 m to 1.5, Rayleigh wave velocity of the ground varied from 400 m/s to 500 m/s, and ground attenuation \( \gamma \) varied from 0.005 to 0.015; observation distance from the pipe \( y \) varied from 25 m to 100 m.

Calculations show that generated ground vibration spectra have maxima with the magnitudes and locations dependent on \( h \). The lower the \( h \) values, the higher the central frequencies and the larger the amplitudes of generated waves. Calculations of the ground vibration amplitudes as functions of Rayleigh wave velocity in the ground \( c_R \) demonstrate
that at \( c_R \) approaching \( c_0 \) from the left a resonance increase of generated ground vibrations occurs. For \( c_R > c_0 \) a quick drop in amplitudes takes place characterising exponential decay of the accompanying quasi-static field with distance \( y \).

Using the obtained results for the amplitudes of ground vibrations generated by buried pipes, one can evaluate vibrations of the house walls and eventually the levels of structure-borne sound generated by walls inside the house. Simple estimate shows, that if the ground vibrations with the amplitudes of 70 dB (relative to \( 10^{-9} \) m/s) are applied to the building foundation, then the levels of the structure-borne sound will be in the range of 40-50 dB (relative to the reference level of \( 2 \times 10^9 \) N/m²).

3. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATIONS

3.1. Aim and locations.

Experimental investigations of the environmental low-frequency noise and vibration were carried out in four locations over the East Midlands, using the addresses provided by the members of the Low Frequency Noise Sufferers Association (LFNSA) (for brief discussion see also [23]). The main aims of these investigations were to obtain experimental evidence of the existence of environmental low-frequency noise at frequencies close to those of infrasound and to check up the above mentioned theoretical hypothesis that under certain circumstances underground gas pipes may be one of its sources.

3.2. Equipment.

The experiments comprising high resolution measurements of noise and vibration spectra were carried out inside and outside the complaint’s houses, as well as near buried gas distribution lines in the surrounding areas. The equipment included portable FFT spectrum analyser which was used in combination with high-sensitive electret microphone (for noise measurements) and with accelerometers (for measurements of vibration). The measured spectra have been memorised in the FFT spectrum analyser which had an output to a computer.

3.3. Methodology.

Initial noise and vibration measurements were made inside the houses: in living rooms, bedrooms, and utility rooms. Afterwards, they were repeated outside the houses: within boundaries of the properties and in relatively remote locations, especially in the vicinity of underground gas distribution lines. Special attention has been paid to measuring ground vibration spectra and examining their relationships with measured noise.

3.4. Results.

The results of the investigations have shown that in two properties out of four the environmental low-frequency noise has been detected. In what follows we discuss only these positive cases.

In the first property, located in a very quiet rural area (near Melton Mowbray, Leicestershire), the noise spectra measured inside the house in the frequency range 0-100 Hz and with a frequency resolution of 1 Hz showed three very distinctive narrow peaks at
Figure 1. Noise spectrum inside the house (first case)

Figure 2. Noise spectrum near the electric sub-station
frequencies 24.5 Hz, 49 Hz and 73.5 Hz (see Figure 1). These resembled the first three Fourier harmonics of the main frequency 24.5 Hz. This noise, which we could clearly hear (probably because of the presence of higher harmonics at 49 and 73.5 Hz), was exactly the noise complained of. The amplitudes of the spectral peaks were respectively 46 dB, 43 dB and 34 dB of the linear scale. That is approximately by 15 dB higher than the background noise at corresponding frequencies.

Measurements of ground vibration spectra near the house as well as around the suspected underground gas pipes in the nearby area showed the absence of any vibrations above the background level.

Attempts undertaken to locate the source of air-borne noise by driving around gave no results, partly because the noise was not steady and occasionally disappeared. Spectral measurements taken near some of the local utility installations, e.g., the electric sub-station (Figure 2), could not be related to the noise measured in the house. Thus, in the above mentioned case there was no experimental evidence that the low-frequency noise complained of was caused by ground vibrations generated by underground gas pipes. Moreover, according to the theoretically calculated spectra of ground vibrations from underground pipes, which are broad and smooth (see equation (9)), it was unlikely to expect generation of the associated structure-borne noise at three distinctive harmonics. The presence of these three harmonics in the spectra of measured noise allows us to suggest that in the particular case under consideration the source responsible for the noise represented a vibrating body oscillating with high amplitudes at the main frequency of 24.5 Hz. Then the appearance of higher harmonics in noise spectra could be attributed to nonlinear distortions of the body vibration.

In the second case of the positive indication of the low-frequency noise, the property was also in a quiet rural location (near Bunny, Nottinghamshire). In this last case it was possible not only to detect low-frequency noise inside and outside the house, but also to identify and locate the source of the noise. Since this case gives a good illustration of the methodology of measurements, we describe it in more detail.

The noise spectrum inside the house can be seen in Figure 3 for the frequency range 0-100 Hz (this spectrum and the ones described below have been measured with higher frequency resolution: 0.125 Hz). One can see two distinctive peaks above the background noise level: at 19.5 Hz and 27 Hz. The corresponding amplitudes are 42 dB and 36 dB of the linear scale. Measurement outside the house (Figure 4) showed only one of the peaks left: at 19.5 Hz. Thus, the maximum at 27 Hz measured inside the house was caused probably by excitation of one of the room resonances. Note that the amplitude of the peak at 19.5 Hz outside the house is around 48 dB, i.e., the sound level inside the house is only by 6 dB lower than outside. Spectra of ground vibrations measured outside the house indicated no vibrations above the background level. In particular there were no any increase of vibration amplitudes in the frequency range around 19.5 Hz. Thus, it was clear that the noise complained of is the air-borne noise.

Driving around the area and measuring the noise spectra outside the car enabled us to locate the source by observing the increase in amplitude at the frequency of interest (19.5 Hz). It has been found that the source was located on the territory of the nearby industrial works, i.e., approximately in 2 miles from the complaint's house.

Figure 5 shows the noise spectrum measured on the road leading to the above mentioned industrial works. Note that the amplitude of the now split peak with the central frequency of 19.5 Hz is up to 58 dB (i.e., by 10 dB higher than near the house). The additional significant peak around 70 Hz, which has not been present in the spectra measured near the complaint's house, was probably caused by a side local source which was out of our attention. Finally, the noise spectrum measured near the territory of the industrial works is
Figure 3. Noise spectrum inside the house (second case)

Figure 4. Noise spectrum outside the house (second case)
Figure 5. Noise spectrum measured on the road

Figure 6. Noise spectrum near the industrial works
shown in Figure 6. It can be seen that the amplitude of the peak at 19.5 Hz is now 65 dB. Thus, the source responsible for the low-frequency noise complained of might be one of the installations located in the territory of the industrial works, e.g., manufacturing rigs or chimneys. Unfortunately, the more definite identification of the source was not possible without access to the territory.

4. DISCUSSION

The above described investigation of the environmental low-frequency noise have demonstrated the following.

Theoretical study has proved that generation of the low-frequency noise by underground gas pipes is feasible. In this case the disturbing low-frequency noise (hum) may be considered as structure-borne noise generated by ground vibrations propagating to buildings as surface Rayleigh waves. These waves can be generated by buried underground gas pipes in which turbulent gas flows excite sound waves of high amplitude propagating in a pipe-line as in a waveguide. Such a generation takes place if the velocities of sound $c_0$ inside the pipes (450 m/s for methane) are higher than the velocities of Rayleigh surface waves $c_R$ in the ground. Especially large resonance increase may occur for $c_0$ slightly higher than $c_R$. The physical nature of this phenomenon is similar to that of sound boom from supersonic jets or to that of recently predicted Rayleigh ground wave boom from superfast trains.

Experimental measurements of noise and vibration in and near complaint’s houses have demonstrated that in two locations out of four (i.e., in 50% of cases under investigation) the low frequency noise complained of has been detected. Spectra of noise in both cases were completely different. Therefore, they have to be associated with different noise sources. This confirms the point of view that the nature of environmental low-frequency noise can not be reduced to one general mechanism of a national or global scale. There is a variety of sources and mechanisms of environmental low-frequency noise depending on particular circumstances.

The presence of environmental ground vibrations above the background level has not been observed in any location. Thus, at least during this series of experiments, there was no evidence of underground gas pipes being a source of low frequency noise. The reason for this could be low amplitudes of sound generated inside the pipes, for example because of gas flow speed being insufficiently high to cause efficient excitation of sound by turbulence. This does not mean, however, that this mechanism should be excluded from further consideration.

The measured spectra of the air-borne low-frequency noise consisted of a few low-level tonal components with the amplitudes inside houses up to 50 dB of the linear scale. This level is generally below the averaged threshold of human sensitivity corresponding to A-weighting curve. Therefore, only people characterised by high sensitivity to low-frequency sound and living in quite rural locations can be affected. Nevertheless, even though a number of such people is relatively small, these cause quite specific physiological and legal aspects related to individual perception of low-frequency noise [24], in particular the increased annoyance of tonal components [25]. We did not touch these aspects in the present work, concentrating on physical mechanisms of the low-frequency noise. However, in our opinion, the measurement techniques and the corresponding legal aspects of this problem could become a subject of special discussion.
5. CONCLUSIONS

1. Theoretical study has proved that generation of the low-frequency noise by underground gas pipes is feasible.

2. Experimental measurements of noise and vibration in and near complaint's houses have confirmed that the environmental low-frequency noise (or hum) does exist.

3. At least during this series of experiments, there was no evidence of underground gas pipes being a source of environmental low-frequency noise.

4. The experiments show that there is a variety of mechanisms and sources of environmental low-frequency noise, rather than one general mechanism of a national or global scale.

5. The measured spectra of the air-borne low-frequency noise comprise a few low-level tonal components which are generally below the averaged threshold of human sensitivity.

6. Even though a number of people sensitive to environmental low-frequency noise is relatively small, the technical, physiological and legal aspects of the problem deserve special discussion.
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